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## The two major facilities in Japan

- KEKB/Belle \& J-PARC
- Complementary: $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$collider vs proton + fixed-target
- High-intensity (luminosity) frontier
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## Part I.

## Baryon spectroscopy at Belle



Aerogel Cherenkov cnt.

- Vs~10.6 GeV
- Integrated Luminosity
$>1 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$
TOF counter

8 GeV e


Central Drift Chamber small cell $+\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$

14/15 lyr. RPC+Fe
Almost $4 \pi$, good momentum resolution ( $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p} \sim 0.1 \%$ ), EM calorimeter, PID \& Si Vertex detector

## PWA for baryons?

- Not very active in Belle $\Leftrightarrow$ c.f. for meson see presentation by D. Greenwald on Thursday.
- PWA more complicated - spin degree of freedom.
- Yet, PWA is eventually necessary to determine J ${ }^{\text {P }}$, and to identify the nature
- A trial on $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765)$, possibility to apply for other baryons.


## $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765)$

## First observation by CLEO

## $\Lambda_{c}(2765)^{+}$ <br> or $\sum_{c}(2765)$

$I\left(J^{P}\right)=?\left(?^{?}\right) \quad$ Status: *


CLEO[PRL86(2001)4479]

- B decay $\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c} \pi \pi$ ( $\Sigma_{c} \pi, \Sigma_{c}{ }^{*} \pi$ included)
- Width~50 MeV (no uncertainty given)


## Known things

- Experimentally - very poor
-I( $J^{P}$ ) not determined yet
- No uncertainty on width from CLEO
- Theoretically - so many
- Quark models: six (or more) states in this mass region $I\left(\mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)=0\left(1 / 2^{-}\right), 0\left(3 / 2^{+}\right), 1\left(1 / 2^{-}\right), 1\left(1 / 2^{-}\right), 1\left(3 / 2^{-}\right), 1\left(3 / 2^{-}\right), \ldots$
- Including other models, any combination of $\mathrm{I}=0$ or $1, \mathrm{~J}=1 / 2$ or $3 / 2$, and $\mathrm{P}=+$ or - seems possible
- Experimental determination of $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ is necessary to identify the nature of $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765)$


## How to determine I(J $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ ?

- Isospin (I): Search for possible isospin partners ( $\left.\Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}(2765)^{++/ 0}\right)$ by $\Sigma_{c}(2765)^{++/ 0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{c}^{++/ 0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}(2765)^{+} \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$


## Reference mode: $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765)^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma_{c} \pi$


(a) Inclusive $\Lambda_{c} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
(b) With $\Sigma_{c}$ selection

- Analyzed with full data of Belle (980 fb ${ }^{-1}$ )
- Clear peaks are observed
- Fit with Breit-Wigner functions to extract yield.

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}(2765)^{++/ 0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{++/ 0} \pi^{0}
$$

[Belle-Conf-1905, ArXiv:1908.06235]


- No peak seen $\rightarrow$ Isospin is not 1 , but 0 .

The name is indeed $\Lambda_{c}$ (2765)

## How to determine I(J $\left.{ }^{P}\right)$ ?

- Spin (J): angular distribution of the decay $\left.\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765) \rightarrow \Sigma_{c}{ }_{c}{ }^{*}\right) \pi \&$ angular correlation of two pions in $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{*} \pi_{1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c} \pi_{1} \pi_{2}$
- Parity (P): Use branching ratio (used for $\Lambda_{c}(2880)$ )

$$
\mathrm{R}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\Lambda_{c}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{c}^{*} \pi\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Lambda_{c}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{c} \pi\right)}
$$

- Isospin (I): Search for possible isospin partners ( $\left.\Sigma_{\mathrm{c}}(2765)^{++/ 0}\right)$ by $\Sigma_{c}(2765)^{++/ 0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{c}^{++/ 0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}(2765)^{+} \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$


## Angular distributions and PWA

- $\Lambda_{c} / \Sigma_{c}(2765) \rightarrow \Sigma_{c}{ }^{(*)} \pi$ : The same method used to determine $\Lambda_{c}$ (2880) spin. ٌㅠㅇ
- $\Sigma_{c}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c} \pi$ : expected angular distribution:
$-1-\cos ^{2} \theta$ for $\left|j_{2}\right|=1 / 2$
$-1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta$ for $\left|\mathrm{j}_{2}\right|=3 / 2$
- We see an evidence that other partial waves than
 $\mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}$ interfere $\rightarrow$ PWA ongoing
- Details \& result coming soon.


