HL-LHC Dynamic Aperture at Injection

N. Karastathis and Y. Papaphilippou

with many thanks to F. Asvesta, X. Buffat, R. De Maria, S. Kostoglou K. Skoufaris, F.v.d.Veken

WP2 Meeting 28.05.2019



Introduction

- <u>Motivation:</u> Perform a multi-parametric study at the HL-LHC injection plateau to identify the available parameter space.
- To compare with the present situation results for the LHC injection optics used in Run-II and the foreseen settings for Run-III are quickly presented.
- As usual the "full OP configuration" is used for the simulations (i.e. all IPs, worst polarity on the experimental spectrometers etc).
- According to the operational scenario* the beam/machine parameters are:

* E. Metral et al., "Update of the HL-LHC operational scenarios for proton operation", CERN ACC Notes, CERN-ACC-NOTE-2018-0002, 2018

(**) using 2.5 for margin

Energy [TeV]	0.45
Bunch Intensity [10 ¹¹ ppb]	2.3
Normalized Emittance [µm]	2.1-2.3 (**)
β* IP1/5 [m]	6
β* IP2/8 [m]	10
Half-Crossing Angle IP1/5 [µrad]	295
Half-Crossing Angle IP1/5 [µrad]	170
Parallel Separation IP1/5 [mm]	2
Parallel Separation IP2/8 [mm]	3.5
Working Point	(62.270, 60.295)
Chromaticity [#]	+20
Octupole Current [A]	-40



LHC Run-II vs Run-III





28/05/2019 - WP2

Quick degradation of DA from the increased octupoles, not significant impact of the +50% more intensity.

HL-LHC v1.3





$I_{MO} = 0 A \& Q' = 20$

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta_{\rm IP1/5}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{\rm IP2/8}^*=10$ m $\phi_{\rm IP1/5}/2=295 \ \mu$ rad, $\phi_{\rm IP2/8}/2=170 \ \mu$ rad, $\epsilon_{\rm n}=2.5 \ \mu$ m, Q'=20, I_{MO}=0 A



In the case of no octupoles, the available DA space (as expected from the footprints previously) is very large.



$I_{MO} = 20 A \& Q'=20$

Negative polarity provides slightly better $DA \rightarrow Different$ resonances?

Імо>**О**

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10$ m $\phi_{\text{IP1/5}}/2=295 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \phi_{\text{IP2/8}}/2=170 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \epsilon_{n}=2.5 \ \mu\text{m}, \ \text{Q}'=20, \ \text{I}_{\text{MO}}=20 \ \text{A}$





Імо<**О**



Movement of the optimal WP in the tune space as an effect of the octupoles



(62.270, 60.295)

(62.295, 60.300)

(62.295, 60.270)



CERN

28/05/2019 - WP2



Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta_{1P1/5}^{*}=6$ m, $\beta_{1P2/8}^{*}=10$ m $\phi_{1P1/5}/2=295 \ \mu rad, \ \phi_{1P2/8}/2=170 \ \mu rad, \ \epsilon_{n}=2.5 \ \mu m, \ Q'=20, \ I_{MO}=-20 \text{ A}$ 60.33



I_{MO} = 40 A & Q'=20

Імо>**О**





Significantly worse than the LHC case



28/05/2019 - WP2

N. Karastathis

FMA @ I_{MO} = -40 A, Q'=20







Maybe some BB effect?

$I_{MO} = -40 A$ with Beam-Beam

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta^*_{\rm IP1/5}$ =6 m, $\beta^*_{\rm IP2/8}$ =10 m $\phi_{\rm IP1/5}/2$ =295 µrad, $\phi_{\rm IP2/8}/2$ =170 µrad, $\epsilon_{\rm n}$ =2.5 µm, Q'=15, I_{MO}=-40 A

I_{MO}= -40 A without Beam-Beam

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, No BB, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta^*_{IP1/5}$ =6 m, $\beta^*_{IP2/8}$ =10 m $\phi_{IP1/5}/2$ =295 µrad, $\phi_{IP2/8}/2$ =170 µrad, ϵ_n =2.5 µm, Q'=15, I_{MO}=-40 A



As expected from the footprints, the impact on DA is almost negligible. → Crossing angle/separation increase is not driven by BB.



