$K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ decays from lattice QCD

Chris Sachrajda

(RBC-UKQCD Collaborations)

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Southampton Southampton SO17 1BJ UK

New Physics on the Low-Energy Precision Frontier CERN, Geneva, Switzerland January 20th - February 7th 2020

LEVERHULME TRUST Southampton

э

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Chris Sachrajda

Low-Energy Precision Frontier, February 3rd 2020

1

The RBC & UKQCD collaborations

<u>BNL and BNL/RBRC</u> Yasumichi Aoki (KEK) Taku Izubuchi Yong-Chull Jang Chulwoo Jung Meifeng Lin Aaron Meyer Hiroshi Ohki Shigemi Ohta (KEK) Amariit Soni

UC Boulder Oliver Witzel

CERN

Mattia Bruno

Columbia University

Ryan Abbot Norman Christ Duo Guo **Christopher Kelly** Bob Mawhinney Masaaki Tomii Jiqun Tu Bigeng Wang Tianle Wang Yidi Zhao

University of Connecticut Tom Blum Dan Hoying (BNL) Luchang Jin (RBRC) Cheng Tu

Edinburgh University

Peter Boyle Luigi Del Debbio Felix Erben Vera Gülpers Tadeusz Janowski Julia Kettle Michael Marshall Fionn Ó hÓgáin Antonin Portelli Tobias Tsang Andrew Yong Azusa Yamaguchi

KEK Julien Frison

<u>University of Liverpool</u> Nicolas Garron

<u>MIT</u> David Murphy

Peking University

Xu Feng

University of Regensburg Christoph Lehner (BNL)

University of Southampton

Nils Asmussen Jonathan Flynn Ryan Hill Andreas Jüttner James Richings Chris Sachrajda

Stony Brook University

Jun-Sik Yoo Sergey Syritsyn (RBRC)

Э



Directly computing $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay amplitudes

- 2 Evaluation of A₂
- 3 Evaluation of A₀
- 4 Conclusions

E

- $K \to \pi\pi$ decays are a very important class of processes for standard model phenomenology with a long and noble history.
 - It is in these decays that both indirect and direct CP-violation was discovered.
- Bose Symmetry \Rightarrow the two-pion state has isospin 0 or 2.

 $_{I=2}\langle \pi\pi|H_W|K^0
angle = A_2 \, e^{i\delta_2} \,, \qquad _{I=0}\langle \pi\pi|H_W|K^0
angle = A_0 \, e^{i\delta_0} \,.$

• Among the very interesting issues are the origin of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule (Re A_0 /Re $A_2 \simeq 22.5$) and an understanding of the experimental value of ε'/ε , the parameter which was the first experimental evidence of direct CP-violation.

Э



OP-violating experimental amplitudes:

$$\eta_{+-} = \frac{\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | H_W | K_L \rangle}{\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | H_W | K_S \rangle} = \epsilon + \epsilon'$$

$$\eta_{00} = \frac{\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 | H_W | K_L \rangle}{\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 | H_W | K_S \rangle} = \epsilon - 2\epsilon'$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{|\eta_{00}|^2}{|\eta_{+-}|^2}\right)$$

Theoretically (without isospin breaking corrections),

$$\epsilon' = \frac{i\omega e^{i(\delta_2 - \delta_0)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{Im}A_2}{\mathrm{Re}A_2} - \frac{\mathrm{Im}A_0}{\mathrm{Re}A_0}\right)$$

where $\omega = \operatorname{Re}A_2/\operatorname{Re}A_0 \simeq 1/22$.

- Indirect CP-violation: $|\epsilon| = (2.228 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-3}$
- Direct CP-violation: $\operatorname{Re}\left(\epsilon'/\epsilon\right) = (16.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$

1



• The effective $\Delta S = 1$ Hamiltonian can be written in the standard form:

$$H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{us}^* V_{ud} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left\{ z_i(\mu) + \tau \, y_i(\mu) \right\} Q_i(\mu) \,,$$

where

- G_F and V_{ij} are the Fermi Constant and CKM matrix elements respectively;
- τ is the ratio of CKM matrix elements

$$\tau = -\frac{V_{ts}^* V_{td}}{V_{us}^* V_{ud}} \simeq (1.543 + 0.635i) \times 10^{-3};$$

(to be refined)

• $Q_i(\mu)$ are four-quark operators defined at the renormalisation scale μ with Wilson Coefficients $z_i(\mu)$ and $y_i(\mu)$.

