



# Strong-interaction physics at the existing CERN pre-accelerator complex

**Gunar Schnell** 

ESPP Update — Open Symposium Granada May 2019

- ID 039 EPIC: Exploiting the Potential of ISOLDE at CERN
- ID 042 The Physics Beyond Colliders Study at CERN (DIRAC++)
- ID 118 MUonE
- ID 143 COMPASS++/AMBER









# Strong-interaction physics at the existing CERN pre-accelerator complex

**Gunar Schnell** 

#### ESPP Update — Open Symposium Granada May 2019

gratefully acknowledging input from

- Gerda Neyens
- Markus Diehl
- the CERN Physics Beyond Collider study group as well as the various proponents









#### **QCD@pre-accelerators**

accelerator and experimental facility, shown as a percentage of the number of protons sent by 3 the PS Booster

## More than 50 years of experience in production of <u>pure radioactive isotopes</u> and beams

UNIQUE worldwide thanks to 1.4 GeV protons on thick targets (20 cm)

- > >1000 isotopes available already (of 3000 known)
- > >70 different elements

Started operation in 1967

Initially used 600 MeV protons from SC

Later 1.0 GeV (and 1.4 GeV) protons from PSB

> >10 different permanent experimental set-ups (and new ones coming!)

#### Since 2001: re-acceleration of RIB's with REX and HIE-ISOLDE (NC and SC Linac)

- ➤ Beams up to 9.5 MeV/nucleon
- $\succ$  Doubled the users community (reactions with RIB's)
- $\succ$  More than 45 experiments for more than 500 users/year (from 43 countries)

#### (>1300 registered ISOLDE users)

a Radioactive Ion Beam (RIB) facility at

**ISOLDE:** approved by CERN council in 1964







## **Example: Emergence of Nuclear Phenomena from QCD**

#### How did visible matter come into being and how does it evolve?



## **Example: Emergence of Nuclear Phenomena from QCD**

#### How did visible matter come into being and how does it evolve?



## The EPIC project @ ISOLDE

## **Exploiting the Potential of ISOLDE at CERN**

1. Take advantage of LHC Injector Upgrades: proton BOOSTER energy and intensity increase

 $\rightarrow$  gain a factor of 2-10 in radioactive beam intensity

#### 2. Install additional target station(s): allow parallel beams

→ double the beam time for increasing amount of users
 (more than 80 accepted experiments, typically 30 new per year coming)

- Install a 'Storage Ring' for short-lived (low-energy) isotopes unique worldwide
   → new opportunities in atomic, nuclear and fundamental (new) physics
- **4.** A new experimental hall (new experiments coming mostly low-energy for searching new symmetries/interactions)
  - MIRACLS (ultrapure beams)
  - **PUMA** (interactions between exotic matter/anti-matter)
  - Set-up/Trap for RaF molecules (eEDM and other symmetry violations)
  - Large superconducting magnet for materials studies



## **QCD @ Physics Beyond Collider**



CERN-PBC-REPORT-2018-008

#### Physics Beyond Colliders QCD Working Group Report

A. Dainese<sup>1</sup>, M. Diehl<sup>2,\*</sup>, P. Di Nezza<sup>3</sup>, J. Friedrich<sup>4</sup>, M. Gaździcki<sup>5,6</sup> G. Graziani<sup>7</sup>,
C. Hadjidakis<sup>8</sup>, J. Jäckel<sup>9</sup>, M. Lamont<sup>10</sup> J. P. Lansberg<sup>8</sup>, A. Magnon<sup>10</sup>, G. Mallot<sup>10</sup>,
F. Martinez Vidal<sup>11</sup>, L. M. Massacrier<sup>8</sup>, L. Nemenov<sup>12</sup>, N. Neri<sup>13</sup>, J. M. Pawlowski<sup>9,\*</sup>,
S. M. Puławski<sup>14</sup>, J. Schacher<sup>15</sup>, G. Schnell<sup>16,\*</sup>, A. Stocchi<sup>17</sup>, G. L. Usai<sup>18</sup>, C. Vallée<sup>19</sup>,
G. Venanzoni<sup>20</sup>

**Abstract:** This report summarises the main findings of the QCD Working Group in the CERN Physics Beyond Colliders Study.

