

upgrade requires mass production of GEM foils.

Double-mask

advantages of more simple and faster production process.

**1. Introduction** 

# **Performance of the GEM detector based on Korean foils** for the CMS Muon Upgrade

Junho Choi (Seoul National University) On behalf of the CMS muon group

- Test the uniformity of the gas gain in all (in, io) regions of a GE1/1 chamber
- (96 slices in  $\phi$  direction) \* (8 regions in  $\eta$  direction)
- = 768 clusters
- Measured uniformity =  $10.2 \sim 16.2 \%$



96 slices





to be installed in the endcap stations of the CMS muon system. This upgrade will improve

the muon trigger and tracking performance in the high-eta region for the HL-LHC project.

Not only CERN but also several suppliers participate in the production because the

on the top and bottom surface is challenging for a large size foil, this technique has

Chambers assembled with Korean foils are produced using double-mask technique

while CERN foils using single-mask technique. Even though alignment of the two masks

← Raw material → Vacuum deposited copper





We present the results of several quality control tests on the Korean GEM chambers.

- Consistent with the result of CERN foils [1]
- 3. Gain Uniformity Triple GEM detectors for the CMS Phase-II upgrade have been developed and planned



Single-mask

Fig.1 : Overview of the double-mask(L) and the single-mask(R) production processes [2]

#### 2. Effective Gas Gain

- Definition of the effective gas gain



*R* : Interation rate

I<sub>a</sub>: Readout current

 $n_T$ : # of primary electrons per incoming particle



Fig.3 : Schematic view of triple GEM detector[2]





- Rapid electric current between top and bottom surfaces of a GEM foil
- Damage on GEM detector
- Discharge induces the current change.

- A loop antenna is wound around a voltage divider wire to capture the induced signal from the current change in the HV circuit.

Fig.8 : Gain uniformity result of the 4 korea chambers

# 4. Aging Test

Gas Mixture: Ar/CO2 (70/30) - 5 L/hr <sup>(1)</sup> 1.8 Irradiation at GIF++ - Validate radiation hardness under HL-LHC <sup>137</sup>Cs (14.1 TBq in 2015) 662 keV γ (10 - 30 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup>) - Avalanche plasma Initial gas gain =  $2 \times 10^4$  $\rightarrow$  monomers from quenching molecules  $\rightarrow$  polymers from the monomers  $\rightarrow$  sticks to the conducting surface  $\rightarrow$  Degradation on conductivity 0.6 - X-axis : Accumulated charge corresponds to the working time of the detector 0.4 GE1/1-X-S-KOREA-0001 Detecto - 66mC/cm<sup>2</sup> of accumulated charge 0.2 ~ 217 years of GE2/1 40 50 ~ 2.3 years of ME0 operation @HL-LHC Accumulated Charge (mC/cm<sup>2</sup>) - No significant gain drop is observed Fig.9 : Aging test result of GE1/1-X-S-KOREA-0001  $\rightarrow$  Validated in GE2/1 project (9mC/cm<sup>2</sup>)  $\rightarrow$  ME0 project is on going (283mC/cm<sup>2</sup>) GE1/1-X-S-KOREA-0003 **5.** Flux Capability

Protection resistance = 10 MG

Gas mixture = Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> (70/30)

#### - High particle flux

- $\rightarrow$  Space charge  $\uparrow$
- $\rightarrow$  decrease the electric field

- 1st discharge probability measurement with GE1/1 - Low probability ~  $10^{-9}$  @ gain of  $10^{4}$
- Effective gas gain before/after 229 discharges  $\rightarrow$  No significant difference
- ADC spectra before/after 229 discharges  $\rightarrow$  No significant difference on detector resolution - Small difference on the gas gains and peak positions can be understood as effect of enviromental parameter - Temperature & Pressure.

**Fig.6** : Discharge probability(L), Effective gain(M) and ADC spectra(*R*) before/after 229 discharges



### **2019 Winter LHCC meeting**



Fig.4 : Schematic figure of discharge on GEM

foil

 $\rightarrow$  degradation on the gas gain

- No gain drop to flux ~10<sup>5</sup> Hz/mm<sup>2</sup>

Gain drop from 10<sup>5</sup> Hz/mm<sup>2</sup>



- Fig.10 : Flux Capability result of GE1/1-X-S-KOREA-0003
- : Current between top and bottom surface of the foil
- $\rightarrow$  voltage drop by high protection resistence(10 M $\Omega$ ) on the GEM foil.

Z

 $\rightarrow$  Weaken the electric field

# 7. Summary

- Test Korean chambers in the aspect of effective gain, gain uniformity, aging, flux capability, and discharge
- Performance
- Comparable to CERN foils
- Satisfy the durability requirement @ HL-LHC
- Excellent prospect on Korean GEM foil production

### Reference

1] F. Fallavollita, Triple-Gas Electron Multiplier technology for future upgrades of the CMS experiment: construction and certification of the CMS GE1/1 detector and longevity studies, PhD Thesis, CERN-THESIS-2018-349

[2] J. A. Merlin, Study of long-term sustained operation of gaseous detectors for the high rate rnvironment in CMS, PhD Thesis, CERN-THESIS-2016-041. 3] CMS Collaboration, Production and quality control of the new chambers with GEM technology in the CMS Muon System, NIM A, 035 (2018)