Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics Part 1 CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics Vysoké Tatry, 18<sup>th</sup>of September 2019 Peter Forck

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschnung (GSI)

p.forck@gsi.de

Beam Instrumentation: Functionality of devices & basic applications Beam Diagnostics: Usage of devices for complex measurements

Challenging accelerator in the sky: Diagnostics tells were the beam is!



# Diagnostics is the 'sensory organs' for the beam in the real environment.

(Referring to lecture by Volker Ziemann: 'Detecting imperfections to enable corrections')

### **Different demands lead to different installations:**

- Quick, non-destructive measurements leading to a single number or simple plots Used as a check for online information. Reliable technologies have to be used *Example:* Current measurement by transformers
- Complex instruments for severe malfunctions, accelerator commissioning & development The instrumentation might be destructive and complex *Example:* Emittance determination, chromaticity measurement

### General usage of beam instrumentation:

- > Monitoring of beam parameters for operation, beam alignment & accelerator development
- Instruments for automatic, active beam control

*Example:* Closed orbit feedback at synchrotrons using position measurement by BPMs

### Non-invasive ( = 'non-intercepting' or 'non-destructive') methods are preferred:

- $\succ$  The beam is not influenced  $\Rightarrow$  the **same** beam can be measured at several locations
- > The instrument is not destroyed due to high beam power

# **Typical Installation of a Beam Instrument**



# **Typical Installation of a Beam Instrument**





# **Outline of the Lectures**



### The ordering of the subjects is oriented by the beam quantities:

### Part 1 of the lecture on electro-magnetic monitors:

- Current measurement
- Beam position monitors for bunched beams

### Part 2 of the lecture on transverse and longitudinal diagnostics on Thursday:

- Profile measurement
- Transverse emittance measure
- Measurement of longitudinal parameters

### **Lecture on Machine Protection System on Thursday:**

Beam loss detection as one subject

### Instruments could be different for:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Transfer lines with single pass  $\leftrightarrow$  synchrotrons with multi-pass

### **Remark:**

Most instrumentation is installed outside of rf-cavities to prevent for signal disturbance

### The beam current and its time structure the basic quantity of the beam:

- It this the first check of the accelerator functionality
- It has to be determined in an absolute manner
- Important for transmission measurement and to prevent for beam losses.

### **Different devices are used:**

### Transformers: Measurement of the beam's magnetic field

Non-destructive

No dependence on beam type and energy

They have lower detection threshold.

**Faraday cups:** Measurement of the beam's **electrical charges** 



# Magnetic field of the beam and the ideal Transformer







### Simplified electrical circuit of a passively loaded transformer:



A voltages is measured:  $U = R \cdot I_{sec} = R / N \cdot I_{beam} \equiv S \cdot I_{beam}$ 

with **S** sensitivity [V/A], equivalent to transfer function or transfer impedance **Z** 

Equivalent circuit for analysis of sensitivity and bandwidth (without loss resistivity  $R_L$ )



#### *Time domain description:* Droop time: $\tau_{droop} = 1/(2\pi f_{low}) = L/R$ simplified equivalent circuit $\tau_{rise} = 1/(2\pi f_{high}) = 1/RC_s$ (ideal without cables) Rise time: U(t) Rise time: $\tau_{rise} = 1/(2\pi f_{high}) = VL_sC_s$ (with cables) I-source represents **R**<sub>L</sub>: loss resistivity, **R**: for measuring. $\frac{1}{N}I_{\text{beam}}(t)$ ground beam bunch beam current Zt In **Bunch train:** test beam current Bandwidth pulse 1.0 imp. time time peam current 0.8 0.6 output volt. cransfer 0.4 $\tau_{droop} = L/R$ 0.2 0.0 signal time time 0.8 0.1 0.001 output voltage 0.0 0.0 0.0 ▲ 0.1 10 1000 100000 frequency f [MHz] $\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L \cdot C_S}$ -0.2 $2\pi f_{high}$ $2\pi f_{low}$ baseline -0.4 6 8 10 $=1/RC_{s}$ =R/Ltime **Baseline:** $U_{base} \propto 1 - \exp(-t/\tau_{droop})$ positive & negative areas are equal

### **Example for Fast Current Transformer**

For bunch beams e.g. during accel. in a synchrotron typical bandwidth of 2 kHz < f < 1 GHz  $\Leftrightarrow$  10 ns <  $t_{hunch}$  < 1 µs is well suited Example GSI type:

Inner / outer radius	70 / 90 mm
Permeability	$\mu_r \approx 10^5$ for f < 100kHz $\mu_r \propto 1/f$ above
Windings	10
Sensitivity	4 V/A for R = 50 $\Omega$
Droop time $\tau_{droop} = L/R$	0.2 ms
Rise time $\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L_S C_S}$	1 ns
Bandwidth	2 kHz 500 MHz