- summary report of QCD studies within the "Physics Beyond Colliders" initiative
- selected results relevant for pre-accelerator complex:
  - COMPASS++ / AMBER
  - DIRAC++
  - MUonE

## Proposals and studies within PBC-QCD

- experiments at SPS and fixed-target installations at LHC
- cover a broad range of topics in QCD
  - ★ parton densities, proton and nuclear structure
  - ★ heavy-ion physics
  - ★ low-energy dynamics
  - **★** measurements for other fields of HEP:  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ , cosmic rays, neutrinos

		Ll	HC FT ga	S	LHC FT	COMPASS++	MUonE	NA61++	NA60++	DIRAC++
	ALICE	LHCb	LHCSpin	AFTER@LHC	crystals					
proton PDFs	×	Х		×						
nuclear PDFs	×	×		×		×				
spin physics	×		×	×		×				
meson PDFs						×				
heavy ion physics	×			×				×	×	
elast. $\mu$ scattering						×	×			
chiral dynamics						×				×
magnet. moments					×					
spectroscopy						×				
measurements for										
cosmic rays and	×	X		×		×		×		
neutrino physics										

**Table 1**. Schematic overview of the physics topics addressed by the studies presented in the QCD working group.

## Proposals and studies within PBC-QCD

- experiments at SPS and fixed-target installations at LHC
- cover a broad range of topics in QCD
  - ★ parton densities, proton and nuclear structure
  - ★ heavy-ion physics
  - ★ low-energy dynamics
  - **★** measurements for other fields of HEP:  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ , cosmic rays, neutrinos

		r J	P. Lan	sberg				🖛 T. G	alatyuk	
		LI	HC FT ga	s	LHC FT	COMPASS++	MUonE	NA61++	NA60++	DIRAC++
	ALICE	LHCb	LHCSpin	AFTER@LHC	crystals					
proton PDFs	×	×		×						
nuclear PDFs	×	×		×		×				
spin physics	×		×	×		×				
meson PDFs						×				
heavy ion physics	×			×				×	×	
elast. $\mu$ scattering						×	×			
chiral dynamics						×				×
magnet. moments					×					
spectroscopy						×				
measurements for										
cosmic rays and	×	Х		×		×		×		
neutrino physics										

**Table 1**. Schematic overview of the physics topics addressed by the studies presented in the QCD working group.

## MUonE

#### anomalous magnetic moment $a_{\mu} = (g-2)_{\mu} / 2$

- motivation:
  - persistent discrepancy
     between measured (g-2)<sub>μ</sub>
     and SM theory
  - ★ upcoming measurements at FNAL and J-PARC will improve (g-2)<sub>µ</sub> precision



## MUonE

#### anomalous magnetic moment $a_{\mu} = (g-2)_{\mu} / 2$

- motivation:
  - persistent discrepancy between measured  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ and SM theory
  - upcoming measurements at FNAL and J-PARC will improve  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  precision
  - two main theory uncertainties: light-by-light (LBL) scattering, and hadronic vacuum pol. (HVP) (aka hadronic leading-order correction - HLO)



aim of MU



**HVP** extraction ecays





µe elastic scattering:

 requires relative accuracy of 10<sup>-5</sup> on cross section for experiment and theory (QED radiative corrections)



Figure 3: Scheme of a basic detector layout: a) modules sequence and b) last module. (*Not on scale*).

## MUonE

• µe elastic scattering:



 requires relative accuracy of 10<sup>-5</sup> on cross section for experiment and theory (QED radiative corrections)



## AMBER (aka COMPASS++)

- a comprehensive physics program suggested to run at the M2 beam line
- includes measurements with
  - conventional muon and hadron beams
  - upgraded RF-separated hadron beams
- spanning several LHC runs
- RF-separated beams would basically eliminate the high-E/high-I muon beam (unique in the world!)
- not all topics to be covered here!

