

- What we have now
- What we can expect
- Job priorities model for SE?
- What can be reported/accounted?
- Monitoring
- Quotas

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE



- Files owned by normal users are group-writable (for LHC VOs)
- Production manager DNs usually mapped to special accounts
  - Can write in directories that are read-only for normal users
    - Such directories usually need to be set up by the SE admin
- DPM has almost full VOMS support
  - Secondary FQANs not yet taken into account
    - Final testing being done for name space and space tokens
      - Use for pool selection being discussed with sites (NIKHEF, CIEMAT, ScotGrid)
  - ACLs can be set via DPM client API/CLI
    - ACL support not yet discussed in SRM v2.2 group (low priority)
- CASTOR has minimal VOMS support
  - Role in primary FQAN required for space operations in SRM v2.2
  - Mapping via classic grid-mapfile



- dCache has significant VOMS support
  - Via gPlazma plugins
    - PRIMA  $\rightarrow$  GUMS setup demonstrated (in use in OSG?)
    - Enhanced grid-mapfile support
      - − DN + FQAN  $\rightarrow$  account A
      - "\*" + FQAN → account B
    - No LCMAPS plugin yet, not clear who should write it
  - Secondary FQANs not yet taken into account
  - ACLs not yet available (foreseen for Chimera/NFSv4)
- StoRM has full VOMS support
  - Via LCMAPS
  - ACLs can be set through native file system API/CLI
    - GPFS, XFS, ext3
- BeStMan (DRM) has no (?) VOMS support yet

Enabling

for E-sciencE



## What we can expect



- Grid-wide consistent VOMS-ACL support not for this year
  - Need to use implementation-dependent client tools
- Distinction of special users by primary role/group instead of DN?
  - DPM, StoRM
    - OK
  - dCache
    - Feasible
  - CASTOR
    - Low priority
  - BeStMan
    - ?
  - Not really needed this year, but what about next year?
- Space tokens protected with ACLs?
  - Space is owned by group that must match primary FQAN
    - Not clear how much more we can do this year

- Job priorities on CE
  - Give privileged groups/roles a better QoS
    - Larger share (job slots)
    - Better response time (express queues)
  - No restrictions (yet) on number of jobs per DN/role/group
- Ideas for service priorities on SE
  - Give privileged groups/roles a better QoS
    - Larger share (dedicated pools and/or quotas)
      - Publish per role/group
    - Better response time (more transfer slots)
  - Higher bandwidth better done in FTS?
    - Most of the bandwidth is used by production managers anyway
  - No restrictions (yet) on number of transfers per DN/role/group
    - Let FTS handle that?

Enabling

for E-sciencE

## What can be reported/accounted?



- Close relationship between monitoring and accounting
  - Need to keep track of resource usage for both purposes
- Accounting mostly concerned with disk/tape usage per entity
  - Per storage class instance
    - VOMS group/role
    - DN

LCG

- DN + group/role?
- Status of storage class instances
  - Also published in information system
  - Used/reserved/available space (with caveats)
  - Availability of the instance
    - Could be unavailable due to problem or maintenance





- Also concerned with traffic statistics
  - Number and size of transfers per period
    - Upload, download
    - Stagein, stageout
    - WAN, LAN
    - Protocol
- How much of that should be accounted as well?
- Grid Storage Systems Deployment WG has monitoring subgroup
  - Draft report compiled listing possibilities for DPM and dCache
  - Coordinate with GDB storage accounting WG?



Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

- Quotas will be implementation-dependent
  - Not yet accepted as SRM feature
- Static space tokens used for first-order separation
  - Normal users  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  production managers
  - Different analysis groups
  - Real  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  MC data
  - ...
- Quotas are complementary
  - Could be overbooked