



Effective Field Theories to All Orders

Gil Paz

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

Introduction

- Effective field theories allow to simplify the physics when doing experiments at energy E small compared to the cutoff Λ
- The general structure is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- $c_{k,n}$ are Wilson coefficients
- $O_{k,n}$ are EFT operators
- General Questions
- How to determine $c_{k,n}$?
- How to construct $O_{k,n}$?

- Effective field theories allow to simplify the physics when doing experiments at energy E small compared to the cutoff Λ
- The general structure is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- $c_{k,n}$ are Wilson coefficients
- $O_{k,n}$ are EFT operators
- General Questions
- How to determine $c_{k,n}$?
- How to construct $O_{k,n}$?
- Not just an academic question!

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

1) Current applications require higher dimensional operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 1) Current applications require higher dimensional operators:
 - |V_{cb}| extraction from inclusive B decays: OPE starts at dimension 3 current extractions use dimension 7 and 8 HQET operators
 [Gambino, Healey, Turczyk PLB 763, 60 (2016)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 1) Current applications require higher dimensional operators:
 - |V_{cb}| extraction from inclusive B decays: OPE starts at dimension 3 current extractions use dimension 7 and 8 HQET operators [Gambino, Healey, Turczyk PLB 763, 60 (2016)]

Table 2

alu ueviations.					
mhin	4.546	0.021	r ₁	0.032	0.024
$\overline{m}_{c}(3 \text{ GeV})$	0.987	0.013	r_2	-0.063	0.037
μ_{π}^2	0.432	0.068	r ₃	-0.017	0.025
μ_G^2	0.355	0.060	r_4	-0.002	0.025
ρ_D^3	0.145	0.061	r ₅	0.001	0.025
$\rho_{LS}^{\bar{3}}$	-0.169	0.097	r ₆	0.016	0.025
\overline{m}_1	0.084	0.059	r ₇	0.002	0.025
\overline{m}_2	-0.019	0.036	r_8	-0.026	0.025
\overline{m}_3	-0.011	0.045	r_9	0.072	0.044
\overline{m}_4	0.048	0.043	r ₁₀	0.043	0.030
\overline{m}_5	0.072	0.045	r ₁₁	0.003	0.025
\overline{m}_6	0.015	0.041	r ₁₂	0.018	0.025
\overline{m}_7	-0.059	0.043	r ₁₃	-0.052	0.031
\overline{m}_8	-0.178	0.073	r ₁₄	0.003	0.025
\overline{m}_9	-0.035	0.044	r ₁₅	0.001	0.025
χ ² /dof	0.46		r ₁₆	0.001	0.025
BR(%)	10.652	0.156	r ₁₇	-0.028	0.025
10 ³ V _{cb}	42.11	0.74	r ₁₈	-0.001	0.025

Default fit results: the second and third columns give the central values and standard deviations.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

1) Current applications require higher dimensional operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 1) Current applications require higher dimensional operators:
 - Applications of NRQED to proton structure effects in spectroscopy require Wilson coefficients of operators of dimension 5,6, and 7 [Hill, GP, PRL 107 160402 (2011)]

$$W_1(0, Q^2) = 2a_p(2+a_p) + \frac{Q^2}{m_p^2} \left\{ \frac{2m_p^3\bar{\beta}}{\alpha} - a_p - \frac{2}{3} \left[(1+a_p)^2 m_p^2 (r_M^p)^2 - m_p^2 (r_E^p)^2 \right] \right\} + \dots$$

- Dimension 5 operator: $a_p = 1.793$
- Dimension 6 operator: $r_E^H = 0.8751(61)$ fm or $r_E^{\mu H} = 0.84087(26)(29)$ fm
- Dimension 7 operators: $r_M=$ 0.776(34)(17) fm, $ar{eta}=$ 2.5(4) imes 10⁻⁴ fm³

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

 The structure of the SMEFT is simpler than expected [Henning, Lu, Melia, Murayama, JHEP 1708, 016 (2017)]



$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy
 - SMEFT:
 - Dimension 6:

Buchmüller et al. '86 \rightarrow Grzadkowski et al. '10

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy
 - SMEFT:
 - Dimension 6:

Buchmüller et al. '86 \rightarrow Grzadkowski et al. '10

- Dimension 7,8:

Lehman et al. '15 \rightarrow Henning et al. '15

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy
 - SMEFT:
 - Dimension 6:

Buchmüller et al. '86 \rightarrow Grzadkowski et al. '10

- Dimension 7,8:

Lehman et al. '15 \rightarrow Henning et al. '15

- HQET/NRQCD
- Dimension 7,8: Mannel et al. hep-ph/9403249 \rightarrow hep-ph/0611168 \rightarrow arXiv:1009.4622

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy
 - SMEFT:
 - Dimension 6:

Buchmüller et al. '86 \rightarrow Grzadkowski et al. '10

- Dimension 7,8:

Lehman et al. '15 \rightarrow Henning et al. '15

- HQET/NRQCD
- Dimension 7,8: Mannel et al. hep-ph/9403249 \rightarrow hep-ph/0611168 \rightarrow arXiv:1009.4622
- Dimension 7: Manohar: hep-ph/9701294 v1 \rightarrow v2 "*Two terms added to Lagrangian*"

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EFT}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \sum_k c_{k,n} O_{k,n}$$

- 3) Constructing higher dimensional operators is not easy
 - SMEFT:
 - Dimension 6:

Buchmüller et al. '86 \rightarrow Grzadkowski et al. '10

- Dimension 7,8:

Lehman et al. '15 \rightarrow Henning et al. '15

- HQET/NRQCD
- Dimension 7,8: Mannel et al. hep-ph/9403249 \rightarrow hep-ph/0611168 \rightarrow arXiv:1009.4622
- Dimension 7: Manohar: hep-ph/9701294 v1 \rightarrow v2 "*Two terms added to Lagrangian*"
- Dimension 7,8: Paz et al. arXiv:1702.0890 v1 \rightarrow v2

"discussion of operators with multiple color structures was added"

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?
- Been around longer than SMEFT (NRQED has roots in the 1920's)

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?
- Been around longer than SMEFT (NRQED has roots in the 1920's)
- Simpler structure than SMEFT (one gauge group: U(1) or $SU(3)_c$)

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?
- Been around longer than SMEFT (NRQED has roots in the 1920's)
- Simpler structure than SMEFT (one gauge group: U(1) or $SU(3)_c$)
- Their full theory is known (QED or QCD)

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?
- Been around longer than SMEFT (NRQED has roots in the 1920's)
- Simpler structure than SMEFT (one gauge group: U(1) or $SU(3)_c$)
- Their full theory is known (QED or QCD)
- Rich structure:

HQET for *B* decays : Perturbative *c*, Non-perturbative $\langle O \rangle$ NRQED for proton structure: Non-perturbative *c*, Perturbative $\langle O \rangle$

- Describe the constructions of higher dimensional operators for the closely related EFTs
- Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics (NRQED)
- Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQCD)
- Why?
- Been around longer than SMEFT (NRQED has roots in the 1920's)
- Simpler structure than SMEFT (one gauge group: U(1) or $SU(3)_c$)
- Their full theory is known (QED or QCD)
- Rich structure:

HQET for *B* decays : Perturbative *c*, Non-perturbative $\langle O \rangle$

NRQED for proton structure: Non-perturbative c, Perturbative $\langle O \rangle$

- Might have useful lessons to SMEFT

Topics not discussed here: Power Counting

- We construct operators based on their dimension
- Sometimes the dimensional counting is not be appropriate, e.g.

$$\mathcal{L}_{NRQCD}^{kinetic} = \psi^{\dagger} i D_t \psi + \psi^{\dagger} \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{HQET}^{kinetic} = \bar{h} \, iv \cdot D \, h$$

- Lagrangians can be related by

 $h \rightarrow \psi$ Choosing v = (1, 0, 0, 0)

- But different kinetic term and power counting

Topics not discussed here: Power Counting

- We construct operators based on their dimension
- Sometimes the dimensional counting is not be appropriate, e.g.

$$\mathcal{L}_{NRQCD}^{kinetic} = \psi^{\dagger} i D_t \psi + \psi^{\dagger} \frac{D^2}{2M} \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{HQET}^{kinetic} = \bar{h} \, iv \cdot D \, h$$

- Lagrangians can be related by

 $h
ightarrow \psi$ Choosing v = (1, 0, 0, 0)

- But different kinetic term and power counting
- Not a problem: construct \mathcal{L} with arbitrary dimension and power count later

Topics not discussed here: Wilson coefficients

- Matching
- Perturbative:

Matching from QCD gives NRQCD (HQET) Wilson coefficients

- Non-perturbative:

For proton structure NRQED Wilson coefficients determined by proton magnetic moment, proton charge radius etc.

