Emittance Evolution



C. Rogers, ISIS Intense Beams Group Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Overview

- Updates since CM53
 - Slight change to amplitude calculation
 - Modified systematic uncertainty
 - Add a plot showing uncorrected amplitudes
- First reading of paper by MICE referees
 - Consider replacing amplitude "CDF ratio" plot with "density ratio" plot
 - Various discussion on words and emphasis
- Work in progress
 - Move to production reco



Reminder: amplitude routine



- Amplitude is calculated via
 - $A = \varepsilon_n \underline{u}^T \underline{V}^{-1} \underline{u}$
- V is covariance matrix; susceptible to tails of distribution
- Introduce modified calculation uses V only for events in the same A bin and lower
 - Iterate over all events in the A bin until there are no more migrations to higher A
- At low A fewer events are included in the calculation
 - V becomes dominated by statistical effects
 - Stop recalculating V for low bins?



- E.g. y- py space
 - Difference between subsamples is worse
- Characterise by β, the width of the ellipse normalised by the area

Beta x/y vs bin





Effect of V recalculation



Reminder: systematics

- Momentum discrepancy between TOF01, TKU, TKD
- Included a big field uncertainty for TKU and TKD in systematics
 - 3 % TKU
 - 3 % TKD
- Now uncertainty in field is
 - 3 % TKU
 - 0.3 % TKD





Updated pdf





Updated pdf ratio





Updated density profile





Uncorrected vs corrected amplitude pdf





Number

Discuss – "6th figure"

- We are allowed 6 figures
 - 1 Schematic
 - 2 Phase space distributions
 - 3 Amplitude PDF
 - 4 Ratio of amplitude PDFs
 - **5** Ratio of amplitude CDFs
 - 6 Phase space density vs fraction of beam
- Propose
 - 1 Schematic
 - 2 Phase space distributions
 - 3 Amplitude PDF
 - 4 Ratio of amplitude PDFs
 - 5 Phase space density vs fraction of beam
 - **6** Ratio of phase space densities



CDF Ratios





Ratio of amplitude CDFs

- Consider Cumulative Density Function the number of particles enclosed by a given amplitude ellipse
- Take ratio of downstream to upstream CDF

Density Ratios





Error on transmission is underestimated

Move to Production

- Plan to move to production reconstruction
 - More statistics
 - Better audit trail (MAUS version, etc)
- Paolo and Dimitrije have provided recon of some 2017-02-7 LiH data
 - Rogers reconstruction is shown in yellow
 - Production reconstruction is shown in black
 - Reconstruction is of exactly the same data files
 - Same analysis scripts
- Looks like very nice agreement except momentum scale



TKU p 4-140 6-140 10-140 0.08 0.06 LiH 0.04 0.02 100 120 140 160 1100 120 140 160 1100 120 140 160 180 Momentum at TKU Reference Plane [MeV/c]

 Not sure if correction for Hall probes has been implemented in production

Geometry files

MICE	
R	

· . 14

File Edit View Search Terminal Tabs Help

scarf148@scarf:~/data ×	scarf148@scarf:~/data		
Substitution \$SSUE2Current 205.7003	Substitution \$SSUE2Current 20	~	
Substitution \$SSUE2Scale 1.02	Substitution \$SSUE2Scale 1.0		_
Substitution \$SSUE2Polarity +1	Substitution \$SSUE2Polarity +	1	6
			0
Substitution \$SSUE1Current 205.7003	Substitution \$SSUE1Current 20		00
Substitution \$SSUE1Scale 1.02	Substitution \$SSUE1Scale 1.0		1
Substitution \$SSUE1Polarity +1	Substitution \$SSUE1Polarity +	A	N.
Substitution \$SSUM2Current 168.2496	Substitution \$SSUM2Current 16		Ø
Substitution \$SSUM2Scale 1.0	Substitution \$SSUM2Scale 1.0	1	-
Substitution \$SSUM2Polarity +1	Substitution \$SSUM2Polarity +	Z	
		1	
Substitution \$SSUM1Current 190.9981	Substitution \$SSUM1Current 19	-~	
Substitution \$SSUM1Scale 1.0	Substitution \$SSUM1Scale 1.0	æ	0
Substitution \$SSUM1Polarity +1	Substitution \$SSUM1Polarity +		
		8	1
Substitution \$SSUCCurrent 205.70039	Substitution \$SSUCCurrent 205		0
Substitution \$SSUCScale 1.02	Substitution \$SSUCScale 1.0	6.1	
Substitution \$SSUCPolarity +1	Substitution \$SSUCPolarity +1	Sel .	
<try 16%<="" 90,25="" geometry_10484="" parentgeometryfile.dat="" td=""><td><v1 10484="" 99,25<="" geo-10484="" parentgeometryfile.dat="" td=""><td></td><td></td></v1></td></try>	<v1 10484="" 99,25<="" geo-10484="" parentgeometryfile.dat="" td=""><td></td><td></td></v1>		
<pre>"reco/Production-v1/10484/geo-10484/ParentGeometryFile.dat" [n</pre>	oeol] 568L, 26493C		

Rogers

Production



x at TKU Reference Plane [mm]

TKU px 4-140 6-140 10-140 0.15 MICE Internal MICE Internal **MICE Internal ISIS User Runs** 2017/02 and 2017/03 0.1 0.05

ſ

-100

0

100 2(100



LiH

100

200

0

p_x at TKU Reference Plane [MeV/c]

100

2(100

0



x at TKD Reference Plane [mm]







Still to do

- Use production MC and recon
- Update MICE Note
 - Need to write detailed text on systematic error analysis
- Write methods section

