



The RED-100 experiment

D. Akimov (RED collaboration)

NRNU MEPhI

&

NRC “Kurchatov institute” ITEP



The 15th International Workshop on Applied Antineutrino Physics (AAP 2019), Guangzhou, China, Dec 5-8, 2019



РОССИЙСКИЙ ЭМИССИОННЫЙ ДЕТЕКТОР

The RED collaboration is currently represented by:

**D.Yu. Akimov^{a,b}, V.A. Belov^{a,b}, A.I. Bolozdynya^a, Yu.V. Efremenko^f, A.V. Etenko^{a,d},
A.V. Galavanov^{a,c}, D.V. Gouss^a, Yu.V. Gusakov^{a,c}, Dj.Ed. Kdib^a, A.V. Khromov^a,
A.M. Konovalov^{a,b,c}, V.N. Kornoukhov^{a,g}, A.G. Kovalenko^{a,b}, E.S. Kozlova^{a,b}, A.V. Kumpan^a,
A.V. Lukyashin^{a,b}, Yu.A. Melikyan^{a,g}, V.V. Moramzin^a, O.E. Razuvaeva^{a,b}, D.G. Rudik^{a,b},
A.V. Shakirov^a, G.E. Simakov^{a,b,c}, V.V. Sosnovtsev^a, A.A. Vasin^a**

^a *National Research Nuclear University “MEPhI” (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute),
Moscow, 115409, Russia*

^b *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of National Research
Center “Kurchatov Institute”,
Moscow, 117218, Russia*

^c *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Dubna, Moscow region, 141980, Russia*

^d *National Research Center “Kurchatov Institute”,
Moscow, 123098, Russia*

^e *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (State University),
Moscow, 117303, Russia*

^f *University of Tennessee,
Knoxville, TN 37996-1200, USA*

^g *Institute for Nuclear Research,
Moscow, 117312, Russia*

CEvNS


A coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS): $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu' + A'$

It was predicted theoretically 40y ago:

D.Z. Freedman, Coherent effects of a weak neutral current, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 1389

As well, Kopeliovich V B, Frankfurt L L JETP Lett. 19 145 (1974); Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 19 236 (1974)

but has **never been observed** experimentally until recently (2017) because of the very small energy transfer





Neutrino interacts via exchange of Z with a nucleus as a whole,
coherently up to $E_\nu \sim 50$ MeV

This takes place when the transferred momentum is of an order or smaller than the inverse nuclear radius

CEvNS cross-section

$$T \ll E_\nu: \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dT}_{coh} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} M Q_W^2 \left(1 - \frac{MT}{2E_\nu^2} \right) F_{nucl}^2(Q^2)$$

where $Q_W = [Z(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) - N] \approx N - \text{weak nucl. charge}$ $\sin^2\theta_W \sim 0.25$ $\Rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{dT}_{coh} \sim N^2$.



Experimental points by COHERENT: [Science](#) Vol. 357 (2017) 1123


ArXiv 1909.05913

Cs & I

Ar

For Cs, I, Xe $\langle \sigma \rangle \approx 7 \cdot 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2$ averaged over energy spectrum of reactor antineutrinos: 0 – ~10 MeV

Worldwide CEvNS map



Proposals and experiments worldwide

1-st proposal: A. Drukier, L. Stodolsky Phys. Rev. D 30 2295 (1984)

detector based metastable superconducting grains for solar neutrino and other applications

At a
reactor:

Ge detectors: CoGeNT, TEXONO, vGeN, CONUS
Low-temp. bolometers: RICOCHET, MINER, v-cleus
CCD: CONNIE

Noble liquid detectors: LAr Livermore, LXe
ITEP&INR, LXe ZEPLIN-III

At a spallation
neutron source:

at ISIS: LXe ZEPLIN-III

at SNS: CLEAR (LAr), COHERENT:


taking data

LAr, Ge, CsI [Na]


Data taking
completed

Liquid noble gas detectors

In Dark Matter search experiments, the progress of setting limits has increased significantly when liquid noble gas detectors (two-phase) started operation



1st proposal (in 2004); LAr detector



C. Hagmann and A. Bernstein,
**Two-Phase Emission Detector for
Measuring Coherent Neutrino-Nucleus
Scattering**
IEEE Trans.Nucl.Sci. 51 (2004) 2151


Two-phase emission detector

It combines the advantages of gas detectors: the possibility of proportional or EL amplification, XYZ positioning, and the possibility to have the large mass!