*Example:* U<sup>73+</sup> from 11 MeV/u ( $\beta$  = 15 %) to 350 MeV/u within 300 ms (displayed every 0.15 ms)



Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry

0,10

0,08

0,06

0,04

0

RMS bunch length  $[\mu s]$ 

### Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics I

5

### **Example for Fast Current Transformer**

For bunch beams e.g. during accel. in a synchrotron typical bandwidth of 2 kHz < f < 1 GHz  $\Leftrightarrow$  10 ns <  $t_{hunch}$  < 1 µs is well suited Example GSI type:

Inner / outer radius	70 / 90 mm
Permeability	$\mu_r \approx 10^5$ for f < 100kHz $\mu_r \propto 1/f$ above
Windings	10
Sensitivity	4 V/A for R = 50 $\Omega$
Droop time $\tau_{droop} = L/R$	0.2 ms
Rise time $\tau_{rise} = \sqrt{L_S C_S}$	1 ns
Bandwidth	2 kHz 500 MHz

<image>

Fast extraction from GSI synchrotron:





### Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics I

### Task of the shield:

- > The image current of the walls have to be bypassed by a gap and a metal housing.
- > This housing uses  $\mu$ -metal and acts as a shield of external B-field (remember:  $I_{beam} = 1 \ \mu A$ ,  $r = 10 \ cm \Rightarrow B_{beam} = 2 \ pT$ , earth field  $B_{earth} = 50 \ \mu T$ )



Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry



# The dc Transformer

How to measure the DC current? The current transformer discussed sees only B-flux *changes*. The DC Current Transformer (DCCT)  $\rightarrow$  look at the magnetic saturation of two torii.





saturation is reached at different times,  $\rightarrow$  net flux

- Net flux: double frequency than modulation
- Feedback: Current fed to compensation winding

for larger sensitivity

**Two magnetic cores:** Must be very similar.

Remark: Same principle used for power suppliers





### *Example*: The DCCT at GSI synchrotron

Torus radii	r <sub>i</sub> = 135 mm r <sub>o</sub> =145 mm
Torus thickness	d = 10 mm
Torus permeability	$\mu_{r} = 10^{5}$
Saturation inductance	$B_{sat} = 0.6 T$
Number of windings	16 for modulation & sensing 12 for feedback
Resolution	I <sup>min</sup> <sub>beam</sub> = 2 μA
Bandwidth	Δf = dc 20 kHz
Rise time constant	τ <sub>rise</sub> = 10 μs
Temperature drift	1.5 μA/ºC





### **Application for dc transformer**:

 $\Rightarrow$  Observation of beam behavior with typ. 20  $\mu s$  time resolution  $\rightarrow$  the basic operation tool

Example: The DCCT at GSI synchrotron

U<sup>73+</sup> accelerated from

11. 4 MeV/u ( $\beta$  = 15.5%) to 750 MeV/u ( $\beta$  = 84 %)



### **Important parameter:**

> Detection threshold:  $\approx 1 \ \mu A$ 

(= resolution)

- > Bandwidth:  $\Delta f$  = dc to 20 kHz
- Rise-time: t<sub>rise</sub> = 20 μs
- ➤ Temperature drift: 1.5 µA/<sup>0</sup>C
  - $\Rightarrow$  compensation required.





### **Transformers:** Measurement of the beam's magnetic field

- Non-destructive
- No dependence on beam type and energy
- They have lower detection threshold.

### **Faraday cups:** Measurement of the beam's **electrical charges**

- They are destructive
- For low energies only
- Low currents can be determined.

# Bethe-Bloch formula: $-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \left( \cdot \frac{Z_t}{A_t} \rho_t \left( \frac{Z_p}{Z_p} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 \cdot W_{max}}{I^2} \right) \right)$ (simplest formulation)

**Energy Loss of Protons & Ions** 

# Semi-classical approach:

Projectiles of mass M collide

with free electrons of mass *m* 

- If M >> m then the relative energy transfer is low
- $\Rightarrow$  many collisions required many elections participate

proportional to target electron density  $n_e = \frac{Z_t}{A_t} \rho_t$ 

- $\Rightarrow$  low straggling for the heavy projectile i.e. 'straight trajectory'
- $\succ$  If projectile velocity  $\beta \approx 1$  low relative energy change of projectile ( $\gamma$  is Lorentz factor)
- I is mean ionization potential including kinematic corrections  $I \approx Z_t \cdot 10 \text{ eV}$  for most metals
- Strong dependence an projectile charge Z<sub>p</sub>

Constants:  $N_A$  Advogadro number,  $r_e$  classical e<sup>-</sup> radius,  $m_e$  electron mass, c velocity of light