[Pineda '02, Hill and GP '11]

Topics not discussed here: Wilson coefficients

- Matching
- Perturbative:

Matching from QCD gives NRQCD (HQET) Wilson coefficients

- Non-perturbative:

For proton structure NRQED Wilson coefficients determined by proton magnetic moment, proton charge radius etc. [Pineda '02, Hill and GP '11]

Hidden symmetries

The Lorentz (reparameterization) invariance of the full NR Lagrangian implies relations between Wilson coefficients e.g. The Wilson coefficient of $\psi^{\dagger} D^2 \psi/(2M)$ is 1

Topics not discussed here: Wilson coefficients

- Matching
- Perturbative:

Matching from QCD gives NRQCD (HQET) Wilson coefficients

- Non-perturbative:

For proton structure NRQED Wilson coefficients determined by proton magnetic moment, proton charge radius etc. [Pineda '02, Hill and GP '11]

Hidden symmetries

The Lorentz (reparameterization) invariance of the full NR Lagrangian implies relations between Wilson coefficients e.g. The Wilson coefficient of $\psi^{\dagger} D^2 \psi/(2M)$ is 1

Power counting

The Wilson coefficients can cause terms to be suppressed or enhanced

Outline

- Introduction
- A little bit of history
- HQET and NRQCD (NRQED) operators at dimension 8 and above: General Method
- HQET and NRQCD (NRQED) operators at dimension 8 and above: Applications
- Conclusions and Outlook

A little bit of history

A tale of two effective field theories

• HQET: Heavy Quark Effective Theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{HQET}^{kinetic} = \bar{h} i v \cdot D h$$

 NRQCD: Non Relativistic Quantum Chromodynamics (NRQED: Non Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics)

$$\mathcal{L}_{NRQCD}^{\textit{kinetic}} = \psi^{\dagger} i D_t \psi + \psi^{\dagger} \frac{D^2}{2M} \psi$$

- Different kinetic term and power counting
- Lagrangians can be related by
- $\textbf{h} \rightarrow \psi$
- Choosing v = (1, 0, 0, 0)
- The relation is not as well known as it should be

Prehistory

$$D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ieA^0, \quad \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} - ie\boldsymbol{A}$$

• Schrödinger equation: $iD_t + \frac{D^2}{2M}$ (1926)

۰	Hydrogen Fine Structure:		
	Spin-Orbit:	$\pmb{\sigma}\cdot \pmb{B}$	(1927)
	Relativistic correction:	D^4	(1905?)
	Darwin term:	$\partial \cdot \boldsymbol{E}$	(1928)

Prehistory

$$D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ieA^0, \quad \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} - ie\boldsymbol{A}$$

- Schrödinger equation: $iD_t + \frac{D^2}{2M}$ (1926)
- Hydrogen Fine Structure: Spin-Orbit: $\sigma \cdot B$ (1927) Relativistic correction: D^4 (1905?) Darwin term: $\partial \cdot E$ (1928)
- Organize operators in Lagrangian form
- The dim=5,6 were given in [Caswell, Lepage PLB 167, 437 (1986)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=5,6} = \psi^{\dagger} \bigg\{ iD_t + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8M^3} + c_F g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2M} + c_D g \frac{[\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}]}{8M^2} \\ + ic_S g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{D})}{8M^2} + c_{W1} g \frac{\{\boldsymbol{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\}}{8M^3} \bigg\} \psi$$

Dimension 5 HQET operators

• Dimension 5 HQET operators were considered in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} = \bar{h} \, i v \cdot D \, h + \frac{\bar{h} (iD)^2 \, h}{2M} + c_F g \frac{\bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \, h}{4M}$$
[Falk, Grinstein, Luke, NPB 357, 185 (1991)]

Dimension 5 HQET operators

• Dimension 5 HQET operators were considered in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} = \bar{h} \, iv \cdot D \, h + \frac{\bar{h} (iD)^2 \, h}{2M} + c_F g \frac{\bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \, h}{4M}$$

[Falk, Grinstein, Luke, NPB 357, 185 (1991)]

Compared to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=5,6} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ iD_t + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8M^3} + c_Fg \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2M} + c_Dg \frac{[\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}]}{8M^2} + ic_Sg \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{D})}{8M^2} + c_{W1}g \frac{\{\boldsymbol{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\}}{8M^3} \right\} \psi$$
• Dimension 5 HQET operators were considered in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} = \bar{h} \, iv \cdot D \, h + \frac{\bar{h} (iD)^2 \, h}{2M} + c_F g \frac{\bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \, h}{4M}$$

[Falk, Grinstein, Luke, NPB 357, 185 (1991)]

• Compared to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=5,6} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ iD_t + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8M^3} + c_F g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2M} + c_D g \frac{[\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}]}{8M^2} + ic_S g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{D})}{8M^2} + c_{W1} g \frac{\{\boldsymbol{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\}}{8M^3} \right\} \psi$$

• We can see the analogy between HQET and NRQED

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{NRQED} \ (1920' \text{s-} 1980' \text{s}) & \mathsf{HQET} (1990' \text{s}) \\ \mathsf{Dimension} \ 5 & \mathbf{D}^2 & (iD)^2 \\ & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} / 2 \end{array}$

• Dimension 5 HQET operators were considered in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} = \bar{h} \, i v \cdot D \, h + \frac{\bar{h} (iD)^2 \, h}{2M} + c_F g \frac{\bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \, h}{4M}$$

[Falk, Grinstein, Luke, NPB 357, 185 (1991)]

• Compared to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=5,6} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ iD_t + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8M^3} + c_F g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2M} + c_D g \frac{[\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}]}{8M^2} + ic_S g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{D})}{8M^2} + c_{W1} g \frac{\{\boldsymbol{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\}}{8M^3} \right\} \psi$$

• We can see the analogy between HQET and NRQED

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{NRQED} \; (1920' \text{s-} 1980' \text{s}) & \mathsf{HQET}(1990' \text{s}) \\ \mathsf{Dimension} \; 5 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} / 2 \end{array}$$

• Can even "guess" dimension 6 HQET operators, but this wasn't done

• Dimension 5 HQET operators were considered in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} = \bar{h} \, i v \cdot D \, h + \frac{\bar{h} (iD)^2 \, h}{2M} + c_F g \frac{\bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \, h}{4M}$$

[Falk, Grinstein, Luke, NPB 357, 185 (1991)]

• Compared to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=5,6} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ iD_t + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8M^3} + c_F g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{2M} + c_D g \frac{[\boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}]}{8M^2} + ic_S g \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \times \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{D})}{8M^2} + c_{W1} g \frac{\{\boldsymbol{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}\}}{8M^3} \right\} \psi$$

• We can see the analogy between HQET and NRQED

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{NRQED} \; (1920' \text{s-} 1980' \text{s}) & \mathsf{HQET}(1990' \text{s}) \\ \mathsf{Dimension} \; 5 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} / 2 \end{array}$

• Can even "guess" dimension 6 HQET operators, but this wasn't done

• What about higher dimensional operators?

• First systematic discussion of HQET operators [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]

- First systematic discussion of HQET operators [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Between HQET fields $\bar{h} \dots h$ the Dirac basis reduces to $\{1, \sigma\} = \{1, s^{\lambda}\}$ with $v \cdot s = 0$

- First systematic discussion of HQET operators [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Between HQET fields *h*...*h* the Dirac basis reduces to {1, σ} = {1, s^λ} with v ⋅ s = 0
- *h* iD^{μ1}... iD^{μn}(s^λ)h is the general operator
 Since iv · Dh = 0
- $v_{\mu_1} \overline{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n} (s^{\lambda}) h = 0$
- $v_{\mu_n}ar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}(s^\lambda)h=0$
- $v_{\lambda} \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} \dots \, i D^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda}) h = 0$

- First systematic discussion of HQET operators [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Between HQET fields $\bar{h} \dots h$ the Dirac basis reduces to $\{1, \sigma\} = \{1, s^{\lambda}\}$ with $v \cdot s = 0$
- $\bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda}) h$ is the general operator Since $iv \cdot Dh = 0$
- $v_{\mu_1} \overline{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n} (s^{\lambda}) h = 0$

-
$$v_{\mu_n} \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} \dots \, i D^{\mu_n}(s^\lambda) h = 0$$

-
$$v_{\lambda} \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} \dots \, i D^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda}) h = 0$$

