# Double Parton Distributions for the Nucleon on the Lattice

November 21, 2019 Christian Zimmermann Universität Regensburg

Work done with Gunnar S. Bali, Markus Diehl, Jonathan R. Gaunt, Benjamin Gläßle and Andreas Schäfer

11th International Workshop on Multiple Partonic Interactions at the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LHC}}$ 



# Introduction

#### **Double Parton Distributions:**

Crucial piece of information for description of Double Parton Scattering:

$$\sigma \sim \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \int \mathrm{d}^2 \vec{y}_\perp F(\vec{y}_\perp) \bar{F}(\vec{y}_\perp)$$

- Non-perturbative objects: largely unknown
- Several quark model studies: Chang et al, 2013; Rinaldi et al, 2013-2018; Broniowski et al 2014-2019; Kasemets, Mukherjee 2016; Courtoy et al 2019
- Lattice study for the case of the pion arXiv:1807.03073 , talk last year
- ▶ In this talk: information about Nucleon-DPDs from the lattice

Definition (transverse momenta integrated out):

$$\begin{split} F_{ij}^{qq'}(x_1, x_2, \vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 2\rho^+ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1^- \,\mathrm{d}z_2^-}{4\pi^2} e^{i\rho^+(x_1 z_1^- + x_2 z_2^-)} \\ &\times \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left. \left< \rho \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0, z_1) \left. \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y, z_2) \left| \rho \right> \right|_{\vec{z}_{1,2,\perp} = \vec{0}} \end{split}$$

with light cone operators  $\mathcal{O}_i^q(y,z) = \bar{q}(y-z/2) \Gamma_i q(y+z/2)$  with  $y^+ = z^+ = 0$ 

Definition (transverse momenta integrated out):

$$\begin{split} F_{ij}^{qq'}(x_1, x_2, \vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 2p^+ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1^- \,\mathrm{d}z_2^-}{4\pi^2} e^{ip^+(x_1 z_1^- + x_2 z_2^-)} \\ & \times \int \mathrm{d}y^- \, \left\langle p \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0, z_1) \, \left. \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y, z_2) \left| p \right\rangle \right|_{\vec{z}_{1,2,\perp} = \vec{0}} \end{split}$$

with light cone operators  $\mathcal{O}_i^q(y,z)=\bar{q}(y-z/2)$   $\Gamma_i$  q(y+z/2) with  $y^+=z^+=0$ 

Leading twist:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \Gamma_{i} = \gamma^{+} & \Leftrightarrow & q \ (V) & \text{unpolarized} \\ \Gamma_{i} = \gamma^{+}\gamma_{5} & \Leftrightarrow & \Delta q \ (A) & \text{longitudinal polarization} \\ (j = 1, 2) & \Gamma_{i} = i\sigma^{j+}\gamma_{5} & \Leftrightarrow & \delta q \ (T) & \text{transverse polarization} \end{array}$ 

Definition (transverse momenta integrated out):

$$\begin{split} F_{ij}^{qq'}(x_1, x_2, \vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 2\rho^+ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1^- \,\mathrm{d}z_2^-}{4\pi^2} e^{i\rho^+(x_1 z_1^- + x_2 z_2^-)} \\ &\times \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left. \left< \rho \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0, z_1) \left. \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y, z_2) \left| \rho \right> \right|_{\vec{z}_{1,2,\perp} = \vec{0}} \end{split}$$

with light cone operators  $\mathcal{O}_i^q(y,z) = \bar{q}(y-z/2) \Gamma_i q(y+z/2)$  with  $y^+ = z^+ = 0$ 

First Mellin Moment ( $y^2 := -\vec{y}_{\perp}^2$ , since  $y^+ = 0$ ,  $\eta_C^{V/T} = -1$ ,  $\eta_C^A = 1$ ):

$$\begin{split} M_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \; \left[ F_{ij}^{qq'}(x_{1,2}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) - \eta_{C}^{i} F^{\bar{q}q'}{}_{ij}(x_{1,2}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) \right. \\ &\left. - \eta_{C}^{j} F_{ij}^{q\bar{q}'}(x_{1,2}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) + \eta_{C}^{i} \eta_{C}^{j} F_{ij}^{\bar{q}\bar{q}'}(x_{1,2}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) \right] \\ &= (2p^{+})^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^{-} \left \end{split}$$

with  $\mathcal{O}_i^q(y) = \bar{q}(y)\Gamma_i q'(y)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Operators become local

