

M. Hoffmann, C. Dreisbach, J. Friedrich, A. Inglessi, E. Kabuss, B. Ketzer, O. Kiselev, E. Maev, S. Paul, S. Uhl, and B. Veit on behalf of the COMPASS collaboration

HISKP, Bonn University

19th September 2019

ECSAC 2019, Veli Lošinj



Introduction

- Proton radius puzzle
- COMPASS
- 2018 test measurement
 - Setup
 - Analysis
 - Simulation
- Summary and Outlook

Introduction

Proton radius puzzle

Proton charge radius:



- Measure four-momentum transfer over a wide range (0.001 GeV² < Q² < 0.04 GeV²)
- Uncertainty of 0.01 fm expected
 - R. Rengelink, PhD thesis, 2018



- Fixed-target experiment
- 190 GeV muon beam

[NIMA 779 (2015) 69]

Setup

2018 test experiment



- Silicon tracking stations are triggered by coincident scintillator signals.
- TPC is self-triggered.
- Different DAQ for silicon tracking stations and TPC.

Christian Dreisbach







- Silicon tracking stations:
 - Detection of extremely small scattering angles
- Time projection chamber:
 - Background due to a wide muon beam
 - Detection of low energetic recoil protons
- Two independent DAQs are used
- \Rightarrow Is it possible to combine the information?
 - How can the setup be improved?
 - Input from real data and simulations is needed.

Data reconstruction

- Reconstruction of tracks in the upstream and downstream trackers (8 planes each) \rightarrow direction of beam and scattered track
- Vertexing $\rightarrow \theta$ and vertex position



Cuts

- $\theta \geq 0.2 \, \mathrm{mrad} \, \left(\mathsf{Q}^2 {=} 1.44 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{GeV}^2 \text{ for } E_\mu {=} 190 \, \mathrm{GeV}
 ight)$
- Radial cut at the position of the downstream TPC beam window and the cathode
- Alignment of silicon trackers with straight tracks
- \Rightarrow z-vertex resolution
 - φ -distribution

Radial cuts

xy-vertices of the scattered beam at the downstream endcap



M. Hoffmann (HISKP)

- Straight tracks are reconstructed.
- The difference of the track at the detector to the detector hit position is called residual.
- The absolute values of the residuals of 100k events per run (few million events) are minimized.
- \Rightarrow Shift of xy-coordinates of the detectors and the angle perpendicular to the beam.

Alignment

SI04V: Reference position: 8071.0 µm



• Global shift of the detector position

Alignment

SI04V: Reference position: 8071.0 µm



- Daily fluctuations
- Correlation with the silicon temperature



SI04V



• Residuals are constant with time after the alignment.

(14/44)

Z-Vertex distribution





• The function is fitted over the whole range for different θ -bins.



- The function is fitted over the whole range for different θ -bins.
- The σ -width of the anode peak defines the resolution.

z-vertex resolution

 σ width of the anode peak



• The run-by-run alignment clearly improves the resolution.

Analysis of silicon data φ -distribution of the recoil proton



- Visible peaks at $0,\pm \pi/2,\pm \pi$
- Origin seems to be the silicon stations



• φ -distribution of events matched with TPC is flat.

,

Analysis of TPC data

Analysis procedure

Trigger:

• One pad has an energy above 200, 300 keV

Analysis from PNPI colleagues:

- Signal amplitudes are measured over $100 \ \mu s$.
- The start and end time is given by the rising and trailing edge.
- The total energy is given by the integral of the signal above the pedestals
- Energy resolution of a single pad is between 22 keV to 36 keV.
- \Rightarrow Pad energies, start time and signal duration



Analysis of TPC data



Uncalibrated energy of pad 7. No pad around pad 7 is hit.

- Electron attachment takes place due to decreasing gas purity.
- Refills of the hydrogen gas are marked.