- Dimension 3: *h*h
- Dimension 4: $\bar{h}iD^{\mu}h \rightarrow 0$
- Dimension 5: Two operators $\bar{h}iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}h$, $\bar{h}iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}s^{\lambda}h$
- Dimension 6: Two operators $\bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} i D^{\mu_3} h$, $\bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} i D^{\mu_3} s^{\lambda} h$

 First systematic classification of HQET operators via their *B* meson matrix elements (*d_H* = 3 for *B*, *d_H* = -1 for *B**) [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]

- First systematic classification of HQET operators via their *B* meson matrix elements (*d_H* = 3 for *B*, *d_H* = -1 for *B**) [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Dimension 5:

$$\langle B(v)|\bar{h}(iD_{\alpha})(iD_{\beta})h|B(v)\rangle = 2M_{H}[g_{\alpha\beta} - v_{\alpha}v_{\beta}]\frac{1}{3}\lambda_{1} \langle B(v)|\bar{h}(iD_{\alpha})(iD_{\beta})s_{\lambda}h|B(v)\rangle = 2M_{H}d_{H}i\varepsilon_{\nu\alpha\beta\lambda}v^{\nu}\frac{1}{6}\lambda_{2}$$

• Dimension 6:

$$\langle B(v)|\bar{h}(iD_{\alpha})(iD_{\mu})(iD_{\beta})h_{v}|B(v)\rangle = 2M_{H}[g_{\alpha\beta} - v_{\alpha}v_{\beta}]v_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\rho_{1} \langle B(v)|\bar{h}(iD_{\alpha})(iD_{\mu})(iD_{\beta})s_{\lambda}h|B(v)\rangle = 2M_{H}d_{H}i\varepsilon_{\nu\alpha\beta\lambda}v^{\nu}v_{\mu}\frac{1}{6}\rho_{2}.$$

 Same paper counted operators beyond dimension 6 but unfortunately it is wrong 1

1

Dimension 7 NRQCD operators

• The dimension 7 operators listed in [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQCD}}^{\mathsf{dim}=7} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{D}^{4}}{8M^{3}} + ic_{M}g \frac{\{\mathbf{D}^{i}, [\partial \times \mathbf{B}]^{i}\}}{8m_{\rho}^{3}} + c_{A1}g^{2} \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{B}^{i}_{b} - \mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b}) T^{a}T^{b}}{8M^{3}} - c_{A2}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} T^{a}T^{b}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{B}^{i}_{b} - \mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b}) \delta^{ab}}{8M^{3}} - c_{A4}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{\{\mathbf{D}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\}}{8M^{3}} - c_{A4}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{\{\mathbf{D}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\}}{8M^{3}} - c_{A4}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{8M^{3}} - c_{A4}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} + c_{A3}g^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^$$

$$+c_{W1}g\frac{(\mathbf{w}_{a})^{T}}{8M^{3}}-c_{W2}g\frac{(\mathbf{w}_{a})^{T}}{4m_{p}^{3}}+c_{p'p}g\frac{(\mathbf{w}_{a})^{T}}{8m_{p}^{3}}$$
$$-c_{B1}g^{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\boldsymbol{B}_{a}\times\boldsymbol{B}_{b}-\boldsymbol{E}_{a}\times\boldsymbol{E}_{b})f^{abc}T^{c}}{16M^{3}}+c_{B2}g^{2}\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\boldsymbol{E}_{a}\times\boldsymbol{E}_{b})f^{abc}T^{c}}{16M^{3}}\Big\}\psi$$

Dimension 7 NRQCD operators

• The dimension 7 operators listed in [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQCD}}^{\mathsf{dim}=7} &= \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{D}^{4}}{8M^{3}} + ic_{\mathsf{M}g} \frac{\{\mathbf{D}^{i}, [\mathbf{\partial} \times \mathbf{B}]^{i}\}}{8m_{p}^{3}} \right. \\ &+ c_{\mathsf{A1}g}^{2} \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{B}^{i}_{b} - \mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b}) T^{a}T^{b}}{8M^{3}} - c_{\mathsf{A2}g}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} T^{a}T^{b}}{16M^{3}} \\ &+ c_{\mathsf{A3}g}^{2} \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{B}^{i}_{b} - \mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b}) \delta^{ab}}{8M^{3}} - c_{\mathsf{A4}g}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{E}^{i}_{a}\mathbf{E}^{i}_{b} \delta^{ab}}{16M^{3}} \\ &+ c_{W1g} \frac{\{\mathbf{D}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\}}{8M^{3}} - c_{W2g} \frac{D^{i}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}D^{i}}{4m_{p}^{3}} + c_{p'pg} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{DB} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D}}{8m_{p}^{3}} \\ &- c_{B1}g^{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{B}_{a} \times \mathbf{B}_{b} - \mathbf{E}_{a} \times \mathbf{E}_{b})f^{abc}T^{c}}{16M^{3}} + c_{B2}g^{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{a} \times \mathbf{E}_{b})f^{abc}T^{c}}{16M^{3}} \Big\} \psi \end{aligned}$$

- Comments:
- Explicit color structures are taken from [Gunawardna, GP JHEP **1707** 137 (2017)]
- Last line vanishes for NRQED but not for NRQCD

 In 2010 Mannel, Turczyk, and Uraltsev calculated the contribution of dimension 7 & 8 HQET operators to inclusive semileptonic B decays [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]

- In 2010 Mannel, Turczyk, and Uraltsev calculated the contribution of dimension 7 & 8 HQET operators to inclusive semileptonic B decays [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]
- Dimension 7 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
- 4 Spin Independent (SI) operators
- 5 Spin Dependent (SD) operators [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)]

- In 2010 Mannel, Turczyk, and Uraltsev calculated the contribution of dimension 7 & 8 HQET operators to inclusive semileptonic B decays [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]
- Dimension 7 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
- 4 Spin Independent (SI) operators
- 5 Spin Dependent (SD) operators [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)]
- Dimension 7 NRQCD Lagrangian
- 6 Spin Independent (SI) operators
- 5 Spin Dependent (SD) operators [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]

- In 2010 Mannel, Turczyk, and Uraltsev calculated the contribution of dimension 7 & 8 HQET operators to inclusive semileptonic B decays [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]
- Dimension 7 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
- 4 Spin Independent (SI) operators
- 5 Spin Dependent (SD) operators [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)]
- Dimension 7 NRQCD Lagrangian
- 6 Spin Independent (SI) operators
- 5 Spin Dependent (SD) operators [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]
- Why the difference?
- No systematic derivation in either source

Dimension 8 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
 7 SI operators and 11 SD operators

[Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]

- Dimension 8 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
 7 SI operators and 11 SD operators
 [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]
- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD **87** 053017 (2013)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=8} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ c_{X1g} \frac{[D^2, D \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \cdot D]}{M^4} + c_{X2g} \frac{\{D^2, [\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]\}}{M^4} \right. \\ \left. + c_{X3g} \frac{[\partial^2 \partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} + ic_{X4g} 2 \frac{\{D^i, [\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}]^i\}}{M^4} \right. \\ \left. + ic_{X5g} \frac{D^i \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D})D^i}{M^4} + ic_{X6g} \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^i D^j [\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]D^k}{M^4} \right. \\ \left. + c_{X7g} 2^2 \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}[\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} + c_{X8g} 2^2 \frac{[\mathbf{E} \cdot \partial \sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}]}{M^4} + c_{X9g} 2^2 \frac{[\mathbf{B} \cdot \partial \sigma \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} \right. \\ \left. + c_{X10g} 2^2 \frac{[\mathbf{E}^i \sigma \cdot \partial \mathbf{B}^i]}{M^4} + c_{X11g} 2 \frac{[\mathbf{B}^i \sigma \cdot \partial \mathbf{E}^i]}{M^4} + c_{X12g} 2^2 \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{E} \times [\partial_t \mathbf{E} - \partial \times \mathbf{B}]}{M^4} \right\} \psi$$