GeV


## Recent results on other baryons \& perspective for PWA

## $\Xi_{c}(2930)^{0}$ and $\Xi_{c}(2930)^{+}$

Babar observation is now confirmed by Belle
[EPJC 78, 928 and 78, 252]


- $\Xi_{c}(2930)^{0}: 5.1 \sigma$ significance, $M=2928.9 \pm 3.0_{-12.0}^{+0.9} \mathrm{MeV}$
- $\Xi_{c}(2930)^{+}:>3.5 \sigma$ significance, $M=2942.3 \pm 4.4 \mathrm{MeV}_{18}$


## $\Xi(1620)$ and $\Xi(1690)$

- Search for $\Xi^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$in $\Xi_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}$

- Siginificance:
$25 \sigma$ for $\Xi(1620)$
4.5 $\sigma$ for $\Xi(1690)$
- $\mathrm{M}=1610.4 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{MeV}$, $\Gamma=60.0 \pm 4.8 \mathrm{MeV}$ near the $\Lambda K$ threshold
- Not expected in quark models. Exotic?
- Analog of $\Lambda(1405)$ ?

Two poles in $J^{\mathrm{P}}=1 / 2^{-}$?

## $\Omega^{*}(2012)$

- Very few $\Omega^{*}$ was discovered so far
- Search $\Omega^{*-}$ by $\Xi K$ decay in inclusive $\Upsilon(n S)$ decays


Belle:PRL $121052003^{\text {ME }}$

# A new $\Lambda^{*}$ in $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}$? 



■ 1D projection -- $M\left(p K^{-}\right)$


## Spin-parity — PWA?

- Spin could be determined from angular distribution, if we have enough statistics...
$\rightarrow$ We have to wait for Belle II data
- Parity needs even more (polarization, ...)
- PWA would be necessary to take interference with background into account.
- If a peak is found in S-wave, we also have to consider possibility of a threshold cusp
- Especially for $\Xi(1620)$ (on $\Lambda K$ threshold) and $\Lambda(1665)$ (on $\Lambda \eta$ threshold)
- We are trying fits with Flatte amplitude.


## Part II.

## Baryon spectroscopy at J-PARC



## Nuclear \& Hadron Physics in J-PARC



# Experiments at a glance (not all) 



## Baryon Spectroscopy at J-PARC

- Past
- E19 (Search for pentaquark $\Theta^{+}$)
- In analysis
- E31 (Hyperon Resonances Below $\bar{K} N$ Threshold)
- Near future
- E42 (H-dibaryon Search)
- E45 (N $\pi \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \pi \pi$ )
- E50 (Charmed Baryon)
- E72 (Search for new narrow $\Lambda^{*}$ )


## Baryon Spectroscopy at J-PARC

- Past
- E19 (Search for pentaquark $\Theta^{+}$)
- In analysis
- E31 (Hyperon Resonances Below $\bar{K} N$ Threshold)
- Near future
- E42 (H-dibaryon Search)
- E45 ( $\mathrm{N} \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \pi \pi$ )
- E50 (Charmed Baryon)
- E72 (Search for new narrow $\Lambda^{*}$ )


# J-PARC E45 experiment 

## ~Baryon spectroscopy

by using $p(\pi, 2 \pi)$ reaction~

## Missing resonances

- A lot of states are predicted by QM, but not observed
- Measured by using mainly $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ reactions



## Importance of $\pi \pi \mathrm{N}$ (Width of $N^{*}$ resonances)

Over half of the decay branchig fraction goes into $2 \pi$ channel.


