Search for Bound States in Doubly Heavy Tetraquarks with Lattice QCD

Martin Pflaumer

`pflaumer@itp.uni-frankfurt.de`

Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main
in collaboration with Luka Leskovec, Stefan Meinel, Marc Wagner

The 14th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonium
March 15, 2021

Motivation (1)

Experimental background

- Experimentally observed states $Z_b(10610)^+$ and $Z_b(10650)^+$
- Mass suggests a bottomonium state $\bar{b}b$ but would be electrically neutral

  $\Rightarrow$ Quantum numbers can be described with four-quark structure

Motivation (1)

Experimental background

- Experimentally observed states $Z_b(10610)^+$ and $Z_b(10650)^+$
- Mass suggests a bottomonium state $\bar{b}b$ but would be electrically neutral
  $\Rightarrow$ Quantum numbers can be described with four-quark structure

Theoretical study

- We study similar but less challenging systems
- Quark content: $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'qq'$, here: $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$, $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$, $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$
- In the limit $m_Q \to \infty$ stable tetraquark was shown

[J. Carlson, L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 744 (1988)]
[A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B **399**, 17 (1993)]
[E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, no. 20, 202002 (2017)]

Born-Oppenheimer study of doubly-heavy tetraquarks:

- i.e. static heavy quarks ($\bar{b}$-quarks)
- Prediction of a bound tetraquark in $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ sector with
  $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ and
  $M_{\bar{b}\bar{b}ud} - (M_B + M_{B^*}) \approx -90\,\text{MeV}$

  [Z. S. Brown and K. Orginos, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114506 (2012)]
  [P. Bicudo *et al.* [ETMC], Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 114511 (2013)]
  [P. Bicudo, K. Cichy, A. Peters, B. Wagenbach, M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 014507 (2015)]
  [P. Bicudo, J. Scheunert and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 034502 (2017)]

Born-Oppenheimer study of doubly-heavy tetraquarks:

- i.e. static heavy quarks ($\bar{b}$-quarks)
- Prediction of a bound tetraquark in $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ sector with
  $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ and
  $M_{\bar{b}\bar{b}ud} - (M_B + M_{B^*}) \approx -90\,\text{MeV}$

  [Z. S. Brown and K. Orginos, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114506 (2012)]
  [P. Bicudo *et al.* [ETMC], Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 114511 (2013)]
  [P. Bicudo, K. Cichy, A. Peters, B. Wagenbach, M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 014507 (2015)]
  [P. Bicudo, J. Scheunert and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 034502 (2017)]

- Evidence for a $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ resonance in the
  $I(J^P) = 0(1^-)$ channel with
  $M_{\bar{b}\bar{b}ud} - (M_B + M_B) \approx +20\,\text{MeV}$, $\Gamma \approx 100\,\text{MeV}$

  [P. Bicudo, M. Cardoso, A. Peters, M.P. and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 5, 054510 (2017)]

Motivation (3)

Searching for doubly-heavy tetraquark bound states in full lattice QCD using **Non-Relativistic QCD**:

- i.e. $\bar{b}$-quarks are treated non-relativisticly.

- Expecting bound state for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ in the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ channel
  - Improve computation by more elaborated operators
- Previous studies predict a bound state for $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$ with $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$
  - Expected to be weaker bound than $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$
- Status for $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$ with $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ and $0(0^+)$ more unclear
  - Might be weakly bound or no binding

[A. Francis, R. J. Hudspith, R. Lewis and K. Maltman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no. 14, 142001 (2017)]
[P. Junnarkar, N. Mathur and M. Padmanath, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no. 3, 034507 (2019)]
[A. Francis, R. J. Hudspith, R. Lewis and K. Maltman, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no. 5, 054505 (2019)]
[L. Leskovec, S. Meinel, M.P. and M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.1, 014503 (2019)]
[R. J. Hudspith, B. Colquhoun, A. Francis, R. Lewis and K. Maltman, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 114506 (2020)]
[M.P., L. Leskovec, S. Meinel and M. Wagner, arXiv:2009.10538 [hep-lat]].

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$

- Relevant thresholds are BB^* and B^*B^* ($\approx 45\,\mathrm{MeV}$ heavier)
- Two types of interpolating operators:

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$

- Relevant thresholds are BB^* and B^*B^* ($\approx 45\,\mathrm{MeV}$ heavier)
- Two types of interpolating operators:
  - **Local operators**:
    - Four quarks at the same space-time position
    - 3 operators: BB^*, B^*B^*, diquark-antidiquark

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$

- Relevant thresholds are BB^* and B^*B^* ($\approx 45\,\mathrm{MeV}$ heavier)
- Two types of interpolating operators:
  - **Local operators**:
    - Four quarks at the same space-time position
    - 3 operators: BB^*, B^*B^*, diquark-antidiquark
  - **Nonlocal operators**:
    - Two mesons separated in space-time position
   - 2 operators: BB^* and B^*B^* scattering operators

