# MDI working meeting 9 – 20 September

https://indico.cern.ch/event/839155

organized by Manuela Boscolo

23 registered + many more attending participants

39 contributions

M. Boscolo and F. Zimmermann, 20 September 2019

Monday 9 Sep	otember			
14:00:14:45	Introduction with workshop goals	Manuela Boscolo		
14:45-15:45	Issues of 4 IP collision	Katsunobu Oide		
15:45-17:30	Discussion			
Tuesday 10 Se	ptember			
9:00-10:00	FCC-ee Overview	Frank Zimmermann		
10:00-10:45	MDI Status	Manuela Boscolo		
10:45-11:15	The CLD detector and MDI elements	Konrad Elsener		
11:15-11:45	MDI aspects for the IDEA detector	Attilio Andreazza		
Wednesday 1	Wednesday 11 September			
9:30-10:30	Heat load and HOM analysis in the MDI area	Alexander Novokhatski		
10:30-11:30	MDI mechanical design, integration and assembly at DAFNE/KLOE with the crab-waist configuration	Luigi Pellegrino		
11:30-12:00	Luminometer	Mogens Dam		
12:00-12:30	Summary and Comments on Machine Detector Interface	Anton Bogomyagkov		
Thursday 12 S	eptember			
9:30-10:30	Preliminary result of beam loss due to radiative Bhabha using BBBrem+SAD	Katsunobu Oide		

Thursday 12 September cont'd			
10:30-11:00	Polarization requests on beam controls etc	Alain Blondel	
11:00-11:30	Beam dynamics: vertical emittance blow-up, 3D magnetic field map	Sergey Sinyatkin	
11:30-12:00	Summary from first days discussion (9-11 September)	Luigi Pellegrino et al.	
12:00-13:00	Discussion		
Friday 13 Sept	ember		
9:30-10:30	Alignment in the MDI area	Mark Jones	
10:30-11:00	Review of vibration and stabilisation studies at LAPP laboratory	Laurent Brunetti	
10:00-11:45	Emittance tuning for FCC-ee	Tessa Charles	
11:45-12:30	Discussion		
Tuesday 17 Se	ptember		
09:00-09:30	SuperKEKB superconducting magnet quench (remote)	Norihito Ohuchi	
09:30-10:30	Recent developments in direct wind IR magnet production at BNL	Brett Parker	
10:45-11:15	CCT design for IR final focus quadrupole	Mike Koratzinos	
11:15-12:15	SR backgrounds with smaller central beam pipe	Michael K. Sullivan	
12:15-12:45	SR collimation in the IR using MDISim	Marian Luckhof	

Tuesday 17 September cont'd				
15:30-10:00	Follow-up of the mechanical design & alignment & vibration control related issues			
Wednesday 18	3 September			
09:30-10:00	Beam backgrounds and IR related losses		Helmut Burkhardt	
10:00-10:30	Multi-turn particle tracking for FCC-ee background studies: first results for Coulomb scattering beam losses		Andrea Ciarma	
10:30-11:00	Integration of MDI software tools with FCCSW		Gerardo Ganis	
11:00-12:30	viscussion on software tools			
15.30-17:00	ainstorming meeting on FCC-ee IR magnet cryostat			
Thursday 19 September				
9:30-10:00	SuperKEKB IR pressure analysis		Roberto Kersevan	
10:00-10.30	Considerations from PEP-II experience on the mechanical design		Mike Sullivan	
Friday 20 Sept	ember			
09:30-10:00	Progress with IR SR study	Mike Sullivan		
10:30-11:30	Workshop Summary	Manuela Boscolo, Michael Benedikt, Frank Zimmermann		

# a few highlights

## Alignement on the bench



## Closing the End Caps





#### Parenthesis: the situation at CLIC (CDR 2012 – "somewhat outdated")



Fig. 11.21: Opening LumiCal and ECAL plug for the passage of the valve.

### FCC-ee Interaction Region Layout



1.5 cm radius z ± 12.5 cm

smaller central pipe: 1.0 cm for  $z \pm 9$  cm (with taper starting at  $z \pm 40$  cm from IP)

#### L\* = 2.2 m distance from IP to first quadrupole 2 T detector

#### FF quadrupole radiation



M. Sullivan

## Summary

- We have looked at changing the central beam pipe radius from 15 mm to 10 mm and shortening the Z length from 25 cm to 18 cm
- The new beam pipe now intercepts SR from the FF quadrupoles and also intercepts bend radiation from the last soft bend before the IP
- The bend radiation can be masked away by reducing the mask radius at -2.1 m from 10 mm to 7 mm
- The quadrupole radiation cannot be totally masked away even with a 5 mm radius mask at -2.1 m

## adding upstream collimators

### **SR Cones and IR Apertures**



Figure: 2D view on last two bends and SR cones.

