6/03/2020

Latest results on heavy flavours in pp, pPb and Pb-Pb collisions with CMS

Lizardo Valencia Palomo



36th Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics Puerto Vallarta, Mexico



Physics motivation

onten

Analysis

Quarkonium

Open Heavy Flavours



PHYSICS MOTIVATION

The Quark-Gluon Plasma

Lattice QCD predicts a phase transition of ordinary nuclear matter at a critical temperature of $T_{\rm C} \approx 190$ MeV.

Energy density increases due to lattent heat of deconfinement

Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP): deconfined state of quarks and gluons. In order to recreate the QGP in the laboratory we use high energy heavy ion collisions (A-A).

Different kind of probes used to study the QGP: jets, hyperons, vectorial mesons, etc.

This talk will review the latest results on:

- Open Heavy Flavours (OHF): hadrons containing only one heavy quark (c or b).
- Quarkonium: pairs of heavy quark and anti-quark of the same flavour



proton-proton collisions

Test perturbative QCD inspired models (FONLL, GM-VFNS, NRQCD, etc): predict OHF and quarkonium production rates.

$$\sigma_{hh \to Hx} = PDF(x_a, Q^2)PDF(x_b, Q^2) \otimes \sigma_{ab \to q\overline{q}} \otimes D_{q \to H}(z_q, Q^2)$$

As a reference in heavy ion collisions through the Nuclear Modification Factor R_{AA} :



Fragmentation

X2

Hard Scatter (perturbative) IR

in nucleon

Parton Distribution

(non-perturbative)

(non-perturbative)

proton-nucleus collisions

Possibility to disentangle the Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects to those related to the presence of the QGP.

CNM: initial state effects can modify the particle production. Open and hidden heavy flavour production can be affected by:

- Shadowing/Anti-Shadowing (JPG 32 (2006) R367): gluon PDF of nucleons in the nucleus ≠ gluon PDF of free nucleons.
- Energy loss (PRC 75 (2007) 064906): multiple scattering of partons in the nucleus before and/or after the hard scattering can lead to parton energy loss.
- Nuclear absorption (PRL 77 (1996) 1703): pre-resonance state can interact with the nuclear environment and, as a consequence, be dissociated.
- Comovers interactions (PRL 77 (1996) 1703): resonance can be destroyed by scattering on particles produced during the collision (comovers).
- Gluon saturation (NPA 920 (2013) 78): number of gluons in the wave function of a nucleus ≠ to the addition of the gluon field of the nucleons.



OHF in heavy ion collisions

Charm and beauty quarks are created in the initial hard scatterings of the collision, so they experience the whole evolution of the system.

Can be used to investigate the inmedium partonic energy loss in the hot and dense QCD matter created in heavy ion collisions.

QCD and *dead cone effect* (gluon suppression radiation) say that:

 $\Delta E_{g} > \Delta E_{c} > \Delta E_{b}$

 $\Rightarrow R_{AA}^{\pi} < R_{AA}^{D} < R_{AA}^{B} ?$

NPA 783 (2007) 493, PLB 519 (2001) 199, PRD 71 (2005) 054027 Light quarks: $m \sim 0, C_R = 4/3$ Energy loss

Gluons: m = 0, C_R = 3 Larger energy loss compared to light quarks

c: m ~ 1.5 GeV, C_R = 4/3
b: m ~ 5 GeV, C_R = 4/3
dead cone effect
→ Smaller energy loss of *b* quarks

In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:



In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:



In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:



In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:



In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:



In the 1980's J/ ψ was proposed as a probe of the Quark Gluon Plasma (PLB 178 (1986) 416):

- Produced in the early stages of the collisions.
- Suppressed by the Debye screening.

Suppression depends on the binding energy of quarkonium states (PRD 64 (2001) 094015):

- Excited states melt down at different temperatures → sequential suppression.
- Quarkonium as a thermometer of the QGP!

At top LHC energy:

• $N_{c\bar{c}}$ /central collision $\approx 120 \rightarrow$ new source of charmonium production from recombination of $c\bar{c}$ pairs?



Nature 448 (2007) 302





Prompt and non-prompt D mesons



Prompt and non-prompt J/ ψ



Full reconstruction of B mesons



Full reconstruction of Bs mesons





Elliptic Flow in pPb



Strong collective behavior found in azimuthal correlations of light particles emitted in high multiplicity p-Pb collisions.

Correlation of single-particles assessed via Fourier decomposition: elliptic flow (v_2) .

Sub $v_2 = v_2$ – residual jet correlations.

 v_2 prompt J/ $\psi \approx v_2$ prompt D⁰ < v_2 light flavors → charm quarks develop a weaker collective dynamics than light quarks in small systems.

Model based on FS interactions (QGP-c quark) significantly underestimates the data \rightarrow Additional contributions (IS?) needed.

J/ψ in Pb-Pb



CMS (high- p_T): Clear suppression of prompt J/ Ψ that depends on the centrality of the event, as expected in a sequential melting scenario.