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$

- Relevant thresholds are BB^* and B^*B^* ($\approx 45\,\text{MeV}$ heavier)
- Two types of interpolating operators:
  - **Local operators**:
    - Four quarks at the same space-time position
    - 3 operators: BB^*, B^*B^*, diquark-antidiquark
  - **Nonlocal operators**:
    - Two mesons separated in space-time position
    - 2 operators: BB^* and B^*B^* scattering operators
- Expectation:
  - Local operators: good overlap to ground state (stable four-quark)
  - Nonlocal operators: sizable overlap to first excited state (2 meson state)

  $\Rightarrow$ Isolate ground state from higher excitations, especially first excited state

Energy Spectrum for the $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ system

- Due to point-to-all propagators, only 5×3 correlation matrix available (no scattering operator at source)
- Apply multi-exponential matrix fitting: employable also for non-symmetric matrices

$$C_{jk}(t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} Z_j^n Z_k^n \mathrm{e}^{-E_n t},$$

E_n : n-th energy eigenvalue

$Z_j^n = \langle \Omega | \mathcal{O}_j | n \rangle$: overlap factor

Schematic representation of Wick contractions for different correlation matrix elements

Fit Results for $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$

Results for the lowest two $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ energy levels relative to the BB^* threshold. Black box: local operator included. Red box: scattering operator included.

Overlap Factors

For fixed j: Z_j^n indicates relative importance of energy eigenstates $|n\rangle$

$$\mathcal{O}_j^\dagger|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |n\rangle\langle n|\mathcal{O}_j^\dagger|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^\infty Z_j^n|n\rangle.$$

The normalized overlap factors $|\tilde{Z}_j^n|^2 = \frac{|Z_j^n|^2}{\max_m(|Z_j^m|^2)}$ as determined on ensemble C005.

Scattering Analysis and Chiral Extrapolation

Scattering Analysis

- Relate *finite volume* energy spectrum E_n to *infinite volume* scattering amplitude
- Use Lüscher's formula to determine phase shift and *infinite volume* binding energy
- Confirmation that ground state is stable tetraquark.

Scattering Analysis and Chiral Extrapolation

Scattering Analysis

- Relate *finite volume* energy spectrum E_n to *infinite volume* scattering amplitude

- Use Lüscher's formula to determine phase shift and *infinite volume* binding energy

- Confirmation that ground state is stable tetraquark.

Chiral Extrapolation

Fit of the pion-mass dependence of E_{binding}. The vertical dashed line indicates the physical pion mass.

$$E_{\text{binding}}(m_{\pi,\text{phys}}) = (-128 \pm 24 \pm 10)\,\text{MeV}$$

$$m_{\text{tetraquark}}(m_{\pi,\text{phys}}) = (10476 \pm 24 \pm 10)\,\text{MeV}$$

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$ and $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$

$$I(J^P) = \tfrac{1}{2}(1^+)$$

relevant thresholds	B^*B_s, BB_s^* ($\approx$ equal), $B^*B_s^*$ ($\approx$ +45 Mev)
local operators	B^*B_s, BB_s^*, $B^*B_s^*$, diquark-antidiquark
nonlocal operators	B^*B_s, BB_s^*, $B^*B_s^*$

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$ and $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$

	$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$
relevant thresholds	B^*B_s, BB_s^* ($\approx$ equal), $B^*B_s^*$ ($\approx +45$ Mev)
local operators	B^*B_s, BB_s^*, $B^*B_s^*$, diquark-antidiquark
nonlocal operators	B^*B_s, BB_s^*, $B^*B_s^*$

Interpolating Operators for $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$

	$I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$	$I(J^P) = 0(0^+)$
relevant thresholds	B^*D, $BD^*(\approx +95$ Mev$)$, $B^*D^*(\approx +140$ Mev$)$	BD, $B^*D^*(\approx +185$ Mev$)$
local operators	B^*D, BD^*, diquark-antidiquark	BD, diquark-antidiquark
nonlocal operators	BD^*, B^*D	BD

- Found evidence for bound state with $E_{\text{binding}} \approx -80$ MeV
- Not affected by finite volume effects

Preliminary Results for $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$

left: $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$, $J = 0$. **right:** $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$, $J = 1$.

- No evidence for bound states in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$ systems
- Lowest energy level corresponds to threshold

Summary

- Study bound states in doubly heavy tetraquarks
- Consider *local* and *nonlocal* interpolating operators
- Apply a *finite volume Lüscher analysis*

Summary

- Study bound states in doubly heavy tetraquarks
- Consider *local* and *nonlocal* interpolating operators
- Apply a *finite volume Lüscher analysis*
- Predict a bound state in the $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ channel with in $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ with $E_{\text{binding}} = (-128 \pm 24 \pm 10)\,\text{MeV}$
- Evidence for bound state in $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$, $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$ sector with $E_{\text{binding}} \approx -80\,\text{MeV}$
- No evidence for bound tetraquark in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$, both $0(1^+)$ and $0(0^+)$

Summary

- Study bound states in doubly heavy tetraquarks
- Consider *local* and *nonlocal* interpolating operators
- Apply a *finite volume Lüscher analysis*
- Predict a bound state in the $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ channel with in $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ with $E_{\text{binding}} = (-128 \pm 24 \pm 10)\,\text{MeV}$
- Evidence for bound state in $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$, $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$ sector with $E_{\text{binding}} \approx -80\,\text{MeV}$
- No evidence for bound tetraquark in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$, both $0(1^+)$ and $0(0^+)$

Outlook

- Finalize evaluation of $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$ and $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$ outcomes
- More detailed analysis of threshold states in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud \rightarrow$ candidates for resonances?