3



$$H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{us}^* V_{ud} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \{ z_i(\mu) + \tau \, y_i(\mu) \} \, Q_i(\mu)$$

- Role of lattice computations is to evaluate the hadronic matrix elements $\langle \pi \pi | Q_i(\mu) | K \rangle$.
- We can evaluate these matrix elements entirely non-perturbatively, but only in renormalisation schemes which can be defined beyond perturbation theory e.g. RI-(S)MoM.



$$p_1^2 = p_2^2 = (p_1 - p_2)^2 = \mu^2.$$

- Renormalisation condition chosen such that a suitable trace of this vertex = tree-level value.
- This does not include schemes based on dimensional regularisation, such as MS.
- Since the Wilson coefficients were calculated using the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme, there is necessarily an additional perturbative matching calculation from e.g. RI-(S)MoM $\rightarrow \overline{\rm MS}$.
- The uncertainties on the Wilson coefficients and also on the SM parameters are significant in the determination of ε'/ε.

A₀ and A₂ amplitudes with unphysical quark masses and with the pions at rest.
 "K to ππ decay amplitudes from lattice QCD,"
 T.Blum, P.A.Boyle, N.H.Christ, N.Garron, E.Goode, T.Izubuchi, C.Lehner, Q.Liu, R.D. Mawhinney, C.T.S,
 A.Soni, C.Sturm, H.Yin and R. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 114503 [arXiv:1106.2714 [hep-lat]].

"Kaon to two pions decay from lattice QCD, $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule and CP violation" Q.Liu, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (2010)

2 A₂ at physical kinematics and a single coarse lattice spacing. "The $K \rightarrow (\pi\pi)_{I=2}$ Decay Amplitude from Lattice QCD," T.Blum, P.A.Boyle, N.H.Christ, N.Garron, E.Goode, T.Izubuchi, C.Jung, C.Kelly, C.Lehner, M.Lightman, Q.Liu, A.T.Lytle, R.D.Mawhinney, C.T.S., A.Soni, and C.Sturm

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 141601 [arXiv:1111.1699 [hep-lat]],

"Lattice determination of the $K \rightarrow (\pi \pi)_{I=2}$ Decay Amplitude A_2 "

Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 074513 [arXiv:1206.5142 [hep-lat]]

"Emerging understanding of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ Rule from Lattice QCD,"

P.A. Boyle, N.H. Christ, N. Garron, E.J. Goode, T. Janowski, C. Lehner, Q. Liu, A.T. Lytle, C.T. Sachrajda, A. Soni, and D.Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 15, 152001 [arXiv:1212.1474 [hep-lat]].

Э

Southampton

3 A_2 at physical kinematics on two finer lattices \Rightarrow continuum limit taken. " $K \rightarrow \pi \pi \Delta I = 3/2$ decay amplitude in the continuum limit," T.Blum, P.A.Boyle, N.H.Christ, J.Frison, N.Garron, T.Janowski, C.Jung, C.Kelly, C.Lehner, A.Lytle, R.D.Mawhinney, C.T.S., A.Soni, H.Yin, and D.Zhang

Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 7, 074502 [arXiv:1502.00263 [hep-lat]].

A₀ at physical kinematics and a single coarse lattice spacing.
 "Standard-model prediction for direct CP violation in K → ππ decay,"
 Z.Bai, T.Blum, P.A.Boyle, N.H.Christ, J.Frison, N.Garron, T.Izubuchi, C.Jung, C.Kelly, C.Lehner,
 R.D.Mawhinney, C.T.S, A. Soni, and D. Zhang,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 21, 212001 [arXiv:1505.07863 [hep-lat]].

