Studying particle production in small systems through correlation measurements in ALICE

Jonatan Adolfsson (Lund University) for the ALICE Collaboration

3 February 2020





< □ > < 個 > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

Observation: Collective effects in small systems

- Several observables indicate there are collective effects in small systems such as high-multiplicity pp and p-Pb collisions, particularly
 - Near-side ridge in angular correlations
 - Strangeness enhancement
- In Pb-Pb collisions these effects are attributed to the formation of a QGP
- What is their origin in small systems?



Phenomenological models

- PYTHIA: QCD-based initial stage + hadronisation based on *qq* breakings
- PHOJET: QCD-based + Pomeron chain fragementation
- EPOS: core-corona model
- PYTHIA and PHOJET have local baryon and strangeness conservation, EPOS does not
- Ongoing work to incorporate collective effects in PYTHIA (rope hadronisation / string shoving)



ALICE Collaboration. Nature Phys. 13

(2017) 535-539

Way to test these models: angular correlations

- These probe the distribution of particle pairs in an event
- Give information about baryon, meson, and strangeness production
- Provide information whether quarks are produced early or late in the event
- Provide information about diffusion, local or global correlations
- Can be divided into charge correlated and uncorrelated effects; can be separated by subtracting same-sign from opposite-sign correlations



Particle selection

Correlations between the following particles have been studied:

- Pions and kaons to probe meson production, minijet formation, and the underlying event
- Protons and Λ baryons ($\Lambda = uds$) to probe baryon production
- Ξ baryons ($\Xi^-=dss$), kaons, and Λ baryons to study strangeness production

Analysis details

Definitions

- Correlation function: $\mathbb{C}(\Delta \eta, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta \eta \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, number of pairs is normalised to unity
- Per-trigger yield: $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{trig}-\text{assoc pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta y \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, normalised to number of triggers
- Balance function: $\mathbb{B}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{Y}_{(+,-)} + \mathbb{Y}_{(-,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(+,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(-,-)} \right)$
- In practice, one uses event mixing to cancel out detector inefficiency effects from the correlation function

Detectors and data set



Track selection:

- $|\eta| < 0.8$ (all analyses)
- |y| < 0.5 (balance functions)

pp collision results at 5.02, 7, and 13 TeV are shown (depending on the analysis)

- Inner Tracking System (ITS) + Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
 - Tracking, vertexing, triggering
- TPC + Time-Of-Flight (TOF):
 - Particle identification
- VZERO detectors:

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Centrality / multiplicity determination

Particle identification





- Pions, kaons, and protons: PID of the TPC and TOF detectors
- Λ and Ξ baryons: Reconstruction of their daughter tracks. Decay topologies:

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda \to \mathbf{p} + \pi^- \\ \Xi^- \to \Lambda + \pi^- \end{array}$$



Results, h - h correlation functions

Definitions

- Correlation function: $\mathbb{C}(\Delta \eta, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta \eta \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, number of pairs is normalised to unity
- Per-trigger yield: $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{trig}-\text{assoc pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta y \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, normalised to number of triggers
- Balance function: $\mathbb{B}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{Y}_{(+,-)} + \mathbb{Y}_{(-,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(+,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(-,-)} \right)$

A (10) A (10)

7 TeV results, $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{h}$ correlation functions



ALICE Collaboration. Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 569

13 TeV results are similar both qualitatively and quantitatively

3

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Projections and model comparisons



ALICE Collaboration. Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 569

- ππ + KK: Well understood; minijet correlations, resonance decays, and Bose-Einstein effects
- pp + $\Lambda\Lambda$: Anti-correlations not understood, production of two baryons close in phase space is disfavoured



- Anti-correlations present also for correlations between different baryonic species
- Neither of the correlations are reproduced by PYTHIA or PHOJET

Jonatan Adolfsson

3 February 2020 12 / 22

Results, balance functions

Definitions

- Correlation function: $\mathbb{C}(\Delta \eta, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta \eta \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, number of pairs is normalised to unity
- Per-trigger yield: $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{trig}-\text{assoc pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta y \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, normalised to number of triggers
- Balance function: $\mathbb{B}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{Y}_{(+,-)} + \mathbb{Y}_{(-,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(+,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(-,-)} \right)$

13/22

Results, balance functions

2D balance functions Projections onto $\Delta \varphi$: (top: pions, bottom: protons):

Projections onto Δy :

π*π΄

0-5% V0A

 $0.2 \le p_{_{T \, {
m trig}}}, p_{_{T \, {
m smool}}} < 2.0 \; {
m GeV/c}$

-π/2<Δφ< π/2</p>





Jonatan Adolfsson

 $\Delta \phi$ (rad)



