# Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

Andre Scaffidi<sup>1</sup>

In collaboration with C. Arina,<sup>2</sup> A. Beniwal,<sup>2</sup> C. Degrande<sup>2</sup> and J. Heisig<sup>2</sup> December 2, 2019

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Australia <sup>2</sup>CP3, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium



In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig

# pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

#### Motivation



 Everyday, canonical WIMPs getting more and more constrained by direct detection.

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

#### Motivation



- Everyday, canonical WIMPs getting more and more constrained by direct detection.
- ▶ Bypass?  $\Rightarrow q^2$  suppressed  $\sigma_{SI}$  as  $q \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{MeV})$  in DD.

Extend SM by adding new complex scalar S:

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathscr{L}_{\rm S} + \mathscr{L}_{\rm Soft} ,$$

where

$$\mathscr{L}_{S} = \left(\partial_{\mu}S\right)^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu}S\right) + \frac{\mu_{S}^{2}}{2}|S|^{2} - \lambda_{\Phi S}\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi|S|^{2} - \frac{\lambda_{S}}{2}|S|^{4}$$
$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{soft}} = \frac{\mu_{S}^{\prime 2}}{4}\left(S^{2} + S^{*2}\right)$$

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig

Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

► After EWSB:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v_h + \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{v_s + s + i\chi}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

After EWSB:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v_h + \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{v_s + s + i\chi}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

▶ Under dark *CP* symmetry:  $\chi \rightarrow -\chi \implies$  (stable) pNG DM.

After EWSB:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v_h + \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{v_s + s + i\chi}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

▶ Under dark *CP* symmetry:  $\chi \rightarrow -\chi \implies$  (stable) pNG DM.

**b** Diagonalising mass matrix yields two additional mass eigenstates (h, H):

$$m_{h,H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_{\Phi} v_h^2 + \lambda_S v_s^2 \mp \left( \frac{\lambda_S v_s^2 - \lambda_{\Phi} v_h^2}{\cos 2\theta} \right) \right]$$

where  $\theta = \text{mixing angle}$ . Identify  $m_h$  as SM Higgs.

After EWSB:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v_h + \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{v_s + s + i\chi}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

▶ Under dark *CP* symmetry:  $\chi \rightarrow -\chi \implies$  (stable) pNG DM.

**b** Diagonalising mass matrix yields two additional mass eigenstates (h, H):

$$m_{h,H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_{\Phi} v_h^2 + \lambda_S v_s^2 \mp \left( \frac{\lambda_S v_s^2 - \lambda_{\Phi} v_h^2}{\cos 2\theta} \right) \right]$$

where  $\theta = \text{mixing angle}$ . Identify  $m_h$  as SM Higgs.

Tree level DD amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{DD}}^{ ext{Tree}}\left(q^{2}
ight) \propto q^{2}\sin heta\cos heta\left(rac{1}{m_{h}^{2}}-rac{1}{m_{H}^{2}}
ight)$$

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig

$m_{\chi},$	$v_s$ ,	$\theta$ ,	$m_H$ .

$$m_{\chi}, v_s, \theta, m_H.$$

Indirect detection relevant.

$$m_{\chi}, v_s, \theta, m_H.$$

- Indirect detection relevant.
- Also has contribution to invisible Higgs decay width and Higgs production,

$$m_{\chi}, v_s, \theta, m_H.$$

- Indirect detection relevant.
- Also has contribution to invisible Higgs decay width and Higgs production,
- Relic abundance ramifications.

$$m_{\chi}, v_s, \theta, m_H.$$

- Indirect detection relevant.
- Also has contribution to invisible Higgs decay width and Higgs production,
- Relic abundance ramifications.
- Global fit!

## **Statistical Analysis**

 $\ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{total}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \ln \mathcal{L}_{\Omega_{\chi}h^{2}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_{h \to \chi \chi}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{EWPO}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{LEP}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{HS}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$ 

Туре	Constraints	Likelihood
Theoretical bounds	Bounded tree level potential, Perturvative unitarity <sup>1</sup>	_
Thermal relic abundance	$\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2=0.120\pm0.001^2$	Gaussian (+ 5% theory error)
Higgs invisible decay	$\mathcal{BR}(h  o \chi \chi) \le 0.26^3$	one-sided Gaussian (+ 5% theory error)
EWPO	$\Delta S = 0.04 \pm 0.11$ $\Delta T = 0.09 \pm 0.14$ $\Delta U = -0.02 \pm 0.11^4$	3D Gaussian
Higgs searches at LEP	-	HiggsBounds v5.3.2beta
Higgs signal strengths	_	HiggsSignals v2.3.2beta

