

### New dldt interlock for SPS: planned technical implementation, thresholds and protection scenario

Kevin Li

14. December 2018



### Summary



- Follow-up from the meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> August at the 168th SPS and LHC Machine Protection Panel Meeting (https://indico.cern.ch/event/780348)
- Discussion with BI at the BI-TB on 15<sup>th</sup> November at the BI-TB (https://indico.cern.ch/event/765294):
  - dI/dt interlock
  - BLM software upgrade
  - BPM ALPS upgrade
- Specification document in preparation:
  - SPS-B-ES-0005-00-10 (https://edms.cern.ch/document/2038204/0.2)







# Why?



- Only diagnostics for general fast failures in the ring are our ring BLMs. These have a very low response time of 20 ms.
- For extraction, we also have the extraction BPMs. There is the 30 mm interlock, but not sure whether this is actually still working.





# dl/dt interlock



• Spot fast changes in intensity – could use the BCT(?)

Integration time	Total loss threshold	Average loss rate	Comments
1 ms	3e11 p+	3e11 p+/ms	ppm
10 ms	1e12 p+	1e11 p+/ms	ppm

- Two different integration times with **configurable threshold settings** (ppm).
- Response time **of 1ms**.
- Dedicated input to the BIS and maskable at this level.
- Fire when threshold levels are crossed; simultaneously latch the SIS.
- Problematic with **programmed dumps or fast extraction** can be handled by implementing additional logic on the SIS (interlock is fine but prevent latching).



#### Technical implementation for beam intensity loss interlock







Analogue output proportional to beam intensity

(calibrated mV / 1E10 charges)

DC BCT detector in LSS5



**Courtesy L. Jensen** 





www.cern.ch

# BLM software upgrade



 Reduce response time of BLMs to become practical; implementation of running sums to narrow down thresholds.

Integration time	Adjustable threshold	Veto	Enable/disable
1 tick	Yes (ppm)	1 per device (ppm)	ppm
5 ticks	Yes (ppm)	1 per device (ppm)	ppm
200 ticks	Yes (ppm)	1 per device (ppm)	ppm
500 ticks	Yes (ppm)	1 per device (ppm)	ppm
Full cycle	Yes (ppm)	1 per device (ppm)	ppm

- Response time of 2ms is highly desirable as clearly indicated by the use cases that occurred during this year.
- One veto ppm per running sum and per device → would effectively add a factor 4 to today's number of veto fields.
- One channel **input into the BIS** which will be maskable (signals combined in OR configuration; a veto from any running sum on any device will trigger an interlock)



### **ALPS** interlocks



- Spot excessive excursions from orbit very fast response time at the level of turns.
- Currently for the extraction interlock BPMs, we allow a 0.5 mm RMS window. The extraction beam permit must be given no later than 15 ms before extraction takes place.
- We normally give a time when to measure in the cycle (delay from some event) and the number of turns to measure (typically between 220 and 440 turns). It would be more practical to have this in ms before extraction or in ms after injection. Total measurement time can be up to 20ms.

LHC.BPMSX.A4L6.B1		LHC.BPMSI.A4R6.B1	LHC.BPMSI.A4L6.B2	LHC.BPMSX.A4R6.B2	ALL
User:	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	User
horMinDumpPosition :	-3.6	-3.3	-2.7	-3.8	0
horMaxDumpPosition :	3.4	3.7	4.3	3.2	0
verMinDumpPosition :	-3.7	-3.3	-3.3	-3.6	0
verMaxDumpPosition :	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.4	0
bunchCntWin1:	70	70	70	70	0
bunchCntWin2 :	250	250	250	250	0
turnCntWin1:	100	100	100	100	0
turnCntWin2 :	10	10	10	10	0
	Trim Get	Trim Get	Trim Get	Trim Get	Set All Get All

- For the future system to be effective, a reaction time of the order of 1-2 SPS turns is required. The system should directly dump the beam by providing an input to the SPS Ring Beam Interlock loop. To avoid issues with injection, the position interlock must not be active in a window of a few ms around each SPS injection.
- It must be possible to define the maximum amplitude for the interlock and a simple filter on the data (even if at the expense of the reaction speed).
- The exact BPMs to be equipped are being identified. For the moment we have is one BIC channel in BA1.



# Loss profiles from half-integer

575





### Loss profiles from damper trip

525