This method was proposed by Russian scientists in MEPhI in 1970s!


Photodetectors (photomultipliers)

Particle




Energy spectra

$\tilde{\nu}_e$ energy spectrum from nuclear reactor



Xe and Ar nuclear recoil spectra




region of few ionisation electrons

This is very challenging task, but feasible!

Ionization yield for sub-keV nuclear recoils

7 years ago


There were no data $< 4 \text{ keV}_{nr}$



We considered
“optimistic” and “realistic” scenarios

Now

LUX data









NEST – Noble
Element Simulation
Technique

New data by LLNL
arXiv:1908.00518

Single electron detection

Projects for CEvNS with LXe two-phase detectors appeared after the capability to detection of single ionization electrons (SE) was demonstrated:



Proposals on CEvNS detection:



ITEP&INR LXe:
[JINST 4 \(2009\) P06010](#)
[\[arXiv:0903.4821\]](#)

ZEPLIN-III Collaboration LXe:
[JHEP 1112 \(2011\) 115 \[arXiv:1110.3056\]](#)


The RED-100: the laboratory tests are under way in MEPhI

RED-100 is a two-phase noble gas emission detector. Contains ~200 kg of LXe, ~160 kg in sens. volume, ~100 kg in **FV**.


The sensitive volume **38 cm** in diam., **41 cm** in height, is defined by the top and bottom optically transparent mesh electrodes and field-shaping rings.



Schematic layout of grids and PMTs




Sizes of the drift volume and distances between grids are in **mm**.



T and B – top and bottom grounded grids,
 A – anode grid,
 G1 – electron shutter grid,
 G2 – extraction grid,
 C – cathode grid


RED-100 detector assembling



RED-100 performance: LXe purity

1st stage: LXe was purified by a spark-discharge method with “Mojdodyr”:
 D.Yu. Akimov et al.,
 Instrum. Exp. Tech. 60
 (2017) no.6, 782

X-ray tube as a source of ionization electrons for e^- lifetime measurements






Xenon was contaminated by highly-electronegative impurities presumably due to the use of a special fluorine-containing high-molecular-weight lubricant in gas centrifuges.

After purification, the achieved lifetime $\approx 50 \mu\text{s}$ for $\sim 200 \text{ kg}$ of LXe

2^d stage: Purification was performed by constant circulation of Xe through RED-100 and SAES

Electron lifetime was measured by cosmic muons passed through the detector:



Average energy deposition from cosmic muons is practically uniform




RED-100 performance: SE

SE is a cluster of individual SPEs (single photo electrons) with a typical duration of $\sim 2 \mu\text{s}$

Typical single electron (SE) signal




Distribution of SE duration



Distribution of SE area

RED-100 performance

Gamma- calibration



Electron extraction efficiency (EEE)

From S2 distribution ONLY,


$N_{\text{SE}} = ^{22}\text{Na}$ peak pos. area / SE area

N_{E} – from NEST @ $E_{\text{dr}} = 0.217$ kV/cm

N_{E}^* – corrected for electron lifetime

EEE = $N_{\text{SE}} / N_{\text{E}}^* = 0.54 \pm 0.08$

@ $E_{\text{extr}} = 3.0 \pm 0.1$ kV/cm



RED-100 performance: "spontaneous" SE


Observed in ZEPLIN-III:

JHEP 1112 (2011) 115, [arXiv:1110.3056](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3056) [physics.ins-det]


The rate is proportional to the total charge rate in the detector

P. Sorensen, K. Kamdin

JINST 13 (2018) no.02, P02032



JINST 11 (2016) no.03, C03007




"Spontaneous" SE are caused by overlapping of the SE tails of the energetic events (mostly muons).

Two components:

1st – short, but more intense, caused by emission of the electrons trapped at LXe surface.

