# DARWIN

ARWIN

Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay with The Low-Background Low-Threshold Observatory



### Marc Schumann U Freiburg on behalf of the DARWIN collaboration

APPEC Community Meeting on  $0\nu\beta\beta$  London, October 31, 2019

marc.schumann@physik.uni-freiburg.de
www.app.uni-freiburg.de

www.darwin-observatory.org

### **Direct WIMP Detection Today**



some results are missing...

DAR1

### **DARWIN** The ultimate WIMP Detector



DARWIN

### **DARWIN** The ultimate WIMP Detector



DARWIN

### **Dual-Phase LXe TPC**



### **DARWIN Collaboration**



- international collaboration, 26 groups, ~160 scientists → continuously growing
- most XENON plus new groups
- endorsed by several national and international agencies

## **DARWIN: Science Channels**

#### **Nuclear Recoil Interactions**

#### WIMP dark matter JCAP 10, 016 (2015)

- spin-independent (S1-S2, charge-only)
- spin-dependent
  - $\rightarrow$  complementary with LHC, indirect det.
- various inelastic models, most EFT couplings

#### Coherent neutrino-nucleon scattering (CNNS)

- <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos (low E), atmospheric (high E) JCAP 1611, 017 (2016)
- supernova neutrinos PRD 89, 013011 (2014), PRD 94, 103009 (2016)

#### **Electronic Recoil Interactions**

#### Non-WIMP dark matter and neutrino physics

- axions, ALPs JCAP 1611, 017 (2016)
- sterile neutrinos
- JCAP 01, 044 (2014) – pp, <sup>7</sup>Be: precision flux measurements
- CNO neutrinos with <sup>136</sup>Xe-depleted Xe PRD 99, 043006 (2019)

#### Rare nuclear events

- **0νββ (136Xe)**, 0νEC (124Xe), ...

JCAP 01, 044 (2014)



### **ARWIN WIMP Backgrounds**

pp+<sup>7</sup>Be neutrinos → ER signature high-E neutrinos → CNNS bg → NR signature

Remaining background sources: – Neutrinos ( $\rightarrow$  ERs and NRs) – Detector materials ( $\rightarrow$  n) – Xe-intrinsic isotopes ( $\rightarrow$  e<sup>-</sup>) (assume negligible µ-induced background)

JCAP 10, 016 (2015)

neutron veto Xe-intrinsic bg: <sup>22</sup>Rn, <sup>85</sup>Kr, 2νββ neutrons from (α,n) and sf

Electronic Recoils (gamma, beta)

Nuclear Recoils (neutron, WIMPs)

only single scatters

### Water Shield @ LNGS

#### **Full MC Simulation for 3600 mwe**

- site not yet chosen, LoI to LNGS submitted
- external y, n background irrelevant after >2.5m
- critical:  $\mu$ -induced neutrons of high energy
- studied several water shield geometries between XENON and Borexino tank
- 12m tank: ~0.4 n/(200 t×y) Borexino: <0.05 n/(200 t×y)</p>
- Gd-loaded water further reduces numbers
- direct radiogenic and cosmogenic background irrelevant for 0vββ
- $\rightarrow$  only muon-induced activation matters





### **DARWIN ER Background**



- Kr removed by cryogenic distillation EPJ. C 77, 275 (2017)
  - → DARWIN goal already achieved!
- Rn removed by combination of
- material production
- material selection
- surface treatment
- detector design
- cryogenic distrillation EPJ C 77, 358 (2017)



## 0vββ with DARWIN?!!!

The 40t LXe target contains **3.5t of <sup>136</sup>Xe** without any expensive enrichment.

immediate advantages:

- get  $0\nu\beta\beta$  detector "for free"
- fiducialization is much "cheaper"
- excellent *E*-resolution
   demonstrated by XENON1T



### **Sensitivity Studies**

	top sensor array	Element	Material	Mass
outer cryostat	(955 PMTs, electronics, copper + PTFE panels)	Outer Cryostat	Ti	$3.04\mathrm{t}$
		Inner Cryostat	Ti	$2.10\mathrm{t}$
		Bottom Pressure Vessel	Ti	$0.38\mathrm{t}$
inner cryostat — 🔶 🔰	top electrode	LXe instrumented Target	LXe	$39.3\mathrm{t}$
field cage (copper, 92 rings) support structure (PTFE, 24 pillars)	frames (Titanium)	LXe Buffer outside the TPC	LXe	$9.00\mathrm{t}$
		LXe around Pressure Vessel	LXe	$0.27\mathrm{t}$
	TPC reflector (PTFE, 24 panels)	GXe  in top dome + TPC  top	GXe	$30  \mathrm{kg}$
		TPC Reflector (3mm thickness)	PTFE	$146  \mathrm{kg}$
		Structural support Pillars (24 units)	PTFE	$84\mathrm{kg}$
		Electrode Frames	Ti	$120  \mathrm{kg}$
	bottom electrode	Field Shaping Rings (92 units)	Copper	$680\mathrm{kg}$
	- / frames (Titanium)	Photosensor Arrays (2 disks):		
		Disk structural support	Copper	$520\mathrm{kg}$
	bottom sensor array	Reflector $+$ sliding panels	PTFE	$70  \mathrm{kg}$
		Photosensors: 3"PMTs (1910 Units)	PMT	$363\mathrm{kg}$
		Sensor Electronics (1910 Units)	$\operatorname{composite}$	$5.7\mathrm{kg}$
	pressure vessel			

- · Geant4 model with reasonable level of details
- Inputs: published materials from
  - $\rightarrow$  room for improvement
- XENON1T (PTFE, Cu, R11410-21 PMTs+electronics) LZ (Ti + cosmogenic activation of <sup>44</sup>Ti)
- better materials (no optimization for  $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) - upper limits considered as detection

### **Event Topology**



## **Intrinsic Backgrounds**



### External (Material) Background



### **Background Optimization**



DARM

## **DARWIN Sensitivity Reach**

- current study not "optimized" for 0νββ
- pre-achieved radioactivity levels
- What could possibly be improved?
  - top array made of SiPM
    - $\rightarrow$  improve xy-resolution, reduce  $\epsilon$
    - $\rightarrow\,$  factor 2 reduction of PMT background
  - identify cleaner materials
    - → low-background R11410 PMTs
    - → EXO-type PTFE
    - $\rightarrow$  better cryostat, electronics
    - $\rightarrow$  suppression of external bg
  - reduction of intrinsic background
    - $\rightarrow$  veto for <sup>137</sup>Xe? (maybe factor ~2?)
    - → deeper lab (almost factor 10 possible)
  - improve energy reconstruction
    - → mitigate detector effects
    - → machine learning techniques



### **Exciting 0vßß Opportunities**

#### darwin-observatory.org

#### **DARWIN:** much more than

#### **The ultimate Dark Matter Detector**

→ The low-background, low-threshold Astroparticle Physics Observatory

#### with competitive 0vββ-sensitivity





- DARWIN can be done at LNGS
   → need ≥12m water shield
- Timeline: R&D and construction parallel to XENONnT data taking