Table 1: Requirements for future programmes at the M2 beam line after 2021. Muon beams are in blue, conventional hadron beams in green, and RF-separated hadron beams in red.

Program	Physics Goals	Beam Energy [GeV]	$\begin{array}{c c} Beam \\ Intensity \\ [s^{-1}] \end{array}$	Trigger Rate [kHz]	Beam Type	Target	Earliest start time, duration	Hardware additions
muon-proton elastic scattering	Precision proton-radius measurement	100	$4 \cdot 10^{6}$	100	$\mu^{\pm}$	high- pressure H2	2022 1 year	active TPC, SciFi trigger, silicon veto,
Hard exclusive reactions	GPD $E$	160	$2 \cdot 10^{7}$	10	$\mu^{\pm}$	$\mathrm{NH}_3^\uparrow$	2022 2 years	recoil silicon, modified polarised target magnet
Input for Dark Matter Search	$\overline{p}$ production cross section	20-280	$5 \cdot 10^{5}$	25	p	LH2, LHe	2022 1 month	liquid helium target
$\overline{p}$ -induced spectroscopy	Heavy quark exotics	12, 20	$5 \cdot 10^{7}$	25	$\overline{p}$	LH2	2022 2 years	target spectrometer: tracking, calorimetry
Drell-Yan	Pion PDFs	190	$7 \cdot 10^7$	25	$\pi^{\pm}$	C/W	2022 1-2 years	
Drell-Yan (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs	~100	10 <sup>8</sup>	25-50	$K^{\pm},  \overline{p}$	$\mathrm{NH}_{3}^{\uparrow},$ $\mathrm{C/W}$	2026 2-3 years	"active absorber", vertex detector
Primakoff (RF)	Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time	~100	$5 \cdot 10^6$	> 10	$K^{-}$	Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1 year	
Prompt Photons (RF)	Meson gluon PDFs	$\geq 100$	$5 \cdot 10^6$	10-100	$K^{\pm}_{\pi^{\pm}}$	LH2, Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1-2 years	hodoscope
K-induced Spectroscopy (RF)	High-precision strange-meson spectrum	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^6$	25	$K^{-}$	LH2	2026 1 year	recoil TOF, forward PID
Vector mesons (RF)	Spin Density Matrix Elements	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	10-100	$K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$	from H to Pb	2026 1 year	

[AMBER, arXiv:1808.00848]

## AMBER (aka COMPASS++)

- a comprehensive physics program suggested to run at the M2 beam line
- includes measurements with
  - conventional muon and hadron beams
  - upgraded RF-separated hadron beams
- spanning several LHC runs
- RF-separated beams would basically eliminate the high-E/high-I muon beam (unique in the world!)
- not all topics to be covered here!

Table 1: Requirements for future programmes at the M2 beam line after 2021. Muon beams are in blue, conventional hadron beams in green, and RF-separated hadron beams in red.