Narrowing of the balance function



- In Pb-Pb collisions, this is due to increased radial flow \Longrightarrow collective effect
- Not expected in small systems (no QGP), so probably has different origin, maybe colour reconnection (CR)?
- If radial flow: should be stronger for heavier particles (protons)

Balance function width for identified hadrons

Width in $\Delta \varphi$:

Width in Δy :



- No significant narrowing for protons, as opposed to CR
- Balance function probably not solely driven by CR, nor radial flow

Jonatan Adolfsson

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Results, $\Xi - h$ correlations

Definitions

- Correlation function: $\mathbb{C}(\Delta \eta, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pairs}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta \eta \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, number of pairs is normalised to unity
- Per-trigger yield: $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\text{trig}-\text{assoc pairs}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta y \mathrm{d}\Delta \varphi}$, normalised to number of triggers
- Balance function: $\mathbb{B}(\Delta y, \Delta \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{Y}_{(+,-)} + \mathbb{Y}_{(-,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(+,+)} - \mathbb{Y}_{(-,-)} \right)$

Results, $\Xi - \pi$ and $\Xi - K$ correlations





Correlations in small systems, eQCD

Projections and model comparisons, $\Xi - \pi$ correlations



- Mostly due to minijet correlations, quite well described by both models
- Balance function (lower panels) underestimated by PYTHIA

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Projections and model comparions, $\Xi - K$ correlations



- Near-side peak more smeared out and away-side correlation difference stronger in data than in PYTHIA, but not nearly as much as in EPOS
- Indicates some collective mechanism not described well by either model

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Conclusions

- Correlations dominated by minijet production are well-understood and reproduced by PYTHIA, in particular:
 - Meson-meson correlations
 - $\Xi \pi$ correlations
- Many other results are not yet understood:
 - Baryon-baryon correlations, particularly near-side anti-correlations for same-sign baryons
 - Absence of narrowing in p-p balance functions
 - Smearing of ΞK correlations seems to have some collective origin, but these are not nearly as smeared out as in EPOS, disfavouring this particular core-corona approach

Outlook

- Planned measurements in ALICE:
 - More baryon and strange hadron correlation measurements (particularly $p \Xi$, $\Xi \Lambda$, $p \Omega$; $\Omega^- = sss$), for Ξh correlations also multiplicity dependent
 - K-K balance functions to fill the gap, and balance functions for cross-correlations ($K-\pi$, K-p, etc.)
 - Extensions to p-Pb collisions and comparisons across systems
- Ongoing theoretical development:
 - Incorporation of colour ropes (Angantyr) or string shoving in PYTHIA
 - Further work on EPOS3, which is not yet publicly available (this is an improvemement over EPOS LHC, including a microcanonical description)

Backup

(中) (문) (문) (문) (문)

Backup

Event mixing

- For a finite detector volume, the shape of the correlation function will be convoluted by the acceptance window
- This may be further altered by detector inefficiencies
- Solution: divide by a mixed-event correlation function, which only follows the background shape
- Done by mixing tracks with similar number of tracks and collision vertex, otherwise the shape will not be the same as for the signal



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Results for $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{h}$ correlations at 13 TeV

Same sign:



Opposite sign:



Backup

Projections and model comparisons, 13 TeV



Jonatan Adolfsson

Correlations in small systems, eQCD

3 February 2020 26 / 22

Radial flow

- For an expanding fluid, such as a QGP, radial particle velocities will be boosted, so a larger boost is expected for high-multiplicity events
- Since $\mathbf{p} = \gamma \mathbf{v}$, the *momentum* boost will be larger for heavier particles \implies mass ordering
- Consequence: depletion of low- $p_{\rm T}$ particles with increasing multiplicity
- Moreover, the particles become more collimated, reducing the balance function width

Colour reconnection



Figure credit: Torbjörn Sjöstrand

- With CR, different colour interactions between partons interfere \implies strings tend to cluster, shorter strings overall
- Consequence: fewer partons, especially at high multiplicity
- Total energy does not decrease, so the $p_{\rm T}$ spectrum becomes harder with increasing multiplicity
- Exactly what happens in radial flow, effect on balance functions is similar

Rope hadronisation

- In this model, colur strings are clustered into ropes
- Makes it easier to form multistrange hadrons (the relatively rare s quarks more easily cluster together)
- Larger multiplicity ⇒ more ropes ⇒ strangeness enhancement
- Originally implemented in the DIPSY model, now incorporated in an extension to PYTHIA



C. Bierlich. *QCD Challenges at the LHC: from pp to AA*. [Conference presentation]. Taxco, Mexico. (2016).