See also: "Calculation of ϵ'/ϵ on the lattice" C.Kelly et al. PoS FPCP2016 (2017) 017

"An Improved standard model calculation of direct CP-violation on the lattice," RBC-UKQCD Collaborations, arXiv:20??.????





• $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ correlation function is dominated by the lightest state, i.e. the state with two-pions at rest (or the vacuum for I = 0). Maiani and Testa, PL B245 (1990) 585

$$C(t_{\pi}) = A + B_1 e^{-2m_{\pi}t_{\pi}} + B_2 e^{-2E_{\pi}t_{\pi}} + \cdots$$

Solution 1: Study an excited state.
 Solution 2: Introduce suitable boundary conditions such that the ππ ground state is |π(q)π(-q)).
 RBC-UKQCD, C.h.Kim hep-lat/0311003
 N.Christ, C.Kelly, D.Zhang, arXiv:1908.08640

For *B*-decays, with so many intermediate states below threshold, this is the main obstacle to producing reliable calculations.

Chris Sachrajda

ł





• Imagine now that we chosen the boundary conditions so that the ground state is $|\pi(\vec{q})\pi(-\vec{q})\rangle$.

- In a finite volume each component of \vec{q} is quantised, with allowed values separated by $2\pi/L$.
- Thus in order to obtain the physical value of $|\vec{q}|$ the volume must be chosen appropriately.
- Moreover, the J = 0, I = 0 and I = 2 channels are attractive and repulsive respectively and so the two cases must be studied on lattices of different volumes.



2. Evaluation of A₂

• For *A*₂, there is no vacuum subtraction and we can use the Wigner-Eckart theorem to write

$$\underbrace{\langle (\pi\pi)_{I_3=1}^{I=2} |}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\langle \pi^+\pi^0|+\langle \pi^0\pi^+|)} Q_{\Delta I_3=1/2,i}^{\Delta I=3/2} \mid K^+ \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \underbrace{\langle (\pi\pi)_{I_3=2}^{I=2} |}_{\langle \pi^+\pi^+|} Q_{\Delta I_3=3/2,i}^{\Delta I=3/2} \mid K^+ \rangle ,$$

and impose anti-periodic conditions on the d-quark in one or more directions.

 If we impose the anti-periodic boundary conditions in all 3 directions then the ground state is

$$\left|\pi\left(\frac{\pi}{L},\frac{\pi}{L},\frac{\pi}{L}\right)\pi\left(\frac{-\pi}{L},\frac{-\pi}{L},\frac{-\pi}{L}\right)\right\rangle.$$

- With an appropriate choice of *L* and the number of directions, we can arrange that $E_{\pi\pi} = m_K$.
- Isospin breaking by the boundary conditions is harmless here.

CTS & G.Villadoro, hep-lat/0411033

E

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



• These are obtained using the Poisson summation formula:

$$\frac{1}{L}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}f(p_n^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dp}{2\pi}f(p^2) + \sum_{n\neq 0}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dp}{2\pi}f(p^2)e^{inpL},$$

- For single-hadron states the finite-volume corrections decrease exponentially with the volume $\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L}$. For multi-hadron states, the finite-volume corrections generally fall as powers of the volume.
- For two-hadron states, there is a huge literature following the seminal work by Lüscher and the effects are generally understood.
 - The spectrum of two-pion states in a finite volume is given by the scattering phase-shifts. M. Luscher, Commun. Math. Phys. 105 (1986) 153; Nucl. Phys. B354 (1991) 531.
 - The $K \to \pi\pi$ amplitudes are obtained from finite-volume matrix elements by the Lellouch-Lüscher factor which contains the derivative of the phase-shift. L.Lellouch & M.Lüscher, hep-lat/0003023; C.J.D.Lin, G.Martinelli, CTS & M.Testa,

hep-lat/0104006; C.h.Kim, CTS & S.R.Sharpe, hep-lat/0507006 · · ·

More recently we have also determined the finite-volume corrections for

 $\Delta m_K = m_{K_L} - m_{K_S}.$ N.H.Christ, X.Feng, G.Martinelli & CTS, arXiv:1504.01170

• For three-hadron states, there has been a major pioneering effort by Hansen and Sharpe leading to much theoretical clarification.