M. Luckhof

# The concept of the HOM absorber

Based on the property of the trapped mode we have designed a special HOM absorber.

The absorber vacuum box is placed around the beam pipe connection. Inside the box we have ceramic absorbing tiles and copper corrugated plates

The beam pipe in this place have longitudinal slots, which connect the beam pipe and the absorber box. Outside the box we have stainless steel watercooling tubes, braised to the copper plates.

The HOM fields, which are generating by the beam in the Interaction Region pass through the longitudinal slots into the absorber box.

Inside the absorber box these fields are absorbed by ceramic tiles, because they have high value of the loss tangent.

The heat from ceramic tiles is transported through the copper plates to water cooling tubes.



# Comparison of resistive heat loads (Be pipe) and temperatures

Beam pipe	Heat load	Max Temp. [K]
		without
diameter [mm]	[W/m]	cooling
30	97	88
20	145	198
10	290	792

Max temperature was calculated by formula

$$DT_{[K^{\circ}]} = \frac{P_{[W]} * L_{[m]}}{k_{[W/(K^{\circ}m)]} * 2\rho R_{[m]} Dr_{[m]}}$$

For the pipe length L of 125 mm (half of the Be pipe) with thickness  $\Delta r$  of 1 mm and Be thermoconductivity of 182 W/m/K

# improved beam pipe model



L. Pellegrino, A. Novokhatski – work in progress

## APPROACH

- MAD-X for the evaluation of transport matrices
- Monte Carlo approach (C++) to track the beam particles that experience:
  - radiative Bhabha
  - beamstrahlung
  - beam-gas (Coulomb and Bremstrahlung)
  - Touschek
  - thermal photon scattering (presented by Helmut)
- Particles are tracked through the beamline (only few particles in small Regions Of Interest [ROIs])
- Multiturn tracking can be performed for through the ring
- Record 6D coordinates of the lost particles in .root file (that can be tracked through the beampipe with G4 for example into detector, lumical,...)
  A. Ciarma

## FIRST RESULTS: ELASTIC BEAM-GAS



• Most of the particles are lost near the 2 IPs, close to the IR quadrupoles, where the physical aperture gets smaller.

• The losses occur mostly during the first turn, right after the elastic beam-gas scattering.

PRELIMINARY: Note that plots are not weighted!

A. Ciarma

## Summary

#### K. Oide

- BBBrem has been implemented in SAD.
- Estimation of beam loss due to radiative Bhabha has been tried for an FCC-ee Z lattice.
- Beam losses:
  - 4 kW by 400 m downstream the IP.
  - 150 W within the first quad QC1
- The effect of beam-beam is about 20% on the loss at QC1.
- The result is neither sensitive to the misalignment of aperture at QC1, nor to the IP solenoid field.
- The tolerance of the final quadrupole for such amount of beam loss must be examined.
- Cross check with other method is necessary (eg. D. El Khechen's with GuineaPIG++ and SAD, at 94th optics meeting).





FCC-ee IR, complex case, combination of several significant effects :

- ± 15 mrad horizontal crossing angle
- waist  $\sigma(s) = \sigma^* \sqrt{1 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^{*2}}}$   $\beta y^* = 0.8 \text{ mm}$   $\sigma z = 12.1 \text{ mm}$  at Z energy with BS
- high  $\xi y = 0.133$  implying rather dynamic  $\sigma y$ ,  $\beta y$
- solenoid field
- synchrotron radiation in field of opposing beam (Beamstrahlung) quick estimate (classical SR and GUINEA-PIG) some MW / IP with spectrum extending into tenths of MeV strongly varying with bb-parameters and residual separation

#### H. Burkhardt

# Baseline for FCC-ee Solenoid Compensation Scheme

• screening solenoid that shields the detector field inside the quads

(in the FF quad net solenoidal field=0)

• compensating solenoid in front of the first quad, as close as possible, to reduce the  $\varepsilon_v$  blow-up (integral BL~0)



**detector solenoid** dimensions 3.76m (inner radius) (outer radius 3.818m) × **4m** (half-length) **drift chamber** at z=2m with 150 mrad opening angle (IDEA design)

## Vertical emittance calculation for baseline



For 2 IPs

 $I_2 = 5.65^* 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$   $\beta_v^* = 1 \text{ mm}$ 

$$I_{5y} = h_y^3 \oint H_y(s) ds = 6.00 \cdot 10^{-14} m^{-1}$$
  
Energy = 45 GeV  
 $\beta y = 0.8 mm$   
 $\varepsilon_y = 3.83 \cdot 10^{-13} \cdot \frac{\gamma^2}{J_y} \cdot \frac{I_{5y}}{I_2} = 0.3 pm^* rad$   
 $I_{5y} \sim B_x^5 \sim B_s^5$   
 $\varepsilon_y \sim B_x^5 \sim B_s^5$   
 $\varepsilon_y \sim B_x^5 \sim B_s^5$   
Energy = 45 GeV  
 $\beta y = 0.8 mm$   
 $\epsilon y = 0.38 pm^* rad$ 

