# **Experimental fingerprints of shape coexistence**

# Magda Zielińska, CEA Saclay

# What observables can be used to conclude on shape coexistence?

- level energies
- transition probabilities
- transfer-reaction cross sections
- quadrupole moments: measure of the charge distribution in <sup>a</sup> given state
- charge radii
- complete sets of E2 matrix elements: possibility to determine quadrupole invariants and level mixing
- monopole transition strengths

## **Level energies**

• can be used to conclude on shape coexistence if other data not availble (e.g. for very exotic nuclei)

• have to be put in some context – neighbouring isotopes, other states



K. Heyde and J. Wood, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1467 (2011)

- gain from correlation offsetting the shell gap increases towards mid shell
- characteristic parabolic behaviour of intruder states energies

#### **Level energies – systematics of isotopic chains**

• parabolic behaviour experimentally observed for nuclei with  $A > 100$ , less evident in lighter nuclei



data compilation: P. Garrett, MZ, E. Clément, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 124, 103931 (2022)

#### **Level energies – moments of inertia**

•  $72,74,76$  Se: presence of bands built on low-lying  $0^+$  states

• <sup>76</sup>Se: different transition strengths in the gsb and the band built on the  $0^+_2$  state:  $B(E2; 2^+_3 \rightarrow 0^+_2) = 31(5)$  W.u. versus  $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 44(1)$  W.u.;<br>(S. Mukbonadhyay at al. BBC 99, 014212 (2019)) (S. Mukhopadhyay *et al.*, PRC 99, <sup>014313</sup> (2019))

•  $^{72,76}$ Se: negative quadrupole moments of  $2^+_1$  states

(J. Henderson *et al.*, PRL 121, <sup>082502</sup> (2018); A.E. Kavka, NPA 593, <sup>177</sup> (1995))



 $\bullet$  <sup>68,70</sup>Se: no excited  $0^+$  states known, but in particular for <sup>68</sup>Se very different moment of inertiain the ground state band (S.M. Fischer *et al.*, PRL 84, <sup>4064</sup>(2000))

 $\rightarrow$  conclusion on shape coex-<br> $\rightarrow$  conclusion on shape coexistence in  $^{68,70}$ Se and different shapes of their ground stateswith respect to heavier Se

#### **Level energies – rotational bands in less deformed nuclei**

• it is much easier to find deformed configurations in nuclei with nearly spherical ground states, than vice versa!



L. Iskra *et al.*, EPL 117, <sup>12001</sup> (2017)

# **Finding spherical states in deformed nuclei – example of** <sup>32</sup>**Mg**



K. Wimmer *et al.*, PRL 105, <sup>252501</sup> (2010)

- level spin confirmed by proton angular distributions
- $\bullet$  excitation energy precisely measured from  $\gamma$ -ray decay in coincidence with protons

#### **Information from transfer reactions**

• identfication of 4p-4h and 8p-8h structures in  ${}^{40}$ Ca ( $\alpha$ -particle transfer); admixture of the 4p-4h configuration to 8p-8h states

• proton domination in the wave functions of the excited  $0^+$  states in  $^{112,114,116,118}$ Sn EXCITATION ENERGY (MeV)



## **Alpha-decay hindrance**

• similar type of information as from transfer reactions in lighter nuclei



- ground states of Pb nuclei are spherical (experimentally confirmed by charge radii measurements)  $\rightarrow$  the same is true for ground states of Hg nuclei, while their excited<br>atates are deminated by the 2p.2b configuration states are dominated by the 2p-2h configuration
- triple shape coexistence in  $186$  Pb was deduced using this method



compilation: K. Heyde and J.L. Wood, RMP 83, 1467 (2011) Nature 405, 431 (2000)



A. Andreyev *et al.*,

## **Transition probabilities in**

<sup>96</sup>,<sup>98</sup>**Sr** E. Clément, MZ et al, PRL 116, <sup>022701</sup> (2016)

# Coulomb excitation at REX-ISOLDE:  $^{96}$ Sr on  $^{109}$ Ag,  $^{120}$ Sn,  $^{98}$ Sr on  $^{60}$ Ni,  $^{208}$ Pb



´ 10th Workshop on Quantum Phase Transitions in Nuclei and Many-Body Systems, Dubrovnik, Croatia, July 11-15, 2022, - p. <sup>9</sup>

#### **Laser spectroscopy data**

• precise measurements of charge radii, spectroscopic quadrupole moments, g factors for long-lived states

Example of  $^{79}Zn$ :

- large isomer shift for the  $1/2^+$ , 1-MeV isomer in <sup>79</sup>Zn
- combined with  $\beta_2 \approx 0.14$  deduced from B(E2) values in  $^{78,80}$ Zn, results in  $\beta_2 \approx$  0.22 for the isomer
- 1p-2h neutron configurationdetermined from the measured g factor
- first evidence for shape coexistence in the immediate vicinity of  $^{78}$ Ni



X.F. Yang *et al.*, PRL 116, <sup>182502</sup> (2016)