- Dimension 8 inclusive semileptonic B decays need
 7 SI operators and 11 SD operators
 [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)]
- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD **87** 053017 (2013)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQED}}^{\mathsf{dim}=8} = \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ c_{X1g} \frac{[D^2, D \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \cdot D]}{M^4} + c_{X2g} \frac{\{D^2, [\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]\}}{M^4} + c_{X3g} \frac{[\partial^2 \partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} + ic_{X4g} 2 \frac{\{D^i, [\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}]^i\}}{M^4} + ic_{X5g} \frac{D^i \sigma \cdot (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D})D^i}{M^4} + ic_{X6g} \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^i D^j [\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]D^k}{M^4} + c_{X7g} 2 \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}[\partial \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} + c_{X8g} 2 \frac{[\mathbf{E} \cdot \partial \sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}]}{M^4} + c_{X9g} 2 \frac{[\mathbf{B} \cdot \partial \sigma \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{M^4} + c_{X10g} 2 \frac{[\mathbf{E}^i \sigma \cdot \partial \mathbf{B}^i]}{M^4} + c_{X11g} 2 \frac{[\mathbf{B}^i \sigma \cdot \partial \mathbf{E}^i]}{M^4} + c_{X12g} 2 \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{E} \times [\partial_t \mathbf{E} - \partial \times \mathbf{B}]}{M^4} \right\} \psi$$

- 4 SI operators and 8 SD operators
- Missing operators are presumably NRQCD operators

- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD 87 053017 (2013)]
- Lagrangian can be constructed by considering all possible combinations of *iD_t*, *iD*, *E*, *B*, and σ that are
- Rotationally invariant
- P and T even
- Hermitian

- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD 87 053017 (2013)]
- Lagrangian can be constructed by considering all possible combinations of iD_t , iD, E, B, and σ that are
- Rotationally invariant
- P and T even
- Hermitian
- Such construction of $\mathcal{L}_{NRQED}^{\dim \leq 6}$ was demonstrated in [GP, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 30, 1550128 (2015)]

- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD 87 053017 (2013)]
- Lagrangian can be constructed by considering all possible combinations of iD_t , iD, E, B, and σ that are
- Rotationally invariant
- P and T even
- Hermitian
- Such construction of $\mathcal{L}_{NRQED}^{\dim \leq 6}$ was demonstrated in [GP, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 30, 1550128 (2015)]
- For higher dimensional operators this becomes tedious:
- Different choices for operators
- Are operators linearly independent?
- How many linearly independent operators?

- The dim=8 NRQED Lagrangian was given in [Hill, Lee, GP, Solon, PRD 87 053017 (2013)]
- Lagrangian can be constructed by considering all possible combinations of iD_t , iD, E, B, and σ that are
- Rotationally invariant
- P and T even
- Hermitian
- Such construction of L^{dim≤6}_{NRQED} was demonstrated in [GP, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 30, 1550128 (2015)]
- For higher dimensional operators this becomes tedious:
- Different choices for operators
- Are operators linearly independent?
- How many linearly independent operators?
- Is there an easier way?

HQET (and NRQCD) operators at dimension 8 and above: General Method

[Ayesh Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]

General method

- My graduate student Ayesh Gunawardana and I looked at this problem in [Gunawardna, GP JHEP **1707** 137 (2017)]
- Following [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)] we considered matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$

General method

- My graduate student Ayesh Gunawardana and I looked at this problem in [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Following [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)] we considered matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- We used the constraints
- Orthogonality of v to μ_1, μ_n, λ [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Parity and Time reversal symmetry
- Hermitian conjugation
- Four dimensions
- Possible multiple color structures [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

General method

- My graduate student Ayesh Gunawardana and I looked at this problem in [Gunawardna, GP JHEP **1707** 137 (2017)]
- Following [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)] we considered matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- We used the constraints
- Orthogonality of v to μ_1, μ_n, λ [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- Parity and Time reversal symmetry
- Hermitian conjugation
- Four dimensions
- Possible multiple color structures [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- To decompose them in terms of the tensors

-
$$v^{\mu_i}$$
, $\Pi^{\mu
u}=g^{\mu
u}-v^\mu v^
u$, $\epsilon^{
ho\sigmalphaeta}v_
ho$

General method: Orthogonality

- Consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$
 - $\langle H | \bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n} s^{\lambda} h | H \rangle$

General method: Orthogonality

- Consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Since $iv \cdot Dh = 0$ - $v_{\mu_1}\bar{h}iD^{\mu_1}\dots iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h = 0$ - $v_{\mu_n}\bar{h}iD^{\mu_1}\dots iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h = 0$
- $v_{\lambda} \bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n} (s^{\lambda}) h = 0$ [Mannel, PRD 50, 428 (1994)]
- More accurately, the 1/M corrections to $iv \cdot Dh = 0$ give rise to higher dimensional operators. One can impose this order by order.
- Similarly for NRQCD (NRQED): $\psi^{\dagger} (iD_t O + OiD_t) \psi/M^n$ can be eliminated by $\psi \rightarrow \psi - O\psi/M^n$ [GP, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 30, 1550128 (2015)]

General method: PT symmetry

• We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$

General method: PT symmetry

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Parity and Time reversal are symmetries of HQET In particular under PT:

$$- p = (p^0, \vec{p}) \stackrel{PT}{\rightarrow} (p^0, \vec{p}) = p \Rightarrow v = p/m \stackrel{PT}{\rightarrow} v$$

$$- iD^{\mu} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} iD^{\mu}$$

- $\bar{h}h \rightarrow \bar{h}h$
- $\bar{h}s^{\lambda}h \stackrel{PT}{\rightarrow} \bar{h}s^{\lambda}h$

General method: PT symmetry

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Parity and Time reversal are symmetries of HQET In particular under PT:

$$- p = (p^0, \vec{p}) \stackrel{PT}{\to} (p^0, \vec{p}) = p \Rightarrow v = p/m \stackrel{PT}{\to} v$$

$$- iD^{\mu} \xrightarrow{\prime} iD^{\mu}$$

- $\bar{h}h \xrightarrow{P_1} \bar{h}h$
- $\bar{h}s^{\lambda}h \stackrel{PT}{\rightarrow} \bar{h}s^{\lambda}h$
- Since T is anti-linear

$$\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle \stackrel{PT}{=} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle^* \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle \stackrel{PT}{=} -\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle^*$$

• SI matrix elements are real, SD matrix elements are imaginary

General method: Hermitian conjugation

• We consider matrix elements of the form

 $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$

 $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^\lambda h|H
angle$

General method: Hermitian conjugation

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^\lambda h|H\rangle$
- $\bar{h}h$, $\bar{h}s^{\lambda}h$, iD^{μ} are hermitian using Hermitian conjugation

$$\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h|H\rangle = \langle H|\left(\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h\right)^{\mathsf{T}}|H\rangle^* \\ = \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_n}\dots\,iD^{\mu_1}(s^{\lambda})h|H\rangle^*$$

General method: Hermitian conjugation

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- $\bar{h}h$, $\bar{h}s^{\lambda}h$, iD^{μ} are hermitian using Hermitian conjugation

$$\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h|H\rangle = \langle H|\left(\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda})h\right)^{\dagger}|H\rangle^* \\ = \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_n}\dots\,iD^{\mu_1}(s^{\lambda})h|H\rangle^*$$

- Combining with the *PT* constraints Under inversion of the indices:
- SI matrix elements are symmetric
- SD matrix elements are anti-symmetric

General method: Tensor decomposition

• We consider matrix elements of the form

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle H | \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} \dots \, i D^{\mu_n} h | H \rangle \\ \langle H | \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} \dots \, i D^{\mu_n} s^{\lambda} h | H \rangle \end{array}$

General method: Tensor decomposition

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- B is a pseudo-scalar \Rightarrow matrix element can only depend on $v^{\mu_i}, g^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{\rho \sigma \alpha \beta}$
General method: Tensor decomposition

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- B is a pseudo-scalar \Rightarrow matrix element can only depend on $v^{\mu_i}, g^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{\rho \sigma \alpha \beta}$
- Alternatively following [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)] Define $\Pi^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - v^{\mu}v^{\nu}$ For the standard choice of v = (1, 0, 0, 0): $\Pi^{00} = 0$ and $\Pi^{ij} = -\delta^{ij}$

General method: Tensor decomposition

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- B is a pseudo-scalar \Rightarrow matrix element can only depend on $v^{\mu_i}, g^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{\rho \sigma \alpha \beta}$
- Alternatively following [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)] Define $\Pi^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - v^{\mu}v^{\nu}$ For the standard choice of v = (1, 0, 0, 0): $\Pi^{00} = 0$ and $\Pi^{ij} = -\delta^{ij}$
- Since the indices in $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$ cannot be linearly independent of v^{μ} replace $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$ by $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}v_{\rho}$ For the standard choice of v = (1, 0, 0, 0): $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}v_{\rho} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk}$