## E45 setup

Measure $(\pi, 2 \pi)$ in large acceptance TPC in dipole magnetic field

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi n, \pi^{0} \pi p \\
& \pi^{+} p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} p, \pi^{+} \pi^{+} n \\
& \pi N \rightarrow K Y(2 \text {-body reaction }) \\
& \pi p \rightarrow K^{0} \Lambda, \\
& \pi^{+} p \rightarrow K^{+} \Sigma^{+} \quad\left(l=3 / 2, \Delta^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2 \text { charged particles + } 1 \text { neutral particle }
$$

$$
\rightarrow \text { missing mass technique }
$$

$\pi^{+-}$beam on liquid-H target ( $p=0.73-2.0 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ $\mathrm{W}=1.5-2.15 \mathrm{GeV}$ )
x100 more statistics than ever


## PWA on $(\pi, 2 \pi)$ reaction

- Model independent PWA - impossible
- Spin observables are not measured
- Double partial-wave expansion is necessary
- Need theory help for model dependent analysis
- Model used for ( $\gamma, 2 \pi$ ) analysis@JLAB may be interesting
- Global analysis with one-pion and two-pion reactions


# J-PARC E72 experiment 

~Search for new $\wedge^{*}$
by using $K-p \rightarrow \wedge \eta$ reaction~

Dalitz plot: $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}{ }_{\text {[PRL117.011801] }}$


■ 1D projection -- $M\left(p K^{-}\right)$


## What's this?

- The peak position is $\sim 1663 \mathrm{MeV}$, near the $\Lambda \eta$ threshold ( 1663.5 MeV )
- Width is $\sim 10 \mathrm{MeV}$, significantly narrower than $\Lambda$, $\Sigma$ resonances in this region
$-\Lambda(1670): 25-50 \mathrm{MeV}$
$-\Sigma(1660): 40-200 \mathrm{MeV}$
$-\Sigma(1670): 40-80 \mathrm{MeV}$
$-\Lambda(1690): \sim 60 \mathrm{MeV}$
- No such narrow states are theoretically predicted in this region - exotic?


## An idea

- 2 independent groups claim there is a new narrow $\Lambda^{*}$ resonance at this energy with $\mathrm{J}=3 / 2$
- Kamano et al. [PRC90.065204, PRC92.025205] $J^{P}=3 / 2^{+}\left(P_{03}\right), M=1671+2-8 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma=10+22-4 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Liu \& Xie [PRC85.038201, PRC86.055202] $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-}\left(D_{03}\right), M=1668.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma=1.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{MeV}$
- The reason is the same
- From $\mathrm{K}^{-} \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$ measurement near the threshold by Crystal Ball collaboration at BNL [PRC64.055205]
- Model independent


## Differential cross sections (1)




## Differential cross sections (2)



- Flat near the threshold
- Expected for J=1/2 (S-wave)
- Concave-up around $p_{K}=734$ $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{Vs}=1669 \mathrm{MeV}$ )
- Flat again for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}>750$ MeV/c ( $V \mathrm{~s}=1677 \mathrm{MeV}$ )
- Concave shape requires $\mathrm{J}=3 / 2$ amplitude $\rightarrow$ reason for a narrow resonance; model independent


## What can it be?

- The experimental data suggest the existence of a new $\Lambda^{*}$ resonance with spin $3 / 2\left(P_{03}\right.$ or $\left.D_{03}\right), \Lambda(1665)$ :
Q: What is the nature of $\Lambda(1665)$, if it really exists?
A: We have few ideas at the moment, aside from that it must be exotic, and thus very interesting.
- It is near the $\Lambda \eta$ threshold, but threshold cusp is unlikely.
- Visible cusp appears only in S wave
- A molecular state in $P$ or $D$ ? Then, where is the $S$ state?
- Cf. X(3872) \& $\Lambda(1405)$ are in S wave.
$\rightarrow$ It may be a new type of exotic state!
- Mixture of a molecular state and a 3-quark state???
- udsss̄ pentaquark???