#### **Quadrupole moments of excited states**

 E. Clément *et al.* Phys. Rev. C75, 054313 (2007)

- prolate-oblate shape coexistence in  $74,76$ Kr
- first Coulomb-excitation measurement of spectroscopic quadrupole moments using <sup>a</sup> radioactive beam0.6exp.  $4^{-}_{1}$  $\rightarrow$ 2



• spectroscopic quadrupole moments are zero for J=0,1/2 – complication for even-even nuclei

#### **Quadrupole sum rules**

 D. Cline, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. <sup>36</sup> (1986) <sup>683</sup> K. Kumar, PRL 28 (1972) 249

• electromagnetic multipole operators are spherical tensors – products of suchoperators coupled to angular momentum 0 are rotationally invariant

• in the intrinsic frame of the nucleus, the E2 operator may be expressedusing two parameters Q and  $\delta$ related to charge distribution:  $E(2,0) = Q \cos \delta$  $E(2, 2) = E(2, -2) = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \delta$  $E(2, 1) = E(2, -1) = 0$  $\frac{\langle \mathsf{Q}^2 \rangle}{\sqrt{5}} =$  $\hat{\rho}= \langle \mathsf{i} | \left[ \mathsf{E2} \times \mathsf{E2} \right]^{\mathsf{0}} | \mathsf{i} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\mathsf{l_i} + 1)}} \sum_{\mathsf{t}} \langle \mathsf{i} \| \mathsf{E2} \| \mathsf{t} \rangle \langle \mathsf{t} \| \mathsf{E2} \| \mathsf{i} \rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 0 \ 1_{\mathsf{i}} & \mathsf{l_i} & \mathsf{l_t} \end{array} \right\}$ **0**0<sup>+</sup>  $2^{2}$ <sub>1</sub> **0 +2 2 +24** $4^{+}_{1}$  $2^{+}_{3}$  $\mathbf{0}_{1}^{+}$ **2** $2^{2}$ <sub>1</sub> **0 +22 +24** $4^{+}_{1}$  $2^{+}_{3}$ 

 $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ : measure of the overall deformation;

for the ground state – extension of B(E2; 0<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>+</sup>) = ((3/4 $\pi$ )eZR $_0^2$ )<sup>2</sup>  $\beta_2^2$ 

Contributions to  $\langle Q^2 \rangle$  in  $^{100}$ Mo: K. Wrzosek-Lipska *et al.*, PRC 86 (2012) 064305

#### **Quadrupole sum rules: triaxiality**

 D. Cline, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. <sup>36</sup> (1986) 683K. Kumar, PRL 28 (1972) 249





 $\langle \cos 3\delta \rangle$ : measure of triaxiality

• relative signs of E2 matrix elements are needed: can we get them experimentally?

Contributions to  $\langle Q^3\cos3\delta\rangle$  in  $^{100}$ Mo: K. Wrzosek-Lipska *et al.*, PRC 86 (2012) 064305

#### **Relative signs of E2 matrix elements**

• Coulomb-excitation cross section are sensitive to relative signs of MEs: result of interference between single-step and multi-step amplitudes

- excitation amplitude of state A: a<sub>A</sub>  $\sim$   $\langle A||E2||g.s.\rangle + \langle B||E2||g.s.\rangle\langle A||E2||B\rangle$
- excitation probability ( $\sim$  a<sub>A</sub>) contains interference terms  $\langle A||E2||g.s.\rangle\langle B||E2||g.s.\rangle\langle A||E2||B\rangle$



• negative  $\langle 2^+_1 \|\mathsf{E} 2\| 2^+_2 \rangle$  (solid lines): much higher population of  $2^+_2$  at high CM angles

• sign of <sup>a</sup> product of matrix elements is an observable

# **Shape evolution of** <sup>96</sup>−<sup>100</sup>**Mo**

 MZ *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. <sup>A</sup> <sup>712</sup> (2002) <sup>3</sup> K. Wrzosek-Lipska *et al.*, PRC <sup>86</sup> (2012) <sup>064305</sup>



- $^{72,74,76}$ Ge,  $^{96}$ Mo: coexistence of the deformed ground state with a spherical  $0_2^+$
- ground states of the Mo isotopes triaxial, deformation of  $0^+_2$  increasing with N
- shape coexistence in <sup>98</sup>Mo manifested in a different triaxiality of  $0^+_1$  and  $0^+_2$

# **Quadrupole invariants – example of** <sup>72</sup>,<sup>76</sup>**Ge**

A.D. Ayangeakaa *et al.*, PRL 123, <sup>102501</sup> (2019)

PLB 754, 254 (2016)



 $\bullet$   $^{72}$ Ge: much higher number of transitions observed in a new measurement

- $\rightarrow$  slight change of the deduced invariants due to extra states entering the sum
- observed shapes of  $0^+_{1,2}$  states in <sup>72</sup>Ge are very similar in terms of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$
- can it still be called shape coexistence?

