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Matrices are everywhere in physics, randomness is also everywhere.

Random matrices first appeared in statistics, generalizing the chi-squared law to multivariate random data (Wishart, 1928) and are applicable to:

- the description of the energy spectra of heavy nuclei (Wigner, 1950s),
- the large $N_{c}$ limit of QCD ('t Hooft, 1970s),
- random surfaces, 2d quantum gravity
- data analysis
- transport in disordered systems
- string theory
- number theory
- biology
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## Principal component analysis (PCA)

In experiments scientists frequently face with the clouded, unclear and even redundant data. This is not a trivial problem, but rather a fundamental obstacle in empirical science. Consider, the following toy example:


## Principal component analysis (PCA)

- Clearly, in the example above the positions of cameras were not chosen appropriately.
- To describe the system we need measurements just in one dimension instead of $2 \times 3$.
- In addition, the signal can be contaminated by noise.

To fight these problems PCA provides:

- a simple, non-parametric method of extracting relevant information from confusing data sets
- computing the most meaningful basis to re-express a noisy data set.


## Principal component analysis (PCA)

- Consider a $N \times M$ matrix $X$ representing the initial data, where $N$ is the number of measurement types and $M$ is the number of samples.
- The corresponding covariance matrix

$$
C_{X}:=\frac{1}{N-1} X X^{T}
$$

is a square symmetric $N \times N$ matrix and contains:

- the variance of particular measurement types in the diagonal terms;
- the covariance between measurement types in the off-diagonal terms.
- In the diagonal terms, by assumption, large (small) values correspond to interesting dynamics (or noise).
- In the off-diagonal terms large (small) values correspond to high (low) redundancy.


## Principal component analysis (PCA)

- We transformation the initial data $X$ by some orthonormal matrix $P$

$$
Y=P X
$$

- In such a way that the covariance

$$
C_{Y}:=\frac{1}{N-1} Y Y^{T}
$$

is diagonalized.

## Principal component analysis (PCA)

- The covariance matrix can be written as

$$
C_{Y}:=\frac{1}{N-1} P\left(X X^{T}\right) P^{T}
$$

Consequently:

- the variance of particular measurement types in the diagonal terms;
- the covariance between measurement types in the off-diagonal terms.
- The principal components of $X$ are the eigenvectors of $X X^{\top}$, or the rows of $P$.


## PCA: singular value decomposition

- The eigenvalue decomposition of $X X^{\top}$ reduces to the singular value decomposition of matrix $X$.
- $\sigma \geq 0$ is an singular value of matrix $X$, if there exist left and right singular vectors $a_{\sigma}$ and $b_{\sigma}$, such that

$$
\mathrm{X} a_{\sigma}=\sigma b_{\sigma}^{T} ; b_{\sigma} \mathrm{X}=\sigma \mathrm{a}_{\sigma}^{T}
$$

- This means, we have to find the best low rank approximation for the matrix $X$

$$
X_{i j}=a_{i} \otimes b_{j}
$$

## Random matrix model for PCA

- The data can be contaminated by noise

$$
X_{i j}=a_{i} \otimes b_{j}+\eta H_{i j}
$$

with $H_{i j}$ being noise and $\eta$ being the noise to signal ratio.

- The term $\eta H_{i j}$ can be modeled by the RANDOM MATRIX
- Is it always possible to detect the signal?
- What is the critical ratio $\eta_{c}$


## Ensembles of Random Matrices

The most studied random matrix ensembles are the Gaussian ensembles:

- The Gaussian unitary ensemble GUE $(N)$ is described by the Gaussian measure

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\operatorname{GUE}(N)}} e^{-\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{tr} H^{2}}
$$

here $H$ is Hermitian matrix $N \times N$. The Gaussian measure is invariant under the unitary transformations:

$$
H \rightarrow U H U^{\dagger}
$$

- The Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $\operatorname{GOE}(N)$ is described by the Gaussian measure invariant under orthogonal conjugation

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\mathrm{GOE}(N)}} e^{-\frac{N}{4} \operatorname{tr} H^{2}}, \quad H \rightarrow \mathcal{O H O}{ }^{\top}
$$

- The Gaussian symplectic ensemble $\operatorname{GSE}(N)$ is defined by the Gaussian measure on the space Hermitian quaternionic matrices.