See e.g. M.Hansen & S.Sharpe, arXiv:1901.00483

Э

Southampton

RBC-UKQCD, T.Blum et al., arXiv:1502:00263

Source	ReA_2	ImA_2
NPR (nonperturbative)	0.1%	0.1%
NPR (perturbative)	2.9%	7.0%
Finite volume corrections	2.4%	2.6%
Unphysical kinematics	4.5%	1.1%
Wilson coefficients	6.8%	10%
Derivative of the phase shift	1.1%	1.1%
Total	9%	12%

- *Wilson Coefficients* and *NPR(perturbative*) errors are not from our lattice calculation.
- Step-scaling can be used to increase the scale at which the matching is performed.

E



- The amplitude A_2 is considerably simpler to evaluate that A_0 .
- Our first results for A₂ at physical kinematics were obtained at a single, rather coarse, value of the lattice spacing (a ~ 0.14 fm). Estimated discretization errors at 15%.
- Our latest results were obtained on two new ensembles, 48^3 with $a \simeq 0.11$ fm and 64^3 with $a \simeq 0.084$ fm so that we can make a continuum extrapolation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re}(A_2) &= 1.50(4)_{\text{stat}}(14)_{\text{syst}} \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV.} \\ & \text{Im}(A_2) &= -6.99(20)_{\text{stat}}(84)_{\text{syst}} \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV.} \\ & \text{arXiv:1502.00263} \end{aligned}$$

- The experimentally measured value is $\text{Re}(A_2) = 1.479(4) \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}$.
- Although the precision can still be significantly improved (partly by perturbative calculations), the calculation of *A*₂ at physical kinematics can now be considered as standard.
- We are not currently working towards improving this result.

Southampton

RBC-UKQCD Collaboration, arXiv:1212.1474

ReA₂ is dominated by a simple operator:

$$O_{(27,1)}^{3/2} = (\bar{s}^i d^i)_L \left\{ (\bar{u}^j u^j)_L - (\bar{d}^j d^j)_L \right\} + (\bar{s}^i u^i)_L (\bar{u}^j d^j)_L$$

and two diagrams:



- $\operatorname{Re} A_2$ is proportional to $C_1 + C_2$.
- The contribution to $\operatorname{Re} A_0$ from Q_2 is proportional to $2C_1 C_2$ and that from Q_1 is proportional to $C_1 2C_2$ with the same overall sign.
- Colour counting might suggest that $C_2 \simeq \frac{1}{3}C_1$.
- We find instead that $C_2 \approx -C_1$ so that A_2 is significantly suppressed!
- The strong suppression of $\operatorname{Re} A_2$ is a major factor in the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule.

Evidence for the Suppression of ReA₂





• Notation (i) $\equiv C_i$, i = 1, 2.

E

3. Evaluation of A_0 and ϵ'/ϵ



Z.Bai et al. (RBC-UKQCD), arXiv:1505.07863

$$\left. \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \right|_{\text{RBC-UKQCD}} = (1.38 \pm 5.15 \pm 4.59) \times 10^{-4}$$

to be compared with

$$\left. \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} \right|_{\text{Exp}} = (16.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4} \,.$$

- Is this 2.1σ deviation real? \Rightarrow must reduce the uncertainties.
 - The matrix elements themselves are calculated with a smaller relative error.
- This is by far the most complicated project that I have ever been involved with.
- Puzzle: For the I = 0 s-wave $\pi\pi$ phase shift we obtained $\delta_0 = (23.8 \pm 4.9 \pm 2.2)^\circ$, to be compared with the dispersive results of 34°. G.Colangelo et al.

