Dear Ian,

Thank you for giving the LCG presentation during the closed session of the LHCC on Thursday and for answering our questions. Please pass on thanks to the computing representatives for attending also.

There was little further discussion after you had left the meeting, so you are already aware of what was said. Emmanuel Tsesmelis is writing a couple of paragraphs for the LHCC minutes, which I will pass on to you for information and comment when I receive them.

The committee is impressed and pleased to see that the WLCG and the experiments' computing models are successfully processing and analysing the first LHC physics data without any major problems. This is the result of many years of careful planning and investment, and the hard work of a great many people. We send our congratulations to all the staff involved, at CERN and the many computer centres around the world.

For the next LHCC meeting in July we would like to arrange another short referees' meeting with you and the computing reps on Tuesday 6 July, similar to the meeting we held on Tuesday this week. As well as updating us on the performance during May-June, we should also look again at the points of concern that you listed towards the end of your talk. The timing of this meeting depends on the LHC Upgrade meeting, which will probably also be held on that day, but Tuesday morning looks likely.

Due to the scheduling problems, we do not expect to have a closed session discussion with LCG in July, unless there are any unexpected developments that need to be addressed.

We would be interested to receive your feedback on the new format adopted for the LCG review during this LHCC meeting. Did you find it to be effective, and is it better or worse than the previous style of reviews? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

Thanks and best wishes, Chris