Maximum energy transfer from projectile **M** to electron  $m_e$ :  $W_{max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma m_e/M + (m_e/M)^2}$ 

beam



# **Energy Loss of Protons & Ions in Copper**



Bethe-Bloch formula:  $-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \cdot \frac{Z_t}{A_t} \rho_t \cdot Z_p^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 \cdot W_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 \right)$  (simplest formulation)

Range:

$$R = \int_{0}^{E_{\text{max}}} \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{-1} dE$$

with approx. scaling  $R \propto E_{max}^{1.75}$ 

Numerical calculation for **ions** with semi-empirical model e.g. SRIM Main modification  $Z_p \rightarrow Z^{eff}{}_p(E_{kin})$  $\Rightarrow$  Cups only for

*E*<sub>*kin*</sub> < 100 MeV/u due to *R* < 10 mm



# Secondary Electron Emission caused by Ion Impact



Energy loss of ions in metals close to a surface:

Closed collision with large energy transfer:  $\rightarrow$  fast e<sup>-</sup> with  $E_{kin}$  > 100 eV

Distant collision with low energy transfer  $\rightarrow$  slow e<sup>-</sup> with  $E_{kin} \leq 10 \text{ eV}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  'diffusion' & scattering with other e<sup>-</sup>: scattering length  $L_s \approx 1$  10 nm
- $\rightarrow$  at surface  $\approx$  90 % probability for escape

Secondary electron yield and energy distribution comparable for all metals!

 $\Rightarrow$  **Y** = const. \* dE/dx (Sternglass formula)



Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry

Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics I



The beam particles are collected inside a metal cup  $\Rightarrow$  The beam's charge are recorded as a function of time.



### Currents down to 10 pA with bandwidth of 100 Hz!

To prevent for secondary electrons leaving the cup Magnetic field:

The central field is  ${\it B} pprox$  10 mT  $\Rightarrow$   $r_c = \frac{mB}{e} \cdot v_\perp pprox$  1 mm .

or Electric field: Potential barrier at the cup entrance  $\boldsymbol{U} \approx 1$  kV.

The cup is moved in the beam pass  $\rightarrow$  destructive device



# **Realization of a Faraday Cup at GSI LINAC**



### The Cup is moved into the beam pass.





### *Transformer:* → measurement of the beam's magnetic field

> Magnetic field is guided by a high  $\mu$  toroid

**≻ Types:** FCT → large bandwidth,  $I_{min}$  ≈ 30 µA, BW = 10 kHz ... 500 MHz

[ACT :  $I_{min} \approx 0.3 \ \mu$ A, BW = 10 Hz .... 1 MHz, used at proton LINACs ]

DCCT: two toroids + modulation,  $I_{min} \approx 1 \mu A$ , BW = dc ... 20 kHz

non-destructive, used for all beams

*Faraday cup:* → measurement of beam's charge,

Iow threshold by I/U-converter: I<sub>beam</sub> > 10 pA

totally destructive, used for low energy beams only

Fast Transformer FCT Active transformer ACT







23

# **Outline:**

- $\succ$  Signal generation  $\rightarrow$  transfer impedance
- Capacitive button BPM for high frequencies
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
- Electronics for position evaluation
- > BPMs for measurement
- Summary
- A Beam Position Monitor is an non-destructive device for bunched beams
- It delivers information about the transverse center of the beam:
- > Trajectory: Position of an individual bunch within a transfer line or synchrotron
- > Closed orbit: Central orbit averaged over a period much longer than a betatron oscillation
- > Single bunch position: Determination of parameters like tune, chromaticity,  $\beta$ -function

Remarks: - BPMs have a low cut-off frequency ⇔ dc-beam behavior can't be monitored - The abbreviation **BPM** and pick-up **PU** are synonyms





# Time domain: Recording of a voltage as a function of time:



**Instrument:** 

Oscilloscope

Mathematics → Fourier Transformation:

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \cdot e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

see lecture by Hermann Schmickler

Frequency domain: Displaying of a voltage as a function of frequency:



### **Fourier Transformation:**

- Contains amplitude & phase
- The same information is differently displayed

**Law of Convolution:** For a convolution in time:  $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(\tau) \cdot f_2(t-\tau) d\tau$ 

 $\Rightarrow \hat{f}(\omega) = \hat{f}_1(\omega) \cdot \hat{f}_2(\omega) \Leftrightarrow \text{convolution be expressed as multiplication of FTs}$ 



The image current at the beam pipe is monitored on a high frequency basis i.e. the ac-part given by the bunched beam.



Beam Position Monitor **BPM** is the most frequently used instrument!

For relativistic velocities, the electric field is transversal:

$$E_{\perp,lab}(t) = \gamma \cdot E_{\perp,rest}(t')$$

# **Principle of Signal Generation of a BPMs, centered Beam**





# **Model for Signal Treatment of capacitive BPMs**





At a resistor  $\boldsymbol{R}$  the voltage  $\boldsymbol{U}_{im}$  from the image current is measured.

