Tracking Topological phase transitions

Syed Tahir Amin

Center of Physics and Engineering of Advanced Materials (CeFEMA) Department of Physics Instituto Superior Tecnico

tahiramin@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Sep 16 2019

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Overview

Quantities of interest:

Fidelity Uhlmann factor Uhlmann quantity Fidelity susceptibility

Results and discussions

Quantities of interest:

Results and discussions

•0000

Fidelity

Fidelity in terms of different quantum states:

• Fidelity for two generic pure states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is

 $\mathbf{F}=|\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|$

- In case of one pure state $|\psi\rangle$ and one mixed state ρ

$$\mathbf{F}=\sqrt{\langle\psi|\rho|\psi\rangle}$$

- While in case of two mixed states ρ' and ρ

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathrm{Tr}\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho}\rho'\sqrt{\rho}}$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

0000

Uhlmann factor

• We take two density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$, by polar decomposition

$$\sqrt{
ho(au+\delta au)}\sqrt{
ho(au)} = |\sqrt{
ho(au+\delta au)}\sqrt{
ho(au)}|\mathbf{V}$$

- V is the Uhlmann factor which characterizes the Uhlmann parallel transport.
- If the density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$, belong to the same phase then they commute and thus $\mathbf{V} \approx \mathbf{I}$
- On the other hand if density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$, belong to the different phases then they are drastically different and thus $\mathbf{V} \neq \mathbf{I}$

Uhlmann quantity

• To quantify the difference between a nontrivial Uhlmann factor and identity, the following quantity is introduced

 $\Delta(\rho(\tau), \rho(\tau + \delta \tau)) := \operatorname{Tr}\{|\sqrt{\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{V})\}$

Fidelity and Uhlmann quantity

- If the density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$ belong to same phase then they are almost the same and thus $F \approx 1$.
- On the other hand if density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$, belong to different phases then the they are drastically different and thus F < 1.
- The difference between the two states can be in their spectra or their eigen basis. In the case of the latter, we also have $V \neq I$, i.e., $\Delta > 0$.



▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

00000

Fidelity and Uhlmann quantity and Fidelity susceptibility

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}(\rho(\tau), \rho(\tau + \delta\tau)) &= \mathrm{Tr}\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}\rho(\tau + \delta\tau)}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)} \\ \Delta(\rho(\tau), \rho(\tau + \delta\tau)) &:= \mathrm{Tr}\{|\sqrt{\rho(\tau + \delta\tau)}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{V})\} \\ \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\tau\tau}\delta\tau^2 &= -\frac{\ln[\mathbf{F}(\rho(\tau), \rho(\tau + \delta\tau))]}{\mathbf{N}^2} \\ \chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathbf{N} \to \infty, \mathbf{T} = 0} \neq \chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathbf{T} = 0, \mathbf{N} \to \infty} \\ \chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathbf{N} \to \infty, \mathbf{T} = 0} &\neq \chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathbf{T} = 0, \mathbf{N} \to \infty} \\ \chi_{\tau\tau'} &= \int_{\mathsf{B.Z.}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\mathrm{D}}} \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\mu}}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\nu}}{\partial \tau'}, \end{split}$$

Main ingredient

- Here we treat temperature and parameters of Hamiltonian on equal footing.
- Fidelity (F) and the Uhlmann quantity (Δ) are evaluated for different Topological superconductors and Insulators.
- Analytic expression for previous quantities are calculated with respect to the many-body thermal states

$$\begin{split} \rho = \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}]/\mathrm{Z}; \quad \mathrm{Z} = \mathrm{Tr}(\exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}]) \\ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\psi}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{BdG}} \widetilde{\psi}. \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

00000

2D Topological Superconductor

The Hamiltonian of topological superconductor

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H} = & \sum_{ij} [-t(c^{\dagger}_{i+1,j}c_{i,j} + c^{\dagger}_{i,j+1}c_{i,j}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 4t)c^{\dagger}_{i,j}c_{i,j} \\ & + S(c^{\dagger}_{i+1,j}c^{\dagger}_{i,j} + ic^{\dagger}_{i,j+1}c^{\dagger}_{i,j})] + H.c.]. \end{split}$$

• we fix
$$|S| = t = \frac{1}{2}$$
, and translation invariance is taken in both directions

