### LHCb Status & Outlook

US-LHC Users Association Annual Meeting 2019

### Daniel Craik on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

### 16th October, 2019









- LHCb has a broad physics programme
- Increasingly functioning as "a GPD in the forward region"
- Will highlight a selection of results from the last year
- Many topics not covered
  - e.g. CEP, heavy ions, fixed target...

### The LHCb detector



- Instrumentation in the forward region
   (2 < η < 5)</li>
- Excellent secondary vertex reconstruction
- Precise tracking before and after magnet
- Good PID separation up to  $\sim 100 \, \text{GeV}/c$





- Triggerless readout at 40 MHz
- New vertex locator
- New tracking (UT, SciFi)

## Phase 1 upgrade : Upstream Tracker

- Upgraded silicon tracker to replace TT
  - Finer granularity
  - Improved coverage
  - Lower material budget
- First major LHCb construction contribution from US
  - Bare stave construction complete
  - Sensor QA almost finished
  - ASIC design validated in test beam
  - Off-detector electronics validated in slice test, now in production
  - Aim to install first half at LHCb by March 2020
  - Contributions from Syracuse, Maryland, Cincinnati, Michigan & MIT















### The LHCb detector: phase 2 upgrade



- Tracking in magnet
- ECAL upgrade
- TORCH for PID or ToF
- Replace HCAL with shielding
- Some changes could happen as part of phase 1b

- Slow particles swept out by magnet
- Significant gains at low momentum by instrumenting sides of magnet
- Particularly useful for gluon saturation studies
- Recommended for inclusion in Phase 1b ugrade
- Los Alamos leading design and construction







## LHCb trigger



- Hardware trigger to be removed from Run III
- Option to move to a GPU-based HLT1 with GPUs installed on the Event Builder servers
- Demonstrated technical feasibility modulo integration tests that are underway
- May be adopted by LHCb for Run III



## CP violation and CKM elements

- LHC era has seen marked improvements in key measurements (upper→lower)
- But deviations from the CKM quark-mixing mechanism continue to elude detection
- Uncertainties on "tree" quantities still give room for new physics in loops
- Key goal to improve precision on less well-determined SM measurements, γ and |V<sub>ub</sub>|
- Compare these "baseline" results to loop-dominated processes to search for NP
  - Continue to improve key loop-process measurements such as  $\phi_{s},\,\Delta m_{s}$  and  $\Delta m_{d}$





 $\gamma$  from  $B^0 \rightarrow DK^{*0}$ 



- $\gamma$  measured at tree level  $\rightarrow$  SM measurement
- LHCb average dominated by  $B^+ \rightarrow DK^+$  decays
- B<sup>0</sup> → DK<sup>\*0</sup> decay pathways both colour suppressed
  - Larger r\_B cf. B^+ ightarrow DK<sup>+</sup> ( $\sim$  0.3 vs  $\sim$  0.1)
- New analysis uses a larger dataset for 2-body GLW/ADS modes
- Includes 4-body GLW/ALS-like modes for first time
- First observations of  $B^0 \rightarrow D(\pi^+ K^-) K^{*0}$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow D(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-) K^{*0}$  (39.4%, 86.5% CL contours)



(39.4 %, 86.5 % CL contours

PRD90 (2014) 112002

JHEP 08 (2019) 041



- $\phi_s$  small in SM, may be enhanced by NP
- $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  decays studied in data from 2015-16
- Single most precise measurement of  $\phi_s$  to date
- Other channels, e.g.  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ , also utilised
- $\bullet\,$  LHCb combination consistent with SM predictions  $-0.041\pm0.025$
- $\phi_s^{s\overline{s}s} pprox$  0 in SM, small mixing & decay phases cancel
- New analysis of  $B^0_s 
  ightarrow \phi \phi$  decays in 2011-16 data
- Most precise single measurement of  $\phi_s^{s\overline{s}s}$  to date  $-0.073 \pm 0.115 \pm 0.027$
- Upper limit (90% CI) on rate of  $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \phi$  decay  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \phi) < 2.7 \times 10^{-8}$



## CPV in charm



- Study CPV in up-type quarks
- Measure ΔA<sub>CP</sub> between K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> and π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> to control systematics
- LHCb combination gives 5.3 $\sigma$  significance of CPV
- First observation of (direct) CP violation in charm decays
- Global average now inconsistent with "no CPV" at more than  $5\sigma$

$$a^{
m ind}_{C\!P} = (0.028 \pm 0.026)\% \ \Delta a^{
m dir}_{C\!P} = (-0.164 \pm 0.028)\%$$

#### arXiv:1909.05211

# CPV in ${\it B}^+\! \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ decays

- Charmless *b*-decays have tree- and loop-level contributions with similar amplitudes
- Dalitz plot analysis of ~ 20 000 B<sup>±</sup> → π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>∓</sup> decays recorded in Run I
- CPV previously seen in a model-independent analysis of this dataset
- Three different models considered for S-wave
- Significant CPV observed in low-m<sub>π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup></sub> S-wave, the a<sub>2</sub>(1270) resonance and in interference between S- and P-wave contributions
- No CPV observed in  $\rho \omega$  mixing
- Does not directly inform on SM or NP parameters but offers further insight into CPV in multi-body decays



### Rare decays and anomalies





- Rare or forbidden SM processes sensitive to new physics contributions
- Many anomalies in current results
  - $P'_5$ ,  $R_K$ ,  $R_D^*$ , etc
- Analyses typically limited by statistics
- Exciting prospects for Run III+