Goal: Connection from beam current to signal strength by transfer impedance  $Z_t(\omega)$ 

in frequency domain:  $U_{im}(\omega) = R \cdot I_{im}(\omega) = Z_t(\omega) \cdot I_{beam}(\omega)$ 



# **Example of Transfer Impedance for Proton Synchrotron**



# The high-pass characteristic for typical synchrotron BPM:



Large signal strength for long bunches  $\rightarrow$  high impedance Smooth signal transmission important for short bunches  $\rightarrow$  50  $\Omega$ Remark: For  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  it is  $Z_t \rightarrow 0$  i.e. no signal is transferred from dc-beams e.g.

de-bunched beam inside a synchrotron

➢ for slow extraction through a transfer line

# **Calculation of Signal Shape (here single Bunch)**



### The transfer impedance is used in frequency domain! The following is performed:



Remark: Time domain processing via convolution or filters (FIR and IIR) are possible

Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry

# **Calculation of Signal Shape: repetitive Bunch in a Synchrotron**



# Synchrotron filled with 8 bunches accelerated with $f_{acc}$ =1 MHz

BPM terminated with **R**= 1 M $\Omega \Rightarrow f_{acc} >> f_{cut}$ :



Parameter:  $R = 1 \text{ M}\Omega \Rightarrow f_{cut} = 2 \text{ kHz}, Z_t = 5 \Omega$ , all buckets filled

C=100pF, /=10cm,  $\beta$ =50%,  $\sigma_t$ =100 ns  $\Rightarrow \sigma_l$ =15m

> Fourier spectrum is composed of lines separated by acceleration  $f_{rf}$ 

- Envelope given by single bunch Fourier transformation
- Baseline shift due to ac-coupling

**Remark:** 1 MHz<  $f_{rf}$  <10MHz  $\Rightarrow$  Bandwidth  $\approx$ 100MHz=10 \*  $f_{rf}$  for broadband observation

# **Calculation of Signal Shape: repetitive Bunch in a Synchrotron**



# Synchrotron filled with 8 bunches accelerated with $f_{acc}$ = 1 MHz

BPM terminated with **R**=50  $\Omega \Rightarrow f_{acc} << f_{cut}$ :



C=100pF, /=10cm,  $\beta$ =50%,  $\sigma_t$ =100 ns  $\Rightarrow \sigma_l$ =15m

- Fourier spectrum is concentrated at acceleration harmonics with single bunch spectrum as an envelope.
- > Bandwidth up to typically  $10^* f_{acc}$



### Synchrotron during filling: Empty buckets, R=50 $\Omega$ :



Fourier spectrum is more complex, harmonics are broader due to sidebands





> Other filter types more appropriate

$$|H_{low}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\omega/\omega_{cut})^{2n}}} \text{ and } |H_{high}| = \frac{(\omega/\omega_{cut})^n}{\sqrt{1 + (\omega/\omega_{cut})^{2n}}}$$
$$H_{filter} = H_{high} \cdot H_{low}$$

**Generally:** 
$$Z_{tot}(\omega) = H_{cable}(\omega) \cdot H_{filter}(\omega) \cdot H_{amp}(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot Z_t(\omega)$$

Remark: For numerical calculations, time domain filters (FIR and IIR) are more appropriate

# **Principle of Signal Generation of a BPMs: off-center Beam**



The image current at the wall is monitored on a high frequency basis i.e. ac-part given by the bunched beam. V Animation by Rhodri Jones (CERN) 35 Beam Instrumentation & Diagnostics I Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry



# The difference voltage between plates gives the beam's center-of-mass $\rightarrow$ **most frequent application**

'Proximity' effect leads to different voltages at the plates:



 $S(\omega,x)$  is called **position sensitivity**, sometimes the inverse is used  $k(\omega,x)=1/S(\omega,x)$ **s** is a geometry dependent, non-linear function, which have to be optimized Units: **s**=[%/mm] and sometimes **s**=[dB/mm] or **k**=[mm].

### **Typical desired position resolution:** $\Delta x \approx 0.3 \dots 0.1 \cdot \sigma_x$ of beam width






# **Outline:**

- $\succ$  Signal generation  $\rightarrow$  transfer impedance
- Capacitive button BPM for high frequencies

used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators

- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
- Electronics for position evaluation
- > BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- Summary

