### From 1 to N

## **Marc Magro**



Based mainly on 1811.12316 (PRL) and 1903.00368 (JHEP)

F. Delduc (CNRS & ENS de Lyon), S. Lacroix (Univ. Hamburg) B. Vicedo (University of York)

Integrability, duality and beyond - IGFAE, June 2019

#### **PLAN**

- I. Motivation
- II. Classical Finite Gaudin models
- III. Classical Affine Gaudin models
- **IV.** From 1 PCM<sub>k</sub> to N coupled PCM<sub>kr</sub>
- V. Conclusion



## XXX Integrable Spin chain

Very easy to go From 2 (= Shortest length for periodic spin chain) to N (= any length) while keeping Integrability:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left( \sigma_{\alpha}^{x} \sigma_{\alpha+1}^{x} + \sigma_{\alpha}^{y} \sigma_{\alpha+1}^{y} + \sigma_{\alpha}^{z} \sigma_{\alpha+1}^{z} \right)$$

Is there an analogous statement for classical integrable  $\sigma$ -models ?

To answer this question, one needs to review and use reinterpretation [B. Vicedo 1701.04856] of integrable  $\sigma$ -models as realisations of Affine Gaudin Models

# II. Classical Finite Gaudin models

#### Poisson Manifold

#### **Notations:**

- Simple Lie algebra f Basis (I<sup>a</sup>) Structure constants f<sup>ab</sup><sub>c</sub>
- ▶ Opposite of the Killing form  $\kappa^{ab} = \kappa(I^a, I^b)$ ;  $\kappa_{ab}\kappa^{bc} = \delta^c_a$
- ▶ Quadratic Casimir  $C_{12} = \kappa_{ab} I^a \otimes I^b = I_a \otimes I^a \in \mathfrak{f} \otimes \mathfrak{f}$

Poisson manifold  $P_G$  of Gaudin model with N sites = Cartesian product of N copies of  $f^*$ 

- ▶ Define for any  $J \in \mathfrak{f}$ :  $J^a = \kappa(I^a, J)$
- ► The algebra F(P<sub>G</sub>) of functions on P<sub>G</sub> is generated by N copies of (J<sup>a</sup>) equipped with Kirillov-Kostant Poisson Bracket (P.B.)

## **Sites**

- ▶ Each set  $(J^a_{(\alpha)})$  is formally attached to a site  $\alpha$
- Commutation between different sites

$$\left\{J_{(\alpha)}^{a},J_{(\beta)}^{b}\right\} = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{c} f_{\phantom{\alpha\beta}}^{ab} J_{(\alpha)}^{c}$$

This P.B. may be rewritten in tensorial notations as

$$\{J_{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{1}}},J_{(\beta)_{\mathbf{2}}}\}=\delta_{\alpha\beta}[C_{\mathbf{12}},J_{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{1}}}]$$

where

$$J_{(lpha)} = I_{a} \otimes J_{(lpha)}^{a} \quad \in \mathfrak{f} \otimes \mathcal{F}(P_{G})$$

### Lax matrix

\* Fundamental object sustaining Hamiltonian Integrability

$$L(z,t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}(t)}{z - z_{\alpha}} + \Omega$$

with z the spectral parameter

 $z_{\alpha}$  is the position in the spectral plane of the site  $\alpha$ 

- $* \Omega \in \mathfrak{f}$  is a constant (vanishing P.B.)
- \* Possible to show that

$$\{L_1(z), L_2(z')\} = [r_{12}(z, z'), L_1(z) - L_1(z')]$$

with

$$r_{12}(z,z') = C_{12}/(z-z')$$

classical antisymmetric *r*-matrix

#### Quadratic Hamiltonian

Define the spectral parameter dependent quantity

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(L(z), L(z))$$

P.B. of L(z) is a commutator

with

$$M(z',z) = \frac{L(z')}{z-z'}$$

Next step: Extract/Define the Hamiltonian from H(z)

### Quadratic Hamiltonians

$$H(z) = \Delta_{\infty} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\Delta_{\alpha}}{(z - z_{\alpha})^2} + \frac{H_{\alpha}}{z - z_{\alpha}} \right)$$