Calibration

Analysis of TPC data

Calibration

Energy of pad 7



• One peak at the correct energy (5.486 ${\rm MeV})$ after the calibration

Track reconstruction

Analysis of TPC data

- For every ring the centre of gravity is calculated.
- With the centres from every ring, the direction of the proton is fitted.



$\varphi\text{-distribution}$ of the recoil proton

TPC ϕ -distribution of the recoil proton



- The 16 spikes correspond to the broad pads.
- Less events between $2-3 \mbox{ rad } \rightarrow$ enlarged threshold due to the $\alpha\mbox{-source}$

- Two independent detector systems
- Both systems store a time signal and an additional synchronization signal.
 - \Rightarrow A common time signal can be used and compared.
- Validate the correlation:
 - Drift velocity
 - Verify the assumption of a proton
 - Kinetic energy of the recoil proton
 - Direction of the recoil proton

Time difference



• $64 \,\mu s$ time window which is a bit larger than the expected drift time ($60 \,\mu s$).

Time difference



• Cut on the z-position to be between the anode and the cathode (enlarged by $1 \ \sigma$ uncertainty).

•
$$S/N = 762/153$$

Event matching

Time difference



• One of the two central pads in the TPC has to be hit. • S/N = 700/16

Drift velocity



Expected drift velocity: 3.702 mm/us

- The drift time increases with the distance to the anode.
- The measured drift velocity of $(3.99\pm0.18)\,mm\,\mu s^{-1}$ is larger than the estimated value of $3.70\,mm\,\mu s^{-1}.$
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Separate system to measure the drift velocity (low-intensity laser).

Analysis of combined dataEnergy in ring 1 and 2 of the TPC



• Red: Simulation for protons

Alexander Inglessi

Analysis of combined dataEnergy in ring 1 and 2 of the TPC



- Red: Simulation for protons
- Black: Data points

Alexander Inglessi

Analysis of combined dataEnergy in ring 1 and 2 of the TPC



- Red: Simulation for protons
- Black: Data points
- Blue: Data points matched with silicons

Alexander Inglessi

Analysis of combined data Recoil proton energy correlation



Energy comparison with range cut

- Protons that could escape from the active TPC volume are taken out.
- $\bullet\,$ Measured energies are correlated but the slope 0.89 $\pm\,$ 0.04 is smaller than 1.

φ difference

φ difference in rad



- φ can be extracted from both data sets.
- The rotation was not known but determined from the measurement.
- There are less events because three hit rings are required.
- The width of the peak originates from the large TPC pads.

Correlation of proton tracks

Analysis of combined data



- Silicon track (red):
- Interaction vertex
- Recoil proton direction
- Recoil proton energy



Test setup is implemented in Geant4.

Proton radius test measurement

(35/44)

- Creation of primary particles $(\mu, \mu' \text{ and } p')$
- Particle propagation is done within Geant4.
- $\bullet\,$ Silicon hits are smeared with 10.0 $\mu m.$
- Energy depositions in the TPC are summed up to the corresponding pad and smeared with 30 keV.
- \Rightarrow The reconstruction is the same like in real data.

Z-vertex resolution

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ widths of the anode and cathode peaks



- Events are simulated at fixed θ -values
- Real data resolution: $\frac{(4.4 \pm 0.4) \text{ cm}}{\theta/\text{mrad}} + (0.0 \pm 0.4) \text{ cm}$
- The cathode peak has a slightly better resolution

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ widths of the anode and cathode peaks



- An estimate for the θ -uncertainty can be calculated using the z-vertex resolution.
- The actual θ -resolution is rather constant.

 φ -distribution SI

SI $\boldsymbol{\phi}\mbox{-distribution}$ of the recoil proton



- θ is distributed according to the Rosenbluth formula between 0.5 to 5 mrad.
- The distribution is flat.