Program	Physics Goals	Beam Energy [GeV]	$\begin{array}{c c} Beam\\ Intensity\\ [s^{-1}] \end{array}$	Trigger Rate [kHz]	Beam Type	Target	Earliest start time, duration	Hardware additions
muon-proton elastic scattering	Precision proton-radius measurement	100	$4 \cdot 10^{6}$	100	$\mu^{\pm}$	high- pressure H2	2022 1 year	active TPC, SciFi trigger, silicon veto,
Hard exclusive reactions	GPD $E$	160	$2 \cdot 10^7$	10	$\mu^{\pm}$	$\mathrm{NH}_3^\uparrow$	2022 2 years	recoil silicon, modified polarised target magnet
Input for Dark Matter Search	$\overline{p}$ production cross section	20-280	$5 \cdot 10^{5}$	25	p	LH2, LHe	2022 1 month	liquid helium target
$\overline{p}$ -induced spectroscopy	Heavy quark exotics	12, 20	$5 \cdot 10^7$	25	$\overline{p}$	LH2	2022 2 years	target spectrometer: tracking, calorimetry
Drell-Yan	Pion PDFs	190	$7 \cdot 10^{7}$	25	$\pi^{\pm}$	C/W	2022 1-2 years	
Drell-Yan (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs	~100	10 <sup>8</sup>	25-50	$K^{\pm},  \overline{p}$	$\mathrm{NH}_{3}^{\uparrow},$ $\mathrm{C/W}$	2026 2-3 years	"active absorber", vertex detector
Primakoff (RF)	Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time	~100	$5 \cdot 10^6$	> 10	$K^{-}$	Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1 year	
Prompt Photons (RF)	Meson gluon PDFs	$\geq 100$	$5 \cdot 10^6$	10-100	$K^{\pm}_{\pi^{\pm}}$	LH2, Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1-2 years	hodoscope
K-induced Spectroscopy (RF)	High-precision strange-meson spectrum	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^6$	25	$K^{-}$	LH2	2026 1 year	recoil TOF, forward PID
Vector mesons (RF)	Spin Density Matrix Elements	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	10-100	$K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$	from H to Pb	2026 1 year	

[AMBER, arXiv:1808.00848]

## AMBER (aka COMPASS++)

- a comprehensive physics program suggested to run at the M2 beam line
- includes measurements with
  - conventional muon and hadron beams
  - upgraded RF-separated hadron beams
- spanning several LHC runs
- RF-separated beams would basically eliminate the high-E/high-I muon beam (unique in the world!)
- not all topics to be covered here!

Table 1: Requirements for future programmes at the M2 beam line after 2021. Muon beams are in blue, conventional hadron beams in green, and RF-separated hadron beams in red.

Program	Physics Goals	Beam Energy [GeV]	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Beam} \\ \text{Intensity} \\ [\text{s}^{-1}] \end{array}$	Trigger Rate [kHz]	Beam Type	Target	Earliest start time, duration	Hardware additions
muon-proton elastic scattering	Precision proton-radius measurement	100	$4 \cdot 10^{6}$	100	$\mu^{\pm}$	high- pressure H2	2022 1 year	active TPC, SciFi trigger, silicon veto,
Hard exclusive reactions	GPD $E$	160	$2 \cdot 10^7$	10	$\mu^{\pm}$	$\mathrm{NH}_3^\uparrow$	2022 2 years	recoil silicon, modified polarised target magnet
Input for Dark Matter Search	$\overline{p}$ production cross section	20-280	$5 \cdot 10^{5}$	25	p	LH2, LHe	2022 1 month	liquid helium target
$\overline{p}$ -induced spectroscopy	Heavy quark exotics	12, 20	$5 \cdot 10^7$	25	$\overline{p}$	LH2	2022 2 years	target spectrometer: tracking, calorimetry
Drell-Yan	Pion PDFs	190	$7 \cdot 10^{7}$	25	$\pi^{\pm}$	C/W	2022 1-2 years	
Drell-Yan (RF)	Kaon PDFs & Nucleon TMDs	~100	$10^{8}$	25-50	$K^{\pm}, \overline{p}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{\uparrow},\\ \mathrm{C/W} \end{array}$	2026 2-3 years	"active absorber", vertex detector
Primakoff (RF)	Kaon polarisa- bility & pion life time	~100	$5 \cdot 10^6$	> 10	$K^{-}$	Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1 year	
Prompt Photons (RF)	Meson gluon PDFs	$\geq 100$	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	10-100	$K^{\pm} \ \pi^{\pm}$	LH2, Ni	non-exclusive 2026 1-2 years	hodoscope
K-induced Spectroscopy (RF)	High-precision strange-meson spectrum	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	25	$K^{-}$	LH2	2026 1 year	recoil TOF, forward PID
Vector mesons (RF)	Spin Density Matrix Elements	50-100	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	10-100	$K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$	from H to Pb	2026 1 year	