 $\Delta_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\Omega,\Omega)$  is a constant and  $\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(J_{(\alpha)},J_{(\alpha)})$  is an invariant of the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson Bracket

 $\rightarrow$  Residue of H(z) in  $z_{\alpha}$ 

$$H_{lpha} = \sum_{eta 
eq lpha} rac{\kappa(J_{(lpha)}, J_{(eta)})}{ extstyle z_{lpha} - extstyle z_{eta}} + \kappa(J_{(lpha)}, \Omega)$$

$$H_{lpha} = \sum_{eta 
eq lpha} rac{\kappa_{ab} J_{(lpha)}^a J_{(eta)}^b}{ \mathsf{Z}_{lpha} - \mathsf{Z}_{eta}} + \kappa(J_{(lpha)}, \Omega)$$

The  $H_{\alpha}$ 's are in involution:

$$\{H_{\alpha},H_{\beta}\}=0$$

## Hamiltonian and Lax Pair

Hamiltonian = Linear combination of the  $H_{\alpha}$ 's:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$$

All this implies

$$\frac{dL(z)}{dt} \equiv \{H, L(z)\} = [M(z), L(z)]$$

⇒ Lax Pair

$$L(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}} + \Omega$$
$$M(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}}$$

# Example of realisation for $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$

$$L(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z - z_{\alpha}} \begin{pmatrix} J_{(\alpha)}^{H} & 2J_{(\alpha)}^{F} \\ 2J_{(\alpha)}^{E} & -J_{(\alpha)}^{H} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Realisation: Do N times the following

- ightharpoonup Take canonical coordinates (x, p)
- Define

$$J^{H} = xp$$
  $J^{E} = -\frac{1}{2}p^{2}$   $J^{F} = \frac{1}{2}x^{2}$ 

- $\Longrightarrow$  Poisson Map from  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  to  $P_G\simeq\mathbb{R}^{3N}$
- Quadratic Hamiltonians

$$H_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{(x_{\alpha}p_{\beta} - x_{\beta}p_{\alpha})^2}{z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}}$$

## Coupling and Decoupling

\* Coupling between the N particles in expression of  $H_{\alpha}$  comes from the second term in

$$H_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{(x_{\alpha}p_{\beta} - x_{\beta}p_{\alpha})^2}{\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{z}_{\beta}}$$

- \* Coupling depends on how far the sites of the Gaudin model are from each other (in the spectral plane)
- \* Decoupling of the last particle:

Maintain to fixed value all 
$$z_{\alpha}$$
 for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N-1$   
while sending  $z_N \to \infty$ 

- \* How to "implement" the N particles is very simple!
- \* Same kind of mechanism for Affine Gaudin Models and thus for Integrable  $\sigma$ -models

## Remarks: (Unreduced) Neumann Model

The quadratic Hamiltonians

$$H_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{(x_{\alpha}p_{\beta} - x_{\beta}p_{\alpha})^2}{z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}}$$

correspond (for  $z_{\alpha} \equiv \omega_{\alpha}^2$ ) to the Uhlenbeck's [Uhlenbeck '82] conserved quantities of the Neumann model

- 'Unreduced' Neumann model is a realisation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  Gaudin model [Kuznetsov' 1992] (see also [S. Lacroix's PhD thesis, Integrable models with twist function and affine Gaudin models, 1809.06811])
- Remark: Neumann model (N = 3) and spinning strings in AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> [G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, J. Russo and A. Tseytlin '03, G. Arutyunov, J. Russo and A. Tseytlin '04]

## Generalisation and Summary

- Generalisation of Gaudin models to higher-order poles known [Feigin Frenkel Toledano Laredo '10]
- How to go from 1 to N ? Add sites
- ▶ How to decouple one site ? Send it to  $\infty$
- ▶ Important:  $\{J_{(\alpha)}, J_{(\beta)}\} \propto \delta_{\alpha\beta}$

$$L(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}} + \Omega$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{z_{\alpha}} \kappa(L(z), L(z))$$

## Summary: Assembling LEGO bricks

$$L(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}} + \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha}=1}^{4} \frac{J_{(\widetilde{\alpha})}}{z - \widetilde{z}_{\alpha}} + \Omega$$

