



Pre-GDB Storage Classes summary of discussions

Flavia Donno

Pre-GDB - CERN, 9 January 2007

www.eu-egee.org









- Status of SRM 2.2
- Summary of previous discussions and conclusions
- Very interesting reports from NIKHEF and T2 sites
- Tentative plan for next WLCG Workshop in January
- The new working group



Status of SRM v2.2

Tests:

- S2 test suite just ported to new published WSDL (minor changes), result published. Cron job will be restarted as of today.
- LBNL SRM-Tester also run as a cron.
- List of open issues kept updated by CERN. Many will be deferred to v2.3 or v3.0.

• Servers:

- Current endpoints sometimes hard to test since they use a production instance behind (hard to exploit and stress the systems).
- Only one end-point available per type.

Clients:

- SRM client code unit-tested and mostly integrated in FTS
- FTS in development testbed by end of February
- GFAL/lcg-utils under certification

GLUE schema:

 V1.3 ready for deployment by end of Jan.; static information providers in YAIM ready by end of Feb.

- Supported Storage Classes in WLCG are: <u>T1D1, T1D0, T0D1</u>.
 T0D0 might be required by other VOs
- Clients should <u>not use dynamic reservation</u> initially.
- <u>Storage Areas are created statically</u> by Site Administrators at sites. VO administrators can use srmReserveSpace to create Storage Areas.
- <u>Tools</u> will be available <u>to publish</u> <u>VO specific Space Descriptions</u> (ATLAS_RAW, CMS_TAG, etc.)
- The <u>Namespace</u> should be used to organize the data.
- Brief review of the T1s current implementations and plans (GridKa, SARA, Lyon). Main issues are about configuration of WAN/LAN buffers, storage areas organizations, storage classes, management tools and clear procedures in case of failures from both developers and experiments.
 - Input needed from the experiments to understand requirements and data flow
 - Input needed from developers for the configuration of the specific implementations
- A tentative plan for the WG with future targets has been presented (see later)

NIKHEF:

- Very good experience with DPM
- Discovered few limitations while supporting ATLAS:
 - Missing management tools
 - Impossibility to force the system not to use the volatile pool for ATLAS durable files
 - Some minor problems with ACLs (a production manager cannot write in a user pool)

• *USCMS T2s:*

- SRM-dCache
- No Tape backend
- WNs used as storage elements
- Not using groups/roles nor storage classes at the moment.
- Different configuration of pools for WAN or LAN
- <u>GridPP:</u>

GridPP T2s:

- No tape backend and small RAIDs very often spread on WNs (~500!)
- Shared resources with non WLCG VOs
- Limited manpower (=> easy management)
- Management tools are essentials: pool draining, namespace management, disk quotas, resize disk pools (increase or decrease)
- Is data loss a real issue? What about analysis? Procedures to manage this situation
- Hints on hardware and software configuration and tuning (raids, power supplies, communication channels, databases, backups, firewall problems, etc.)
- Storage systems limitations (files open/sec, read/write rates, etc.)
- System monitoring
- Tests to check site availability (SAM tests depend on other subsystems: BDII, catalogues, etc.) also at a pool level per VO.
- Is it important to publish the real size of a pool (available and used)?
- Storage accounting
- 32 vs. 64 bit installations.
- Which storage classes?
- Dynamic or static reservation ?
- Interoperability tests?
- What to do in case of not used/corrupted data sets? What about full disk pools? Empty pools?
- What are the procedures in case of SRM failures (clear and written)?



- Collect requirements per VO in terms of:
 - Storage Classes needed at various sites: how much disk for each?
 - Data Flow between Tier-0, Tier-1s, Tier-2s
 - Space reservation requirements: static and/or dynamic
 - Space Token Descriptions per VO: which ? How many ? Transition patterns ?
 - Special requirements: xrootd, etc.
 - Data Access Patterns.
 - Plans from 1st of April 2007 till end of the year
- Understand requirements and <u>existing setup of T1s and T2s</u> (ongoing effort). Assisting T1s and T2s.
- Discuss with the developers on how to best <u>implement the</u> <u>requirements</u>
- Produce <u>manuals and guidelines</u> for deployment
- Selecting sites as guinea pigs
- Testing with experiments the setup at sites
- Assisting the experiments and the site administrators during the tests.
 Support for possible further requirements/needs
- Review the status of the plan at the WLCG workshop in January