#### S. Sinyatkin

# Baseline (with M. Koratzinos' dimensions)



A. Bogomyagkov

# Mechanical design: compensating solenoid



M. Koratzinos

## Screening solenoid



M. Koratzinos



A. Bogomyagkov

## Alternative design of the cryostat tip

## 3D views II



# Blow-up of vertical emittance (after correction by dipole and skew quads correctors)



1 - Individual cylindrical solenoid for each beam

- 2 Cylindrical solenoid for a both beams
- 3 Elliptical solenoid for a both beams

After correction of horizontal magnetic field and betatron coupling by dipole and skew quad coils of compensating solenoids. Vertical emittance (2IPs):

Em\_y < 0.014 pm\*rad



M. Jones

## FCC-ee Position Monitoring & Alignment

- Concept based on design for CLIC
  - Full Remote Position Monitoring and Alignment System
  - Wire Position sensors
  - Hydrostatic Levelling sensors
  - Motorised positioning system





M. Jones

## Preliminary corrected 4 IPs lattices, ttbar

Using the misalignments and roll angles:		$\sigma_x(\mu{ m m})$	$\sigma_y(\mu { m m})$	$\sigma_{ heta}(\mu \mathrm{rad})$
96% of seeds successful.	arc quads IP quads sextupoles dipoles	$100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100$	$100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100$	$     100 \\     100 \\     100 \\     100 $
After correction:				
$\epsilon_{x,\text{rms}} = 1.703 \text{ nm rad}$	$\epsilon_{y,\mathrm{rms}} = 0.23$	5  pm rad	120 -	$rac{\epsilon_y}{\epsilon_x}$



presently even better than 2 IP solution

T. Charles

#### **IR vacuum modelling for SuperKEKB – important benchmark**

- Without taking into account the photon reflection and scattering, our calculations with SYNRAD+ agree with those in the Belle II design report (predicting a peak pressure of 6.10<sup>-5</sup> Pa at the interaction point and 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa in the ring)
- Including photon reflection and surface roughness shows that a low, scattered photon flux hits almost every surface in the interaction region
- Direct incidence locations receiving high photon flux condition rapidly during machine conditioning, therefore the molecule yield of 10<sup>-5</sup> molecule/photon used in the Belle II design report is a valid assumption
- On other surfaces, however, the low photon flux cannot scrub sufficiently during conditioning, and these areas remain considerable outgassing locations, elevating the total PSD yield to 2.10<sup>-4</sup> molecules/photon after 1000 A.h
- This results in a pressure which is almost an order of magnitude higher than that calculated in the design report after 1000 A.h, and would reach the expected values after 10000 A.h (116 days at full current)



- The QCS system which consisted of 55 SC magnets was operated with beams in Phase-2 and Phase-3.
  - During the two operations:
    - Phase-2: 25 quench events by beams
    - Phase-3: 3 quench events by beams
- In beam induced quenches (28 events):
  - Main quadrupole + corrector : 10
  - Main quadrupole : 3
  - Corrector : 15
- Quenched magnets were focused on the area of QC1 magnets.
- With the new data logging system, the quench condition can be related with the beam operation.

## Winding the SuperKEKB b<sub>5</sub> Cancel Coil 25 September 2013

B. Parker, et.al., "THE SUPERKEKB INTERACTION REGION CORRECTOR MAGNETS," Contribution TUPMB041 to Proceedings of IPAC2016, Busan, Korea, May 2016, pp. 1193-1195.

B. Parker, et.al., "SUPERCONDUCTING CORRECTOR IR MAGNET PRODUCTION FOR SUPERKEKB," Contribution THPBA07 to Proceedings of PAC2013, Pasadena, CA USA, pp. 1241-1243.

B. Parker, et al., "Direct Wind Superconducting Corrector Magnets for the SuperKEKB IR," Contribution WEEPPB013, to IPAC12, New Orleans, USA, May, 2012.