## J-PARC E72

- Repeat the $\mathrm{Kp} \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$ experiment again with a large acceptance detector, i.e., TPC (HypTPC)
- Confirm angular distribution \& the new resonance
- Determine parity by $\Lambda$ polarization measurement
- Principle
- K beam momentum: 720-770 MeV/c
- Momentum resolution: $1 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ or better
$\rightarrow$ Can identify narrow resonance of $\Gamma=1.5 \mathrm{MeV}$ or cusp
- Detect $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^{-}$, identify $\eta$ by missing mass
- Also take other reactions as well - PWA.
$-K^{-} p \rightarrow K^{-} p, K^{0} n, \pi^{ \pm} \Sigma^{\mp}, \Lambda \pi \pi, \ldots$


## Identify parity

- Angular distribution is the same for $3 / 2^{+}$( P wave) and $3 / 2^{-}$(D wave)
- Again, we need polarization of the final $\Lambda$
- Crystal-Ball data is very poor for polarization
- Support for new resonance is not obtained


## Polarization - Parity in CB data



- Crystal ball data is average of 722-750 MeV/c \& 750-770 MeV/c, not for each momentum.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Meanwhile, calculations are done on the points.



## Identify parity

- Angular distribution is the same for $3 / 2^{+}$( P wave) and $3 / 2^{-}$(D wave)
- Again, we need polarization of the final $\Lambda$
- Crystal-Ball data is very poor for polarization
- Support for new resonance is not obtained
- How we can distinguish P\&D?
- P wave - no node, D wave - node
- We need $\delta p^{\sim} 0.05$ for each momentum/angle bin
$\rightarrow$ Large statistics needed
$\mathrm{x} 16: \delta \mathrm{P} 0.2 \rightarrow 0.05$
$x 10$ : binning $2 \rightarrow 20$
$\rightarrow$ Need ${ }^{\sim} 2$ weeks of beamtime.


## Summary

- Baryon spectroscopy with PWA
- Spin-parity determination
- Belle
$-\Lambda_{c}(2765)$ isospin is determined to be 0 PWA result for spin-parity coming soon
- Many others found: JP? Resonance or cusp?
$\rightarrow$ Need amplitude analysis
- J-PARC
- E45: $\mathrm{N}^{*} / \Delta^{*}$ spectroscopy with $\mathrm{p}(\pi, 2 \pi)$ reaction
- E72: New $\Lambda^{*}$ search by $p\left(K^{-}, \Lambda\right) \eta$ reaction


## Backup

## Baryon production in B factory



Baryons produced via fragmentation

- Charmed baryons - rather direct
- Hyperons - later stage of fragmentation

$B$ is efficiently produced via Y(4s)

Once bottom is produced, it favorably decays into charm.

Huge statistics

## Huge statistics, good quality



## SuperKEKB and Belle II

Upgrade for SuperKEKB and Belle II to achieve 40 x peak $\mathcal{L}$ under 20 x bkgd

- Reduction in the beam size by $1 / 20$ at the IP.
- Doubling the beam currents.
$L=\frac{\gamma_{e \pm}}{2 e r_{e}}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{y}^{*}}{\sigma_{x}^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{I_{e \pm} \xi_{y}^{e \pm}}{\beta_{y}^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{R_{L}}{R_{\xi_{y}}}\right)$

- First turns achieved Feb. 2016
- Beam-background studies ongoing


Goal: x50 more statistics than Belle

## The Belle II detector


(intensity rather than energy frontier; $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$rather than pp )

## Belle II today



Belle II roll-in (April 11)


Global cosmic run (August)