Possible to couple Gaudin models associated with same Lie algebra f

# III. Classical Affine Gaudin Models

- \* Defined at Hamiltonian level
- \* Models which are integrable by construction
- \* One of the main difficulties = Perform the Legendre transform
- \* Many levels:
  - ► Formal: Affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with f
  - ► Intermediate: f-valued connections
  - ▶ Realisation level: Integrable  $\sigma$ -models as realisations of Affine Gaudin Models

At the end, we present an **action** and **Lagrangian expression** of the Lax pair satisfying the zero curvature equation

$$[\partial_X + \mathcal{L}(z, x, t), \partial_t + \mathcal{M}(z, x, t)] = 0$$

Spatial component  $\mathcal{L}(z, x)$  of Lax pair  $\equiv$  Lax matrix

## Analogy

Finite case	Affine case
$J_{(lpha)}=I_{m{a}}\otimes J_{(lpha)}^{m{a}}$	$\ell_{(\alpha)}\partial_{X}+J_{(\alpha)}(X)$
	$J_{(lpha)}(x) = I_a \otimes \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(J^a_{(lpha)} ight)_n e^{-inx}$
$L(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}}$	$arphi(oldsymbol{z})\partial_{oldsymbol{z}}+\Gamma(oldsymbol{z},oldsymbol{x})$

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{\ell_{(\alpha)}}{z - z_{\alpha}}$$
 and  $\Gamma(z, x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{J_{(\alpha)}(x)}{z - z_{\alpha}}$ 

- $ightharpoonup \varphi$  is called the **Twist function**
- Γ is called the Gaudin Lax matrix

#### Lax matrix

- ▶ So far, we have  $\varphi(z)\partial_x + \Gamma(z,x)$
- For field theory we need to construct  $\partial_x + \mathcal{L}(z, x)$
- ⇒ The Lax matrix of the integrable field theory is

$$\mathcal{L}(z,x) = \varphi(z)^{-1} \Gamma(z,x)$$

- ▶ Zeroes of  $\varphi(z)$  correspond to poles of  $\mathcal{L}(z)$
- ▶ P.B.  $\{\Gamma, \Gamma\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}\}$  are **determined by**  $\varphi$  and they **ensure Hamiltonian integrability**

 $\varphi$  controls the algebraic structure behind integrability

## Datum defining an Affine Gaudin Model

#### With each site $\alpha$ one associates:

- lts **position**  $z_{\alpha}$  in spectal plane
- ▶ Its multiplicity  $m_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^*$
- $ightharpoonup m_{\alpha}$  numbers  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha}$ , called the **levels**,  $p \in \{0, \cdots, m_{\alpha} 1\}$
- $ightharpoonup m_{\alpha}$  currents  $J_{p}^{\alpha}(x)$

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{m_{\alpha}-1} \frac{\ell_{p}^{\alpha}}{(z - z_{\alpha})^{p+1}} - \ell^{\infty}$$

$$\Gamma(z,x) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{m_{\alpha}-1} \frac{J_{p}^{\alpha}(x)}{(z-z_{\alpha})^{p+1}}$$

$$\ell^{\alpha}_{m_{\alpha}-1} \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$$
 Multiplicity = Order of the pole  $z_{\alpha}$  of  $\varphi(z)$ 

Choice of Linear Combination of Quadratic Hamiltonians

## Datum defining an Integrable $\sigma$ -model

#### With each site $\alpha$ one associates:

- lts **position**  $z_{\alpha}$  in spectal plane
- lts multiplicity  $m_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^*$
- $ightharpoonup m_{\alpha}$  numbers  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha}$ , called the **levels**,  $p \in \{0, \dots, m_{\alpha} 1\}$
- $ightharpoonup m_{\alpha}$  currents  $J_{p}^{\alpha}(x)$

