

Understanding of the beam emittance evolution during SB

- Close look at the emittance scans during SB issues
- Emittance evolution in SB from LHC instruments, and experimental data (conv emit, luminous region)

🕓 15m



UNDERSTANDING OF THE BEAM EMITTANCE EVOLUTION DURING SB

Olena Karacheban on behalf of CMS Collaboration

Acknowledgements to other colleagues: M.Hostettler, G.Trad, I.Efthymiopoulos, S.Papadopoulou, T. Pieloni

LHC Lumi Days Workshop 2019, 4-5 June 2019, CERN, Geneva https://indico.cern.ch/event/813285/timetable/

Devices for emittance measurement

Wire scanner (WS)

- The most precise LHC device, but can not be used for emittance measurement of all bunches in the machine (limitations are ~240 nominal bunches @450 GeV or ~12 bunches @6.5TeV).
- Beam Synchrotron Radiation Telescope (BSRT)
 - Cross calibrated to WS in the "BSRT calibration fills" carried out several times during the year. Far in time from the "BSRT calibration fills", the absolute calibration of BSRT drifts due to radiation damage.
 - Used during the LHC operation for the relative change of the emittance during the fill.
- Emittance scans (as focus of this talk)
 - From 2017, emittance scans are done in every fill at CMS at the beginning and at the end of the fill.
 - From 2018 at CMS (IP5) and ATLAS (IP1).
 - In addition to powerful handle on luminometers performance, emittance scans are used for emittance evolution studies in long LHC fills.

CMS emittance scans for beam emittance measurement



- Beams are scanned at CMS (IP5) in 7-9 separation steps in X and Y plane.
- The effective beam overlap $\Sigma_{x(Y)}$ is measured from the width of the Gaussian fit to the emittance scan data in X(Y) and used to calculate emittance X(Y).

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon_{x} = \left[\sum_{x}^{2} \gamma - 2\gamma \sigma_{z}^{2} \sin^{2}(\alpha/2) \right] / \left[2\beta^{*} \cos^{2}(\alpha/2) \right] \\ & \epsilon_{y} = \sum_{y}^{2} \gamma / 2\beta^{*} \\ & (\alpha/2) \text{ crossing angle, } \gamma \text{ relativistic factor, } \beta^{*} \text{ beam optics, } \sigma_{z} \text{ bunch length.} \end{split}$$

 ϵ_{χ} calculation requires precisely measured bunch length

Longitudinal bunch profiles (LHC)



Link to the talk S.Papadopoulou



- q-parameter of q-Gaussian approaches 1, beams become more Gaussian with time.
- Non-Gaussian longitudinal profile of the beam leads to overestimated σ_z by ~5% (difference of estimate from q-Gaussian fits and values reported by BQM).

Why do we need IP5 and IP1 scans

M.Hostettler



- Assuming round optics, ATLAS and CMS emittance measured agree for the non-crossing plane.
- The crossing plane emittance measurement is biased:
 - Cross-experiment correction shows big fill-to-fill variation.
 - Correction can be only quantified when CMS and ATLAS emittance scans are carried out one after another!

CMS emittance Y vs. WS emittance in BSRT calibration fill 7220

- 9 bunches of different emittance, but similar intensity were used in the BSRT calibration fill 7220 (β^* = 30 cm, $\alpha/2$ = 160 µrad, I= 0.9-1.1x10¹¹ protons per bunch)
- One regular emittance scan and one extended emittance scan were done for CMS.
- CMS systematically measures higher emittance than wire scanner.
 - ~5% spread for this emittance family is detector related (HFET-forward calorimeter transverse energy E_T counting or PLT pixel luminosity telescope) or scan type related (9 separation steps or 15 steps).



CMS emittance Y vs. WS emittance in BSRT calibration fill 6592

- 9 bunches of different emittance, starting conditions similar to fill 7220
- CMS systematically measures ~15-20% higher emittance than WS for all bunches.
 - ~3-5% spread is detector related (HFOC-forward calorimeter occupancy method or BCM1F Si – Fast Beam Condition Monitor, silicon sensor) or scan related (first scan or last scan of the fill).
 - Note: we do not have bunches narrower than 1.7µm in fill 6592!