2-dim Model for a Button BPM

#### 'Proximity effect': larger signal for closer plate а **Ideal 2-dim model:** Cylindrical pipe $\rightarrow$ image current density via 'image charge method' for 'pencil' beam: button α. $j_{im}(\phi) = \frac{I_{beam}}{2\pi a} \cdot \left(\frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cdot \cos(\phi - \theta)}\right)$ Image current: Integration of finite BPM size: $I_{im} = a \cdot \int_{-\alpha/2}^{\alpha/2} j_{im}(\phi) d\phi$ 1.0 1.5 $a=25mm, \theta=0^{\circ}, \alpha=30^{\circ}$ aperture a=25 mm, $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ 1.0 – ∆U r=2mm 0.8 current line density $\frac{\overline{\Delta U}}{\sum U}$ $\frac{\overline{\Delta U}}{\log(U_{right}}/U_{left})$ 10 mm0.5 0.6 =15mm Signal 0.0 0.4 -0.5 $\Delta U = U_{right} - U_{left}$ 0.2 -1.00.0 -1.590 180 -180-900 -2020 -100 10 ∅ [degree] real beam position [mm]



# **Button BPM Realization**



#### LINACs, e<sup>-</sup>-synchrotrons: 100 MHz < $f_{rf}$ < 3 GHz $\rightarrow$ bunch length $\approx$ BPM length

 $\rightarrow$  50  $\Omega$  signal path to prevent reflections



# **Button BPM at Synchrotron Light Sources**



Due to synchrotron radiation, the button insulation might be destroyed  $\Rightarrow$  buttons only in vertical plane possible  $\Rightarrow$  increased non-linearity



# **Simulations for Button BPM at Synchrotron Light Sources**



*Example:* Simulation for ALBA light source for 72 x 28 mm<sup>2</sup> chamber **Optimization:** horizontal distance and size of buttons from A.A. Nosych et al., IBIC'14 20 y = 20 mmbutton 1 button 2 10 = 10 mm 10 20 mm ⊽Ľ 0 mm 5 x<sub>bpm</sub> [mm] v = 10 mmy [mm] 0 mn 0 S\_(center) = 7.8 %/mm 1 mm steps for |x|<5mm & y=0mm -5 -10 "v **S**<sub>v</sub>(center) = 7.2 %/mm -10 for |y| < 5mm & x=0mm button 3 button 4 -20 -10 20 -20 0 10 -30 -20 -10 0 position x [mm] real beam position x [mm] 20 18 mm button 2 button 1 button 1 button 2 10 y [mm] 1 mm  $\emptyset$  7 mm 0 steps -10 28 mm button 3 button 4 -20 button 3 72 button 4 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 0 position x [mm]

**Result**: non-linearity and *xy*-coupling occur in dependence of button size and position

# GSI

# **Outline:**

- $\succ$  Signal generation  $\rightarrow$  transfer impedance
- Capacitive button BPM for high frequencies used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- > Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies
  - used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation
- > BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- > Summary



### Frequency range: 1 MHz < $f_{rf}$ < 100 MHz $\Rightarrow$ bunch-length >> BPM length.



# **Technical Realization of a linear-cut BPM**



Technical realization at HIT synchrotron of 46 m length for 7 MeV/u $\rightarrow$  440 MeV/u BPM clearance: 180x70 mm<sup>2</sup>, standard beam pipe diameter: 200 mm.





# **Technical Realization of a linear-cut BPM**



Technical realization at HIT synchrotron of 46 m length for 7 MeV/u $\rightarrow$  440 MeV/u BPM clearance: 180x70 mm<sup>2</sup>, standard beam pipe diameter: 200 mm.



# **Comparison linear-cut and Button BPM**



	Linear-cut BPM	Button BPM		
Precaution	Bunches longer than BPM	Bunch length comparable to BPM		
BPM length (typical)	10 to 20 cm length per plane	$\varnothing$ 1 to 5 cm per button		
Shape	Rectangular or cut cylinder	Orthogonal or planar orientation		
Bandwidth (typical)	0.1 to 100 MHz	100 MHz to 5 GHz		
Coupling	1 MΩ or ≈1 kΩ (transformer)	50 Ω		
Cutoff frequency (typical)	0.01 10 MHz ( <i>C</i> =30100pF)	0.3 1 GHz ( <i>C</i> =210pF)		
Linearity	Very good, no x-y coupling	Non-linear, x-y coupling		
Sensitivity	Good, care: plate cross talk	Good, care: signal matching		
Usage	At proton synchrotrons,	All electron acc., proton Linacs, $f_{rf}$		
	f <sub>rf</sub> < 10 MHz vertical horizontal	> 100 MHz		

**Remark:** Other types are also some time used: e.g. wall current monitors, inductive antenna, BPMs with external resonator, cavity BPM, slotted wave-guides for stochastic cooling etc.