General method: Tensor decomposition

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- B is a pseudo-scalar \Rightarrow matrix element can only depend on $v^{\mu_i}, g^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{\rho \sigma \alpha \beta}$
- Alternatively following [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)] Define $\Pi^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - v^{\mu}v^{\nu}$ For the standard choice of v = (1, 0, 0, 0): $\Pi^{00} = 0$ and $\Pi^{ij} = -\delta^{ij}$
- Since the indices in $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$ cannot be linearly independent of v^{μ} replace $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$ by $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}v_{\rho}$ For the standard choice of v = (1, 0, 0, 0): $\epsilon^{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}v_{\rho} \rightarrow \epsilon^{ijk}$
- Matrix element depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{\rho \sigma \alpha \beta} v_{\rho}$

• We consider matrix elements of the form

 $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$

 $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^\lambda h|H
angle$

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Four dimensions \Rightarrow only four independent directions

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Four dimensions \Rightarrow only four independent directions
- Not all tensors with more than four indices are independent

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Four dimensions \Rightarrow only four independent directions
- Not all tensors with more than four indices are independent
- Example: for dimension 7 SD HQET operators need Π^{μν} ε^{ρσαβ} v_ρ: three indices are the same Tensors obtained by permuting indices are not linearly independent

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Four dimensions \Rightarrow only four independent directions
- Not all tensors with more than four indices are independent
- Example: for dimension 7 SD HQET operators need Π^{μν} ε^{ρσαβ} v_ρ: three indices are the same Tensors obtained by permuting indices are not linearly independent
- Example: for dimension 11 SI HQET operators need Π^{μ1μ2}Π^{μ3μ4}Π^{μ5μ6}Π^{μ7μ8}: four indices are the same

• We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Starting at dimension 7 we can have multiple color factors E.g. consider $\psi^{\dagger} E_a^i T^a E_b^i T^b \psi$ [Kobach, Pal PLB **772** 225 (2017)] $\{T^a, T^b\} = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} T^c \Rightarrow$ two color structures

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\dots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Starting at dimension 7 we can have multiple color factors E.g. consider $\psi^{\dagger} E_a^i T^a E_b^i T^b \psi$ [Kobach, Pal PLB **772** 225 (2017)] $\{T^a, T^b\} = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} T^c \Rightarrow$ two color structures
- Use basis {T^a, T^b} and δ^{ab}:
 ψ[†]Eⁱ_aEⁱ_b {T^a, T^b} ψ: generated by commutators and anti-commutators

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1} \dots iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- Starting at dimension 7 we can have multiple color factors E.g. consider $\psi^{\dagger} E_a^i T^a E_b^i T^b \psi$ [Kobach, Pal PLB **772** 225 (2017)] $\{T^a, T^b\} = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} T^c \Rightarrow$ two color structures
- Use basis $\{T^a, T^b\}$ and δ^{ab} :
- ψ[†]Eⁱ_aEⁱ_b {T^a, T^b} ψ: generated by commutators and anti-commutators
- $\psi^{\dagger} E_{a}^{i} E_{b}^{i} \delta^{ab} \psi$: generated by one-gluon exchange between ψ^{\dagger} and ψ \Rightarrow extra α_{s} suppression \Rightarrow not needed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{0})$

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^\lambda h|H\rangle$
- Starting at dimension 7 we can have multiple color factors E.g. consider $\psi^{\dagger} E_a^i T^a E_b^i T^b \psi$ [Kobach, Pal PLB **772** 225 (2017)] $\{T^a, T^b\} = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} T^c \Rightarrow$ two color structures
- Use basis $\{T^a, T^b\}$ and δ^{ab} :
- $\psi^{\dagger} E_{a}^{i} E_{b}^{i} \{T^{a}, T^{b}\} \psi$: generated by commutators and anti-commutators
- $\psi^{\dagger} E_{a}^{i} E_{b}^{i} \delta^{ab} \psi$: generated by one-gluon exchange between ψ^{\dagger} and ψ \Rightarrow extra α_{s} suppression \Rightarrow not needed at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{0})$
- Decomposition of $\langle H | \bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n}(s^{\lambda}) h | H \rangle$ does not distinguish $\{T^a, T^b\}$ from δ^{ab} . Need to be put "by hand".

General method: Summary

- We consider matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}h|H\rangle$ $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}\ldots\,iD^{\mu_n}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$
- We express them in terms of $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i \mu_j}$, and $\epsilon^{
 ho \sigma \alpha \beta} v_{
 ho}$ using
- Orthogonality: $v_{\mu_1}=v_{\mu_n}=v_\lambda=0$
- P, T, and Hermitian conjugation:
 SI (SD) matrix elements are sym. (anti-sym.) under inversion
- Four dimensions:

not all tensors are linearly independent

- Checking possible multiple color structures

HQET (and NRQCD) operators at dimension 8 and above: Applications

[Ayesh Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]

- We look at $\langle H | \bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} i D^{\mu_3} i D^{\mu_4} h | H \rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i \mu_j},$
- We can have ПП:

- We look at $\langle H | \bar{h} i D^{\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} i D^{\mu_3} i D^{\mu_4} h | H \rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i \mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4}$

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4}$

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or Π*vv*:

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

 $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} + a_{13}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} + a_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}$

Notice that the tensors are symmetric under inversion of indices

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

 $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} + a_{13}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} + a_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}$

Notice that the tensors are symmetric under inversion of indices

• Multiple color structure arise from $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, iD^{\mu_l}] \} h$:

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

 $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} + a_{13}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} + a_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}V^{\mu_{2}}V^{\mu_{3}}$

Notice that the tensors are symmetric under inversion of indices

• Multiple color structure arise from $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, iD^{\mu_l}] \} h$: $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_2}], [iD^{\mu_3}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_3}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, and $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_4}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_3}] \} h$

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_4}$ $\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_4}$ $\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

 $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} + a_{13}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} + a_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}$

Notice that the tensors are symmetric under inversion of indices

• Multiple color structure arise from $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, iD^{\mu_l}] \} h$: $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_2}], [iD^{\mu_3}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_3}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, and $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_4}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_3}] \} h$ Contracting with tensors above: $a_{13}^{(7)} - a_{14}^{(7)}$ and $b^{(7)}$

 \Rightarrow 2 op. with 2 color structures: 6 in total but only 4 at tree level

- We look at $\langle H|\bar{h} iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}h|H\rangle$ It can depend on $v^{\mu_i}, \Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j},$
- We can have $\Pi \Pi$: $\Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \Pi^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_3} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_4} = \Pi^{\mu_1 \mu_4} \Pi^{\mu_2 \mu_3}$
- or $\prod vv$: $\prod^{\mu_1\mu_4} v^{\mu_2} v^{\mu_3}$

 $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} + a_{13}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} + a_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}$

Notice that the tensors are symmetric under inversion of indices

• Multiple color structure arise from $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, iD^{\mu_l}] \} h$: $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_2}], [iD^{\mu_3}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_3}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_4}] \} h$, and $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_1}, iD^{\mu_4}], [iD^{\mu_2}, iD^{\mu_3}] \} h$ Contracting with tensors above: $a_{13}^{(7)} - a_{14}^{(7)}$ and $b^{(7)}$

 \Rightarrow 2 op. with 2 color structures: 6 in total but only 4 at tree level

• Explains 4 HQET SI op. in [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)] and 6 NRQCD SI in [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]

• We look at $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$ By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{\rho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_\rho$ The 2 other indices can be

• We look at $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle$ By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{\rho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_\rho$ The 2 other indices can be $\Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j}$ or

• We look at $\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^\lambda h|H\rangle$

By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{\rho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_\rho$

The 2 other indices can be $\Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j}$ or $v^{\mu_i}v^{\mu_j}$

The tensors must also be anti-symmetric under inversion of indices

• We look at $\langle H|ar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^\lambda h|H
angle$

By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{\rho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_\rho$

The 2 other indices can be $\Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j}$ or $v^{\mu_i}v^{\mu_j}$

The tensors must also be anti-symmetric under inversion of indices

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h} \, iD^{\mu_{1}} iD^{\mu_{2}} iD^{\mu_{3}} iD^{\mu_{4}} s^{\lambda} h|H\rangle &= i\tilde{a}_{12}^{(7)} \left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\lambda} v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{3}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{1}\lambda} v_{\rho}\right) \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{13}^{(7)} \left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{4}\lambda} v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{2}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{1}\lambda} v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{14}^{(7)} \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{3}\lambda} v_{\rho} + i\tilde{a}_{23}^{(7)} \Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda} v_{\rho} + i\tilde{b}^{(7)} v^{\mu_{2}} v^{\mu_{3}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda} v_{\rho}\end{aligned}$$

• We look at $\langle H|ar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^\lambda h|H
angle$

By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{
ho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_
ho$