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{m_{\alpha}-1} \frac{\ell_{p}^{\alpha}}{(z - z_{\alpha})^{p+1}} - \ell^{\infty}$$

$$\Gamma(z,x) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{m_{\alpha}-1} \frac{J_{p}^{\alpha}(x)}{(z-z_{\alpha})^{p+1}}$$

$$\ell^{lpha}_{m_{lpha}-1}
eq 0\Longrightarrow$$
 Multiplicity = Order of the pole  $z_{lpha}$  of  $arphi(z)$ 

- Choice of Linear Combination of Quadratic Hamiltonians
- ▶ Choice of Realisation for the currents  $J_D^{\alpha}(x)$

## Coupling two Affine Gaudin Models

- Start with
  - ► AGM<sub>1</sub> for Lie algebra f with sites  $z_{\alpha}^{1}$  and levels  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha 1}$
  - ► AGM<sub>2</sub> for same Lie algebra f with sites  $z_{\alpha}^2$  and levels  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha^2}$
- ► What is easy to understand: Build the AGM with sites  $(z_{\alpha}^{1}, z_{\alpha}^{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha})$  and levels  $(\ell_{p}^{\alpha 1}, \ell_{p}^{\alpha 2})$
- Result:

#### Decoupling in the limit $\gamma \to 0$

- What requires more work: Choice of linear combination for the Hamiltonian which is coherent with this scenario
- ▶ At the Hamiltonian level, more or less the end of the story!

#### Takiff currents and their realisations

\* Poisson brackets

$$\begin{split} \left\{ J_{p \ \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha}(x), J_{q \ \mathbf{2}}^{\beta}(y) \right\} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Big( \left[ C_{\mathbf{12}}, J_{p+q \ \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha}(x) \right] \delta_{xy} - \ell_{p+q}^{\alpha} C_{\mathbf{12}} \, \delta_{xy}' \Big) \\ & \text{if } p + q < m_{\alpha} \\ \left\{ J_{p \ \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha}(x), J_{q \ \mathbf{2}}^{\alpha}(y) \right\} &= 0 \text{ if } p + q \ge m_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

- \* For  $\sigma$ -models, Realisations of these currents done in terms of (g,X) with fields g and X valued respectively in Lie group F and Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}$
- \* Exception = Non-abelian T-dual

## List of known Building blocks

Model	Twist function $\varphi(z)$	Realisation of the Gaudin Lax matrix $\Gamma(z,x)$
PCM + WZ	$\frac{K - K^{-1}k^2}{(z - K^{-1}k)^2} - \frac{2k}{z - K^{-1}k} - K$	$\frac{(K-K^{-1}k^2)j(x)}{(z-K^{-1}k)^2} + \frac{X(x)-kj(x)-kW(x)}{z-K^{-1}k}$
PCM	$\frac{K}{z^2} - K$	$\frac{Kj(x)}{z^2} + \frac{X(x)}{z}$
hYB	$\frac{K}{Z^2} - K$	$\frac{Kj(x)-R_gX(x)}{z^2}+\frac{X(x)}{z}$
NATD	$\frac{K}{z^2} - K$	$\frac{\mathfrak{m}(x)}{z^2} + \frac{K  \partial_x \mathbf{v}(x) + [\mathfrak{m}(x), \mathbf{v}(x)]}{z}$
iYB	$\frac{K/(2c\eta)}{z-c\eta} + \frac{-K/(2c\eta)}{z+c\eta} - \frac{K}{1-c^2\eta^2}$	$\frac{1}{2c}\frac{cX(x)-R_gX(x)+\frac{\kappa}{\eta}j(x)}{z-c\eta}+\frac{1}{2c}\frac{cX(x)+R_gX(x)-\frac{\kappa}{\eta}j(x)}{z+c\eta}$
λ	$\frac{K/(2\alpha)}{z-\alpha} + \frac{-K/(2\alpha)}{z+\alpha} - \frac{K}{1-\alpha^2}$	$\frac{X(x)-kj(x)-kW(x)}{z-\alpha}-\frac{g(x)(X(x)+kj(x)-kW(x))g(x)^{-1}}{z+\alpha}$

$$j = g^{-1} \partial_x g$$
 and  $I_{WZ}[g] = \iint dt \, dx \, \kappa(W, g^{-1} \partial_t g)$ 

