## Mahi on GPU

Two workflow available with two performances

V2 = All HCAL sequence on GPU V1 = Only mahi on GPU (from umpacker to conditions and Not optimized yet mahi) super optimized CMS Hcal Reconstruction CPU vs GPU 500 450 1000 400 Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU average throughput (ev/s) 320 520 120 120 From Viktor E5-2680 v4 @ 2.40GHz (14 800 cores, 28 threads) Throughput (ev/s) 600 Configuration 🝋 NVIDIA GPUs Tesla T4 Upper Bound using Intel Xeon Gold 6148 2x16 cores Nvidia V100 (1 CUDA Stream per CPU thread) Intel Xeon Gold 6148 400 100 50 200 0 5 7 11 13 15 17 9 CPU threads / EDM streams 12 14 16 CPU Threads (CUDA Streams)

## Goal of the week

Focus on "V1" and check how much is :

- 1. Copy of CMSSW objects from CPU to GPU
- 2. memory saturation
- 3. recHit asynchronous (i.e. number of iterations are different for rechit)
- 4. ... or just bad cloning from CPU to GPU

## Today started profiling with NVIDIA-Nsight-Compute-2019.4

File Connection Debug Profile Tools Window Help	10					
profile_reportv4_k128.nsight-cuprof-report ×						
Page: Source   Process: All  Launch: 0 - 127 - kernel_reconstruct	▼ Add Baseline	<ul> <li>Apply <u>R</u>ules</li> </ul>				Copy a
Current 127 - kernel_reconstruct (5248, 1, 1) Time: 13.32 msecond Cycles:	7,858,220 Regs: 25	5 GPU: Tesla T4 SI	M Frequency: 589.86 cycle/us	econd CC: 7.5 Proces	<b>s:</b> [42121] cmsRun	
View: Source						
MahiFit_gpu.cu 🔹 🖂 🔗 🔗 Sampling Data (All)						
# Source	Live Registers	Sampling Data (All)	Sampling Data (Not Issued)	Instructions Executed	Predicated-On Thread Instructions Executed	Men
477 478 auto invDt = 0.5 / nnlsWorkdt;		е зе <b>н</b>	9 3 <b>60</b>	19,69 <b>5</b> ]	391,995	1
479		0	0	13,038		
<pre>480 for (unsigned int iTS=0; iTS<nnlsworkts5ize; ++its)="" 481<="" pre="" {=""></nnlsworkts5ize;></pre>		153	144	35,612	631,773	
<pre>482 pulseShape.coeffRef(iTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset) = pulseN[iTS+delta];</pre>		815	792	73,528	1,463,448	
<pre>483 pulseDeriv.coeffRef(iTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset) = (pulseM[iTS+delta]-pulseP[ 484</pre>		833	819	52, 520	1,045,320	T.
485 pulseM[iTS+delta] -= pulseN[iTS+delta];		15	10	21,008	418,128	
<pre>486 pulseP[iTS+delta] -= pulseN[iTS+delta]; 487 }</pre>		85	82 <mark>]</mark> 8	21,008	418,128	
488						
<pre>489 for (unsigned int iTS=0; iTS<nnlsworktssize; (unsigned="" ++its)="" ++jts)="" 490="" for="" int="" jts="0;" jts<its+1;="" pre="" {="" {<=""></nnlsworktssize;></pre>		67 628	51 \$46	28,886 283,286	522,660 4,642,512	
491			0	203,200		
<pre>492 double tmp = 0.5*( pulseP[iTS+delta]*pulseP[jTS+delta] + 493 pulseM[iTS+delta]*pulseM[jTS+delta]);</pre>		1,577 0	1,463	341,380	6,637,782	
494		0	e			
<pre>495 pulseCov(iTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset,jTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset) += tmp; 496 if(jTS!=iTS) pulseCov(jTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset,iTS+nnlsWorkmaxoffset)</pre>		4,585	4,494	309,868	6,167,388	
497 }		0		202,000		
498 } 499		0 0	9 9			
455 500 }		0	e			
501 502device		0	e 8			
503 void MahiFit::updateCov() const {		0	8			
504 505 SampleMatrix invCovMat;		0	9			
Ses Semplement IX INVLOVMet;		0	0			