- $32^3 \times 64$ ensemble (Möbius DWF and Iwasaki + DSDR gauge action)
- $a^{-1} = 1.3784(68)$ GeV, L = 4.53 fm.
- G-parity boundary conditions in 3-directions
- 216 configurations
- Almost physical kinematics:
 - $m_{\pi} = 143.1(2.0) \text{ MeV}$ $m_{K} = 490.6(2.2) \text{ MeV}$ $E_{\pi\pi} = 498(11) \text{ MeV}$

Э

- Increase the statistics: $216 \rightarrow 1438$ configurations.
 - Reduce the statistical error;
 - Improved statistics allows for an in-depth study of the systematics.
- Use an expanded set of operators to create the $\pi\pi$ state.
- Improve the non-perturbative renormalisation, including step-scaling to match at a higher energy.
- Significantly improve the analysis techniques.
 C.Kelly and T.Wang, arXiv:1911.04582
- In addition there are improvements in non-lattice elements of the determination of $\epsilon'/\epsilon.$

Statistical Improvement





• Increasing the statistics from 216 to 1438 configurations, the $\pi\pi$ correlation function is still well described by a single $\pi\pi$ state.

It does not solve the δ_0 puzzle however:

$$\delta_0 = (23.8 \pm 4.9 \pm 2.2)^\circ \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta_0 = (19.1 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.2)^\circ \qquad (\chi^2/\text{dof} = 1.6)$$

E

- The δ_0 -puzzle has been resolved by adding more interpolating operators for the $\pi\pi$ states.
- In particular the inclusion of a σ-like two-quark operator (ūu + dd) has exposed a second state, e.g. for t_f t_i = 5

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \langle \pi \pi(t_f) \pi \pi(t_i) \rangle & \langle \pi \pi(t_f) \sigma(t_i) \rangle \\ \langle \sigma(t_f) \pi \pi(t_i) \rangle & \langle \sigma(t_f) \sigma(t_i) \rangle \end{pmatrix} = 0.439(50) \neq 0$$

- We have also included a third operator giving each pion a larger momentum $\pm (3, 1, 1)\pi/L$.
- At present we have only analysed 741 configurations with the additional operators. Remainder will be done in the future.

э

Southampton





δ₀ = (31.7 ± 0.6)° from a fit in the range t = 5 - 15 (statistical error only).
 Recall that the fit from dispersion theory is about 34°.

• The $\pi\pi(3,1,1)$ operator turns out not to be very important.

ł





- We also evaluate the phase-shifts from the correlation functions at non-zero CoM momenta. (Components of momenta given in units of π/L .)
- The increasing density of excited states makes it difficult to separate the states ⇒ poor plateaus.
- In the right-hand plot, only statistical errors are included and the curve comes from
 G.Colangelo, J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 603 (2001) 125

1

Attempting to determine the phase-shifts with $\vec{p}_{\pi\pi} \neq 0$



- We also evaluate the phase-shifts from the correlation functions at non-zero CoM momenta. (Components of momenta given in units of π/L.)
- The increasing density of excited states makes it difficult to separate the states ⇒ poor plateaus.
- In the right-hand plot, the three points from right-to-left correspond to (0,0,0), (2,0,0) and (2,2,0) and the curve comes from

G.Colangelo, J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 603 (2001) 125

Chris Sachrajda

Southampton

Ongoing work - $K ightarrow \pi \pi$ decays





- We are currently completing the analysis of the $\langle \pi \pi | Q_i | K \rangle$ matrix elements, the amplitude A_0 and ϵ' / ϵ .
- The above is a sample plot for the matrix element of an unspecified (here) operator Q. $(t = t_{\pi\pi} t_{op})$

E



arXiv:1505.07863

Description	Error
Operator normalisation	15%
Wilson coefficients	12%
Finite lattice spacing	12%
Lellouch - Lüscher factor	11%
Residual FV corrections	7%
Parametric errors	5%
Excited state contamination	5%
Unphysical kinematics	3%
Total	27%

Representative fractional systematic errors for contributions to ReA₀ and ImA₀

E

arXiv:1505.07863

Description	Error
Operator normalisation	15%
Wilson coefficients	12%
Finite lattice spacing	12%
Lellouch - Lüscher factor	11%
Residual FV corrections	7%
Parametric errors	5%
Excited state contamination	5%
Unphysical kinematics	3%
Total	27%

- Systematic error associated with the one-loop truncation in the SMoM $\rightarrow \overline{\text{MS}}$ matching at $\mu = 1.53$ GeV is the largest contribution.
- We now use step-scaling to perform this matching at $\mu = 4.0$ GeV.

