S/W Preservation & Legacy Issues at LEP (CERN)

Jamie.Shiers@cern.ch

Former Developer / Librarian: CERNLIB Currently: Data Preservation in HEP Paper and much background info: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/801649/</u>

Introduction

- CERNLIB: some 3MLOC, mainly Fortran, some Assembler(s), C(++), Pascal etc.
- Many contributors over several decades
- Source code marked up for multi-platform support (a bit like C pre-processor)
- Built for many platforms, different byte (word) length / order, FP operations, character sets
- Regular binary multi-platform releases OR
- Access to source code with build "suggestions"
- In its dying days: migrated to CVS, cpp, gmake
- Now "unsupported" but still in use, still being built, still used for published papers (Oct. 2018)...

Preservation or Deletion?

- "Obsolete" routines were first de-activated then later deleted (active) / not carried forward (passive)
- No systematic archiving of source matching each published release
- Release notes documented in Computer Newsletters (scanned; available) up to early 90s
- MANY copies distributed around the world, mainly on 1600 bpi tapes (so lost???)
- LEP (1989 2000) data: ~100TB / experiment (4)
- [LHC (2009 2040?) data: getting on for 100PB (av.) per experiment]
- Now 2 tape copies & 1 disk copy at CERN, plus numerous copies at outside labs
- Would it really have been impossible to have systematically archived all s/w releases?
- N.B. at the start of LEP, no managed storage: users bought and managed their own (200MB) tapes!

Reviving old data

- Of course, you need the data ("bits") itself
- But this is not enough:
 - Source code; build procedures; validation suite ...
- *Still* not enough:
 - calibrations, field-maps, basic documentation ...
- In some cases, source was in PL no longer supported
 - Non-standard, e.g. CDC Fortran, Mortran, Sheltran, VAX Fortran, ...
- Can you revive code when you don't really know what it is supposed to be doing?
- With few / no comments, 1-2 character variables?

A Holistic Approach ("Space")

- I contend that source code preservation, without build and validations systems, without basic documentation, as well as the necessary "environment" is close to useless for large-scale projects such as LEP, LHC, ...
- The "environment" is much more than the "VM" in which the code runs AND includes necessary configuration files, DB snap-shots, magnetic field-maps etc.
- Even this may not be enough for reproducibility, e.g. HEP uses "triggers" (AKA filters) where much data is DISCARDED!

Dear Jamie:

- Reading the articles on open data and re-use in the last issue of the CERN Courier has revived one of my worries which had remained latent for some time:
- How do we document convincingly trigger design and performance. The concern is not so much that what we did not select **is lost for ever**, but what are the biases in the raw data we retain.
- Understanding the trigger performance is **mandatory** if outsiders, by data re-use, produce important discoveries exposed to scrutiny by the scientific community.
- The LHC experiments are making a laudable effort to make their data available but I have the impression the issue of documentation / knowledge is not addressed vigorously enough at its roots,

i. e. real time trigger.

I feel that validity and limitations of data re-use for discovery should be the subject of a thorough and humble analysis.

I am available for coffee if you wish to discuss this issue.

Cheers, Pier Giorgio

A Holistic Approach – Time

- OAIS tells us that we have to be aware of and plan for – changes in technology
- But this applies also to services, to programming languages, operating systems, compilers, code repositories etc.
- It will also apply to PID systems etc!
- Real experience with migrations show that they are expensive, often uncover hidden bugs, often data / knowledge is lost in the process
- And this is during the "active" phase of a project!

Holistic in Space & Time

 Time – addressing service / technology / personnel changes and associated migration, adaption, re-validation, ...

 Space – addressing s/w and its complete ecosystem, including also the data for which it is intended / associated