 φ -distribution TPC

TPC ϕ -distribution of the recoil proton



• 16 spikes visible

φ -difference SI-TPC

φ difference in rad



- $\bullet\,$ Standard deviation Real Data: $(209\pm25)\,mrad$
- $\bullet\,$ Standard deviation Monte Carlo SI-TPC: $(205.1\pm0.7)\,mrad$
- Standard deviation Monte Carlo SI-MC: $(66.99 \pm 0.26) \text{ mrad}$
- Standard deviation Monte Carlo TPC-MC: (189.5 ± 0.6) mrad

Energy correlation SI-TPC

Energy comparison with range cut



- θ is distributed according to the Rosenbluth formula between 0.18 to 5 mrad.
- $\bullet\,$ Correlation is much worse than in real data $\to\,$ has to be understood
- Slope: 1.259 ± 0.008



- Events from both DAQs can be matched by using the timestamp.
- \Rightarrow Additional cuts improve the signal to noise ratio.
- \Rightarrow The extracted energy of both systems is correlated.
- \Rightarrow Tracks measured by the silicon trackers are in good agreement with the measurement of the TPC.
 - The alignment massively improves the resolution of the silicon trackers.
 - The measured z-vertex resolution is in agreement with the simulated values.



Further simulation tasks:

- Understanding the proton energy correlation.
- Beam energy spread should be added.
- Simulation of several muons for beam noise in the TPC during each event.

Possible improvements for the measurement at COMPASS++/AMBER in 2022/2023:

- Temperature stabilization of the silicon trackers.
- Avoid gas impurities to minimize attachment.
- Separate system to measure the drift velocity (low-intensity laser).
- Small pads would be better for a precise direction information but low-noise readout is the first priority.
- \Rightarrow Different pad structures can be simulated and used.

Backup

Silicon trigger





• Two monolithic and one segmented scintillator in coincidence function as a trigger

Christian Dreisbach



• Two monolithic and one segmented scintillator in coincidence function as a trigger

Christian Dreisbach

Christian Dreisbach

A radius of 1.99 cm is chosen for the cut.

xy-vertices of the scattered beam at the cathode

Radial cuts

Radius of the scattered beam at the cathode

A radius of $1.9\ {\rm cm}$ is chosen for the cut.

| $\theta_{\sf min}/{\sf mrad}$ | $	heta_{max}/mrad$ | $\sigma/{ m cm}$ |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Preliminary alignment | | |
| 2.580 | 4.150 | 3.50 ± 0.34 |
| 4.150 | 7.480 | 1.39 ± 0.51 |
| 7.480 | — | 1.12 ± 0.22 |
| Run-by-run alignment | | |
| 0.500 | 0.590 | 8.70 ± 0.12 |
| 0.590 | 0.790 | 4.66 ± 0.41 |
| 0.790 | 1.310 | 3.95 ± 0.43 |
| 1.310 | 3.010 | 1.60 ± 0.07 |
| 3.010 | — | 1.01 ± 0.11 |

TPC tracking principle

$$r(\varphi) = \frac{d}{\cos(\alpha - \varphi)};$$
 and the inverted function $\varphi(r) = \alpha \pm \arccos\left(\frac{d}{r}\right)$

Reconstruction of the anode and cathode peak for $\theta_{MC truth} = 1500 \,\mu rad$

Simulation

φ -difference SI-MC

φ -difference SI, MC truth of the recoil proton

Proton radius test measurement

M. Hoffmann (HISKP)

φ -difference TPC-MC

ϕ -difference TPC, MC truth of the recoil proton

Proton radius test measurement

M. Hoffmann (HISKP)

Energy correlation SI-TPC

Energy comparison with range and $\theta \ge 0.2$ mrad cut

- The slope is much smaller than 1 after the cut on θ .
- Slope starting at $T_{p,TPC} = 0$: 0.482 \pm 0.011
- Slope starting at $T_{\rm p,TPC} = 0.744 \, {\rm MeV}: \, 0.558 \pm 0.013$
- Due to the cut on the scattering angle, the symmetry of uncertainties is broken.