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = -\{\sin(\mathbf{k}_{y})\sigma_{x} + \sin(\mathbf{k}_{x})\sigma_{y} + [\mu - 2 + \cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y})]\sigma_{z}\}$$

• So topological phase transitions should occur at $\mu = 2$



2D Topological superconductor



Figure: Fidelity (F) for Gibbs states ρ , (Left) probing parameter of Hamiltonian $\delta\mu = 0.01$, (Middle) when probing temperature $\delta T = 0.01$, and (Right) Uhlmann quantity Δ while probing Hamiltonian parameter with $\delta\mu = 0.01$, for 2D Topological superconductor.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

2D Topological insulator

• Following topological insulator Hamiltonian is considered

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H} = & \sum_{ij} [c^{\dagger}_{i+1,j}(t_1\sigma_x + it_3\sigma_z)c_{i,j} + c^{\dagger}_{i,j+1}(t_1\sigma_y + it_3\sigma_z)c_{i,j} \\ & + c^{\dagger}_{i+1,j+1}(t_2\sigma_z)c_{i,j} + \text{H.c.}] \end{split}$$

• for $|t_1| = |t_3| = 1$, again going to momentum space we get

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) &= 2\cos(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{x}})\sigma_{\mathrm{x}} + 2\cos(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{y}})\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} \\ &+ \{2\mathbf{t}_{2}\cos(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{x}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{y}}) + 2[\sin(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{x}}) + \sin(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{y}})]\}\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}. \end{split}$$

• Chern number (Ch) are: Ch = ± 2 if $t_2 \leq \mp 2$ and Ch = ± 1 if $\mp 2 \leq t_2 \leq 0$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → □ □

2D Topological insulator



Figure: Fidelity (F) for Gibbs states ρ , (Left) parameter of Hamiltonian $\delta t_2 = 0.01$, (Middle) when probing temperature $\delta T = 0.01$, and (Right) Uhlmann quantity Δ while probing Hamiltonian parameter with $\delta t_2 = 0.01$, for 2D Topological insulator.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

2D Topological insulator



Figure: Expectation value of the occupation number at the bulk and boundary as a function of the quasi-momentum $k_{\rm y}$ for the 2D topological insulator.

Results and discussions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

2D Topological insulator

$$\chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathrm{N}\to\infty,\mathrm{T}=0} \neq \chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathrm{T}=0,\mathrm{N}\to\infty}$$

$$\chi_{\tau\tau}^{\mathrm{N}\to\infty,\mathrm{T}=0} = \int_{\mathrm{B.Z.}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\mathrm{D}}} \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\mu}}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\nu}}{\partial \tau},$$

$$\chi_{\tau\tau} = \int_{\mathrm{B.Z.}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\mathrm{D}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\cosh[\beta \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{k};\tau)] - 1}{\cosh[\beta \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{k};\tau)]} \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\mu}}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{\nu}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\beta^{2}}{\cosh[\beta \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{k};\tau)] + 1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{k};\tau)}{\partial \tau} \right)^{2} \right] \right\},$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

1D Topological superconductor with long-range amplitudes

• Following topological superconductor Hamiltonian is considered

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (-\frac{1}{r_{l,\beta}} c_{i+l}^{\dagger} c_{i} + \frac{1}{R_{l,\alpha}} c_{i+l}^{\dagger} c_{i}^{\dagger} + h.c) - \mu (c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} - \frac{1}{2}) \right),$$

• with
$$\frac{1}{R_{l,\alpha}} = \delta_{1,l}$$
 and $r_{l,\beta} = \exp[(l-1)/\beta]$.

• Winding number (Ω) are: $\Omega = 1$ if $-1 < \mu < 1$ and $\Omega = 0$ for all other values of μ at $\beta = 0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

1D Topological superconductor with long-range amplitudes



Figure: (Left) Fidelity (*F*), (Middle) Uhlmann quantity Δ while probing Hamiltonian parameter with $\delta \mu = 0.01$, and (Right) Fidelity susceptibility $(\chi_{\mu\mu})$ for 1D topological superconductor with long-range hopping amplitude

2D Topological superconductor with long-range amplitudes

• Following topological superconductor Hamiltonian is considered

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H} = & \sum_{l,m} \{ \sum_{r,s}^{\prime} (-\frac{t}{d_{r,s}^{\beta}} c_{l+r,m+s}^{\dagger} c_{l,m} + \frac{\Delta}{d_{r,s}^{\alpha+1}} (r+i \ s) c_{l+r,m+s}^{\dagger} c_{l,m}^{\dagger}) \\ & -(\mu-4t) c_{l,m}^{\dagger} c_{l,m}) + \text{H.c.} \} \end{split}$$

