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■ Local Dark Matter (DM) density, $\rho_{\text {loc }}$, and DM-nucleon scattering cross section, $\sigma$, are degenerate if the DM scattering rate only depends on their product

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathscr{R}}{\mathrm{~d} E_{R}}=\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{loc}}}{m_{\chi} m_{T}} \int_{|\mathbf{v}|>v_{\min }} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{v}|\mathbf{v}| f(\mathbf{v}, t) \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} E_{R}}
$$

■ However, when DM is lighter than $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$, spin-independent DM nucleon scattering cross sections of the order of $10^{-36} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ are still experimentally allowed

- For these cross section values, the DM velocity distribution becomes a function of the DM-nucleon scattering cross section (the so-called Earthcrossing effect)
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- This breaks the degeneracy between $\rho_{\text {loc }}$ and $\sigma$

If DM lies in this region of parameter space, can we simultaneously measure DM-nucleon scattering cross section
and


- Earth-crossing effect
- Quantitative impact on the local DM velocity distribution
- Application: Extracting the local DM density from a future signal at direct detection experiments
- Summary


## Earth-crossing effect

- In the standard paradigm $f=f_{\text {halo }}$, where $f_{\text {halo }}$ is the velocity distribution in the halo
- However, before reaching the detector, DM particles have to cross the Earth

- The Earth-crossing of DM unavoidably distorts $f_{\text {halo }}$ if DM interacts with nuclei, which implies $f \neq f_{\text {halo }}$. I will refer to this distortion as Earthcrossing effect


## Earth-crossing effect

- Two processes contribute to the Earth-crossing effect; attenuation and deflection:

(a) Attenuation

(b) Deflection


## Earth-crossing effect

- As a result, the DM velocity distribution at detector can be written as follows:

$$
f(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)=f_{A}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)+f_{D}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)
$$

■ $f_{A}$ and $f_{D}$ depends on the input $f_{\text {halo }}, m_{\chi}, \sigma$, the Earth composition and $\gamma=\cos ^{-1}\left(\left\langle\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\chi}\right\rangle \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{det}}\right)$

■ Key observation: since $\gamma$ depends on the detector position and on time, the same is true for $f(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)$

## Computing the attenuation term, $f_{A}$

- For DM particles crossing the Earth with velocity $\mathbf{v}$, the survival probability is given by

$$
p_{\text {surv }}(v)=\exp \left[-\int_{\mathrm{AB}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \ell}{\lambda(\mathbf{r}, v)}\right]
$$

- The velocity distribution of particles entering the Earth with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ is related to the free halo distribution $f_{0}(\mathbf{v})=f_{\text {halo }}(\mathbf{v})$ by


$$
f_{A}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)=f_{0}(\mathbf{v}) p_{\text {surv }}(v)
$$

## Computing the deflection term, $f_{D}$

- Rate of particles entering an infinitesimal interaction region at C and scattering into the direction $\mathbf{v}$ :
$\left[n_{\chi} f_{0}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{d} \mathbf{S} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{d} p_{\text {scat }} P\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{v}\right]$
where $\mathrm{d} p_{\text {scat }}=\mathrm{d} \ell /\left[\lambda\left(\mathbf{r}, v^{\prime}\right) \cos \alpha\right]$.
- The rate of deflected particles leaving the interaction region with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ can also be written in terms of $f_{D}$


$$
n_{\chi} f_{D}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{d} \mathbf{S} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{v}
$$

## Computing the deflection term, $f_{D}$

- The contribution to $f_{D}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)$ from the interaction point C , and velocities around $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ is

$$
f_{D}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)=\frac{\mathrm{d} \ell}{\lambda\left(\mathbf{r}, v^{\prime}\right)} \frac{v^{\prime}}{v} f_{0}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right) P\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}
$$

- The final expression for $f_{D}$ is obtained by integrating over $\mathrm{d} \ell$ and $\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}$.
- Multiplying $f(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)=f_{A}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)+f_{D}(\mathbf{v}, \gamma)$ by $v^{2}=|\mathbf{v}|^{2}$, and integrating over $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{\mathrm{v}}$, one obtains the dark matter speed distribution at detector after Earthcrossing.
- Comments: $v^{\prime} / v$ determined by kinematics; $f_{D}$ depends upon $\sigma$ through $\lambda$ and $P\left(\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}\right)$.


## Dark matter speed distribution at detector
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## Earth-crossing effect / position dependence

In the following, $N_{\text {pert }}=N_{f_{A}+f_{D}, \sigma}$ and $N_{\text {free }}=N_{f_{\text {halo }, \sigma}}$
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## Earth-crossing effect / time dependence

B. J. Kavanagh, R. Catena and C. Kouvaris, JCAP 1701 (2017) no.01, 012


## Comparison with the MC code DAMASCUS
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## Comparison with the MC code DAMASCUS
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## Reconstructing $\rho_{\text {loc }}$ and $\sigma$ from a future signal

If DM lies in this region of parameter space, can we simultaneously measure DM-nucleon scattering cross section
and


## Reconstructing $\rho_{\text {loc }}$ and $\sigma$ : 1D profile likelihood
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## Reconstructing $\rho_{\text {loc }}$ and $\sigma$ : 2D profile likelihood
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## Summary

- Analytic and MC calculations of Earth-scattering effects can be used to simultaneously extract local DM density and DM-nucleon scattering cross section from data
- For $\sim 60$ signal events, the relative error on $\rho_{\text {loc }}$ is of a factor of 2 ; for $\sim$ 200 signal events is of about $50 \%$; and for $\sim 2000$ signal events is of about 10\%