First Mellin Moment :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left\langle p \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0) \ \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y) \left| p \right\rangle \\ &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(p,y) \end{split}$$

Decompositions:

 $\begin{aligned} F_{qq}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= f_{qq}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ F_{q \ \Delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 0 \\ F_{d \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 0 \\ F_{d \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= m \ y_{\perp}^{j} \epsilon^{lj} f_{q \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ F_{\Delta q \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= m \ y_{\perp}^{j} \epsilon^{lj} f_{\alpha \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ F_{\delta q \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= \delta^{jl} f_{\delta q \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ + 2m^{2} (y_{\perp}^{j} y_{\perp}^{l} - \delta^{jl} \vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) f_{\delta q \ \delta q}^{t}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \end{aligned}$ 

First Mellin Moment :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left \\ &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(p,y) \end{split}$$

**Decompositions** (Mellin Moments, analogous):

$$\begin{split} M_{qq}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= I_{qq}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) & M_{\Delta q \ \Delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) = I_{\Delta q \ \Delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ M_{q \ \Delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= 0 & M_{q \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) = m \ y_{\perp}^{j} \epsilon^{lj} I_{q \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ M_{\Delta q \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= m \ y_{\perp}^{j} \ell_{\Delta q \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \\ M_{\delta q \ \delta q}^{j}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= \delta^{jl} I_{\delta q \ \delta q}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) &+ 2m^{2}(y_{\perp}^{j}y_{\perp}^{l} - \delta^{jl}\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) I_{\delta q \ \delta q}^{t}(x_{i},\vec{y}_{\perp}^{2}) \end{split}$$

First Mellin Moment :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left\langle p \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0) \ \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y) \left| p \right\rangle \\ &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(p,y) \end{split}$$

Decompose Local matrix elements , e.g. two vector currents  $(V^{\mu}(y) = \bar{q}(y)\gamma^{\mu}q(y)):$   $\mathcal{M}_{VV}^{\mu\nu} = \langle p | V^{\mu}(0)V^{\nu}(y) | p \rangle$   $= \left(2p^{\mu}p^{\nu} - \frac{p^{2}}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\right) A(py, y^{2})$   $+ \left(p^{\mu}y^{\nu} + p^{\nu}y^{\mu} - \frac{py}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\right) m^{2} B(py, y^{2})$   $+ \left(2y^{\mu}y^{\nu} - \frac{y^{2}}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\right) m^{4} C(py, y^{2}) + g^{\mu\nu} \operatorname{tr} \{\mathcal{M}_{VV}\}$ 

Similar for other channels

DPDs: Definitions and Relations (see arXiv:1111.0910) First Mellin Moment :

$$\begin{split} M_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \langle p | \mathcal{O}_i^q(0) \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y) | p \rangle \\ &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(p,y) \end{split}$$

For  $\vec{p}_{\perp} = \vec{0} \ (py = p^+y^-)$ , one obtains:

$$\begin{split} I_{ij,qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) &= \int \mathrm{d}(py) \; A_{ij}^{qq'}(py,\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) \\ I_{\delta q \delta q'}^t(\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) &= \int \mathrm{d}(py) \; B_{TT}^{qq'}(py,\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) \end{split}$$

DPDs: Definitions and Relations (see arXiv:1111.0910) First Mellin Moment :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}) &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \left\langle p \right| \mathcal{O}_i^q(0) \ \mathcal{O}_j^{q'}(y) \left| p \right\rangle \\ &= (2p^+)^{-1} \int \mathrm{d}y^- \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{qq'}(p,y) \end{split}$$

