### Beam-beam correction in vdM scans

Vladik Balagura (LLR – Ecole polytechnique / IN2P3)

LHC Lumi Days, 4-5 Jun 2019

Vladik Balagura

Beam-beam correction in vdM scans

LHC Lumi Days, 4-5 Jun 2019 1/1

## Beam-beam force

Two-dim. electrostatic force btw. 2 particles in  $q_2$  rest frame ( $\beta_0 \approx 1$  – velocity of  $q_1$ ):

$$\Delta p_1 = \frac{E_2(x, y)}{\beta_0 c} = \frac{q_1 q_2}{2\pi R \epsilon_0 \beta_0 c} = \frac{2Z_1 Z_2 \alpha \hbar}{R \beta_0}.$$



Kick from Gaussian round bunch:

$$\Delta \vec{p}_{1} = -\frac{2Z_{1}Z_{2}\alpha\hbar N_{2}}{\beta_{0}}\frac{\vec{R}}{R^{2}}(1-e^{-R^{2}/2\sigma_{2}^{2}}),$$

Bassetti-Erskine formula if  $\sigma_x \neq \sigma_y$ .

Modifies bunch shapes and their overlap integral, requires vdM correction.

# Transverse accelerator beam dynamics

Bunch shape is created by transverse oscillations of p around stable orbit. Along full LHC ring:  $Q_{x,y} = 62.313, 60.317$  oscillations ("tunes", after 2017). At any point, phase-space trajec. = ellipse in (u, u'), where u = X or Y, u' is corresp. angle wrt. beam. One LHC turn = Q cycles around ellipse.

At IP beams are maximally focused  $\rightarrow$  Twiss parameter  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\beta}{dz} = 0 \rightarrow$  ellipse not inclined.

$$u = \sqrt{\epsilon \beta^*} \cos(\phi), \quad u' = du/dz = -\sqrt{\epsilon/\beta^*} \sin(\phi),$$

 $\beta^* = \beta_{min}, \epsilon$  – particle's emittance (or amplitude). Definition

$$z = u - i\beta^* u' = \sqrt{\epsilon\beta^*} e^{i\phi}$$

converts ellipse to circle, one turn map:  $z_{n+1} = z_n e^{2\pi Q i}$ . Bunch = double Gaussian in (u, u'). Full phase space is 4-dimensional: (X, X', Y, Y').

## Simulation

Per "macro"-particle = Gaussian bunch particles agglomerated at transverse grid points. Weight from two-dimensional Gaussian in (u, u'):

 $w_x^i \propto r_x/\sigma^2 \exp(-r_x^2/2\sigma^2) dr_x,$ 

same for  $w_y$ , full  $w^i = w_x^i w_y^i$  is normalized:  $\sum_i w^i = 1$ . One turn of macro-particle in bunch 1

$$z_{n+1} = (z_n - i\beta^*\Delta u')e^{2\pi iQ},$$

 $\Delta u' = \Delta \vec{p}_1/p$  = angular beam-beam kick, separately in *x* and *y*. Round equal bunch profiles. Beam-beam moves particles by  $O(1\mu m) \rightarrow$  neglect perturbation of source bunch, ie. use kick formula of Gaussian bunch.

$$\int ((\rho_1+\delta\rho_1)(\rho_2+\delta\rho_2)-\rho_1\rho_2)\,dxdy\approx\int 2\rho\delta=2\int ((\rho_1+\delta\rho_1)\rho_2-\rho_1\rho_2)\,dxdy.$$

 $\rightarrow$  determine effect in perturbed - pertubed as pert. - unpert.  $\times 2$ . Integral of perturbed macro-particles *i* with continuous unperturbed Gaussian "field"  $\rho_2$ :

$$\int (\rho_1 + \delta \rho_1) \rho_2 \, dx \, dy = \sum_i w^i \cdot \rho_2(x_i, y_i).$$

Vladik Balagura

# Simulation optimisation

Aim:  $\leq 0.1\%$  precision for 4D MC in reasonable CPU time.

10 000 macroparticles in uniform X-Y grid with  $\sqrt{10000} = 100$  nodes along  $\pm 5\sigma$  X and Y sides. All points at  $> 5\sigma$  from bunch centers are removed.

How to sample X', Y' angular coordinates? Every point ( $r_x$ ,  $r_y$ ) is rotated with random uniformly distrib. phases  $\phi_{x,y}^i$ :

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{i} = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{i}}, \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{i} = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{i \phi_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{i}}.$$

Simulation runs 10 000 accelerator turns  $\rightarrow$  every point well samples its perturbed circles X-X' and Y-Y'. 10<sup>4</sup> particles  $\times 10^4$  turns samples full 4D.