• with $d_{r,s}=\sqrt{r^2+s^2}$ and $\Delta=t=\frac{1}{2}.$

2D Topological superconductor with long-range amplitudes



Figure: (Left) Fidelity (F), (Middle) Uhlmann quantity Δ while probing Hamiltonian parameter with $\delta \mu = 0.01$, and (Right) Fidelity susceptibility ($\chi_{\mu\mu}$) for 2D topological superconductor with long-range amplitudes.

Summary

- The fidelity and Uhlmann connection analysis of phase transitions are applied to different free-fermion topological insulators and superconductors
- Both quantities are detecting zero-temperature topological quantum phase transitions.
- Moreover, both quantities behave differently with respect to changes in eigenvalues and eigenbasis
- we also observe that with increasing temperature the non-trivial behavior of both quantities around the gap-closing point at zero-temperature is smeared out.
- Detailed study of the edge states of the systems at finite temperature was carried out.

Summary

• Our results clearly show that, as the temperature is increased, the edge states at T = 0 start mixing with the bulk states. Thus confirming the conclusion of fidelity and Δ analysis.

References

- Syed Tahir Amin, Bruno Mera, Chrysoula Vlachou, Nikola Paunković, and Vítor R. Vieira (Phys. Rev. B 98, 245141)
- Syed Tahir Amin, Bruno Mera, Nikola Paunković, Vítor R. Vieira J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 31 485402.

Thank you all :-)

I acknowledge the support from CeFEMA, DP-PMI and FCT(Portugal) through scholarship PD/ BD/113651/2015.

Quantities of interest:

00000

Results and discussions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Uhlmann parallel Transport

- Geometrical phases were generalized by Uhlmann from pure states to mixed states.
- A Hilbert space consisting of a set of density matrices $(\rho = ww^{\dagger})$ and corresponding amplitudes w.
- Having gauge freedom, i.e., w and w' = wU belongs to same density matrix ρ : such that $\rho = ww^{\dagger} = wUU^{\dagger}w^{\dagger} = w'(w')^{\dagger}$
- Two amplitudes w_1 and w_2 are parallel in Uhlmann sense iff they minimize the Hilbert-Schmidt distance $||w_2 w_1||^2$.
- After a few steps of simple calculation

$$||\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1||^2 = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}\langle \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle).$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Uhlmann parallel Transport

It turns out that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle w_2, w_1 \rangle = F(\rho_2, \rho_1).$$

• By writing $\mathrm{w}_{j}=\sqrt{\rho_{j}}\mathrm{U}_{j},$ with $j~\epsilon~\{1,2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle \mathbf{w}_{2}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle &\leq |\langle \mathbf{w}_{2}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle| = |\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{w}_{1})| \\ &= |\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{U}_{2}^{\dagger}\sqrt{\rho_{2}}\sqrt{\rho_{1}}\mathbf{U}_{1})| \\ &= |\operatorname{Tr}(|\sqrt{\rho_{2}}\sqrt{\rho_{1}}|\mathbf{V}\mathbf{U}_{1}\mathbf{U}_{2}^{\dagger})| \\ &\leq \operatorname{Tr}|\sqrt{\rho_{2}}\sqrt{\rho_{1}}| \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}(\sqrt{\rho_{2}}\rho_{1}\sqrt{\rho_{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \operatorname{F}(\rho_{2}, \rho_{1}) \end{aligned}$$

Uhlmann factor

• We take two density matrices $\rho(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau + \delta \tau)$, by polar decomposition

$$\sqrt{
ho(au+\delta au)}\sqrt{
ho(au)} = |\sqrt{
ho(au+\delta au)}\sqrt{
ho(au)}|\mathbf{V}$$

- V is the Uhlmann factor which characterizes the Uhlmann parallel transport.
- For two close points τ and $\tau + \delta \tau$ on a curve of density matrices given by $\rho(\tau)$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$.
- The Uhlmann parallel transport implies that two amplitudes $w(\tau)$ and $w(\tau + \delta \tau)$ are parallel for infinitesimal $\delta \tau$.