ł

arXiv:1505.07863

Description	Error
Operator normalisation	15%
Wilson coefficients	12%
Finite lattice spacing	12%
Lellouch - Lüscher factor	11%
Residual FV corrections	7%
Parametric errors	5%
Excited state contamination	5%
Unphysical kinematics	3%
Total	27%

- The uncertainty on the Wilson coefficients is estimated by taking the difference between the NLO and LO contributions.
- Partial contributions at NNLO have been calculated and a "new NNLO calculation of the non-EW-penguin part of the weak Hamiltonian does not move the central value", M.Cerdà-Sevilla at Kaon 2019, reporting on work with M.Gorbahn, S.Jäger and A.Kokulu

Э



- Last Friday, Guido Martinelli presented our theoretical framework and results for IB corrections to leptonic decays and the status of the development of the corresponding framework for semileptonic decays.
- The extension of this framework to $K \to \pi \pi$ decays is considerably more complicated.
 - Some first steps, towards including electromagnetism in $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays we taken by N.Christ and X.Feng. arXiv:1711.09339
- At the current stage of precision we are not concerned with including O(1%) corrections.
 - However, because of the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule, the corrections are expected to be amplified.

• Recently a detailed updated study of isospin corrections was presented in the framework of ChPT and the large *N_C* approximation.

V.Cirigliano, H.Gisbert, A.Pich, A.Rodriguez-Sanchez, arXiv:1911.01359 The authors write the formula for ϵ' in the form:

$$\epsilon' = \frac{i\omega_+ e^{i(\delta_2 - \delta_0)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{Im} A_2^{\mathrm{ewp}}}{\mathrm{Re} A_2} - \frac{\mathrm{Im} A_0}{\mathrm{Re} A_0} \left(1 - \hat{\Omega}_{\mathrm{eff}} \right) \right] \,,$$

where $\omega_{+} = \operatorname{Re}A_{2}^{+}/\operatorname{Re}A_{0}$ and A_{2}^{+} is A_{2} obtained from the physical decay $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{0}$ at NLO $\hat{\Omega} = (17 \text{ o}^{+9.1}) \times 10^{-2}$

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\text{eff}} = \left(17.0^{+9.1}_{-9.0}\right) \times 10^{-2}$$
.

(At LO the corresponding number is 19.5 ± 3.9 .)

• This is a very significant effect, certainly requiring further investigation.

э

Southan

- The calculation of A₀(K → ππ) and ε'/ε will be very substantially improved over our 2015 result.
 - Statistical improvement: $216 \rightarrow 741$ configurations (and $\rightarrow 1438$ configurations in the future).
 - **3** $\pi\pi$ interpolating operators used to separate the ground and excited states.
 - Significantly improved analysis techniques to quantify the effects of autocorrelations and to obtain correct *p*-values (blocked jacknife errors, inclusion of fluctuations in covariance matrix etc.).
- The δ₀ puzzle now appears to be solved:

 $\delta_0(m_K)=31.7(6)^\circ.$

• Draft of paper is in preparation.

Results will be published "soon".

- Improved calculation of the Wilson Coefficients relevant for ImA₀/ReA₀ almost complete.
 M.Cerdà-Sevilla, M.Gorbahn, S.Jäger and A.Kokulu
 - Matching of the matrix elements renormalised in the RI-SMoM scheme to MS still only known at one-loop.
 C.Sturm & C.Lehner, arXiv:1104.4948

▲ 문 ► ▲ 문 ►

Э