Beam-beam is OFF first 1000 turns  $\rightarrow$  verify numeric integration with analytic formula (bias negligible). Next 1000 + 1000 turns – adiabatic switch ON and stabilization. Perturbed integral is accumulated during last 3000–10000 turns.

To increase randomness, tunes  $Q_{x,y}$  are made irrational (eg. with 2 digits Q \* 100 is integer, after 100 turns particles resample about the same points).

# Notes on physical model 1

#### During beam-beam ( $Z \sim O(1 cm)$ ) ( $\Delta X, \Delta Y$ ) deflection negligible

Only angular kick  $(\Delta X', \Delta Y') \neq 0$ .  $(\Delta X, \Delta Y)$  - at larger scale, eg. one turn. (kick, then turn) or (turn, then kick), ie.  $z_{n+1} = (z_n - i\beta^*\Delta u')e^{2\pi i Q}$ , or  $z_n e^{2\pi i Q} - i\beta^*\Delta u'$ : does not matter.

Assumption of Gaussian bunches if beam-beam at IP were OFF.

Whatever affects bunches: injection, beam-beam at other IPs etc, this only modifies (effective) initial Gaussian  $\sigma$ .

# Notes on physical model 2

#### Best experim. sensitivity to beam-beam lumi change:

monitor *L* at IP #1 during vdM scan in IP #2 (nothing changes except beam-beam). Same  $z_{n+1} = (z_n e^{2\pi i \Delta Q_{12}} - i \sqrt{\beta_1^* \beta_2^*} \Delta u_2') e^{2\pi i (Q - \Delta Q_{12})}$ , except  $\Delta Q_{12}$ ,  $Q - \Delta Q_{12}$  instead of *Q* and  $\sqrt{\beta_1^* \beta_2^*}$ . Only fractional *Q*,  $\Delta Q$  part matters  $\rightarrow$  effect from  $\Delta Q$  can be of the same order,  $\sqrt{\beta_1^* \beta_2^*}$  can give extra enhancement: vdM at LHCb ( $\beta_2^* = 24$  m), *L* measurement at ATLAS/CMS ( $\beta_1^* = 1.5$  m),  $\sqrt{24/1.5} = 4$ .

#### Beam-beam is simple

circular phase space trajectory = energy conserving harmonic oscillator, under influence of kicks - not difficult

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Results

#### Beam parameters from previous'2012 simulation to compare

 $E_{p} = 3500 \text{ GeV}, Q_{x,y} = 0.31, 0.32, \beta = 1.5 \text{ m}, \epsilon = 4\mu m \cdot rad \rightarrow \sigma = 40\mu \text{m}, N_{p}^{1,2} = 8.5 \cdot 10^{10}.$ 



Black: new, red: old'2012 – large difference

Vladik Balagura

# **Cross-section correction**

$\sigma = \frac{\int \mu(\Delta x, \Delta y_0)  d\Delta x \cdot \int \mu(\Delta x_0, \Delta y)  d\Delta y}{\mu(\Delta x_0, \Delta y_0) N_1 N_2}$	$b \to 1 + rac{\delta\sigma}{\sigma}$	$=(1+rac{\delta\int\mu}{\int\mu})^2(1+rac{\delta}{\partial\mu})^2(1+rac{\delta}{\partial\mu}{\partial$	$(1+rac{\delta\mu_{0,0}}{\mu_{0,0}})^{-1}$
Close beams (incl. head-on) - large weight, wide sep exponentially small.			
	Old'2012	new Jan'2019	
$\sigma(bb)/\sigma(no~bb)-1$	-1.2%	-0.3%	

In real vdM analysis: divide each point by  $L(bb)/L(no bb) \rightarrow$  eliminate beam-beam effect.

# Old simulation'2012

Main approximation: beam-beam kicked bunch remains Gaussian (does not work well)

Two parts:

mean correction (jargon: "orbit shift")

 $\sigma$  correction (jargon: "dynamic beta correction")

# Correction of mean



Average bunch 1 kick = single particle kick with  $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$ .

Good approximation even for non-Gaussian bunches: if round-bunch or Bassetti-Erskine formula can be applied to single particle.

Fill free to use this proof in your future textbooks :)

Image: A marked and A marked

# Correction of mean



Average bunch 1 kick = single particle kick with  $\sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$ .

Good approximation even for non-Gaussian bunches: if round-bunch or Bassetti-Erskine formula can be applied to single particle.

Fill free to use this proof in your future textbooks :)

#### average angular kick $\rightarrow$ average *X*, *Y*-shift, textbook formula

$$<\Delta ec{r}_{x,y}>=rac{<\Delta ec{
ho}_{x,y}>}{
ho}rac{eta_{x,y}^*}{2\tan(\pi Q_{x,y})}$$

# Old: $\sigma$ correction (jargon: "dynamic beta correction")

Bunch remains Gaussian if  $\Delta x' \propto x$ ,  $\Delta y' \propto y$  (= quadrupole magnet). Beam-beam kick

$$\Delta ec{p}_1 \propto rac{\dot{R}}{R^2}(1-e^{-R^2/2\sigma_2^2}),$$

was approximated as

$$\Delta p_{1x} = p_{1x}^0 + \frac{\partial \Delta p_{1x}}{\partial x} x,$$

derivative was taken at bunch 2 center where x = 0. Same for y.

