LXX International conference "NUCLEUS –2020. Nuclear physics and elementary particle physics. Nuclear physics technologies"

Contribution ID: 32

Type: Oral report

## Superasymmetric fission mode in 254Fm nucleus populated by 16O+238U reaction

*Thursday 15 October 2020 14:55 (25 minutes)* 

The search for super-asymmetric fission, has been receiving increasing interest due to its possible interest in producing exotic neutron rich nucleus [1]. Among the four main fission modes prescribed by Brosa [2], the supershort mode manifests itself only when light and heavy fission fragments are close to the double magic tin with A<sup>-</sup>132 in their nucleon composition. Though the possibility of the fission asymmetry of the pre-actinides had been predicted in 70's [3], it took a decade to substantiate this prediction experimentally [4]. Recently, the superasymmetric mode due to the influence of double magic Ca (Z = 20, N = 28) and double magic Pb (Z = 82, N = 126) has been observed at a mass yield level of 10-3 and 10-5, in fission of excited 260No compound nucleus, populated by the reactions 12C+248Cm and 22Ne+238U, respectively [5, 6]. The fission mass distributions of the fermium isotopes showed a marked transition from asymmetric to symmetric as the mass number increases from 254 to 258 [7]. Additionally, Lustig et al. [8] predicted super-asymmetric fission modes in 253Fm(n,f) 254Fm(sf). So, further investigations at the lower excitation energies of Fm isotope and to discern super-asymmetric fission mode and its characteristics out of all other fission modes, was of paramount importance.

The mass-energy distributions of fission fragments of 254Fm compound nucleus formed in the reaction 16O+238U have been measured at two lab energies Elab = 89 and 101 MeV, using the two-arm time-of-flight spectrometer CORSET [9]. The contribution from quasifission is negligible in the reaction 16O+238U [10]. At the energy close to the Coulomb barrier (corresponding excitation energy  $ECN^{-}$  45 MeV), where the shell effects still exist, the enhancement of the mass yield in the region 60-70 u for the light fragment is observed. This can be explained by the influence of double magic Ni (Z=28, N=50). The mass yield is found to be around 10-2 %. This signature of super-asymmetric fission goes away at the higher excitation energy (ECN<sup>-</sup> 56 MeV).

[1] M.Huhta et al., Phys. Lett. B 405, 220 (1997).

[2] U.Brosa, S.Grossmann and A.Muller, Phys. Rep. 197, 167 (1990).

[3] V.V.Pashkevich, Nucl. Phys. A 169, 275 (1971); M.G.Mustafa et al., Phys. Rev. C 7, 1519 (1973).

[4] G.Barreau et al., Nucl. Phys. A 432, 411 (1985); M.G.Itkis et al., Z. Phys. A 320, 433 (1985); C.Budtz -Jørgensen, H.-H.Knitter, Nucl. Phys. A 490, 307 (1988).

[5] G.Knyazheva et al., EXON-2012-Proceedings of the International Symposium, 167 (2013).

[6] K.B.Gikal et al., Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences: Physics, 82, 716 (2018).

[7] J.E.Gindler et al., Phys. Rev. C 16, 1483 (1977); R.M.Harbour et al., Phys. Rev. C 8, 1488 (1973); R.C.Ragaini et al., Phys. Rev. C 9, 399 (1974).

- [8] H.J.Lustig, J.A.Maruhn and W.Greiner, J. Phys. G : Nucl. Phys. 6, L25 (1980).
- [9] E.M.Kozulin et al., Instrum. Exp. Tech. 51, 44 (2008).

**Primary author:** Dr BANERJEE, Tathagata (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia)

**Co-authors:** Dr KOZULIN, Eduard Michailovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Dr GIKAL, Kirill Borisovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Dr ITKIS, Iulia Mikhailovna (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Dr KNYAZHEVA , Galina Nikolaevna (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mrs KOZULINA, Nina Ivanovna (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr NOVIKOV, Kirill Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr DIATLOV, Ivan Nikolaevich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr PAN, Andrey (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr VOROBIEV, Igor Vladimirovich (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia); Mr **Presenter:** Dr BANERJEE, Tathagata (Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia)

Session Classification: Section 2. Experimental and theoretical studies of nuclear reactions

**Track Classification:** Section 2. Experimental and theoretical studies of nuclear reactions.