## Luminosity projection

```
BEASTII Phase 1
w/o QCS/Belle II
```

            BEASTII Phase 2
            Collision + partial Belle II
    Physics run


## J-PARC E50: Missing mass spectroscopy by $p\left(\pi^{-}, D^{*-}\right)$

- Analogous to $\mathrm{p}(\pi, \mathrm{K}) \mathrm{Y}$ reaction
- Direct reaction
- possibility to produce resonances not made in fragmentation
- Production cross section gives valuable information
- No bias on decays
$\rightarrow$ Absolute branching ratio can be measured
- Cross Section: $\sigma \sim 1 \mathrm{nb}$
- Intense Beam at J-PARC is indispensable.
$>10^{7} \mathrm{~Hz}$ at $15 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ pions


## High momentum beam line

- High-intensity secondary beam (unseparated)
$-2 \mathrm{msr} \%$, $1.0 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~Hz} @ 15 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \pi$
- High-resolution beam: $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}^{\sim} 0.1 \%$
- Momentum dispersion and eliminate $2^{\text {nd }}$ order aberrations


- Large Acceptance, Multi-Particle
- $K, \pi$ from $D^{0}$ decays
- Soft $\pi$ from $D^{*-}$ decays
- (Decay products from $Y_{c}{ }^{*}$ )
- High Resolution
- High Rate
- SFT/SSD: >10M/spill at K1.8



## Charmed Baryon Spectrometer



Large acceptance $\sim \mathbf{6 0 \%}$ (for $D^{*}$ ), $\Delta p / p \sim 0.2 \%$ at $\sim 5 \mathrm{GeV} / c$

## Expected spectrum: $\sigma_{G S}=1 \mathrm{nb}$

$\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{YC}^{*}\right)^{\sim} 1000$ events/1nb/100 days Better mass resolution: $\sim 10 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ Sensitivity: ~0.1 nb (3б, $\Gamma \sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ )


## Measurement@Belle (II)

- The peak in the $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{pK}^{-}\right)$spectrum in $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK} \pi^{+}$decay is due to the new $\Lambda^{*}$ resonance?
- If yes, key measurements are
- J=3/2 - angular distribution (correlation) between $\pi^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{-}$ $1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta$ for pure $\mathrm{J}=3 / 2$ amplitude flat for pure $\mathrm{J}=1 / 2$ amplitude
- I=0, strongly couples to $\Lambda \eta$ channel $\rightarrow$ Important to see $\Lambda \eta$ channel
- Width
- Parity is also important, but...
- Needs measurement of polarization of $\Lambda$ in the $\Lambda \eta$ channel.
- In principle possible, but needs very high statistics
- Impossible @Belle, difficult even at Belle2


## Yield estimation

- Beam intensity: $30 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{spill}$
- Target: Liq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} 5 \mathrm{~cm}\left(0.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right.$ or $\left.2.1 \times 10^{23} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$
- Reaction rate: 6.3/spill for 1 mb
- Acceptance \& efficiency: 0.3?
$\leftarrow$ need a simulation
- Event rate: $1200 / \mathrm{h}$
$\rightarrow$ 200k events in a week.
Cf. Crystal Ball: 2700 events in total


## HypTPC <br> The common detector for E45 \& E72

## Schematic view



## The Superconducting magnet

- Helmholz type, design maximum field : 1.5 T
- Conduction cooling with 2 GM cryocoolers
- Coil diameter : 1.0m
- Field uniformity : $\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{By}<1 \%$ in the TPC volume to achieve the good momentum resolution


- High rate capability
- GEM ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}+50 \mu \mathrm{~m}+50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
- Gating grid
- Target inside the drift volume through the target holder
- Large acceptance
- Drift field parallel to Bfield
- Good position resolution

Gating grid wires
GEMs
Pad plane

## More info on HypTPC

OOctagonal prism field cage O5768 readout pads

- Inner(10 rows): 2.1-2.7×9 mm²
- Outer(22 rows): $2.3-2.4 \times 12.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

O Gating grid: $\phi 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ space

O Gas: P-10 ( $\mathrm{v}_{\max } \sim 5.3 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ )
O Gain ~ $10^{4}$
O Position resolution < $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$\bigcirc \Delta p / p=1-3 \%$ for $\pi$ and $p$