<sup>1</sup>C.-Y. Chen et al., *PRD*, arXiv:1410.5488 <sup>2</sup>Planck Collaboration, arXiv:1807.06209 <sup>3</sup>ATLAS Collaboration, *PRL*, arXiv:1904.05105

<sup>4</sup> J. Haller et al., *EPJC*, arXiv:1803.01853

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig

Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

Parameters/observables	Best-fit
$m_{\chi}$ (GeV)	118.614
$v_h/v_s$	4.922
$\theta$ (rad)	1.550
$m_H  ({\sf GeV})$	125.300
$\Omega_{\chi}h^2$	0.120
$\langle \sigma v \rangle_0  (\mathrm{cm}^3  \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$3.511 \times 10^{-31}$



In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

Parameters/observables	Best-fit
$m_\chi$ (GeV)	118.614
$v_h/v_s$	4.922
$\theta$ (rad)	1.550
$m_H ({\sf GeV})$	125.300
$\Omega_{\chi}h^2$	0.120
$\langle \sigma v  angle_0  ({ m cm}^3  { m s}^{-1})$	$3.511 \times 10^{-31}$

HiggsBounds/HiggsSignals and EWPO:

- $\theta \lesssim 0.15$  rad for all  $m_H$ ;
- Arbitrary  $\theta$  for  $m_H \simeq m_h$ .

pNG DM relic abundance:

- Annihilation cross-section resonantly enhanced for  $m_{\chi} \simeq m_{h,H}/2$
- Small  $v_h/v_s$  for  $m_\chi \simeq m_{h, H}/2$ ;
- Large  $v_h/v_s$  for  $m_\chi \gtrsim 100 \,\text{GeV}$  (driven by  $m_H$ ).



In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig





Left panel

- All PLR samples give  $\Omega_{\chi}h^2 = 0.120$ .
- 1 $\sigma$  CL region splits into 2 islands:  $m_{\chi} \simeq m_h/2$  and  $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 100 \,\text{GeV}$ .



Left panel

• All PLR samples give  $\Omega_{\chi}h^2 = 0.120$ .

•  $1\sigma$  CL region splits into 2 islands:  $m_{\chi} \simeq m_h/2$  and  $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 100 \,\text{GeV}$ .

Right panel

• 
$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_0 \ll \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm FO}$$
 when  $m_{\chi} \simeq m_h/2$ .

 Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.

- Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.
- Fermi-LAT results publicly available as binned likelihood profiles for 45 dSphs.



[Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL, arXiv:1503.02641]

- Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.
- Fermi-LAT results publicly available as binned likelihood profiles for 45 dSphs.
- Post-process with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (45 dSphs)



[Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL, arXiv:1503.02641]

- Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.
- Fermi-LAT results publicly available as binned likelihood profiles for 45 dSphs.
- Post-process with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (45 dSphs)
- Compute total *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (available in MadDM v3.0).



[Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL, arXiv:1503.02641]

- Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.
- Fermi-LAT results publicly available as binned likelihood profiles for 45 dSphs.
- Post-process with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (45 dSphs)
- Compute total *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (available in MadDM v3.0).
- Compute γ-ray energy spectra with MadDM and utilise Pythia v8.0 for showering/hadronisation.



[Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL, arXiv:1503.02641]

- Search for DM annihilation in high DM density regions.
- Fermi-LAT results publicly available as binned likelihood profiles for 45 dSphs.
- Post-process with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (45 dSphs)
- Compute total *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (available in MadDM v3.0).
- Compute γ-ray energy spectra with MadDM and utilise Pythia v8.0 for showering/hadronisation.
- Include  $2 \rightarrow 2$ ,  $2 \rightarrow 3$  ( $\chi\chi \rightarrow VV^*$  for off-shell  $V^* = W/Z$ ),  $2 \rightarrow 4$ ,  $2 \rightarrow 5$  and  $2 \rightarrow 6$  (e.g.,  $\chi\chi \rightarrow hH$ , HH) processes.



[Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL, arXiv:1503.02641]

Out of 45 dSphs, 4 dSphs (Reticulum II, Tucana III, Tucana IV and Indus II) show slight excess (2σ local sig. each).