Peter Forck, CAS 2019, Vysoké Tatry

# **Outline:**

- $\succ$  Signal generation  $\rightarrow$  transfer impedance
- Capacitive button BPM for high frequencies used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation
  - analog signal conditioning to achieve small signal processing
- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions
- > Summary

# **Broadband Signal Processing**





Hybrid or transformer close to beam pipe for analog ΔU & ΣU generation or U<sub>left</sub> & U<sub>right</sub>

- Attenuator/amplifier
- Filter to get the wanted harmonics and to suppress stray signals
- ightarrow ADC: digitalization ightarrow followed by calculation of of  $\Delta U$  / $\Sigma U$

Advantage: Bunch-by-bunch observation possible, versatile post-processing possible

**Disadvantage:** Resolution down to  $\approx$  100  $\mu$ m for shoe box type , i.e.  $\approx$ 0.1% of aperture,

resolution is worse than narrowband processing, see below

**Challenge**: Precise analog electronics with very low drift of amplification etc.

# **General: Noise Consideration**

- 1. Signal voltage given by:  $U_{im}(f) = Z_t(f) \cdot I_{beam}(f)$
- 2. Position information from voltage difference:  $x = 1/S \cdot \Delta U / \Sigma U$
- 3. Thermal noise voltage given by:  $U_{noise}(R, \Delta f) = \sqrt{4k_B \cdot T \cdot R \cdot \Delta f}$

# Signal-to-noise $\Delta U_{im}/U_{noise}$ is influenced by:

- Input signal amplitude
- Thermal noise from amplifiers etc.
- ➤ Bandwidth Δf
- ⇒ Restriction of frequency width as the power is concentrated at harm. *nf<sub>rf</sub>*



# Narrowband Processing for improved Signal-to-Noise



acc. frequency + offset

Narrowband processing equals heterodyne receiver (e.g. AM-radio or spectrum analyzer)

- > Attenuator/amplifier
- > Mixing with accelerating frequency  $f_{rf} \Rightarrow$  signal with difference frequency
- Bandpass filter of the mixed signal (e.g at 10.7 MHz)
- Rectifier: synchronous detector
- > ADC: digitalization  $\rightarrow$  followed calculation of  $\Delta U/\Sigma U$

Advantage: Spatial resolution about 100 time better than broadband processing **Disadvantage:** No turn-by-turn diagnosis, due to mixing = 'long averaging time'

Digital

correspondence:

I/Q demodulation

# **Comparison: Filtered Signal ↔ Single Turn**







- Position resolution < 30 μm</li>
  (BPM diameter d=180 mm)
- > average over 1000 turns corresponding to ≈1 ms or ≈1 kHz bandwidth

Turn-by-turn data have much larger variation

*However:* not only noise contributes but additionally **beam movement** by betatron oscillation  $\Rightarrow$  broadband processing i.e. turn-by-turn readout for tune determination.

#### Modern instrumentation uses **digital** techniques with extended functionality.



#### Digital receiver as modern successor of super heterodyne receiver

- > Basic functionality is preserved but implementation is very different
- Digital transition just after the amplifier & filter or mixing unit
- Signal conditioning (filter, decimation, averaging) on FPGA

Advantage of DSP: Versatile operation, flexible adoption without hardware modification Disadvantage of DSP: non, good engineering skill requires for development, expensive



Туре	Usage	Precaution	Advantage	Disadvantage
Broadband	p-sychr.	Long bunches	Bunch structure signal Post-processing possible Required for transfer lines with few bunches	Resolution limited by noise
Narrowband	all synchr.	Stable beams >100 rf-periods	High resolution	No turn-by-turn Complex electronics
Digital Signal Processing	all	ADC sample typ. 250 MS/s	Very flexible & versatile High resolution Trendsetting technology for future demands	Basically non! Limited time resolution by ADC → under-sampling Man-power intensive

# **Outline:**

- $\succ$  Signal generation  $\rightarrow$  transfer impedance
- Capacitive button BPM for high frequencies used at most proton LINACs and electron accelerators
- Capacitive *linear-cut* BPM for low frequencies used at most proton synchrotrons due to linear position reading
- Electronics for position evaluation

analog signal conditioning to achieve small signal processing

- BPMs for measurement of closed orbit, tune and further lattice functions frequent application of BPMs
- Summary

# Trajectory:

The position delivered by an **individual bunch** within a transfer line or a synchrotron. Main task: Control of matching (center and angle), first-turn diagnostics **Example:** LHC injection 10/09/08 i.e. first day of operation !



From R. Jones (CERN)

Tune values:  $Q_h$  = 64.3,  $Q_v$  = 59.3



#### Single bunch position averaged over 1000 bunches $\rightarrow$ closed orbit with ms time steps. It differs from ideal orbit by misalignments of the beam or components.