The 2 other indices can be $\Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j}$ or $v^{\mu_i}v^{\mu_j}$

The tensors must also be anti-symmetric under inversion of indices

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle &= i\tilde{a}_{12}^{(7)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{13}^{(7)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\tilde{a}_{23}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\tilde{b}^{(7)}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho}\end{aligned}$$

 Multiple color structure arise from *h* {[*iD^{µ_i}*, *iD<sup>µ_j*], [*iD<sup>µ_k*</sub>, *iD<sup>µ_j*]} h Contractions with tensors above give *no* contribution
</sup></sup></sup>

• We look at $\langle H|ar{h}\,iD^{\mu_1}iD^{\mu_2}iD^{\mu_3}iD^{\mu_4}s^\lambda h|H
angle$

By parity it must contain $\epsilon^{
ho\mu_k\mu_l\lambda}v_
ho$

The 2 other indices can be $\Pi^{\mu_i\mu_j}$ or $v^{\mu_i}v^{\mu_j}$

The tensors must also be anti-symmetric under inversion of indices

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle &= i\tilde{a}_{12}^{(7)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{13}^{(7)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - \Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+ i\tilde{a}_{14}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\tilde{a}_{23}^{(7)}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\tilde{b}^{(7)}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho}\end{aligned}$$

- Multiple color structure arise from *h* {[*iD^{µ_i}*, *iD<sup>µ_j*], [*iD<sup>µ_k*</sub>, *iD<sup>µ_j*]} h Contractions with tensors above give *no* contribution
 </sup></sup></sup>
- Explains 5 HQET SD op. in [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP 1011, 109 (2010)] and 5 NRQCD SD in [Manohar PRD 56, 230 (1997)]

• Using the general method

 $\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}iD^{\mu_{5}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + \\ &a_{13}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + a_{15}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right) + \\ &b_{12}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{15}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}} + \\ &c^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\end{aligned}$

• Using the general method

 $\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}iD^{\mu_{5}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + \\ &a_{13}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + a_{15}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right) + \\ &b_{12}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{15}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}} + \\ &c_{(8)}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\end{aligned}$

- Multiple color structures arise from
- $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, [iD^{\mu_l}, iD^{\mu_m}]] \} h$: 20 possibilities
- $\bar{h}\{iD^{\mu_m}, \{[iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, iD^{\mu_l}]\}\}$ h: 15 possibilities

• Using the general method

 $\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}iD^{\mu_{5}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + \\ &a_{13}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + a_{15}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right) + \\ &b_{12}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{15}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}} + \\ &c_{(8)}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\end{aligned}$

- Multiple color structures arise from
- $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, [iD^{\mu_l}, iD^{\mu_m}]] \} h$: 20 possibilities
- *h* {*iD*^{μ_m}, {[*iD*^{μ_i}, *iD*^{μ_j}], [*iD*^{μ_k}, *iD*^{μ_j}]} *h*: 15 possibilities
 Contractions with tensors above give 1 contribution
 ⇒ 1 op. with 2 color structures: 8 in total but only 7 at tree level

• Using the general method

 $\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}iD^{\mu_{2}}iD^{\mu_{3}}iD^{\mu_{4}}iD^{\mu_{5}}h|H\rangle = a_{12}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + \\ &a_{13}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{4}} + \Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}\right) + a_{15}^{(8)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}} + \Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right) + \\ &b_{12}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{14}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}} + b_{15}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}} + \\ &c_{(8)}^{(8)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\end{aligned}$

- Multiple color structures arise from
- $\bar{h} \{ [iD^{\mu_i}, iD^{\mu_j}], [iD^{\mu_k}, [iD^{\mu_l}, iD^{\mu_m}]] \} h$: 20 possibilities
- *h* {*iD*^{μ_n}, {[*iD*^{μ_i}, *iD*^{μ_j}], [*iD*^{μ_k}, *iD*^{μ_j}]} *h*: 15 possibilities
 Contractions with tensors above give 1 contribution
 ⇒ 1 op. with 2 color structures: 8 in total but only 7 at tree level
- Explains 7 HQET dimesion 8 SI operators in [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)] The new operator will be listed below

• Using the general method

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}i\delta^{\mu}h|\rangle = \\ &i\bar{s}_{12}^{(6)} \left(v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{4}^{\mu\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{s}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{s}_{13}^{(6)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{2}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\bar{s}_{24}^{(6)}v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{5\lambda}v_{\rho} + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{13}^{(6)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{2}^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{b}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{15}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{1}\epsilon^{\rho}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{b}_{45}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{1}\epsilon^{\rho}_{5}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{3}v_{\mu}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{c}_{6}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{1}\epsilon^{\rho}_{5}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu}_{2}v^{\mu}_{3}v^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu}_{2}v^{\mu}_{3}v^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu}_{2}v^{\mu}_{3}v^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu}_{2}v^{\mu}_{3}v^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}v^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu}_{2}v^{\mu}_{3}v^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}$$
Results: SD Dimension 8 HQET operators

• Using the general method

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}\,iD^{\mu_{2}}\,iD^{\mu_{3}}\,iD^{\mu_{4}}\,iD^{\mu_{5}}\,s^{\lambda}h|H\rangle = \\ &i\bar{a}_{12}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{4}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + i\bar{a}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{4}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+i\bar{a}_{15}^{(8)} v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} + i\bar{a}_{24}^{(8)}v^{\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho} + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{13}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{4}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{2}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + i\bar{b}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{2}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{1}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{15}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{5}\mu_{2}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + i\bar{b}_{45}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{1}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{5}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{4}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{1}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{5}\mu_{2}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + i\bar{b}_{45}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{1}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{5}\mu_{3}\lambda}v_{\rho}\right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{(8)}^{(8)}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}\epsilon^{\rho\mu_{1}\mu_{5}\lambda}v_{\rho}. \end{split}$$

 Checking for multiple color structures as before Contractions with tensors above give 6 contributions
 ⇒ 6 op. with 2 color structures: 17 in total but only 11 at tree level

Results: SD Dimension 8 HQET operators

• Using the general method

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2M_{H}} \langle H|\bar{h}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}iD^{\mu}i\delta^{\mu}h|\rangle = \\ &i\bar{s}_{12}^{(6)} \left(v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{s}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{5}^{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{3}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{s}_{13}^{(6)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}\epsilon^{\rho}_{4}^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{3}\epsilon^{\rho}_{2}^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{b}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{3}^{\mu}_{3}^{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho}_{3}^{\mu}_{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{13}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{3}^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{4}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{3}^{\mu}_{3}^{2}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{b}_{14}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{4}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{2}\epsilon^{\rho}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{1}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{b}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{4}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{1}\epsilon^{\rho}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{2}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{b}_{45}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}\epsilon^{\rho}_{1}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}v_{\rho}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{3}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{2}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + i\bar{c}_{4}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{2}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{3}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} - v^{\mu}_{4}\Pi^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{3}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\lambda}v_{\rho} \right) + \\ &+i\bar{c}_{35}^{(8)} \left(v^{\mu}_{2}\Pi^{\mu}_{4}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu}_{1}^{\mu}_{5}^{\mu$$

- Checking for multiple color structures as before Contractions with tensors above give 6 contributions
 ⇒ 6 op. with 2 color structures: 17 in total but only 11 at tree level
- Explains 11 HQET dimesion 8 SD operators in [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)] The new operators will be listed below

Gil Paz (Wayne State University)

New Result: Dimension 8 NRQCD Lagrangian

 We can now list the dimension 8 NRQCD Lagrangian [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017), Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