For  $\vec{p}_{\perp} = \vec{0} \ (py = p^+y^-)$ , one obtains:

$$\begin{split} I_{ij,qq'}(\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) &= \int \mathrm{d}(py) \; A_{ij}^{qq'}(py,\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) \\ I_{\delta q \delta q'}^t(\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) &= \int \mathrm{d}(py) \; B_{TT}^{qq'}(py,\vec{y}_{\perp}^2) \end{split}$$

On the lattice (Euclidean time):

- ► calculate  $\mathcal{M}_{ij}(p, y)$  for  $y^0 = 0$  (pion: 1807.03073, nucleon: 1911.05051)
- ▶ extract  $A_{ij}(py, y^2)$  for  $y^2 \ge 0$  and  $(py)^2 \le p^2 y^2$ ;  $py, y^2$  restricted by lattice volume
- ▶ information about Mellin Moments  $I_{ij}(\vec{y}_{\perp}^2)$

# Four Point Functions from the Lattice

On the lattice (Euclidean time) we can calculate:

 $C_{4\text{pt}}^{ij,\vec{p}} = \langle 0 | \mathcal{P}^{\vec{p}}(t) \mathcal{O}_i(\vec{y},\tau) \mathcal{O}_j(\vec{0},\tau) \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\vec{p}}(0) | 0 \rangle$ 

with proton sink operator (projection onto momentum  $\vec{p}$ )  $\mathcal{P}^{\vec{p}}(t) = \frac{a^3}{2} \sum_{\vec{x}} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{p}} \epsilon_{abc} (1 + \gamma_4) u_a(x) \left[ u_b^T(x)i\gamma_2\gamma_4\gamma_5 d_c(x) \right] |_{t=x_4}$ 

$$\rightarrow \sum_{\pi \in S_4} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) \int \operatorname{D}[U] \ G_{\pi}[U] \ \operatorname{det}\{D[U]\}e^{-S_{G}[U]}$$

with  $G_{\pi}[U]$  being products of (in our case four) propagators (Wick contractions, graphs) connecting the (anti-)quark fields according to the permutation  $\pi$ 

Integral over gauge fields U solved numerically by Monte Carlo simulations

 $det{D[U]}e^{-S_G[U]}$  is real and positive for the case of Euclidean time  $\Rightarrow$  Suitable weight factor.

#### Four Point Functions from the Lattice

On the lattice (Euclidean time) we can calculate:

$$C_{
m 4pt}^{ij,ec{
m p}} = \langle 0 | \, \mathcal{P}^{ec{
m p}}(t) \, \, \mathcal{O}_i(ec{
m y}, au) \, \, \mathcal{O}_j(ec{0}, au) \, \, \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{ec{
m p}}(0) \, | 0 
angle$$

with proton sink operator (projection onto momentum  $\vec{p}$ )  $\mathcal{P}^{\vec{p}}(t) = \frac{a^3}{2} \sum_{\vec{x}} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{p}} \epsilon_{abc} (1 + \gamma_4) u_a(x) \left[ u_b^T(x) i \gamma_2 \gamma_4 \gamma_5 d_c(x) \right] |_{t=x_4}$ Extract ground state by calculating ratio:

$$egin{aligned} R^{ec{p}}_{ij}(ec{y}) &= 2V\sqrt{ec{p}^2+m^2} \left. rac{C^{ij,ec{p}}_{ ext{4pt}}(ec{y}, au,t)}{C^{ec{p}}_{ ext{2pt}}(t)} 
ight|_{0\ll au\ll t} \ &= \langle p(ec{p}) | \, \mathcal{O}_i(y) \, \, \mathcal{O}_j(0) \, | p(ec{p}) 
angle |_{y^0=0} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C^{ec{p}}_{\mathrm{2pt}}(t) = \langle 0 | \, \mathcal{P}^{ec{P}}(t) \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{ec{P}}(0) \, | 0 
angle$$

 $\Rightarrow$  obtain desired matrix element for  $y^0 = 0$ .