## Eigenvalues

For many purposes it is highly important to know the statistics of eigenvalues. The first step is to write probability density in terms of eigenvalues.
The joint probability density for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$ of GUE/GOE/GSE is given by

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\beta, N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{\beta N}{4} \lambda_{k}^{2}} \prod_{i<j}\left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right|^{\beta}
$$

where the Dyson index, $\beta=1$ for GOE, $\beta=2$ for GUE, and $\beta=4$ for GSE, counts the number of real components per matrix element.
The Vandermonde determinant $\left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right|^{\beta}$ comes from the Jacobian of the change of variables like $H \rightarrow U^{\dagger} \wedge U$.

## Meaning of the eigenvalue distribution

One can understand the ensembles of eigenvalues of Gaussian matrices as a Coulomb gas. Indeed, we can rewrite the joined probability density as

$$
\frac{1}{Z_{\beta, N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} e^{-\beta N^{2} \mathcal{V}(\lambda)}
$$

where the energy term in the exponent is

$$
\mathcal{V}(\lambda):=\frac{1}{4 N} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 N^{2}} \sum_{i<j} \log \left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right|
$$

The Gibbs-Boltzman weight $e^{-\beta N^{2} \mathcal{V}(\lambda)}$ corresponds to a thermodynamical fluid of particles with positions $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots \lambda_{N}\right\}$ on a line, in equilibrium at "inverse temperature" $\beta$ under the effect of competing interactions: a quadratic single particle potential and repulsive all-to-all logarithmic term.

## Meaning of the eigenvalue distribution




## Distance between eigenvalues - Wigner's surmise

Consider a $2 \times 2$ GOE matrix $H_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{1} & x_{3} \\ x_{3} & x_{2}\end{array}\right)$ What is the pdf $p(s)$ of the spacing $s=\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$ between its two eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}\right) ? \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial and
$\lambda_{1,2}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+4 x_{3}^{2}}\right) / 2, \quad s=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+4 x_{3}^{2}}$
By definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(s) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{-x_{3}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta\left(s-\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+4 x_{3}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{s}{2} e^{-s^{2} / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Wigner's semicircle law

Consider an ensemble of random matrices $H$, then the average spectral density defined as

$$
\rho(z)=\left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \delta(H-z)\right\rangle
$$

in the large $N$ limit is given by the semicircle (semi-ellipse) distribution

$$
\rho_{S C}=\frac{1_{z \in[-2,2]}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{4-z^{2}}
$$



We will see more details about it considering the Spiked Matrix Model.

## Marchenko-Pastur distribution

Marchenko-Pastur distribution is the limiting distribution of eigenvalues of Wishart matrices

$$
Y_{N}=\frac{1}{N} X X^{\dagger}
$$

constructed from $N \times M$ matrices $X$ as both the matrix dimensions tend to infinity with ratio $\frac{N}{M}=\lambda$. The probability density function is well-defined for $\lambda \leq 1$. When $\lambda>1, N-M$ eigenvalues of $Y$ a zero.
The plot is for $\lambda=0.2,0.5,0.8$.


## The Spiked Matrix Model

- Signal: a deterministic rank one matrix $H_{0}=\lambda u_{i} \bar{u}_{j}$ where $u \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ is a normalized vector $\|u\|=1$
- Noise: a $N$ by $N$ GUE random matrix $H$

We observe $M=H_{0}+H$ and the goal is to recover $u$. $\lambda$ allows to control the noise: $\lambda$ large means small noise and vice-versa.

In practice in data analysis rectangular real matrices typically occur. So we have to use GOE's instead of GUE's, singular values and Marcenko-Pastur distribution instead of Wigner-Dyson etc... but this is detail.

## Wigner-Dyson and Tracy-Widom laws for $\lambda=0$

The spectral density of $M$ is at leading order in $1 / N$ the Wigner semi-circle law $\rho(z)=\frac{\mathbf{1}_{z \in[-2,2]}}{2 \pi} \cdot \sqrt{4-z^{2}}$ with edge spectrum at $|z|=2$.

Almost surely the largest eigenvalue is $\lambda_{c}=2$ and the distribution of $\lambda_{c}$ is the Tracy-Widom law

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left(N^{2 / 3} \lambda_{c} \leq x\right)=F_{2}^{T W}(x)
$$

## Rank-one perturbation

At $\lambda \neq 0$ the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the spectral density of $M$ always remains the Wigner semi-circle law. However the statistics of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{c}$ undergoes a sharp phase transition:

- if $\lambda<1$ essentially nothing changes for the value $\lambda_{c}$ which stays a.s. at the edge 2 of the Wigner semi-circle with a Tracy-Widom distribution.
- if $\lambda>1$, we have $\lambda_{c}(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}$, and the statistics of $\lambda_{c}$ becomes that of a Gaussian error function.