## Difference between PCM and (PCM + WZ)

Model	Twist function $\varphi(z)$	Gaudin Lax matrix $\Gamma(z,x)$
PCM + WZ	$\frac{K - K^{-1}k^2}{(z - K^{-1}k)^2} - \frac{2k}{z - K^{-1}k} - K$	$\frac{(K-K^{-1}k^2)j(x)}{(z-K^{-1}k)^2} + \frac{X(x)-kj(x)-kW(x)}{z-K^{-1}k}$
PCM	$\frac{K}{Z^2} - K$	$\frac{Kj(x)}{z^2} + \frac{X(x)}{z}$

\* Both PCM and (PCM + WZ) correspond to a **single site of multiplicity 2** (**double pole** of  $\varphi$ , which admits also **two zeros** in both cases)

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\ell_1}{(z - z_0)^2} + \frac{\ell_0}{z - z_0} - \ell^{\infty}$$
$$\Gamma(z, x) = \frac{J_1(x)}{(z - z_0)^2} + \frac{J_0(x)}{z - z_0}$$

\* But for PCM  $\ell_0 = 0$  (which is clear from P.B. of currents)

## Poles and zeroes of the twist function

- \* Two 'starting' sets of parameters
  - ▶ Poles  $z_{\alpha}$  of  $\varphi(z)$  and levels  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha}$
  - ▶ Poles  $z_{\alpha}$  and zeroes  $\zeta_i$  of  $\varphi(z)$

$$arphi(z) = -\ell^{\infty} rac{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{M} (z - \zeta_i)}{\displaystyle\prod_{lpha} (z - z_{lpha})^{m_{lpha}}}$$

with

$$M=\sum_{\alpha}m_{\alpha}$$

Suppose all  $\zeta_i$  are real and simple

\* Advantage and drawback of each set

## Poles and zeroes of the twist function

- \* Two 'starting' sets of parameters
  - ▶ Poles  $z_{\alpha}$  of  $\varphi(z)$  and levels  $\ell_{p}^{\alpha}$
  - ▶ Poles  $z_{\alpha}$  and zeroes  $\zeta_i$  of  $\varphi(z)$

$$arphi(z) = -\ell^{\infty} rac{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{M} (z - \zeta_i)}{\displaystyle\prod_{lpha} (z - z_{lpha})^{m_{lpha}}}$$

with

$$M=\sum_{\alpha}m_{\alpha}$$

Suppose all  $\zeta_i$  are real and simple

\* Advantage and drawback of each set

#### Basis for Hamiltonian

Most convenient basis of commuting quadratic charges:

$$Q_i = -rac{1}{2arphi'(\zeta_i)}\int dx \; \kappaig(\Gamma(\zeta_i,x),\Gamma(\zeta_i,x)ig)$$

Sufficient condition for Lorentz invariance:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i Q_i \text{ with } \epsilon_i^2 = 1$$

► For examples worked out: To be able to perform Legendre transform, same number of  $\epsilon_i$  equal to 1 than to -1

$$\Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pm}(z,x) = \mathcal{M} \pm \mathcal{L} = \pm 2 \sum_{i \in I_{\pm}} \frac{1}{\varphi'(\zeta_{i}^{\pm})} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta_{i}^{\pm},x)}{z - \zeta_{i}^{\pm}}$$

Recall for PCM

$$\varphi(z) = K \frac{1 - z^2}{z^2}$$
 and  $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(z) = \frac{g^{-1} \partial_{\pm} g}{1 \mp z}$ 

#### Basis for Hamiltonian

Most convenient basis of commuting quadratic charges:

$$Q_i = -\frac{1}{2\varphi'(\zeta_i)} \int dx \; \kappa \big( \Gamma(\zeta_i, x), \Gamma(\zeta_i, x) \big)$$

Sufficient condition for Lorentz invariance:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i Q_i \text{ with } \epsilon_i^2 = 1$$

► For examples worked out: To be able to perform Legendre transform, same number of  $\epsilon_i$  equal to 1 than to -1

$$\Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pm}(z,x) = \mathcal{M} \pm \mathcal{L} = \pm 2 \sum_{i \in I_{\pm}} \frac{1}{\varphi'(\zeta_{i}^{\pm})} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta_{i}^{\pm},x)}{z - \zeta_{i}^{\pm}}$$