Example: GSI-synchrotron at two BPM locations, 1000 turn average during acceleration:



#### **Closed orbit:**

Beam position averaged over many turns (i.e. betatron oscillations). The result is the basic tool for alignment & stabilization

# **Closed Orbit Feedback:** Typical Noise Sources





From M. Böge, PSI, N. Hubert, Soleil

# **Close Orbit Feedback: BPMs and magnetic Corrector Hardware**



# **Orbit feedback:** Synchrotron light source $\rightarrow$ spatial stability of light beam



**Corrected orbit:** typ.  $\langle x \rangle_{rms} \approx 1 \ \mu m$  up to  $\approx 100 \ Hz$  bandwidth!

# **Tune Measurement: General Considerations**



Coherent excitations are required for the detection by a BPM Beam particle's *in-coherent* motion  $\Rightarrow$  center-of-mass stays constant Excitation of **all** particles by rf  $\Rightarrow$  *coherent* motion



# **Tune Measurement: The Kick-Method in Time Domain**





Decay is caused by de-phasing, **not** by decreasing single particle amplitude.

200

.5

10

# Tune Measurement: Gentle Excitation with Wideband Noise



# Instead of a sine wave, noise with adequate bandwidth can be applied

 $\rightarrow$  beam picks out its resonance frequency:

- Broadband excitation with white noise of ~ 10 kHz bandwidth
- Turn-by-turn position measurement
- Fourier transformation of the recorded data
- $\Rightarrow$  Continues monitoring with low disturbance vertical tune at fixed time  $\approx$  15ms



#### Advantage:

Fast scan with good time resolution

U. Rauch et al., DIPAC 2009

**Example:** Vertical tune within 4096 turn duration  $\simeq 15$  ms at GSI synchrotron  $11 \rightarrow 300$  MeV/u in 0.7 s vertical tune versus time



# **Chromaticity Measurement from Closed Orbit Data**

**Chromaticity**  $\xi$ **:** Change of tune for off-momentum particle  $\frac{\Delta Q}{Q} = \xi \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p}$ Two step measurement procedure:

- 1. Change of momentum **p** by detuned rf-frequency
- Excitation of coherent betatron oscillations and tune measurement (kick-method, BTF, noise excitation):

Plot of  $\Delta Q/Q$  as a function of  $\Delta p/p$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  slope is dispersion  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ .

From M Minty, F. Zimmermann, Measurement and Control of charged Particle Beam, Springer Verlag 2003

momentum shift  $\Delta p/p$  [%] 0.2 0.1 0 -0.1 -0.2 o<sup>≭</sup> .286 tune .284 .282 fractional .280 .278 .276 -150 - 100 - 5050 100 150 0 shift  $\Delta f_{rf}$  [Hz] frequency

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \eta^{-1} \cdot \frac{\Delta f_{acc}}{f_{acc}}$$

Example: Measurement at LEP:







### Excitation of **coherent** betatron oscillations:

 $\rightarrow$  Time-dependent position reading results the phase advance between BPMs

The phase advance is:

$$\Delta \mu = \mu_i - \mu_0$$

 $\pmb{\beta}\text{-function from}$ 

$$\Delta \mu = \int_{S0}^{Si} \frac{ds}{\beta(s)}$$



# 'Beta-beating' from Bunch-by-Bunch BPM Data



*Example:* 'Beta-beating' at BPM  $\Delta\beta = \beta_{meas} - \beta_{model}$  with measured  $\beta_{meas}$  & calculated  $\beta_{model}$  for each BPM at BNL for RHIC (proton-proton or ions circular collider with 3.8 km length)

#### **Result concerning 'beta-beating':**

- Model doesn't fit reality completely e.g. caused by misalignments
- Corrections executed
- Increase of the luminosity

#### Remark:

Measurement accuracy depends on

- BPM accuracy
- Numerical evaluation method



#### **Remark:** Determination of $\beta$ -function with 3 BPMs:

 $\beta_{meas}(BPM_1) = \beta_{model}(BPM_1) \cdot \frac{\cot[\mu_{meas}(1 \rightarrow 2)] - \cot[(\mu_{meas}(1 \rightarrow 3)]]}{\cot[\mu_{model}(1 \rightarrow 2)] - \cot[\mu_{model}(1 \rightarrow 3)]}$ See e.g.: R. Tomas et al., Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **20**, 054801 (2017) From X. Shen et al., A. Wegscheider et al., Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **20**, 111002 (2017) Phys. Rev. Acc. Beams **16**, 111001 (2013)

# **Dispersion Measurement from Closed Orbit Data**



**Dispersion D(s**;): Change of momentum **p** by detuned rf-cavity

- $\rightarrow$  Position reading at one location  $x_i = D(s_i) \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{n}$ :
- $\rightarrow$  Result from plot of  $x_i$  as a function of  $\Delta p/p \Rightarrow$  slope is local dispersion  $D(s_i)$

Theory-experiment correspondence after correction of

**BPM** calibration  $\geq$ 

at BPMs at CERN SPS

quadrupole calibration



From J. Wenninger: CAS on BD, CERN-2009-005 & J. Wenninger CERN-AB-2004-009



The electric field is monitored for bunched beams using rf-technologies ('frequency domain'). Beside transformers they are the most often used instruments! Differentiated or proportional signal: rf-bandwidth  $\leftrightarrow$  beam parameters Proton synchrotron: 1 to 100 MHz, mostly 1 M $\Omega \rightarrow$  proportional shape LINAC, e<sup>-</sup>-synchrotron: 0.1 to 3 GHz, 50  $\Omega \rightarrow$  differentiated shape Important quantity: transfer impedance  $Z_t(\omega, \beta)$ . Types of capacitive pick-ups:

Linear-cut (p-synch.), button (p-LINAC, e<sup>-</sup>-LINAC and synch.)