2^d – long, but less intense; unknown mechanism, **decreases with time as purity increase; possibly, catching and releasing electrons by impurities** (correlation with purity (of LAr) was also observed in DS50)

RED-100 performance: "spontaneous" SE




Positive pulse (~300 V millisecc. duration) is applied to G1, and the charge is collected to it.

Pulse generator is triggered by muon scintillation.

Then, the only ~1-cm part of LXe above G2 produce the undersurface charge.


To minimize the 1st component, an electron shutter is introduced (G2 – G1).



The use of shutter allowed us to reduce the SE rate by a factor of ~3


However, the "spontaneous" SE rate is quite high: ~ 250 kHz in our ground-level lab. (no overburden, no shielding)

Example of waveform:




At the site of KNNP (Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant), it **will be reduced by a factor of ~5**

RED-100 at KNPP



19 m from core

Antineutrino flux at this place - $1.35 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$



**γ and n shield:
5 cm Cu + ~60
cm H₂O**

Neutron flux






Image by J.I. Collar

KNPP – Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant

Assembling the whole setup is currently in progress in MEPhi lab.



Estimation of CE ν NS count rate at KNPP

ME – multielectron events – accidental coincidences of SE is the main **instrumental** background of a two-phase emission detector

- Taken into account:**
- New data on ionization yield in LXe for NR
 - **EEE = $N_{SE} / N_E^* = 0.54 \pm 0.08$**
 - Factor of 5 reduction of muon rate \Rightarrow 50 kHz spontaneous SE rate
 - Poisson flow of spontaneous SE
 - Cut on "non-pointness" of event

ME value in electrons	Estimated ME background at KNPP, events/160kg/day		Expected CE ν NS count rate at KNPP, events/160kg/day	
	no cut	point-like	no cut	point-like
2	$5.3 \cdot 10^7$	$1.8 \cdot 10^7$	465	283
3	$4.4 \cdot 10^5$	$0.9 \cdot 10^5$	129	79
4	$2.7 \cdot 10^3$	348	35.5	21.7
5	13.7	1.1	10.6	6.4
6	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1.9	1.2

We can detect CE ν NS with threshold of ~ 4 SE

Further steps to improve CE ν NS/bckg

- 1 To increase EEE by increasing extraction (G2-A) electric field \Rightarrow CE ν NS signal \uparrow , however SE rate \uparrow , but not significantly

For this purpose, additional Teflon isolator is installed between G2 and A



- 2 To introduce smart blocking for the muon events: the higher muon deposited energy, the longer blocking time of the shutter (up to several hundred ms)
- 3 To study the influence of LXe purity on the rate of spontaneous SE events
- 4 To improve algorithm of point-like events selection

TIMELINE

2020 Laboratory tests in full-shield configuration; preparing for shipment, paperwork, and shipment & deployment



2021 Data taking

2022 Data analysis



CONCLUSION

1 First ground-level laboratory tests of the RED-100 detector was carried out.

The main technical results are:

- Excellent LXe purity is achieved – electron lifetime of ~ milliseconds
- Electron extraction efficiency (EEE) = 0.54 ± 0.08 @ 3.0 ± 0.1 kV/cm
- SE gain of 29^{+6}_{-2} SPE is obtained
- The electron shutter was tested: the spontaneous SE rate reduced but still high

2 Estimations based on our tests show that detection of the CE ν NS events is feasible at the site of Kalinin NPP with a threshold corresponding to ~ 4 SE

3 Further steps to improve CE ν NS/bckg were discussed

NEW COLLABORATORS ARE WELCOME

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION !

Backup slides



Two-phase detector

Detection principle

B.A. Dolgoshein, V.N. Lebedenko, B.U. Rodionov, JETF Letters (in Russian), 1970, v. 11, p. 513

For the Dark Matter search:

A.S. Barabash and A.I. Bolozdynya, JETF Letters (in Russian), 1989, v.49, p. 359



By electric field part of electrons are extracted from the track: **recombination is suppressed**

Suppression depends on dE/dX

Ratio of SC/EL is different for different kind of particles