New Result: Dimension 8 NRQCD Lagrangian

 We can now list the dimension 8 NRQCD Lagrangian [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017), Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{NRQCD}}^{\mathsf{dim}=8} &= \psi^{\dagger} \bigg\{ \dots c_{X1g} \frac{[D^{2}, \{D^{i}, E^{i}\}]}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X2g} \frac{\{D^{2}, [D^{i}, E^{i}]\}}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X3g} \frac{[D^{i}, [D^{i}, [D^{i}, [D^{i}, E^{j}]]]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ ic_{X4a} g^{2} \frac{\{D^{i}, e^{ijk} E_{a}^{j} B_{b}^{k} \{T^{a}, T^{b}\}\}}{2M^{4}} + ic_{X4b} g^{2} \frac{\{D^{i}, e^{ijk} E_{a}^{j} B_{b}^{k} \delta^{ab}\}}{m_{p}^{4}} + ic_{X5g} \frac{D^{i} \sigma \cdot (D \times E - E \times D)D^{i}}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ ic_{X6g} \frac{e^{ijk} \sigma^{i} D^{j} [D^{i}, E^{i}] D^{k}}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X7a} g^{2} \frac{\{\sigma \cdot B_{a} T^{a}, [D^{i}, E^{i}]_{b} T^{b}\}}{2M^{4}} + c_{X7b} g^{2} \frac{\sigma \cdot B_{a} [D^{i}, E^{i}]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X8a} g^{2} \frac{\{E_{a}^{i} T^{a}, [D^{i}, \sigma \cdot B]_{b} T^{b}\}}{2M^{4}} + c_{X8b} g^{2} \frac{E_{a}^{i} [D^{i}, \sigma \cdot B]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X9a} g^{2} \frac{\{B_{a}^{i} T^{a}, [D^{i}, \sigma \cdot E]_{b} T^{b}\}}{2M^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X9b} g^{2} \frac{B_{a}^{i} [D^{i}, \sigma \cdot E]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X10a} g^{2} \frac{\{E_{a}^{i} T^{a}, [\sigma \cdot D, B^{i}]_{b} T^{b}\}}{2M^{4}} + c_{X10b} g^{2} \frac{E_{a}^{i} [\sigma \cdot D, B^{i}]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X11a} g^{2} \frac{\{B_{a}^{i} T^{a}, [\sigma \cdot D, E^{i}]_{b} T^{b}\}}{2M^{4}} + c_{X11b} g^{2} \frac{B_{a}^{i} [\sigma \cdot D, E^{i}]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ \tilde{c}_{X12a} g^{2} \frac{e^{ijk} \sigma^{i} E_{a}^{j} [D_{i}, E^{k}]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} + ic_{X13g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, [D_{i}, E^{i}]]}{m_{p}^{4}} + ic_{X14g} g^{2} \frac{[B^{i}, (D \times E + E \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ \tilde{c}_{X12b} g^{2} \frac{e^{ijk} \sigma^{i} E_{a}^{j} [D_{i}, E^{k}]_{a}}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X16g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, [D_{i}, E^{i}]]}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X12g} g^{2} \frac{[B^{i}, (D \times E + E \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ ic_{X15g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, (D \times B + B \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X16g} g^{2} \frac{[G \cdot B, \{D^{i}, E^{i}\}]}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X17g} g^{2} \frac{[B^{i}, \{D^{i}, \sigma \cdot E\}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X18g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, (D \times B + B \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ ic_{X15g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, (D \times B + B \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} + c_{X16g} g^{2} \frac{[G \cdot B, \{D^{i}, E^{i}\}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X17g} g^{2} \frac{[B^{i}, \{D^{i}, D^{i}, G^{i}, E^{i}\}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X18g} g^{2} \frac{[E^{i}, (D \times B + B \times D)^{i}]}{m_{p}^{4}} \\ &+ c_{X16g}$$

- 25 operators
- c_{Xib} start at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

New Result: Dimension 9 HQET operators

• Using the general method: SI Dimension 9 HQET operators

New Result: Dimension 9 HQET operators • Using the general method: SI Dimension 9 HQET operators $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}\,iD^{\mu_{2}}\,iD^{\mu_{3}}\,iD^{\mu_{4}}\,iD^{\mu_{5}}\,iD^{\mu_{6}}\,h|H\rangle = a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a^{(9)}_{12,34}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_$ $+a_{12,35}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}\right)+a_{12,36}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}\right)+$ $+a_{13,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+a_{13,26}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+a_{14,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}+A_{13,26}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+a_{14,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+A_{13,26}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+a_{14,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+A_{13,26}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_{14,25}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_4})+A_$ $+a_{14.26}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{6}}\right)+a_{15.26}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}+a_{16.23}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}+$ $+a_{16,24}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_5}+a_{16,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_4}+b_{12,36}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_4}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_3}\right)+$ $+b^{(9)}_{12,46} \left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_5} + \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_4}\right) + b^{(9)}_{12,56} \Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_4} +$ $+b^{(9)}_{13,26} \left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_4}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_3}\right)+b^{(9)}_{13,46}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}+$ $+b_{14,26}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_4}\right)+b_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}+b_{15,26}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_4}+b_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_4}\right)+b_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}+h_{15,26}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_4}v^{\mu_4}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}v^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}\eta^{\mu_5}v^{\mu_5}+h_{14,36}^{(9)}v^{\mu$ $+b_{16,23}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}\right)+b_{16,24}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right)+$ $+b_{16,25}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}+b_{16,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{5}}+c^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{5}}$

New Result: Dimension 9 HQET operators • Using the general method: SI Dimension 9 HQET operators $\frac{1}{2M_{H}}\langle H|\bar{h}\,iD^{\mu_{1}}\,iD^{\mu_{2}}\,iD^{\mu_{3}}\,iD^{\mu_{4}}\,iD^{\mu_{5}}\,iD^{\mu_{6}}\,h|H\rangle = a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}\,\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} + a_{12,34}^{(9)}\,\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\,\Pi^{\mu_$ $+a^{(9)}_{12,35}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}\right)+a^{(9)}_{12,36}\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}\right)+$ $+a_{13,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}+a_{13,26}^{(9)}(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6})+a_{14,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}+$ $+a_{14.26}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{6}}\right)+a_{15.26}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}+a_{16.23}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}+$ $+a_{16,24}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}+a_{16,25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}+b_{12,36}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{6}}v^{\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\Pi^{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}\right)+$ $+b^{(9)}_{12,46}\,\left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_4}\right)+b^{(9)}_{12,56}\,\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\Pi^{\mu_5\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_4}+$ $+b^{(9)}_{13,26} \left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_4}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_3}\right)+b^{(9)}_{13,46}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_3}\Pi^{\mu_4\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}+$ $+b_{14,26}^{(9)} \left(\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_5}+\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_4}\right)+b_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}+b_{15,26}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_5}\Pi^{\mu_2\mu_6}v^{\mu_3}v^{\mu_4}+b_{14,36}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_1\mu_4}\Pi^{\mu_3\mu_6}v^{\mu_2}v^{\mu_5}\right)$ $+b_{16,23}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{4}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}\right)+b_{16,24}^{(9)}\left(\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{5}}+\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{4}}\right)+$ $+b_{16\ 25}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{2}\mu_{5}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}+b_{16\ 34}^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}\Pi^{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{5}}+c^{(9)}\Pi^{\mu_{1}\mu_{6}}v^{\mu_{2}}v^{\mu_{3}}v^{\mu_{4}}v^{\mu_{5}}$

There are also multiple color structures
 Arise from combining pure color octets:
 [iD^{µi}, iD^{µj}], [iD^{µi}, [iD^{µi}, iD^{µk}]], [iD^{µi}, [iD^{µi}, [iD^{µk}, iD^{µi}]]]

 For phenomenological applications at the current level of precision only T^aT^b is needed

Results: Relating different bases

- The method allows to easily relate different bases
- Dimension 7: Manohar '97 to Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10
- Dimension 8: Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10 to Hill, Lee, GP, Solon '12 (See also [Heinonen, Mannel, arXiv:1609.01334])

Results: Relating different bases

- The method allows to easily relate different bases
- Dimension 7: Manohar '97 to Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10
- Dimension 8: Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10 to Hill, Lee, GP, Solon '12 (See also [Heinonen, Mannel, arXiv:1609.01334])
- Useful since even simple quantities can depend on multiple operators e.g., *B* meson PDF, $S(\omega)$:

$$2M_B \int d\omega \, \omega^k \, S(\omega) = n_{\mu_1} ... n_{\mu_k} \langle \bar{B}(\mathbf{v}) | \bar{h} \, i D^{\mu_1} ... i D^{\mu_k} \, h | \bar{B}(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$$

Its fifth moment

$$\int d\omega \, \omega^5 \, S(\omega) = \left(-8r_1 + 2r_2 + 2r_3 + 2r_4 + r_5 + r_6 + r_7\right)/15$$

 Kobach and Pal have used the Hilbert series method to find the NRQED and NRQCD/HQET operators with dim≤ 8 [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

- Kobach and Pal have used the Hilbert series method to find the NRQED and NRQCD/HQET operators with dim≤ 8 [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- "While the Hilbert series can count the number of operators that are invariant under the given symmetries, it does not say how the indices within each operator are contracted. In general, this needs to be done by hand." [Kobach, Pal PLB **772** 225 (2017)]