## **Two-state mixing model**

• we assume that physical states are linear combinations of pure spherical and deformedconfigurations:

| $|I_1^+\rangle$  = +cos  $\theta_I \times |I_d^+\rangle$  + sin  $\theta_I \times |I_s^+\rangle$ | $|I_2^+\rangle = -\sin\theta_I \times |I_d^+\rangle + \cos\theta_I \times |I_s^+\rangle$ 

with transitions between the <mark>pure spherical and deformed</mark> states forbidden:

 $\langle 2_d^+ \|E2\| 0_s^+ \rangle = \langle 2_d^+ \|E2\| 2_s^+ \rangle = \langle 2_s^+ \|E2\| 0_d^+ \rangle = 0$ 

• the measured matrix elements can be expressed in terms of the "<mark>pure" matrix elements</mark> and the mixing angles:

```
\langle 2^+_1 \|E2\| 0^+_1 \rangle =\sin \theta_0 \sin \theta_2 \langle 2^+_s || E2 || 0^+_s \rangle + \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_2 \langle 2^+_d || E2 || 0^+_d \rangle\langle 2^+_1 \|E2\| 0^+_2 \rangle =\cos\theta_0 \sin\theta_2 \langle 2^+_s \|E2\| 0^+_s \rangle - \sin\theta_0 \cos\theta_2 \langle 2^+_d \|E2\| 0^+_d \rangle\langle 2^+_2 \|E2\| 0^+_1 \rangle =\sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_2 \langle 2^+_s \| E2\| 0^+_s \rangle \cdot \cos \theta_0 \sin \theta_2 \langle 2^+_d \| E2\| 0^+_d \rangle\langle 2\frac{+}{2} || E2 || 0\frac{+}{2} \rangle =\cos\theta_0 \cos\theta_2 \langle 2_s^+ \|E2\| 0_s^+ \rangle + \sin\theta_0 \sin\theta_2 \langle 2_d^+ \|E2\| 0_d^+ \rangle
```




#### **Dependence on additional assumptions**

• two-state mixing parameters for  $180,182,184,186,188$  Hg derived under three different assumptions:



• large difference in resulting  $Q_t$  values;  $Q_t$  for the less deformed configuration in variant B approaches values for the more deformed one in variant C

A)  $\mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{t}$  values the same for all four Hg isotopes and constant within bandsB)  $\mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{t}$  evolve within bands according to moments of inertia

C)  $\mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{t}$  calculated independently for each mass and spin



#### **E0 strengths, shape coexistence and mixing**

- E0 transitions are sensitive to the changes in the nuclear charge-squared radii
- their strengths depends on the mixing of configurations that have different mean-squarecharge radii:

$$
\rho^{2}(E0) = \frac{Z^{2}}{R^{4}} \cos^{2} \theta_{0} \sin^{2} \theta_{0} (\langle r^{2} \rangle_{A} - \langle r^{2} \rangle_{B})^{2}
$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{3Z}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \cos^{2}(\theta_{0}) \sin^{2}(\theta_{0}) \cdot \left[ (\beta_{1}^{2} - \beta_{2}^{2}) + \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{21\sqrt{\pi}} (\beta_{1}^{3} \cos \gamma_{1} - \beta_{2}^{3} \cos \gamma_{2}) \right]^{2}$   
J.L. Wood *et al.*, NPA 651, 323 (1999)

Example of <sup>42</sup>Ca: K. Hadyńska-Klęk *et al.*, PRC 97 (2018) 024326 (Coulomb excitation), J.L. Wood *et al.*, NPA 651, <sup>323</sup> (1999) (E0)



- good agreement of the  $\cos^2(\theta_0)$  values obtained with the two methods
- $\cos^2(\theta_2)$  < 0.5: two-state mixing model cannot be applied to 2<sup>+</sup> states in <sup>42</sup>Ca

## **Population of the deformed structure in one-neutron transfer**



C. Ellegaard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 40B (1972) <sup>641</sup>

- equal population of  $2^+_1$  and  $2^+_2$  in <sup>41</sup>Ca(d,p)<sup>42</sup>Ca the same admixture of  $(f_{7/2})^2$ , while the <mark>quadrupole moments are very different</mark>!
- $\rightarrow$  the remaining admixtures to the  $2_1^+$  and  $2_2^+$  wave functions must be different  $\rightarrow$ <br>another configuration must enter the mixing another configuration must enter the mixing

## **Three-state mixing**

- three-state mixing provides good reproduction of B(E2) values and transfer cross sections for  $^{30,32}\mathsf{Mg}$  (A. Machiavelli, Phys. Scr. 92, 064001 (2017))
- future challenge: identification of the predominantly 0p-0h  $0^+$  state in  $32$ Mg that would confirm this scenario (two  $(0,2)^+$  states observed recently in a knockout study, N. Kitamura *et al.*, PLB 221, <sup>136682</sup> (2021))



E. Ideguchi *et al.*, PRL 128, 252501 (2022)

• destructive interference in three-state mixing proposed as the reasonfor an anomalously low  $\rho^2(\textsf{E0};\,textsf{0}_3^+\rightarrow\textsf{0}_1^+)$  value

#### **Take-away message**

- multiple observables can point to shape coexistence in more or less direct way
- they can be measured using various experimental techniques, each of them having different limitations
- use of complementary probes improves our understanding and provides necessary consistency checks