**Position reading:** difference signal of two or four pick-up plates (BPM):

Non-intercepting reading of center-of-mass → online measurement and control *Synchrotron: Fast* reading, *'bunch-by-bunch'*→ trajectory, *slow reading* → closed orbit
 *Synchrotron:* Excitation of *coherent* betatron oscillations ⇒ tune *q*, *ξ*, β(s), D(s)...
 Remark: BPMs have high pass characteristic ⇒ no signal for dc-beams

# Thank you for your attention!



# **Backup slides**



- For short bunches, the *capacitive* button deforms the signal
- ightarrow Relativistic beam  $oldsymbol{eta} pprox oldsymbol{1} \Rightarrow$  field of bunches nearly TEM wave
- $\rightarrow$  Bunch's electro-magnetic field induces a **traveling pulse** at the strips

 $\rightarrow$  Assumption: Bunch shorter than BPM,  $Z_{strip} = R_1 = R_2 = 50 \Omega$  and  $v_{beam} = c_{strip}$ 



From C. Boccard, CERN



For relativistic beam with  $\beta \approx 1$  and short bunches:

→ Bunch's electro-magnetic field induces a **traveling pulse** at the strip

 $\rightarrow$  **Assumption:**  $I_{bunch} \ll I$ ,  $Z_{strip} = R_1 = R_2 = 50 \Omega$  and  $v_{beam} = c_{strip}$ **Signal treatment at upstream port 1:** 

**t=0:** Beam induced charges at **port 1**:  $\rightarrow$  half to  $R_1$ , half toward **port 2** 

t=l/c: Beam induced charges at port 2: → half to  $R_2$ , but due to different sign, it cancels with the signal from port 1 → half signal reflected

t=2·l/c: reflected signal reaches port 1

$$\Rightarrow U_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \cdot Z_{strip} \left( I_{beam}(t) - I_{beam}(t - 2l/c) \right)$$

*If beam repetition time equals 2·I/c: reflected preceding port 2 signal cancels the new one*: → no net signal at **port 1** 

Signal at downstream port 2: Beam induced charges cancel with traveling charge from port 1

 $\Rightarrow$  Signal depends on direction  $\Leftrightarrow$  can distinguish between counter-propagation beams



### **Stripline BPM: Transfer Impedance**





➤ Z<sub>t</sub> show maximum at *I=c/4f=λ/4* i.e. 'quarter wave coupler' for bunch train ⇒ *I* has to be matched to v<sub>beam</sub>

- > No signal for  $l=c/2f=\lambda/2$  i.e. destructive interference with **subsequent** bunch
- > Around maximum of  $|Z_t|$ : phase shift  $\varphi=0$  i.e. direct image of bunch

 $F_{center}$ =1/4 · c/l · (2n-1). For first lope:  $f_{low}$ =1/2· $f_{center}$ ,  $f_{high}$ =3/2 ·  $f_{center}$  i.e. bandwidth ≈1/2· $f_{center}$  $F_{recise}$  Precise matching at feed-through required t o preserve 50 Ω matching.
## **Stripline BPM: Transfer Impedance**





 $> Z_t(\omega)$  decreases for higher frequencies

If total bunch is too long  $\pm 3\sigma_t > I$  destructive interference leads to signal damping *Cure:* length of stripline has to be matched to bunch length



	Stripline	Button
Idea	traveling wave	electro-static
Requirement	Careful $\mathbf{Z}_{strip}$ = 50 $\Omega$ matching	
Signal quality	Less deformation of bunch signal	Deformation by finite size and capacitance
Bandwidth	Broadband, but minima	Highpass, but <b>f<sub>cut</sub> &lt;</b> 1 GHz
Signal strength	Large Large longitudinal and transverse coverage possible	Small Size <Ø3cm, to prevent signal deformation
Mechanics	Complex	Simple
Installation	Inside quadrupole possible ⇒improving accuracy	Compact insertion
Directivity	YES	No

## FIASH BPM inside quadrupole





From . S. Vilkins, D. Nölle (DESY)



## Ideal 2-dim model:

Due to the non-linearity, the beam size enters in the position reading.



Finite beam size:

**Remark:** For most LINACs: Linearity is less important, because beam has to be centered Position correction as feed-forward for next macro-pulse.