B. Parker

#### SUPERKEKB SuperKEKB : MDI area and FF system

Mechanical Modelling (KEK):





Complex simulation - lack of experimental measurements (ex: junctions)

Laurent Brunetti LAPP IN2P3/CNRS

L. Brunetti <sup>34</sup>

# work plan / roadmap

✓ improved IR beam pipe and masking (Luigi, Mike S., Sasha) – in progress

#### initial 3D IR model :

- draft cryostat design (Vittorio & Mike K.)
- weight of elements (Mike K., Vittorio, Anton?, Brett?)
- electromagnetic static forces from magnet interaction (Luigi, Mike K.?)
- assembly concept (one side, two side, auxiliary equipment)
  - $\rightarrow$  pre-dimensioning support structure (Luigi)
    - $\rightarrow$  input to stability/vibration analysis (Maurizio / LAPP team)
- thermal power budget

- synchrotron radiation (Marian, Mike S., Roberto, Helmut), resistive wall,

- HOM (Sasha) in progress
- local heat loads from beamstrahlung, radiative Bhabha scattering (Helmut, Katsunobu, Andrea)
- pre-dimensioning of cooling systems (Luigi)
- vacuum chamber details, vacuum pumping, gauges, remote flanges if needed (prototyping?) (Roberto)
- HOM absorbers (Sasha) in progress
- pre-dimensioning of cabling & alignment/surveying space requirements (Mark)
- verification of MDI space allocation (interaction with detector experts)

#### design feasibility, refinement & alternatives:

- confirming possibility of non-cylindrical Be beam pipe
- magnet quench management (forces, gas venting)
- choice of coolant (paraffin & water?)
- alternative BINP model with round solenoid??

#### beam dynamics, polarization and background simulations:

- code development / simulation strategy optics & beam-beam (Tessa, Leon, Tatiana)
- alignment & stability (?) tolerances (Tessa), and vibration tolerances from simulations (Maurizio)
- MDI background code developments (Katsunobu, Marian, Andrea ... )
- linking common software framework FCCSW and MDI codes (Gerardo)
- strategy for energy calibration / polarization ?

#### magnet system:

- magnet system design including corrector systems, and production / assembly techniques (Mike K., Brett, BINP?)
- 3D magnetic field map

#### benchmarking:

- pressure & conditioning benchmarking at SuperKEKB (Roberto)
- SuperKEKB vibration monitoring & beam control (Laurent)
- IP aberration control at SuperKEKB (Philip, Cecile?), possible test at DAFNE?

#### **Common repository:**

- mechanical design
- 3d field map, simulation codes, ....



## H2020 DS proposal WP2 - draft

#### Description of work (including tasks, lead partners and roles of participants)

Task 2.1: Work package management (lead: DESY, participants: CEA, CERN, CNRS, KIT, INFN, UOXF)

DESY with the assistance of CERN coordinates the tasks in this WP to ensure consistency of the work according to the project scope and plan. This includes the organisation of coordination meetings, workshops, management of the scope, progress reviewing, reporting and distribution of information within the WP as well as the management of the interfaces and collaborative work with the other WPs. While DESY, CEA, CERN, KIT and UOXF focus on the overall machine design coordination and INFN coordinates the work around the interaction region with CNRS. CERN coordinates the interfaces with the theoretical and experimental physics communities for requirements finding and for those elements, which are need to be considered for subsequent project preparation and detailed technical design phases. This includes the open documentation of a Product Breakdown Structure (PBS, **M2.1**), the configuration management of design files (document and data repositories, element database) and the editing of the associated key deliverables (see **D5.5** and **D5.8**, **WP 5**).

#### Task 2.2: Collider design (*lead: DESY*, participants: CEA, CERN, CNRS, KIT, UOXF)

Develop the baseline parameters and machine layout, starting with the consideration of the physics programme requirements (**D2.1**). Compute a workable beam optics, integrates the corrections, develops tuning approaches and documents the lattice. Ensure that the design matches the physics programme requirements in an iterative fashion with tasks 2.2 and 2.3. This includes in particular the analysis and mitigation of different impact factors (impedance, single-beam collective effects) in the design. Conceive an effective beam diagnostics architecture, specify the device functions and performances. Develop the concept for the global orbit control system. Verify the beam optics experimentally at ESRF and/or PETRA III (**D.2.2**) and integrate the findings for the main deliverable of the project (**D5.8**).

#### Task 2.3: Machine detector interface (*lead: INFN*, participants: CERN, CNRS, DESY, UOXF))

Ensure that the final focus is designed to meet the collider performance goals and develop a suitable machine detector interface coherently with task 2.2. Develop a concept for the luminosity measurement. Analyse the design and propose effective design measures to control the background and to protect the machine. Review the SuperKEK IP feedback, its architecture, performance, merits and limitations. Beam-beam measurements are envisaged at DAFNE INFN-LNF with the crab-waist collision scheme.

#### Task 2.4:Full-energy booster and top up injection (*lead: CEA, participants: CERN, ...*)

Design a full-energy booster and integrated it with the collider using a top up injection scheme. . Document (PBS, **M2.1**) the design, including the necessary equipment elements and expected performances with a level of detail that permits entering the next stage, the detailed technical design.

#### Task 2.5:Polarisation and energy calibration (*lead: KIT?*, participants: CERN, ...)?

## next FCC-ee MDI working meeting in May or June 2020