ALICE (low- p_T): inclusive J/ Ψ suppression at forward rapidity is independent of centrality for $N_{\text{part}} > 80$. Models including J/ Ψ from $c\bar{c}$ recombination can reproduce the data.

Upsilon in Pb-Pb



Production rate 200 x smaller than charmonium \rightarrow small contribution from regeneration. Larger binding energies than charmonium \rightarrow probe a wider temperature range. R_{AA} indicates a clear ordering suppression with binding energies, as expected in a sequential melting scenario.

6/03/2020

OPEN HEAVY FLAVOURS

Prompt and non-prompt D° in pp



6/03/2020

Lizardo Valencia Palomo

Prompt and non-prompt D° in pp & pPb



Positive v_2 for 2 < p_T < 4 GeV/*c*: strong collectivity developed by c hadrons in high multiplicity pp collisions. Comparable to light-flavours.

In pPb collisions non-prompt D⁰ v₂ is consistent with zero at low- p_T , while at high- p_T there is a hint of positive value.

Qualitatively consistent with the scenario of v_2 being generated via final-state rescatterings, where heavier quarks develop a weaker collectivity.

6/03/2020

Lizardo Valencia Palomo

Prompt and non-prompt D° in Pb-Pb



 R_{AA} of prompt $D^0 = R_{AA}$ of non-prompt D^0 at lowand high- p_T . Larger suppression of prompt D^0 at mid- p_T , consistent with energy los dependence on quark mass.

 R_{AA} of non-prompt J/ $\psi \approx R_{AA}$ of non-prompt D⁰ $\approx R_{AA}$ of B mesons.

CUJET and EPOS2 include both collisional and radiative energy los, while transport models (TAMU and PHSD) only collisional.

Models underestimate suppression at low- p_{T} , indicating stronger energy los of *b* quark.

All models can describe the data at mid- and high- $p_{\rm T}$.

6/03/2020

Bs in Pb-Pb



First measurement of exclusive B_s ever performed in nucleus-nucleus collisions!

Expected strangeness enhancement in thermally and chemically equilibrated QGP if temperatura > m_s .

Indication of enhancement of B_s relative to B mesons (large stat. and syst. uncertainties).

Relative yield of Bs wrt nonstrange B mesons can be enhanced in A-A compared to pp if recombination is a significant factor of beauty hadronization in the QGP.

Bs in Pb-Pb



First measurement of exclusive B_s ever performed in nucleus-nucleus collisions!

Expected strangeness enhancement in thermally and chemically equilibrated QGP if temperatura > m_s .

Indication of enhancement of B_s relative to B mesons (large stat. and syst. uncertainties).

Relative yield of Bs wrt nonstrange B mesons can be enhanced in A-A compared to pp if recombination is a significant factor of beauty hadronization in the QGP.

SUMMARY



Heavy quarks are useful tools to test pQCD inspired models but also to probe the QGP.

In pp collisions:

• pQCD inspired models can correctly describe the cross sections in a broad p_{T} range.

In pPb collisions:

• Charm quarks develop a weaker collective dynamics than light quarks in small systems

In Pb-Pb collisions:

- Recombination of $c\bar{c}$ pairs at low- p_{T} , while sequential suppression escenario at high- p_{T} .
- Larger suppression of prompt D^0 at mid- p_T , consistent with energy los dependence on quark mass.



for

your

attention



High energy heavy ion collisions

Space-time evolution of high energy heavy ion collisions:



HIN physics at CMS

System	Years	√s _{NN} , [TeV]	$L^{ATLAS}_{int} \approx L^{CMS}_{int}$
Pb-Pb	2010-2011	2.76	~0.14 nb ⁻¹
	2015	5.02	~0.49 nb ⁻¹
	2018	5.02	~1.7 nb ⁻¹
Xe-Xe	2017	5.44	~3 µb ⁻¹
p-Pb	2013	5.02	~29 nb ⁻¹
	2016	5.02, 8.16	~0.5 nb ⁻¹ , ~0.16 pb ⁻¹
рр	2011-2013	2.76, 8	~4 pb ^{-1,} ~19.4 fb ⁻¹
	2015, 2017	5.02	~270 pb ⁻¹





Magnetic field: 3.8 Tesla

Psi(25) vs J/ ψ in pPb



Backward rapidity: Psi(2S) systematically more suppressed than prompt J/ψ .

Suggests different (final) nuclear effects at play in the production of excited charmonium state compared to the ground state.

|--|

Upsilon vs J/ ψ in Pb-Pb



Prompt $\Psi(2S)$ vs $\Upsilon(2S)$: same suppression as a function of centrality and p_{T} .

Prompt J/ Ψ vs Υ : same suppression as a function of centrality and p_{T} .

B mesons in pp



Measurements located in the upper region of the predictions from FONLL

Lizardo Valencia Palomo

The future of HI at CMS