Summary

- Study bound states in doubly heavy tetraquarks
- Consider *local* and *nonlocal* interpolating operators
- Apply a *finite volume Lüscher analysis*
- Predict a bound state in the $\bar{b}\bar{b}ud$ channel with in $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ with $E_{\text{binding}} = (-128 \pm 24 \pm 10)\,\text{MeV}$
- Evidence for bound state in $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$, $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$ sector with $E_{\text{binding}} \approx -80\,\text{MeV}$
- No evidence for bound tetraquark in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$, both $0(1^+)$ and $0(0^+)$

Outlook

- Finalize evaluation of $\bar{b}\bar{b}us$ and $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud$ outcomes
- More detailed analysis of threshold states in $\bar{b}\bar{c}ud \rightarrow$ candidates for resonances?

Thank You for Your Attention!

Lattice Setup

- Use gauge link configuration generated by RBC and UKQCD collaboration

  [Y. Aoki *et al.* [RBC and UKQCD Collaborations], Phys. Rev. D **83**, 074508 (2011)]
  [T. Blum *et al.* [RBC and UKQCD Collaborations],Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 074505 (2016)]

- $2+1$ flavours domain-wall fermions and Iwasaki gauge action
- Five different ensembles which differ in

 | | | |
  |---|---|---|
  | lattice spacing | a | $\approx 0.083\,\text{fm} \ldots 0.114\,\text{fm}$, |
  | lattice size | L | $\approx 2.65\,\text{fm} \ldots 5.48\,\text{fm}$, |
  | pion mass | m_π | $\approx 139\,\text{MeV} \ldots 431\,\text{MeV}$ |

  $\Rightarrow$ explore dependence on $L,\ m_\pi$
- Smeared point-to-all propagators for the up and down quarks

Scattering Analysis

- Relate *finite volume* energy spectrum E_n to *infinite volume* scattering amplitude for 2 energy levels in T_1^+ irrep

- Use Lüscher's formula and scattering momenta k_n^2 to determine phase shift

- Apply effective-range-expansion (ERE)

$$k \cot \delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2} r_0 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

Plot of the effective-range-expansion for C005. Blue curve: $ak \cot(\delta(k)) + |ak|$. Vertical green line: Inelastic $B^* B^*$ threshold

Scattering Analysis

- Relate *finite volume* energy spectrum E_n to *infinite volume* scattering amplitude for 2 energy levels in T_1^+ irrep

- Use Lüscher's formula and scattering momenta k_n^2 to determine phase shift

- Apply effective-range-expansion (ERE)

$$k \cot \delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2} r_0 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

Plot of the effective-range-expansion for C005. Blue curve: $ak \cot(\delta(k)) + |ak|$. Vertical green line: Inelastic $B^* B^*$ threshold

- Search bound state pole of scattering amplitude below threshold at

$$\cot \delta_0(k_{\text{BS}}) = i, \quad \text{so:} \quad -|k_{\text{BS}}| = \frac{1}{a_0} - \frac{1}{2} r_0 |k_{\text{BS}}|^2$$

Scattering Analysis

- Relate *finite volume* energy spectrum E_n to *infinite volume* scattering amplitude for 2 energy levels in T_1^+ irrep

- Use Lüscher's formula and scattering momenta k_n^2 to determine phase shift

- Apply effective-range-expansion (ERE)

$$k \cot \delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2} r_0 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4).$$

Plot of the effective-range-expansion for C005. Blue curve: $ak \cot(\delta(k)) + |ak|$. Vertical green line: Inelastic $B^* B^*$ threshold

- Search bound state pole of scattering amplitude below threshold at

$$\cot \delta_0(k_{\mathrm{BS}}) = i, \quad \text{so:} \quad -|k_{\mathrm{BS}}| = \frac{1}{a_0} - \frac{1}{2} r_0 |k_{\mathrm{BS}}|^2$$

- Results essentially identical to the finite-volume energy levels
- Confirmation that ground state is stable tetraquark.

Comparison of $\overline{b}\overline{b}ud$ tetraquark binding energies with $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ (black: this work; blue: lattice NRQCD; red: lattice QCD computations of static $\overline{b}\overline{b}$ potentials and solving the Schrödinger equation; green: effective field theories and potential models).