Benchmarks for hh, hS, SS

Ian Lewis University of Kansas

Based on work by **I. Lewis**, M. Sullivan C-Y. Chen, S. Dawson, **I. Lewis** PRD91 (2015) 035015; **I. Lewis**, M. Sullivan PRD96 (2017) 035037 S. Dawson, M. Sullivan PRD97 (2018) 015022

> May 13, 2019 LHCHXSWG

Singlet Extensions of SM

- Consider two extensions of the SM:
 - Real singlet scalar.
 - Complex singlet scalar.
- Only couple to Higgs doublet at the renormalizable level.
- Useful laboratory to learn what Higgs physics can tell us about BSM, without showing up anywhere else.
- Can give a strong first order electroweak phase transition. See for example JHEP 1708 (2017) 098 for importance of *hS* and *SS* production to probe the electroweak phase transition.

Real Singlet Extension

- Based on C-Y. Chen, S. Dawson, I. Lewis PRD91 (2015) 035015; I. Lewis, M. Sullivan PRD96 (2017) 035037
- Extend the SM with a real, gauge singlet scalar *S*.
- Two largely studies scenarios:
 - Z_2 symmetric: impose $S \rightarrow -S$ symmetry. See Robens, Stefaniak EPJC76 (2016) 268; EPJC75 (2015) 104; etc.
 - No additional *Z*₂.
- We study the case with no additional Z_2 . Most general renormalizable scalar potential:

$$V(\Phi,S) = -\mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 + \frac{a_1}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S + \frac{a_2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S^2 + b_1 S + \frac{b_2}{2} S^2 + \frac{b_3}{3} S^3 + \frac{b_4}{4} S^4$$

• Free to choose $\langle S \rangle = 0$.

• Rotate to into mass eigenstates h_1, h_2 with masses $m_1 = 125$ GeV and m_2 , respectively:

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ S \end{pmatrix}$$

Real Singlet Extension

- Parameter counting:
 - 8 parameters in Lagrangian.
 - 5 "physical" parameters:

$$m_1 = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_2, \theta, v = 246 \text{ GeV}, \langle S \rangle = 0$$

• 3 leftover "free" parameters:

$$a_2, b_3, b_4.$$

- In Z_2 symmetric limit: $a_1 = b_1 = b_3 = 0$.
 - 5 parameters in Lagrangian and 5 "physical" parameters. Fully determined.
- Vacuum structure is more complicated without *Z*₂.
 - Places constraints on how large $BR(h_2 \rightarrow h_1h_1)$ can be.
- Relevant theoretical constraints:
 - Make sure the global minimum is $(v, \langle S \rangle) = (246 \text{ GeV}, 0)$.
 - Checked that $2 \rightarrow 2$ scalar scattering obeys perturbative unitarity.
 - Potential is bounded from below.

Real Singlet Model-Benchmarks for $S \rightarrow hh$



- Maximum allow branching ratio for $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$
- From Higgs precision: $\sin \theta_{max} = 0.22$ for $m_2 < 650$ GeV.
- From W-mass constraints: sin θ_{max} = 0.21 for m₂ > 650 GeV López-Val, Robens PRD90 (2014) 114018
- Production rates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(pp \to h_1) &= \cos^2 \theta \sigma_{SM}(pp \to h_1) \\ \sigma(pp \to h_2) &= \sin^2 \theta \sigma_{SM}(pp \to h_2) \end{aligned}$$

Complex Singlet Model

• Much more complicated. The potential with no additional symmetries:

$$\begin{split} V(\Phi,S_c) &= \frac{\mu^2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 + \frac{b_2}{2} |S_c|^2 + \frac{d_2}{4} |S_c|^4 + \frac{\delta_2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi |S_c|^2 \\ &+ \left(a_1 S_c + \frac{b_1}{4} S_c^2 + \frac{e_1}{6} S_c^3 + \frac{e_2}{6} S_c |S_c|^2 + \frac{\delta_1}{4} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S_c + \frac{\delta_3}{4} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S_c^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{d_1}{8} S_c^4 + \frac{d_3}{8} S_c^2 |S_c|^2 + \text{h.c} \right) \end{split}$$

- Complex scalar singlet: $S_c = (S_0 + iA)/\sqrt{2}$.
- Can choose $\langle S_c \rangle = 0$.
- $a_1, b_1, e_2, e_2, \delta_1, \delta_3, d_1, d_3$ are complex parameters.
- See Barger, Langacker, McCaskey, Ramsey-Musolf, Shaughnessy PRD79 (2009) 015018; Coimbra, Sampaio, Santos, EPJC73 (2013) 248; Gonderinger, Lim, Ramsey-Musolf PRD86 (2012) 043511; Costa, Mülleitner, Sampaio, Santos JHEP06 (2016) 034 for analysis when additional symmetries are imposed upon S_c.

Complex Singlet Model

- Three CP-even scalar bosons: h, S_0, A .
- In principle have to mix all three according to SO(3).
 - Three mixing angles: $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$.
- θ_3 is the mixing between S_0, A .
 - Equivalent to a phase rotation of $S_c = (S_0 + iA)/\sqrt{2}$.
 - Can be absorbed into the compelx parameters.
- Left over rotation matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 & 0 \\ \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 & -\cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ S_0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

• h_1, h_2, h_3 are mass eigenstates with masses $m_1 = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_2, m_3$, respectively.

• Left over rotation matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 & 0 \\ \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 & -\cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ S_0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

• In the limit $\theta_2 \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ S_0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

- h_3 inherits its couplings to fermions and gauge bosons from the SM Higgs.
 - If $\theta \to 0$ no mixing with SM Higgs, hence no couplings to fermions or gauge bosons.
 - h_3 can only be produced from h_2 or h_1 .
 - If $m_2 > 2m_3$ and $m_2 > m_1 + m_3$ then major discovery mode sof h_3 are:

$$h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3$$
 and $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_3$.