- Kobach and Pal have used the Hilbert series method to find the NRQED and NRQCD/HQET operators with dim≤ 8 [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- "While the Hilbert series can count the number of operators that are invariant under the given symmetries, it does not say how the indices within each operator are contracted. In general, this needs to be done by hand." [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- Imposing T invariance is an issue: "Unlike NRQED, we have not found an automated way to implement invariance under time reversal in NRQCD/HQET" [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

- Kobach and Pal have used the Hilbert series method to find the NRQED and NRQCD/HQET operators with dim≤ 8 [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- "While the Hilbert series can count the number of operators that are invariant under the given symmetries, it does not say how the indices within each operator are contracted. In general, this needs to be done by hand." [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- Imposing T invariance is an issue: "Unlike NRQED, we have not found an automated way to implement invariance under time reversal in NRQCD/HQET" [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- Still, a useful check

Conclusions and Outlook

• Effective field theories are a very useful tool in contemporary physics

- Effective field theories are a very useful tool in contemporary physics
- The question of higher dimensional NRQED/HQET/NRQCD operators has roots in the early days of quantum mechanics

- Effective field theories are a very useful tool in contemporary physics
- The question of higher dimensional NRQED/HQET/NRQCD operators has roots in the early days of quantum mechanics
- The first discussion of number and identity of such operators was in [Mannel PRD **50**, 428 (1994)]

- Effective field theories are a very useful tool in contemporary physics
- The question of higher dimensional NRQED/HQET/NRQCD operators has roots in the early days of quantum mechanics
- The first discussion of number and identity of such operators was in [Mannel PRD **50**, 428 (1994)]
- In 2017 the problem was solved in
- [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

• We presented a general method to construct HQET operators: using tensor decomposition of HQET matrix elements

- We presented a general method to construct HQET operators: using tensor decomposition of HQET matrix elements
- Subject to constraints from
- Orthogonality: $v_{\mu_1} = v_{\mu_n} = v_\lambda = 0$
- P,T, and Hermitian conjugation:
 SI (SD) matrix elements are sym. (anti-sym.) under inversion
- Four dimensions:

not all tensors are linearly independent

- We presented a general method to construct HQET operators: using tensor decomposition of HQET matrix elements
- Subject to constraints from
- Orthogonality: $v_{\mu_1} = v_{\mu_n} = v_\lambda = 0$
- P,T, and Hermitian conjugation:
 SI (SD) matrix elements are sym. (anti-sym.) under inversion
- Four dimensions:

not all tensors are linearly independent

Checking possible multiple color structures must be done "by hand"

• We presented several applications:

- We presented several applications:
- Easily relate different bases
- Dimension 7: Manohar '97 to Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10
- Dimension 8: Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10 to Hill, Lee, GP, Solon '12 (See also [Heinonen, Mannel, arXiv:1609.01334])

- We presented several applications:
- Easily relate different bases
- Dimension 7: Manohar '97 to Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10
- Dimension 8: Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10 to Hill, Lee, GP, Solon '12 (See also [Heinonen, Mannel, arXiv:1609.01334])
- New! SI dimension 9 HQET operators

- We presented several applications:
- Easily relate different bases
- Dimension 7: Manohar '97 to Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10
- Dimension 8: Mannel-Turczyk-Uraltsev '10 to Hill, Lee, GP, Solon '12 (See also [Heinonen, Mannel, arXiv:1609.01334])
- New! SI dimension 9 HQET operators
- New! The dimension 8 NRQCD Lagrangian

- For NRQED/HQET/NRQCD we have both
- An explicit construction [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Enumration via a Hilbert series [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]

- For NRQED/HQET/NRQCD we have both
- An explicit construction [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Enumration via a Hilbert series [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- For SMEFT we only have the enumeration via a Hilbert series "Exactly how derivatives act and how Lorentz and gauge indices are contracted is information beyond what the Hilbert series can provide." [Henning, Lu, Melia, Murayama, JHEP **1708**, 016 (2017)]

- For NRQED/HQET/NRQCD we have both
- An explicit construction [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Enumration via a Hilbert series [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- For SMEFT we only have the enumeration via a Hilbert series "Exactly how derivatives act and how Lorentz and gauge indices are contracted is information beyond what the Hilbert series can provide." [Henning, Lu, Melia, Murayama, JHEP **1708**, 016 (2017)]
- Is an explicit construction possible for SMEFT?

- For NRQED/HQET/NRQCD we have both
- An explicit construction [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Enumration via a Hilbert series [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- For SMEFT we only have the enumeration via a Hilbert series "Exactly how derivatives act and how Lorentz and gauge indices are contracted is information beyond what the Hilbert series can provide." [Henning, Lu, Melia, Murayama, JHEP **1708**, 016 (2017)]
- Is an explicit construction possible for SMEFT?
- Regardless, in the last few years we have learned that the structure of effective field theories is simpler than we thought

- For NRQED/HQET/NRQCD we have both
- An explicit construction [Gunawardna, GP JHEP 1707 137 (2017)]
- Enumration via a Hilbert series [Kobach, Pal PLB 772 225 (2017)]
- For SMEFT we only have the enumeration via a Hilbert series "Exactly how derivatives act and how Lorentz and gauge indices are contracted is information beyond what the Hilbert series can provide." [Henning, Lu, Melia, Murayama, JHEP **1708**, 016 (2017)]
- Is an explicit construction possible for SMEFT?
- Regardless, in the last few years we have learned that the structure of effective field theories is simpler than we thought

Thank you!

Backup

Non perturbative Wilson Coefficients

• Matrix element of EM current between nucleon states give rise to two form factors $(q = p_f - p_i)$

$$\langle N(p_f)|J^{\mu}|N(p_i)\rangle = \bar{u}(p_f)\left[\gamma^{\mu}F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{2M}F_2(q^2)q_{\nu}\right]u(p_i)$$

• Define
$$D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ieZA^0$$
, $D = \nabla - ieZA$
NRQED Lagrangian up to order $1/M^2$:
 $= \psi^{\dagger} \left\{ iD_t + \frac{D^2}{2M} + c_F e \frac{\sigma \cdot B}{2M} + c_D e \frac{[\nabla \cdot E]}{8M^2} + ic_S e \frac{\sigma \cdot (D \times E - E \times D)}{8M^2} \right\} \psi + \cdots$

- Non perturbative matching
- Order $1/M^0$: $Z = F_1(0)$

ſ.

- Order 1/M: $c_F = F_1(0) + F_2(0)$,
- Order $1/M^2$: $c_D = F_1(0) + 2F_2(0) + 8M^2F_1'(0)$, $c_S = F_1(0) + 2F_2(0)$

• Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.

- Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.
- $\mathcal{L}_{HQET}^{\dim=5} \ni c_F g \bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h/4M$, $\mathcal{L}_{NRQED}^{\dim=5} \ni c_F g \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \psi/2M$

- Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h/4M$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQED}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \psi/2M$
- For inclusive B decays Perturbative Wilson coefficients, Non-perturbative matrix elements: $\langle \bar{h}\sigma_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} h \rangle$ related to $B - B^*$ mass difference

- Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h/4M$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQED}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \psi/2M$
- For inclusive B decays Perturbative Wilson coefficients, Non-perturbative matrix elements: $\langle \bar{h}\sigma_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} h \rangle$ related to $B - B^*$ mass difference
- For proton structure effects in spectroscopy
 Non-perturbative Wilson coefficients, Perturbative matrix elements:
 c_F related to proton magnetic moment
Outlook: open questions

- Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h/4M$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQED}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \psi/2M$
- For inclusive B decays Perturbative Wilson coefficients, Non-perturbative matrix elements: $\langle \bar{h}\sigma_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} h \rangle$ related to $B - B^*$ mass difference
- For proton structure effects in spectroscopy
 Non-perturbative Wilson coefficients, Perturbative matrix elements:
 c_F related to proton magnetic moment
- Some can be extracted from data, other require Lattice QCD What can we calculate in the next 10, 20, or even 100 years?

Outlook: open questions

- Non-perturbative input is needed for physical applications, e.g.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \bar{h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h/4M$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQED}}^{\text{dim}=5} \ni c_F g \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \psi/2M$
- For inclusive B decays Perturbative Wilson coefficients, Non-perturbative matrix elements: $\langle \bar{h}\sigma_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} h \rangle$ related to $B - B^*$ mass difference
- For proton structure effects in spectroscopy
 Non-perturbative Wilson coefficients, Perturbative matrix elements:
 c_F related to proton magnetic moment
- Some can be extracted from data, other require Lattice QCD What can we calculate in the next 10, 20, or even 100 years?

• Convergence:

"..it has been argued that the OPE results in an asymptotic series with limitations paralleling those for the perturbative series." [Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev JHEP **1011**, 109 (2010)]