

---

# ECFA Early Career Researchers Meeting

November 15th 2019

## *Working Group Report* **Computing & Software**

Erica Brondolin, Alison Elliot, Julián García Pardiñas, Katja Mankinen,  
Abhijit Mathad, Josh McFayden, [Jonas Rembser](#), Sezen Sekmen, Pawel Sznajder

---

# The Working Group

On November 11th, 16 of us met to discuss **Computing & Software**.

Very constructive discussion on large variety of topics, [minutes in Google Doc](#).

Results of the discussion is compiled into these slides:

- Impression of the **briefing book**
- **Subjects** we think are important to be considered **for the strategy input**

# Physics Briefing Book

We agreed with the **key points** the briefing book made about computing:

- **HL-LHC** poses immediate **computing challenges** which need to be addressed
  - Strong focus on R&D in an environment that fosters innovation
- Impact on computing should be increasingly considered in detector design
  - Need for individuals to **link instrumentation and computing**
- Computing can benefit from **networks** that can also extend outside HEP
  - These structures need to be **strengthened**

Briefing book talks about "computing in HEP" as mainly the **central production processes** (simulation, reconstruction, data management...):

- We feel software and computing goes also closely with **physics analysis**

# Physics Analysis Software

- Importance of not being repetitive and not **reinventing the wheel**
  - Leverage on software trends to **automate analyses**
  - encourage analysis description standards for analysis preservation
- allow researchers to work more **effectively** and move on **quickly**
- **Economize** time usage and allow more **time and personpower** to be directed to places where innovation and development is truly needed
- Collaborations should encourage **centralization of analysis tasks**
  - Too much duplicated work with plethora of analysis frameworks
- Build software / frameworks that are easy to understand and can be widely used, and can be used for multiple purposes.
  - Emphasize importance of using standard technology to **ensure transferability of software skills** to other areas

# Encourage Expertise in Software

We feel it's important that **software** in HEP at for production and analysis level **should be contributed to by experts** to ensure most efficient use of resources and minimize time to physics.

- **Physicists** should be encouraged to become **experts** with **trainings** or by **self-learning**
- Other option are **computer scientists** that are paid and recognized maybe even outside the particle physics

# Open Data

**Open data** is the idea that some data should be freely available to everyone to use and re-publish as they wish, without restrictions.

- Possibility to analyze outside the collaborations can bring **innovative ideas**
- Great tool for working with other fields (e.g. **machine learning**) and for **education** and **outreach**
- Can also be used by people within collaborations to **publish independently** about **new algorithms or tools, increasing visibility** of such developments

Possible counterarguments:

- **Non-experts** might use data to make **inaccurate scientific claims**
- Needs to ensure proper **recognition** of the work from the people that collected the data
- Risk that **development work within experimental collaborations** becomes **less attractive** and innovative as innovation would now happen from open data

# Analysis Documentation and Preservation

Not only **Data preservation** and **open access** currently lack consistent policies in the experiments, but also **analysis preservation** and **reproducibility**.

- Experimental data and results should be available in a form that can easily be reinterpreted
- The usage of **machine learning** poses new **challenges** to **reproducibility**

We acknowledge the importance of **clear documentation** of analysis software developments.

- Becomes increasingly important as **new tools** arise and start to be used by people
- Collaborations could make well documented analysis software a **requirement for** their **papers**
- Clear **documentation standards** inspired from **industry** can be adopted

# Innovation in High Energy Physics Computing

- We strongly encourage the **support of software R&D projects** like [DIANA-HEP](#) or [IRIS-HEP](#), as we are already benefiting from their work (e.g. uproot)
- Important to encourage **knowledge sharing** with industry and other computing communities
- We should not only encourage **collaboration with the industry** but also with **other fields of physics or science in general**
- Raise awareness in outside communities of the software and computing landscape in HEP, to **detect possible synergies**
- More efforts should be undertaken to **leverage already existing solutions** and learn from other approaches to similar problems
- There should be wider **awareness** in the HEP community **of the methods** used by **other fields** in physics
  - Astrophysics could be a good model to follow in particular

# Ecological Computing Resources

- **Encourage the use of new technologies** not only to address immediate challenges, but also to reduce the ecological footprint of computing in HEP
  - For example GPUs and FPGAs
- Consider sustainability, environmental impact and **relation to local community** when establishing **computing facilities**
  - **Sharing** of resources
- However, this has to be **put in perspective**:
  - Computing only makes up a rather small fraction of the power consumption in HEP
  - more details in Environment WG report

# Software for Remote Meetings

In an effort towards **reducing the amount of travelling**, the **software for remote meetings** should be improved and specialised for large-community meetings. Improving the remote experience can have a big impact.

- Get **inspiration from outside**: companies might have interesting solutions
- Functionalities to **remotely draft ideas** together on a **whiteboard**
- Better support for **large conferences**:
  - Focus on substituting the “**coffee break experience**”
    - Chatting platform
    - Could go as far as “**chatroulette**” to foster new encounters and exchanges between collaborators

# Input on Human and Social Factors

## Training

- The rise of heterogeneous computing requires **adequate training of the researchers** (both early and later career).
- This is of **utmost importance for software developers**, that should be either **highly-trained physicists** or **computer scientists** (possibly even from outside HEP).

## Work recognition

- The computing/software activities should not be seen only as a means to get analyses but as a **proper research area**.
- An **increased number of devoted awards** inside the collaborations can highly reduce the invisibility of the contributions to software.
- **Encouraging more publications** on software/computing work helps to increase the recognition outside the collaborations.
- The **recognition of software** should be at a **comparable level** to the **recognition of hardware**.
- **Job descriptions** should explicitly include **software skills**, to increase the awareness on them.
- Increasing the number of **specific career opportunities** would have a big effect.

## Career possibilities outside HEP

- **Researcher characteristics that are positively perceived** from the outside: ability to collaborate in large software infrastructures, experience with big data, problem-solving skills.
- Importance of larger and more solid **Alumni networks**.
- **Return from people** that have left the field and gained extra experience outside can be very beneficial, particularly for training.