▶ Importance of the zeroes of  $\varphi$  for constructing higher order local charges [S. Lacroix, M.M., B. Vicedo '17]

# IV. From 1 PCM $_k$ to N coupled PCM $_k$ .

- One (PCM + WZ): One double pole  $z_1$  and two simple zeroes  $\zeta_1^{\pm}$
- N (PCM + WZ): N double poles  $z_r$  and 2N simple zeroes  $\zeta_r^{\pm}$

Difficulty: Legendre Transform

$$\varphi_{\pm}(z) \equiv \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{N} (z - \zeta_r^{\pm})}{\prod_{r=1}^{N} (z - z_r)}$$
 and  $\varphi(z) \equiv -\ell^{\infty} \varphi_{+}(z) \varphi_{-}(z)$ 

$$\begin{split} S[g^{(1)},\dots,g^{(N)}] &= \iint dt \, dx \, \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \, \rho_{rs} \, \kappa(j_{+}^{(r)},j_{-}^{(s)}) + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \, k_{r} \, l_{WZ}[g^{(r)}] \\ \rho_{rr} &= \frac{1}{4} \ell^{\infty} \left( \varphi'_{+,r}(Z_{r}) \varphi_{-,r}(Z_{r}) - \varphi_{+,r}(Z_{r}) \varphi'_{-,r}(Z_{r}) \right) \\ \rho_{rs} &= \frac{1}{2} \ell^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{+,r}(Z_{r}) \varphi_{-,s}(Z_{s})}{Z_{r} - Z_{s}} \quad \text{for} \quad r \neq s \\ k_{r} &= \frac{1}{2} \ell^{\infty} \left( \varphi'_{+,r}(Z_{r}) \varphi_{-,r}(Z_{r}) + \varphi_{+,r}(Z_{r}) \varphi'_{-,r}(Z_{r}) \right) \end{split}$$

$$arphi_{\pm,r}(z)=(z-z_r)arphi_{\pm}(z)$$

All  $g^{(r)}$  take values in the same Lie group F and  $j_{\pm}^{(r)}=g^{(r)-1}\partial_{\pm}g^{(r)}\in\mathfrak{f}$ 

#### \* Number of parameters:

- ▶ 2*N* zeros  $\zeta_i^{\pm}$  and N poles  $z_r$  of  $\varphi$  together with  $\ell^{\infty}$
- ► Redundacy (Dilatation and Translation)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 3N - 1 free parameters

▶ While  $N^2 + N$  coefficients in the action ( $\rho_{rs}$  and  $k_r$ )

#### \* Lagrangian expression of Lax pair:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(z,x,t) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\varphi_{\pm,r}(z_r)}{\varphi_{\pm,r}(z)} j_{\pm}^{(r)}(x,t)$$

$$\partial_{+}\mathcal{L}_{-} - \partial_{-}\mathcal{L}_{+} + [\mathcal{L}_{+}, \mathcal{L}_{-}] = 0$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}(z_r,x,t)=j_{\pm}^{(r)}(x,t)$$

## Global symmetries

$$S[g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(N)}] = \iint dt \, dx \, \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \, \rho_{rs} \, \kappa(j_{+}^{(r)}, j_{-}^{(s)}) + \sum_{r=1}^{N} k_{r} \, l_{WZ}[g^{(r)}]$$

Recall that  $i_{+}^{(r)} = q^{(r)-1} \partial_{+} q^{(r)}$ 

▶ On the left:  $F \times \cdots \times F$ 

$$(g^{(1)},\ldots,g^{(N)})\longmapsto (h_1g^{(1)},\ldots,h_Ng^{(N)}),\quad h_r\in F$$