More technical details on the simulation can be found in 1911.05051 / future publication  $$4\,/\,11$$ 

# Contractions

Have 5 types of Wick contractions:



# Contractions

Have 5 types of Wick contractions:



Explicit contraction depends on the operator flavor, e.g.:



#### **Contributions to Physical Matrix Elements**



Depend on the given quark flavor. For light quarks we have:

$$\begin{split} \langle p | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{dd}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{uu}(\vec{0}) \, | p \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uudd}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \;, \\ \langle p | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{uu}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{uu}(\vec{0}) \, | p \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uuuu}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,u}(-\vec{y}) \\ &+ S_{1}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,u}(-\vec{y}) + S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \;, \\ \langle p | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{dd}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{dd}(\vec{0}) \, | p \rangle &= C_{2}^{ij,d}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ij,d}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) \\ &+ S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \;, \\ \langle p | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{du}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{ud}(\vec{0}) \, | p \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uddu}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) \;. \end{split}$$

#### **Contributions to Physical Matrix Elements**



Depend on the given quark flavor. For light quarks we have:

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{dd}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{uu}(\vec{0}) \, | \rho \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uudd}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \\ \langle \rho | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{uu}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{uu}(\vec{0}) \, | \rho \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uuuu}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,u}(-\vec{y}) \\ &+ S_{1}^{ij,u}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,u}(-\vec{y}) + S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \\ \langle \rho | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{dd}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{dd}(\vec{0}) \, | \rho \rangle &= C_{2}^{ij,d}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ij,d}(\vec{y}) + S_{1}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) \\ &+ S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) + D^{ij}(\vec{y}) \\ \langle \rho | \, \mathcal{O}_{j}^{du}(\vec{y}) \, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{ud}(\vec{0}) \, | \rho \rangle &= C_{1}^{ij,uddu}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,u}(\vec{y}) + C_{2}^{ji,d}(-\vec{y}) + S_{2}^{ij}(\vec{y}) \end{split}$$

This talk: Focus on  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , only flavor conserving currents

# Lattice Setup

Two Ensembles (Wilson-clover action,  $n_f = 2 + 1$ , CLS [arXiv:1411.3982]):

ensemble	β	<b>a</b> [fm]	$\kappa_{l/s}$	$L^3 \times T$	$m_{\pi/K}$ [MeV]	$Lm_{\pi}$	N <sub>used</sub>
H102	3.4	0.0854	0.136865	$32^3 \times 96$	356	4.9	960
			0.136549339		442		

- source sink separation: 10a (non-zero momentum) or 12a (zero momentum)
- Momenta:  $\vec{p} = \vec{k} \cdot 2\pi/L$  with  $\vec{k} \in \{(0,0,0), (1,1,1), (2,2,2), (2,-2,-2), (-2,2,-2), (-2,-2,2)\}$
- ► Considered insertions:  $\mathcal{O}_{i,j}^q = \bar{q} \Gamma_{i,j} q$  $\rightarrow$  combinations  $(\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j) = (\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}), (\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5, \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_5), (\gamma^{\mu}, \sigma^{\nu \rho}), (\sigma^{\mu \nu}, \sigma^{\rho \lambda})$
- Renormalization scale: 2GeV (for renormalization on the lattice see, e.g. arXiv:1903.12590 and references therein)

**Results for**  $A_{ij}(py, y^2)$  (preliminary)

All results without disconnected contributions:



 Comparable correlations for uu/ud for large distances, small correlations for dd,

► Small distances: steep increase of *uu* / *dd* 

**Results for**  $A_{ij}(py, y^2)$  (preliminary)

All results without disconnected contributions:



- Comparable correlations for uu/ud for large distances, small correlations for dd,
- ► Small distances: steep increase of *uu* / *dd*
- Signal for unpolarized quarks dominant
- ▶ Visible polarization effects for *ud*, while they are small for *uu*

**Results for**  $A_{ij}(py, y^2)$  (preliminary)

All results without disconnected contributions:



- Comparable correlations for uu/ud for large distances, small correlations for dd,
- ► Small distances: steep increase of *uu* / *dd*
- Signal for unpolarized quarks dominant
- ▶ Visible polarization effects for *ud*, while they are small for *uu*

# Factorization Test (preliminary)

Simplest ansatz: Full factorization of DPDs in terms of PDFs:

$$F^{ij}(x_1, x_2, \vec{y}_{\perp}) = f^i(x_1)f^j(x_2)f_{\perp}(\vec{y}_{\perp})$$