[AMBER, arXiv:1808.00848]





## AMBER: proton radius

proton charge radius from spectroscopy or ep scattering

Home | About | News | Features | Community

## Solving the proton-radius puzzle

- H (1S-3S) Fleurbaey et al [PRL H (2S-4P) Beyer et al [Science 3 persistent discrepancies on µd + isotope shift Pohl et al, CREMA co Antognini et al, CREM μp proton charge radius rp Pohl et al, CREMA co μρ H world average 2010 Mohr et al, CODATA determined from spectroscopy Horbatsch, Hessels, F Griffioen, Carlson, Ma (H, muonic H) and ep elastic Lee, Arrington, Hill [P ep scattering only A1 data Lorenz, Hammer, Mei Lorenz Hammer, Meis scattering Bernauer et al, A1 col Higinbotham et al [PF ep scatt. world data -+different fits to ep data yield Sick [Prog Part Nucl ] including A1 widely different rp Lee, Arrington, Hill [P Zhan et al [PLB 705 ( ep scatt. world data Hill, Paz [PRD 82 (20 without A1 Bevonc  $\frac{1}{6}r_p^2 = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}Q^2}\Big|_{Q^2=0} G_E(Q^2)$ Borisyiuk [NPA 843 (2 0.82 0.84 0.86 0.88 0.9 0.92 0.94 r<sub>n</sub> [fm]
- goal: r<sub>p</sub> from high-energy (100 GeV) μp elastic scattering
  - $\star$  advantages over ep scattering:
    - smaller QED radiative corrections as compared to e<sup>-</sup> beam
- very small contamination from magnetic form factor
   QCD@pre-accelerators

#### **AMBER:** proton radius

- demanding measurement: small scattering angle
- form-factor measurement of elastic scattering in 10<sup>-3</sup>...10<sup>-2</sup> GeV<sup>2</sup> Q<sup>2</sup> range



(MAMI-A1 parametrization normalized to dipole form factor)

### **AMBER:** proton radius

- demanding measurement: small scattering angle
- employ high-pressure hydrogen TPC as active target
   use recoil proton for kinematics



- pseudo-data [based on MAMI-A1 parametrization] and various fits
  - **\star** preferred fit of pseudodata gives  $\Delta_{stat} r_p = 0.013$  fm

experimental and fitting uncertainties to be quantified
 QCD@pre-accelerators

## proton radius (world-wide efforts)

#### 🖛 K. Kirch

- MUSE: low-E μ<sup>±</sup> and e<sup>±</sup> scattering to reduce systematics and to compare directly for hints of lepton-flavor violation. Data-taking in 2018-20; goal: sub-% relative precision over a Q<sup>2</sup> range of 0.002 – 0.07 GeV<sup>2</sup> to extract the proton radius to a precision of 0.007 fm.
- PRad at JLab already took the presently lowest Q<sup>2</sup> data in ep elastic scattering. Preliminary results favor a generally lower proton radius of 0.830 ± 0.008<sub>stat</sub> ± 0.018<sub>syst</sub> fm.
   Exploits simultaneous measurement of well-known Møller scattering as reference to reduce systematics.
- @MAMI: use similar approach as AMBER (TPC as an active target), likely in 2020.
   Ongoing are runs with low beam-E and via ISR. Furthermore, MAGIX/MESA9 plans to run from 2021/22 on.
- Very-low Q<sup>2</sup> data to come from ULQ2 and ProRad experiments at Tohoku Low-Energy Electron Linac (Japan) and the PRAE facility in Orsay (France), respectively. ULQ2 (start in 2019?) aims at absolute cross-section measurement with 10<sup>-3</sup> precision to obtain Rosenbluth separated G<sub>E</sub>(Q2) and G<sub>M</sub>(Q<sup>2</sup>) within 0.0003 ≤ Q<sup>2</sup>[GeV<sup>2</sup>] ≤ 0.008, using a 10–60 MeV e<sup>-</sup> beam. ProRad will utilize a 30– 70 MeV e<sup>-</sup> beam and aims at a 0.1% precision on the elastic cross section.