Observed bunch shapes, BSRT calibration fill 6592

Wide bunch ~4.5µm



- Non-Gaussian profile of the beams:
 - "S-like shape" in the residuals in X scan
 - "W-like" shape in the residuals Y scan
- Shapes in the residuals are more pronounced for the narrow bunches.
- Similar shapes are seen in the residuals to Gaussian fit of ATLAS emittance scans.
- Scanning the beams in opposite to usual scan direction (e.g. not left →right, but right → left) did not change the observation → real beam effect.

Link to the talk from M.Hostettler

Narrow bunch ~1.8µm



Emittance scans for emittance evolution study



Using single Gaussian fit to all colliding bunches we can measure:



In the long LHC fills early and late scans are 12-15 hours separated.

Fill 7334, effective beam overlap $\Sigma_{X(Y)}$ In the "early scan"



4 µm decrease in the Σ_X , almost no change in Σ_Y . Not the same trend as in VdM, as Σ_X should be corrected for Crossing angle (see $\sigma_{X(Y)}$ evolution and VdM slide in backup).

Fill 7334, BQM bunch length measurement, σ_Z

at the time of "early scan"

at the time of "late scan"



These per bunch crossing BQM measurements are showing evolution of the bunches in the longitudinal plane and are used in emittance X calculation.

Fill 7334, CMS emittance evolution $\varepsilon_{X(Y)}$

In the "early scan"

In the "late scan"



+0.5um correction to ϵ_{X} is applied to take into account σ_{Z} non-Gaussian shape.

No additional corrections to $\epsilon_{\chi}!$ σ_{Z} considered to be Gaussian in late scan.

Comparison of CMS emittance Y with BSRT

- As bunches evolve differently, 4 groups were proposed for evolution study (see the <u>talk</u>)
- CMS emittance always higher than BSRT.
 - Just after the BSRT calibration, Fill 7221, ~25% difference seen for both scans in Y. CMS measures higher emittance in Y and similar emittance growth in 13 hours relative to the emittance at the beginning of the fill. Up to 3% difference is seen in the measured emittance when using HFOC or HFET data.



Fill 7221	EmittanceY from CMS			EmittanceY(V) from Machine (BSRT)			Vertical plane (Y)	
	Early scan	Late scan	Delta ɛ (13h)	Time of Early scan	Time of Late scan	Delta ε (13h)	EarlyCMS/EarlyBSRT	LateCMS/LateBSRT
first_bs_batch1	1.91	2.61	37%	1.53	2.07	35%	1.25	1.26
last_bs_batch1	2.3	3.01	31%	1.87	2.40	28%	1.23	1.25
first_bs_batch3	1.99	2.94	48%	1.59	2.33	47%	1.25	1.26
last_bs_batch3	2.17	3.04	40%	1.77	2.42	37%	1.23	1.26

 In a different fill, Fill 7334 ~10% difference seen for late scan in Y and ~20% in the early scan. CMS measures less emittance growth in 13 hours in this fill (delta ε – difference of emittance in early and late scan relative to early emittance).

Fill 7334	EmittanceY from CMS			EmittanceY(V) from Machine (BSRT)			Vertical plane (Y)	
	Early scan	Late scan	Delta ε (13h)	Time of Early scan	Time of Late scan	Delta ε (13h)	EarlyCMS/EarlyBSRT	LateCMS/LateBSRT
first_bs_batch1	2.14	2.59	21%	1.79	2.33	30%	1.20	1.11
last_bs_batch1	2.64	2.92	11%	2.14	2.60	21%	1.23	1.12
first_bs_batch3	2.27	2.86	26%	1.86	2.59	39%	1.22	1.10
last_bs_batch3	2.41	2.84	18%	1.98	2.61	32%	1.22	1.09
	1							