- Analysis of  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$  updated with 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data
- Total uncertainty on  $R_K$  in  $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \, {
  m GeV}^2/c^4$  reduced by  $\sim 40 \, \%$
- Central value also moved towards SM prediction
  - 2.5 $\sigma$  discrepancy *cf.* 2.6 $\sigma$  in Run I
- Still statistically dominated
- More data needed



## $R_{K^*}$ and angular analyses

- Analyses of B<sup>0</sup> → K<sup>\*0</sup>ℓ<sup>+</sup>ℓ<sup>−</sup> give access to both R<sub>K\*</sub> and angular quantities
- Run I analyses show interesting anomalies, e.g. R<sub>K\*</sub>, P'<sub>5</sub>
- Analyses on Run II data still to come









- Updated study of  $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$  decays
- First measurement of CPV parameters  $\mathcal{S}_{\phi\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\phi\gamma}$
- Updated measurement of  $\mathcal{A}^{\Delta}_{\phi\gamma}$
- Results consistent with SM at 1.3, 0.3, and 1.7 $\sigma$



• Normalised to 
$$B^0 
ightarrow K^{*0}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = (7.1 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7) imes 10^{-10}$$



### LFV decays

- Charged LFV negligible in SM
- Would be clear evidence of BSM physics
- Searches for  $B^+ \to K^+ e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$  and  $B^0_{(s)} \to \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$  in Run I data
- New best limits set on branching fractions



at 90 (95) % confidence level

 $A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Dan Craik (MIT)

- New physics may well be disconnected from SM up to GUT scale
- If a dark sector couples to ours at some scale, photon can mix with a dark photon

NFW

- May interact either via a particle carrying both charges or through GUT-scale interaction between sectors
- Search for massive dark photon decays to  $\mu^+\mu^-$  in this "few-loop" regime

 $\varepsilon \equiv \langle \gamma' | \gamma \rangle = \langle \gamma' | \cdots \bigcirc \gamma \rangle + \langle \gamma' | \cdots \bigcirc \gamma \rangle + \cdots \\ \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \qquad \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ 

e.g. particle carrying both EM

arXiv:1910.06926

# ${\it A}'\!\to\mu^+\mu^-$

- New analysis extends previous LHCb searches for dark photon decays to  $\mu^+\mu^-$
- Factor 3× increase in luminosity
- Search for prompt  $\mu^+\mu^-$  limited by  $\gamma^*\!\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-$  background
  - Vertex-constrained fit improves resolution at low  $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$
  - Isolation requirements reduce background at higher mass
- Search for long-lived A' uses vertex resolution to reduce background
  - Dominant backgrounds from material interaction, b-decays to multiple muons and K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> decays
- Exclusion region expected to be significantly increased with Run III analysis



NEW





## Hadron production in $Z^0$ -tagged jets





- Production of charge hadrons studied in jets in 2012 data
- First studies of jet hadronisation in forward direction and in jets produced with a Z<sup>0</sup>
- cf. mid-rapidity jets, more collimated in both z and r
  - More similar to ATLAS  $\gamma$ +jet
  - Suggests effect due to quark-dominated jets
- *cf.* simulation, more high-momentum hadrons



### Spectroscopy and production



- Many new states and decays observed
- Many serendipitous discoveries
- In particular, LHC provides a unique environment to study *b*-baryons
  - First evidence of CP violation in A<sup>0</sup><sub>b</sub> decays
  - First observation of pentaquarks





- Hidden-charm pentaquarks first observed in 6D amplitude analysis of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \, pK^-$  decays
- Latest analysis uses 9× larger dataset to perform 1D mass fit
- Previously observed resonance resolved into two separate states
- New narrow state observed,  $P_c(4312)^+$
- Near-threshold masses hint at molecular structures
- Also expect relatively narrow  $\Sigma_c^{*+}\overline{D}^{(*)0}$  states
- For *P<sub>c</sub>*(4312)<sup>+</sup> and *P<sub>c</sub>*(4457)<sup>+</sup>, thresholds within widths of peaks
  - Possible virtual states, arXiv:1904.10021
- Updated 6D amplitude analysis required to identify broad states or assign *J*<sup>P</sup>

### c-baryon lifetimes



- Properties of charm baryons effective tests of higher-order corrections to HQE
- Lifetimes of Λ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub>, Ξ<sup>0</sup><sub>c</sub> and Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> baryons last measured almost 20 years ago
- Recent LHCb measurement of Ω<sup>0</sup><sub>c</sub> lifetime 4× larger than previous average
   PRL 121 (2018) 092003
- Reconstruct baryons produced in semimuonic decays of b-baryons and decaying to hadronic final states
- $\Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Xi_c^-$  consistent with previous averages
- $\Xi_c^0$  shows 3.3 $\sigma$  discrepancy

- $\frac{f_c}{f_u+f_d}$  determined from  $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \, \mu^- \overline{\nu}$  decays
- Analysis performed at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and 13 TeV
- Normalised to inclusive B<sup>0</sup> and B<sup>+</sup> semimuonic rates
- Production asymmetry consistent with zero

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{f_c}{f_u+f_d} = (3.63\pm0.08\pm0.12\pm0.86)\times10^{-3} \text{ at 7 TeV} \\ \frac{f_c}{f_u+f_d} = (3.78\pm0.04\pm0.15\pm0.89)\times10^{-3} \text{ at 13 TeV} \end{array}$ 



NFW

- Run I & II datasets continue to provide new results
  - Both in flavour physics and beyond
- Work to upgrade the detector for Run III+ continues in parallel
- Many more exciting results to come...