## AMBER: Drell-Yan with $\pi$ beams

- pion plays special role in QCD (Goldstone boson)
- π PDFs very poorly known
- unique opportunity: Drell-Yan with  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+$  beams:
  - separation of sea and valence quarks
  - highly complementary to plans in ep scattering
     (JLab, EIC)





## Synergy with cosmic-ray physics T. Pierog

- Anti-proton production and nuclear fragmentation @PBC-QCD
  - ★ LHCb-FT
  - ★ ALICE-FT
  - ★ NA61++
  - ★ AMBER:
    - M2 p beam [20-280 GeV]
    - anti-proton production in p+p and p+<sup>4</sup>He
    - O(5%) precision for 20x20 bins in, e.g., momentum and pseudo-rapidity



#### **Example for QCD-related limitations in flux calculations**





## Synergy with cosmic-ray physics T. Pierog

**Example for QCD-related limitations in flux calculations** 



#### 21

Long-term prospect: RF-separated beams @ M2 line

- RF-separated kaon beams would allow wide range of unique QCD studies with AMBER
  - ★ kaon spectroscopy
  - \* kaon polarizabilities (Primakov reaction, chiral symmetry breaking)
  - ★ PDFs (Drell-Yan, prompt photons)
- feasibility study for RF-separated beams started in PBC conventional beams working group
  - ★ to be followed up: achievable beam parameters (energy, intensity)
  - ★ what are minimum beam requirements for the different physics?



Figure 26: Panofsky-Schnell method for RF-separated beams. The unwanted particles (red) are stopped by a beam stopper while the wanted particles (green) receive a net deflection by the combination of the RF1 and RF2 dipole RF cavities out of the central axis, which is sufficient to go around the stopper.

#### QCD@pre-accelerators

22

#### AMBER: kaon structure

- Drell-Yan:  $K^- + C \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  (or  $e^+e^-$ ) + X
  - comparing with π<sup>-</sup> + C Drell-Yan: u-quark density in kaon (relative to pion)
  - ★ kaon and pion distributions related by SU(3)<sub>f</sub> symmetry → study of SU(3)<sub>f</sub> breaking (influence of s-quark mass)



kaon gluon distribution through prompt-photon production

#### AMBER: kaon spectroscopy

- strange-meson spectrum remains poorly known
- measurement with high-energy RF-separated K beam
  - clear separation of strangemeson and recoiling systems
  - aim at similar-size data set
     (~20×10<sup>6</sup> events) as collected
     with π beam (example below)



**FIG. 56:** Masses and widths of (a)  $a_J$ -like and (b)  $\pi_J$ -like resonances extracted in this analysis (points). The systematic uncertainties are represented by the boxes. The statistical uncertainties are at least an order of magnitude smaller than the systematic ones and are hence omitted. Different colors encode different resonances.



quark-model prediction [PRD 11, 114029]
 states included in PDG summary tables
 states not included in PDG summary tables



#### **AMBER: chiral dynamics**





1 year with Ni target σ<sub>stat</sub>=0.03×10<sup>-4</sup> fm<sup>3</sup>

 $\begin{array}{l} {}_{X} PT \ prediction \ O(p^{4}): \\ \alpha_{K} + \beta_{K} = 0 \\ \alpha_{K} = \alpha_{\pi} \times \frac{m_{\pi} F_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{K} F_{K}^{2}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{5} \end{array} \approx 0.6 \times 10^{-4} fm^{3} \end{array}$ 

 $\alpha_K + \beta_K = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} fm^3$  $\alpha_K = 2.3 \times 10^{-4} fm^3$ 

## DIRAC++

 $\pi$ K scattering lengths

- πK scattering lengths: benchmark quantities for chiral symmetry breaking in the strange-quark sector
- study of πK atoms at SPS would yield experimental uncertainty comparable to theory uncertainties