Work planned and open questions

- Summer 2019
- There is still a lot of work to do... We looked at several fills from 85 long fills available in CMS data and ~25 fills with good BSRT data in 2018.
 - Dynamic β^* correction to be finalized and applied to all emittance scans.
 - Emittance evolution comparison in all long fills in 2017-2018 to study trends and compare with emittance evolution from BSRT data.
 - Test: for how much we have to reduce σ_z to bring CMS/ATLAS emittance measurements into agreement in the crossing plane.
 - Compare σ_z from BQM and from CMS beamspot measurement
- Longitudinal profiles study in any fill where data is available in 2017-2018 (in contact with Helga Timko).
 - Goal: to understand why correction to emittance scan is ~0.5µm in 2016, ~0.7µm in 2017 and ~0.9µm in 2018 with big variations from fill to fill.
- Using ATLAS emittance scans for the cross check emittance in the crossing plane and the best longitudinal profiles from BQM can we gain understanding and get consistency in CMS emittance X?
- BSRT calibration fills analyses:
 - if we have data from more scans, analyze all for consistency check (including 2017 BSRT calibration fills and all BRIL detectors with final corrections).
- Do we have a handle on non-factorization (XY correlation)? If it is changing during the fill, it has an impact on emittance evolution we extract.

References and additional material

Fill 7334, beam width evolution $\sigma_{X(Y)}$

In the "early scan"

In the "late scan"



1 µm increase in the calculated SigmaX, almost no change in SigmaY. **Trend similar to VdM fill (see on the next slide).**

Beam width evolution in VdM Scans 2018



- Beam grows in X and shrinks in Y. First scan in VdM program (norn1) and the last scan (norm4) are ~18h separated. This change of beam width is slow:
 - E.g. from ~120 μ m for BCID 3380 at the beginning to 130 μ m at the end of the fill in X plane
 - From ~115 μ m at the beginning to ~100 μ m at the end of the fill
- Those points with big error bars are offset scans, whey have small statistics.
- The same evolution in VdM 2017 was observed.

BSRT calibration Fill results

BSRT calibration Fill 7220

E. Bravin, G. Trad link:BSRTcalibr_LMC

Comparison of convoluted emittances from Emittance Scans and WS with Luminosity



- Agreement of Emittance Scans with the ones from Luminosity is 5-20%
- Emittances from WS up to 10-15% lower than the Luminosity ones Revealing discrepancy between BSRT (calibrated against WS) and emittance from Luminosity → understanding this difference is important

Link to the talk 7

residuals: bunch structure, separation, EOF

- residuals factor of ~4 smaller, structure getting lost ...
 - more Gaussian bunches?



residuals: bunch structure, crossing

- overall structure reproducible for all BCIDs
 - but NOT between ATLAS and CMS (unlike separation!)



Link to the talk



Michi Hostet

Emittance evolution during stable beams 2017



Colliding bunches have similar growth for any beam and plane along the 2017 run.

Non-colliding bunches usually damp, unless they get unstable.

Non-colliding closer to model and colliding over model.

Link to the talk

Convoluted emittances at start of SB



Emittances from Luminosity agree within 10-15% with the ones from Emittance Scans and BSRT (see appendix)

Link to the talk

Considering only Fills for which the convoluted emittances at start of SB from Luminosity and BSRT differ less than 10%

Average en	Average emittance values [µm]			Relative emittance blow-up [%]			
	B1H, B2H	B1V, B2V		B1H, B2H	B1V,B2V		
Stable Beams	1.9	1.9	Ramp	~20	~25		

Extra emittance growth at SB

Measured (BSRT)-Model emit. difference after 5h at SB vs Fill number $d\epsilon/dt \rightarrow extra \ emittance \ growth \ on \ top \ of \ IBS$



9th LHC Operations Evian Workshop 31/01/2019

Link to the talk

(Taking into account only Fills for which the convoluted emittances at start of SB from Luminosity and BSRT differ less than 10%)

Emit. growth after 5h @ SB [μ m/h]						
B1H		B1V	B2H	B2V		
extra	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.09		

Not constant extra growth along a fill in horizontal

In 2017, the extra emittance growth at SB was around 0.05μ m/h and 0.1μ m/h in the horizontal and the vertical plane, respectively

These values are close to what is observed as emittance growth from noise at SB (X. Buffat)