• Why this is an interesting benchmark.

Complex Singlet Model

- Major theory constraints:
 - Make sure the global minimum is $(v, \langle S_c \rangle) = (246 \text{ GeV}, 0 + i0).$
 - Checked that $2 \rightarrow 2$ scalar scattering obeys perturbative unitarity.
 - Potential is bounded from below.
- The width of h_2 can be very large, comparable to the mass of h_2 S. Dawson, M. Sullivan PRD97 (2018) 015022
 - We demand that h_2 be narrow and fix:

$$\Gamma(h_2)/m_2 = 10\%$$

- Find benchmarks by maximizing the branching ratios of $h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3$ or $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_3$ with the above constraints.
- Production rate of *h*₂:

$$\sigma(pp \to h_2) = \sin^2 \theta_1 \sigma_{SM}(pp \to h_2)$$

• Constraints on θ_1 are the same as the constraints on θ in the real singlet model.

$sin\theta_1$	m_2 (GeV)	$BR(h_2 \to h_1 h_3)$	Parameters
0.22	400	0.966	$δ_2 = 0.962$, $δ_3 = 0.289 - 0.488$ i, $e_1/v = -2.94 + 23$ i, $e_2/v = -9.15 + 4.42$ i
0.22	500	0.938	$\delta_2 = 4.15, \delta_3 = -1.06 + 2.82 \text{ i}, e_1/v = -1.91 + 40.9 \text{ i}, e_2/v = -8.21 - 3.43 \text{ i}$
0.22	600	0.909	$\delta_2 = 5.16, \delta_3 = 2.84 + 3.77 \text{ i}, e_1/v = 2.38 + 44.8 \text{ i}, e_2/v = 6.02 + 7.45 \text{ i}$
0.22	700	0.876	$\delta_2 = 8.07, \delta_3 = 1.78 + 5.04 \text{ i}, e_1/v = 2.24 + 55 \text{ i}, e_2/v = 3.71 + 2.23 \text{ i}$
0.1	400	0.993	$\delta_2 = 6.47, \delta_3 = -2.77 - 3.22 i, e_1/v = 2.41 + 38.8 i, e_2/v = 5.27 - 7.42 i$
0.1	500	0.987	$\delta_2 = 4.02, \delta_3 = 0.125 \cdot 2.14 i, e_1/v = -1.63 + 52.4 i, e_2/v = -5.71 + 6.19 i$
0.1	600	0.981	$\delta_2 = 16.3, \delta_3 = -9.45 + 9 i, e_1/v = 2.39 + 141 i, e_2/v = 1.70 + 7.81 i$
0.1	700	0.974	$\delta_2 = 8.03, \delta_3 = 1.5 + 1.5 \text{ i}, e_1/v = 3.31 + 106 \text{ i}, e_2/v = 8.55 - 9.68 \text{ i}$

Table: Benchmark points in the complex singlet model with large BR $(h_2 \rightarrow h_1h_3)$ for $m_3 = 130$ GeV and $\Gamma_2/m_2 = 0.1$. Other parameters are set to $\theta_2 = 0$, $d_1 = 0.1 + 0.1i$, $d_2 = 0.4$, and $d_3 = 0.1 + 0.1i$.

Complex Singlet-Benchmarks for SS production

$sin\theta_1$	m_2 (GeV)	$\mathrm{BR}(h_2 \to h_3 h_3)$	Parameters
0.22	400	0.966	$δ_2 = 0.42$, $δ_3 = -0.356 - 0.0186$, $e_1/v = -11.5 + 2.63$ i, $e_2/v = -9.71 - 8.05$ i
0.22	500	0.938	$\delta_2 = 11, \delta_3 = -5.76 + 8.87 \text{ i}, e_1/v = -4.63 + 27.2 \text{ i}, e_2/v = 7.47 - 2.35 \text{ i}$
0.22	600	0.909	$\delta_2 = 7.92$, $\delta_3 = 1.49 + 7.57$ i, $e_1/v = 13.5 + 23.8$ i, $e_2/v = 3.75 - 3.81$ i
0.22	700	0.876	$\delta_2 = 7.44, \delta_3 = 0.277 + 5.54 i, e_1/v = -10.5 + 17.6 i, e_2/v = 3.20 - 3.42 i$
0.1	400	0.993	$\delta_2 = 4.4, \delta_3 = -2.39 + 3.05 \text{i}, e_1/v = -10.1 + 21.7 \text{i}, e_2/v = -6.59 - 1.36 \text{i}$
0.1	500	0.987	$\delta_2 = 2.83, \delta_3 = 2.08 \cdot 1.91 \text{ i}, e_1/v = 8.54 \cdot 13.6 \text{ i}, e_2/v = -4.09 + 0.887 \text{ i}$
0.1	600	0.981	$\delta_2 = 12.4$, $\delta_3 = -4.54 - 5.99$ i, $e_1/v = 14.3 - 42.6$ i, $e_2/v = 4.98 + 2.76$ i
0.1	700	0.974	$\delta_2 = 11.9, \delta_3 = -4.71 + 10.3 \text{ i}, e_1/v = -14.2 + 73 \text{ i}, e_2/v = -6.77 - 3.94 \text{ i}$

Table: Benchmark points in the complex singlet model with large BR($h_2 \rightarrow h_3 h_3$) for $m_3 = 130$ GeV and $\Gamma_2/m_2 = 0.1$. Other parameters are set to $\theta_2 = 0$, $d_1 = 0.1 + 0.1 i$, $d_2 = 0.4$, and $d_3 = 0.1 + 0.1 i$.

Thank You