60.325

60.310-

े 60.295−

60.280

60.265-

62.260

Dynamic Aperture $[\sigma_{\text{beam}}]$

Octupoles vs Chromaticity

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b= 2.3×10^{11} ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10$ m $\phi_{\text{IP1/5}}/2=295 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \phi_{\text{IP2/8}}/2=170 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \epsilon_{n}=2.5 \ \mu\text{nC}(\text{Q}_{\text{X}}, \text{Q}_{\text{Y}})=(62.270, \ 60.295)$





Magnetic field errors spread

Selecting (.295, .300) as WP and calculate for 60 seeds for -20 A and -40 A the minimum DA → Take the mean and RMS of the min DA results.

rms spread of $<0.2\sigma$ Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, $(Q_X, Q_Y) = (62.295, 60.300)$ in minimum DA $N_b=2.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ ppb}, \beta_{IP1/5}^*=6 \text{ m}, \phi_{IP1/5}/2=295 \ \mu\text{rad}, \epsilon_n=2.5 \ \mu\text{m}, \text{Q'}=20$ $I_{MO} = -20A$ $I_{MO} = -20A$: (5.61 ± 0.16) σ_{beam} Minimum Dynamic Aperture $[\sigma_{
m beam}]$ $I_{MO} = -40A$ Drop from mean $I_{MO} = -40 A$: (3.37 ± 0.10) σ_{beam} 6.0 0.56 σ 0.34 σ 4.53.0 Less spread due to already low DA. 2040 60 0 Seed Number



Magnetic field errors spread (II)

Calculate for 60 seeds for -20 A and -40 A the minimum DA
 Take the mean and RMS of the min DA results.





Optimal WP vs Octupoles

• Reducing the chroma to **15 units** and evaluating the impact of octupoles on the optimal working point with a (fractional) tune split of **0.015** Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b= 2.3×10^{11} ppb, $\beta_{IP1/5}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{IP2/8}^*=10$ m





Summary

- Comparing with the LHC injection, HL-LHC shows slightly reduced DA at $I_{MO} = |40| A$
 - The reduced β^* at IP1/5 could significantly increase the maximum β and possibly have an impact on DA (??)
- Impact of bunch intensity is relatively small in the LHC result.
- Impact of beam-beam interactions at the nominal crossing/separation values is almost negligible ($\Delta Q \approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$)
- At injection the transverse detuning from octupoles dominate the final result.
 - Negative octupoles have slightly better DA.
 - The polarity of the octupoles significantly impacts the optimal WP.
 - What are the lower octupole current limits that we can go in terms of stability?
- Chromaticity has a smaller impact compared to octupoles.
- Impact of magnetic field errors at minimum DA is at the level of <0.2σ. Drop from the average of the statistical population around 0.5-1.0σ.





3Qx for symmetric WP @ +20 A?





28/05/2019 - WP2

N. Karastathis

For completeness: Nb=2.3e11 ppb \rightarrow No impact



Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.3 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6 \text{ m}$, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10 \text{ m}$ Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.3 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6 \text{ m}$, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10 \text{ m}$ $\phi_{\text{IP1/5}/2}=295 \ \mu\text{rad}$, $\phi_{\text{IP2/8}/2}=170 \ \mu\text{rad}$, $\epsilon_{n}=2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$, Q'=20, I_{MO}=40 A 60.33 60.32 60.32 60.32 960.32





Reducing Chromaticity Q'=15

 $I_{\rm MO} = -20 \ A$

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta^*_{\rm IP1/5}$ =6 m, $\beta^*_{\rm IP2/8}$ =10 m $\phi_{\rm IP1/5}/2$ =295 µrad, $\phi_{\rm IP2/8}/2$ =170 µrad, $\epsilon_{\rm n}$ =2.5 µm, Q'=15, I_{MO}=-20 A



Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b= 2.2×10^{11} ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10$ m $\phi_{\text{IP1/5}}/2=295 \ \mu\text{rad}$, $\phi_{\text{IP2/8}}/2=170 \ \mu\text{rad}$, $\epsilon_n=2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$, Q'=15, I_{MO}=-40 A

 $I_{MO} = -40 A$



Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b=2.2 × 10¹¹ ppb, $\beta^*_{IP1/5}=6$ m, $\beta^*_{IP2/8}=10$ m $\phi_{IP1/5}/2=295 \mu$ rad, $\phi_{IP2/8}/2=170 \mu$ rad, $\epsilon_n=2.5 \mu$ m, Q'=15, I_{MO}=20 A





Octupoles vs Chromaticity (I)

Min DA HL-LHC v1.3, Injection, N_b= 2.3×10^{11} ppb, $\beta_{\text{IP1/5}}^*=6$ m, $\beta_{\text{IP2/8}}^*=10$ m $\phi_{\text{IP1/5}}/2=295 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \phi_{\text{IP2/8}}/2=170 \ \mu\text{rad}, \ \epsilon_{n}=2.5 \ \mu\text{m}, \ (Q_X, Q_Y)=(62.295, 60.300)$