Cross-derivatives  $\partial \Delta p_{1x} / \partial y = \partial \Delta p_{1y} / \partial x = 0$  except in offset scans where this approximation is not applicable.

 $p_{1x}^0$  at bunch 2 center does not reproduce known "orbit shift" since kick model is not correct. Patch:  $p_{1x}^0$  was substituted (ad hoc) to obtain correct mean. Quadrupole magnet  $(\frac{\partial \Delta p_{1x}}{\partial x})x$  changes only  $\sigma$  or  $\beta^*$  (jargon: "beta-beat") by:

$$\frac{\Delta\beta_{x,y}^*}{\beta_{x,y}^*} = \frac{\partial\Delta p_{1\ x,y}}{\partial x,y} \frac{\beta_{x,y}^*}{2\tan(2\pi Q_{x,y})}.$$

# Old simulation'2012: résumé

Beam-beam modeled as dipole + quadrupole:



MAD-X sim.'2012 (LHC soft, w/ non-linearities)  $\approx$  linear "beta-beat" formula (< 0.1% difference in x-section).

Vladik Balagura

# Old simulation: drawbacks

Precision  $\lesssim$  10% is sufficient for accelerator, no tools for L-integrals at  $\leq$  1%.  $\rightarrow$  Gaussian approximation, limited to quadrupole (linear) kick

$$\Delta p_{1x} = p_{1x}^0 + \frac{\partial \Delta p_{1x}}{\partial x} x$$

instead of non-linear

$$\propto (1-e^{-R^2/2\sigma_2^2})/R$$

(or Bassetti-Erskine).



Eg.  $\frac{\partial \Delta p_{1x}}{\partial x} = 0$  at beam separation = 1.5852 $\sigma$ , approximating quadrupole is absent, but slope at IP – 60% of maximal  $\rightarrow$  impossible to approximate kick linearly everywhere.

Orbit shift formula describes center-of-mass of even perturbed non-Gaussian. But: in luminosity correction it was used as a Gaussian center – not correct.

Beam-beam induces X - Y coupling absent in old model.

3

ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Cross-checks of new simulation, center

Bunch center-of-mass agrees with analytic formula (dashed == solid)



Vladik Balagura

## Cross-checks of new simulation, convergence

First 1000 turns – beam-beam OFF, next 1000 – adiabatic switch ON, 1000 – wait, last 7000 – final averaging.



100 turn averages. Perturbed - unpert. integral  $\rightarrow \times 2$  for pertub. - perturb.

## Cross-checks of new simulation, average const kick

New simulation with precise kick substituted by constant (change of  $\sim$  5 lines of code):  $\Delta p_{1x} = p_{1x}^0 =$  old dipole  $\rightarrow$  reproduces analytic formula



## Cross-checks of new simulation, old quadrupole model

New simulation with same quadrupole as in MAD-X'2012  $\rightarrow$  reproduces analytic formula. Non-linear effects in MAD-X gave <0.1% in x-section.



## Cross-checks of new simulation, old di- + quadrupole

It does not matter whether dipole is included in kick or orbit shift is corrected analytically. Here: kick = dipole + quadrupole  $\rightarrow$  old model up to MAD-X non-linearities.



# Cross-checks, beam-beam switch ON

Adiabatic or abrupt?  $\rightarrow$  it does not matter:



Adiabatic: gradually transforms circle points to  $\approx$  same perturbed phase trajectory. Abrupt: point = circle  $\cap$  some perturbed trajectory. In one step it switches from one to another  $\rightarrow$  points are transported to different perturbed trajectories intersecting initial circle. Abrupt gives spread around adiabatic trajectory, but  $\ll$  circle's  $R \rightarrow$  negligible in overlap integral.

# Conclusions

#### New simulation in GitHub

- https://github.com/balagura/ beam-beam-simulation-for-vdM-scans-at-LHC
- Main code in C++ without dependencies
- One vdM step takes a few seconds on my laptop
- Initially written in Jan'19 with x-checks added in Feb-Mar, 800 C++ lines

#### Best sensitivity to detect beam-beam or set upper limits

observe L changes at CMS/ATLAS during vdM scan in LHCb (with large  $\beta^*$ )

# All LHC cross-sections using old beam-beam corrections (from 2012 on) are affected

- New simulation predicts much smaller corrections
- Disagreement of order 1%