► On the right: F<sub>diag</sub>

$$(g^{(1)},\ldots,g^{(N)})\longmapsto (g^{(1)}h,\ldots,g^{(N)}h),\quad h\in F$$

## Examples for N=2

\* First example:

$$\begin{split} z_1 &= -z_2 = \gamma^{-1}, \quad \zeta_1^{\pm} = \pm 1 + \gamma^{-1}, \quad \zeta_2^{\pm} = \pm 1 - \gamma^{-1} \\ \rho_{11} &= \rho_{22} = \frac{\ell^{\infty}}{4} (2 - \gamma^2), \qquad k_1 = -k_2 = -\frac{\ell^{\infty}}{8} \gamma^3, \\ \rho_{12} &= -\frac{\ell^{\infty}}{16} \gamma (\gamma - 2)^2, \qquad \rho_{21} = \frac{\ell^{\infty}}{16} \gamma (\gamma + 2)^2. \end{split}$$

\* Second example: For

$$z_1 = -z_2 = \gamma^{-1}, \quad \zeta_1^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \gamma^{-2} + \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma^{-2}}},$$
  
$$\zeta_2^{\pm} = \mp \sqrt{1 + \gamma^{-2} - \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma^{-2}}}.$$

$$k_1=k_2=0$$

# V. Conclusion

### Comments

- Construction of Integrable σ-models from a given set of parameters ...
- ... encoded in a generic rational function with N double poles and 2N simple zeroes
- Very nice to see how these parameters of (Hamiltonian) integrability show up in the expressions of both the action and the Lagrangian Lax pair!
  - → Importance of the twist function

Hamiltonian integrability no more 'hidden' in Lagrangian formulation

For N=1: Two free parameters = Same number as the number of coefficients ( $\rho_{11}$  and  $k_1$ ) in the action

# Key point = observables associated with different sites mutually Poisson commute

Model	Twist function $\varphi(z)$	Realisation of the Gaudin Lax matrix $\Gamma(z,x)$
iYB	$\frac{K/(2c\eta)}{z-c\eta} + \frac{-K/(2c\eta)}{z+c\eta} - \frac{K}{1-c^2\eta^2}$	$\frac{1}{2c}\frac{cX(x)-R_gX(x)+\frac{K}{\eta}j(x)}{z-c\eta}+\frac{1}{2c}\frac{cX(x)+R_gX(x)-\frac{K}{\eta}j(x)}{z+c\eta}$
λ	$\frac{K/(2\alpha)}{z-\alpha} + \frac{-K/(2\alpha)}{z+\alpha} - \frac{K}{1-\alpha^2}$	$\frac{X(x)-kj(x)-kW(x)}{z-\alpha} - \frac{g(x)(X(x)+kj(x)-kW(x))g(x)^{-1}}{z+\alpha}$

[T.J. Hollowood J.L. Miramontes D.M. Schmidtt '14, B. Vicedo '15]

### Other models

- We have also determined the action coupling One hYB to N − 1 (PCM+WZ) and the expression of the Lax pair at Lagrangian level Twist function is the same as for One PCM + N − 1 (PCM+WZ)
- One should recover and extend example of two Coupled integrable λ-models constructed in [G. Georgiou and K. Sfetsos 1809.03522 1812.04033]
- ► Playground is infinite...
- ▶ But remember that Same Lie algebra

# Generalisation to Symmetric space $\sigma$ -models ?

- Their nature as Affine Gaudin Models is different
- Called Cyclotomic Affine Gaudin Models
- ▶ Comes from  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  grading
- More precisely Gauge invariance associated with a constraint
- Comes from special role of infinity in spectral plane
- If F/G symmetric space, one expects to be able to construct a

$$F^{\times N}/G_{diag}$$
 integrable  $\sigma$ -model

 Corresponds to gauging a subgroup of F<sub>diag</sub> (Symmetry on the right)

#### Quantum level

- ➤ Renormalisation group flow: we already know it will be interesting from [G. Georgiou and K. Sfetsos 1809.03522 1812.04033] [G. Georgiou P. Panopoulos E. Sagkrioti and K. Sfetsos 1906.00984]
- ▶ If integrability preserved at the quantum level: S-matrix?
- ► Use of Affine Gaudin Model Approach at quantum level [B. Vicedo '17, S. Lacroix, B. Vicedo, and C. A. S. Young '18 '18, S. Lacroix PhD thesis]

## From **N** to **1**...