**Our implementation:** Insert complete set of states between the operators of a **light cone** matrix element, and **neglect all non-nucleon-states**:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1,2},\boldsymbol{y}) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 \boldsymbol{p}'}{(2\pi)^4} \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda | \mathcal{O}_i(0, \boldsymbol{z}_1) | \boldsymbol{p}', \lambda' \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{p}', \lambda' | \mathcal{O}_j(0, \boldsymbol{z}_2) | \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{p})} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}'^2 - \boldsymbol{m}^2) \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{p})} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}' - \boldsymbol{p}') \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{p})} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}' - \boldsymbol{p}') \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{p})} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}') \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}')} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}') \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}')} \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}')} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}') \langle \boldsymbol{p}, \lambda \rangle e^{-i\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{p}')} \delta(\boldsymbol{p}'$$

For  $A_{VV}$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{VV}(py=0,y^2) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\zeta \frac{(1-\frac{\zeta}{2})^2}{1-\zeta} \int \mathrm{d}r_\perp \ r_\perp \ J_0(y_\perp r_\perp) \times \\ & \times \left[ (1-Z)F_1^i(t)F_1^j(t) - 2ZF_1^{\{i}(t)F_2^{j\}}(t) + \left(\frac{Z^2}{1-Z} - \frac{r_\perp^2}{4m^2}\right)F_2^i(t)F_2^j(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z = \zeta^2/(2-\zeta)^2$  and  $t = (\zeta^2 m^2 + r_{\perp}^2)/(\zeta - 1)$ Expressions are similar for  $A_{AA}$ , where  $g_A$  and  $g_P$  are convoluted Form factors  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $g_A$ ,  $g_P$  are determined by *p*-pole fits on lattice 3-point data *T*. Wurm (RQCD), private communication

# Factorization Test (preliminary)



- No significant dependence on the fit ansatz
- ▶ Good agreement for large distances in the VV-channel
- Strong deviations for smaller distances

# Factorization Test (preliminary)



- No significant dependence on the fit ansatz
- ▶ Good agreement for large distances in the VV-channel
- Strong deviations for smaller distances
- Completely fails for AA

# Summary and Outlook

#### Achieved/Observed:

- Calculated two-current matrix elements for the nucleon on the lattice (4 of 5 contractions)
- Obtained Lorentz invariant combinations related to DPD Mellin moments for specific quark polarizations and flavor (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> contributions)
- Found dominance of the signal of unpolarized quarks, for the case of ud polarization effects visible
- Factorization into one-current matrix elements works for large distances in the VV channel (unpolarized quarks), but fails for AA

# Summary and Outlook

#### Achieved/Observed:

- Calculated two-current matrix elements for the nucleon on the lattice (4 of 5 contractions)
- Obtained Lorentz invariant combinations related to DPD Mellin moments for specific quark polarizations and flavor (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> contributions)
- Found dominance of the signal of unpolarized quarks, for the case of ud polarization effects visible
- Factorization into one-current matrix elements works for large distances in the VV channel (unpolarized quarks), but fails for AA

#### Further discussion beyond this talk / future work:

- Extraction of the first Mellin moments
- ▶ Derivative contributions (→ higher moments)
- Analysis on larger lattices closer to the physical point

# Thank you for your attention!

#### Comparison pion vs proton: polarization effects



# $ud(\pi^+)$ vs ud(p) :

- Situation similar
- Extra sign because of anti-d in  $\pi^+$

#### Comparison pion vs proton: polarization effects



# $\mathit{uu/dd}(\pi^+)$ vs $\mathit{uu}(p)$ :

- signal smaller for the pion
- polarization effects more present in the pion

#### Comparison pion vs proton: polarization effects



 $\mathit{uu/dd}(\pi^+)$  vs  $\mathit{dd}(p)$  :

signals comparable

#### Comparison pion vs proton: Factorization for ud



Comparable results for factorization in the case of the pion or nucleon, respectively. Deviations slightly stronger for the nucleon.