- rates at SPS » at PS (DIRAC 2014, 2017)
- beam intensity required needs an underground hall → ECN 3
- challenge: collaboration / time line

## summarizing slides

(timelines, costs, community challenges & opportunities)

## time lines of EPIC projects

[EPIC addendum, id 039]

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
CERN LS2 period								
2 GeV upgrade and beam dumps								
Design and integration								
Construction								
Civil engineering								
installation								
Commissioning								
Phase 3 HIE ISOLDE								
R&D								
Procurement and construction								
Installation								
Commissioing								
New Frontends & HRS								
Design and integration								
procurement and construction								
Civil engimeering								
installation								
commissioning								
ISOLDE Storage Ring								
Design and integration								
Procurement and construction								
Civil engineering								
installation								
commissioning								

Figure 2. A proposed schedule for the EPIC project based on the CERN's long shutdown 3.

## time lines and (possible!) locations of PBC-QCD projects



## collaboration strength / project costs

- AMBER:
  - ★ >270 people (Ph.D. students and higher)
  - ★ ~20M CHF + RF-separated beam
- DIRAC++:
  - ★ an actual collaboration to be formed
  - ★ ~3M CHF for detector
- MUonE:
  - ★ 14 institutes growing
  - ★ ~10M CHF
- EPIC / ISOLDE:
  - ★ >1300 users since 2015 from 43 countries (► backup slides)
  - ★ ~100M CHF total including new target stations & storage ring

## Challenges: MUonE and AMBER rproton measurement @M2

- both measurements
  - ★ are highly demanding, strict precision requirements
  - ★ should be done (soon) in view of worldwide activities
- ongoing discussions on running scenarios
  - requirements on beam and detector setup parallel running possible? or interleaved running?
- in parallel: NA64µ with muon beams (BSM, not QCD) would also run at same beam line to search for dark matter
  - ★ only short running envisaged before LS3, longer running after LS3
- requires coordinated discussions between the projects (ongoing) and input from strategy discussion on urgency / physics impact

## Challenges: other NA projects

- RF-separated beams (AMBER)
  - ★ what are minimum beam requirements for the different physics?
  - ★ larger-scale investment and loss of M2 muon beam
    - will clearly require strategy discussion on physics impact, e.g., long-term need of high-E/high-I muon beam
- DIRAC++
  - due to requirements on beam and detector setup: ECN3 cavern
  - no coexistence with current NA62
     (but possibly w/ potential NA60++ experiment)
  - ★ challenge of collaboration strength, especially if only after LS3

## Conclusions

- AMBER offers wide & worthwhile physics program on hadron structure
  - several unique measurements concerning light-meson structure, spectroscopy and low-energy QCD parameters
  - valuable complementarity in world-wide efforts (r<sub>proton</sub>, p-bar production)
  - ★ feasibility and physics reach of RF-separated beams to be shown
- DIRAC++: challenging (due to location requirement and collaboration strength) but unique project for low-energy QCD
- MUonE: highly complementary and timely measurement of hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to muon g-2
  - ★ precision challenge (e.g. mult. scatt.); coexistence w/ AMBER & NA64µ
- ISOLDE/EPIC: outstanding ion-beam facility with very large user base
  - proposed extensions and unique light-ion (incl. short-lived) storage ring needed and clearly desirable to meet growing beam demands and to widen physics reach and diversify CERN's research scope

backup slides

## cost breakdown and user base of ISOLDE/EPIC

Items	Cost kCHF	FTE	Comments
Beam dumps	9,000	15	Includes civil engineering for the existing beam dumps, 4 beam dumps
and 2 GeV			and bending magnets
Phase 3 HIE-	8,000		Includes beam chopper, 2 low Beta cryo-modules and refurbishing of
ISOLDE			cooling plant
Target stations and HRS	67,000	400	2 new target stations, pre separators , HRS, RFQ Cooler, beam lines, civil engineering, shielding and cooling and ventilation, additional laser laboratory
ISR	17,000	46	Procurement of all ISR equipment and hall extension
Total	101,000		