 Out of 45 dSphs, 4 dSphs (Reticulum II, Tucana III, Tucana IV and Indus II) show slight excess (2σ local sig. each).

Parameters/observables	Best-fit
$m_\chi$ (GeV)	62.485
$v_h/v_s$	$7.962 \times 10^{-4}$
$\theta$ (rad)	0.939
$m_H  ({\sf GeV})$	125.453
$\Omega_{\chi}h^2$	0.122
$\langle \sigma v  angle_0  (\mathrm{cm}^3  \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$1.132 \times 10^{-26}$



 Out of 45 dSphs, 4 dSphs (Reticulum II, Tucana III, Tucana IV and Indus II) show slight excess (2σ local sig. each).

Parameters/observables	Best-fit	
$m_\chi$ (GeV)	62.485	
$v_h/v_s$	$7.962 \times 10^{-4}$	
$\theta$ (rad)	0.939	
$m_H  ({\sf GeV})$	125.453	
$\Omega_{\chi}h^2$	0.122	
$\langle \sigma v  angle_0  ({ m cm}^3  { m s}^{-1})$	$1.132 \times 10^{-26}$	

- Large DM masses disfavoured (hard annihilation spectra).
- Best-fit in h resonance region.
- $\chi\chi \to b\overline{b}$  channel dominant.







- ► Large range of allowed annihilation channels  $\rightarrow m_{\chi} \gtrsim 400 \,\text{GeV}$  disfavoured.
- Interestingly, freeze out annihilation cross-section favoured by dSphs that exhibit slight excess.

 $\blacktriangleright$   $q^2$ -suppressed pNG DM-nucleon cross-section at tree-level.

 $\triangleright$   $q^2$ -suppressed pNG DM-nucleon cross-section at tree-level.

Leading-order contribution at one-loop order.

$$\sigma_{\chi N}^{1-\text{ loop }}=rac{\mu_{\chi N}^2}{\pi}rac{f_N^2m_N^2}{v_b^2m_\chi^2}\mathcal{F}^2$$

 $\triangleright$   $q^2$ -suppressed pNG DM-nucleon cross-section at tree-level.

Leading-order contribution at one-loop order.

$$\sigma^{1-\text{ loop}}_{\chi N} = rac{\mu^2_{\chi N}}{\pi} rac{f_N^2 m_N^2}{v_h^2 m_\chi^2} \mathcal{F}^2$$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathcal{F}^2$  is...complicated. ee 1810.06105



▶  $q^2$ -suppressed pNG DM-nucleon cross-section at tree-level.

Leading-order contribution at one-loop order.

$$\sigma_{\chi N}^{1-\ \mathsf{loop}} = rac{\mu_{\chi N}^2}{\pi} rac{f_N^2 m_N^2}{v_h^2 m_\chi^2} \mathcal{F}^2$$

▶ *F*<sup>2</sup> is...complicated. ee 1810.06105

Post-process PLR samples by computing one loop cross-section.



## Summary

#### Summary

#### Global fit

- Performed global fit of pNG DM.
- Observed Higgs signal strengths  $\implies \theta \lesssim 0.15$  rad for all  $m_H$ ; arbitrary  $\theta$  for  $m_H \simeq m_h$ .
- Correct DM abundance  $\implies m_{\chi} \simeq m_{h, H}/2$  or  $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 100 \,\text{GeV}$ .

#### Post-process with Fermi-LAT likelihood (45 dSphs)

- ▶ 4/45 dSphs show excess at  $2\sigma$  local significance each.
- $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 400 \,\text{GeV}$  are disfavoured (harder annihilation spectra).

#### Post-process with direct detection at one-loop level

- ▶  $q^2$ -suppressed tree-level cross-section → leading-order contribution at one-loop level.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Computed one-loop cross-section for all samples  $\rightarrow$  all compatible with XENON1T.

### Thank You!

# Model files (FeynRules/FeynArts/UFO/Calchep): https://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/pNG

In collaboration with C. Arina, A. Beniwal, C. Degrande and J. Heisig Global fit of pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone (pNG) Dark Matter

## **Backup slides**



Fig. 1: 2D PLR plots after post-processing our samples with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (41 dSphs).



Fig. 2: Key DM observables after post-processing our samples with *Fermi*-LAT likelihood (41 dSphs).