## Computation of $\lambda_{c}$, I

This type of results have a long history relying in particular on the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula. Recently mathematical theorems by Ben Arous, Guionnet, Maïda, Péché and many others have established rigorously and in great detail many variants.

Here I shall give only a short version, namely the computation which shows that $\lambda_{c}(\lambda)=\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}$ (and not $\lambda_{c}=\lambda$ ).

## Computation of $\lambda_{c}$, II

The normalized density of states is $\rho(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Im G(z+i \epsilon)$, where

$$
G(z):=\left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{z-H-H_{0}}\right\rangle_{H}
$$

Representing $G(z)$ as Fourier transform of the 'evolution' operator $U_{H_{0}}(t):=\left\langle e^{i t H_{0}}\right\rangle_{H}$ like

$$
G(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-i t z} U_{H_{0}}(t)
$$

employing Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula for integrating out gauge degrees of freedom, rewriting the result as contour integral,

## Computation of $\lambda_{c}$, III <br> we arriwe at

$$
\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}=\oint \frac{d u}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{u+G_{0}(u)-z}
$$

We have now to specify the contour of integration in the complex u-plane. It surrounds all the eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ and we have to determine the location of the zeroes of the denominator with respect to this contour. Let us return to the discrete form for the equation

$$
u+G_{0}(u)=z
$$

i.e.

$$
u+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{u-\epsilon_{i}}=z
$$

which posseses $(N+1)$ real or complex roots in the u-plane. For z real and large, N of these roots are close to the $\epsilon_{i}$ and one, which will be denoted $\hat{u}(z)$, goes to infinity with z as

$$
\hat{u}(z)=z-\frac{1}{z}+O\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)
$$

## Computation of $\lambda_{c}$, IV

For large $z$, the contour encloses all the roots of

$$
u+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{u-\epsilon_{i}}=z
$$

except $\hat{u}(z)$. When $z$ decreases the contour should not be crossed by any other root of the equation, therefore it is defined by the requirement that only one root remains at its exterior. Then it is easier to calculate the integral for $\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}$ by taking the residues of the singularities outside of the contour, rather than the $N$ poles enclosed by this contour. There are two of them outside; one is $\hat{u}(z)$ and the other one is at infinity (since for large $u, G_{0}(u)$ vanishes). Taking these two singularities we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial G}{\partial z}=1-\frac{1}{1+\frac{d G_{0}}{d \hat{u}(z)}}=1-\frac{d \hat{u}(z)}{d z}
$$

The integration gives

$$
G(z)=z-\hat{u}(z)
$$

## Computation of $\lambda_{c}, \mathrm{~V}$

- For $\lambda=0$ we have $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{u-\varepsilon_{i}}=\frac{1}{u}$, hence the $N$-independent equation

$$
\hat{u}_{0}+\frac{1}{\hat{u}_{0}}=z<=>\hat{u}_{0}^{2}-z \hat{u}_{0}+1=0
$$

It solves to the Catalan function $\hat{u}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\right)$ so that
$G(z)=z-\hat{u}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(z-\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\right)$, from which Wigner's law easily follows.

- For $\lambda \neq 0$ we have $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{u-\varepsilon_{i}}=\frac{1}{u}+\frac{1}{N}\left[\frac{1}{u-\lambda}-\frac{1}{u}\right]$, hence the equation

$$
\hat{u}+\frac{1}{\hat{u}}+\frac{\lambda}{N \hat{u}(\hat{u}-\lambda)}=z
$$

Expanding $\hat{u}=\hat{u}_{0}+\frac{1}{N} \hat{u}_{1}$, and defining $F(x)=x^{2}-z x+1$, we have to solve for

$$
F\left(\hat{u}_{0}+\frac{\hat{u}_{1}}{N}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{N\left(\hat{u}_{0}+\frac{\hat{u}_{1}}{N}-\lambda\right)}=0
$$

## Computation of $\lambda_{c}, \mathrm{VI}$

At first order in $1 / N$ it gives

$$
F\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right)=0, \quad F^{\prime}\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right) \frac{\hat{u}_{1}}{N}=-\frac{\lambda}{N\left(\hat{u}_{0}-\lambda\right)}
$$

and since $F^{\prime}(x)=2 x-z$ and $\hat{u}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\right)$ we get

$$
\hat{u}_{1}=-\frac{\lambda}{\left(2 \hat{u}_{0}-z\right)\left(\hat{u}_{0}-\lambda\right)}=-\frac{2 \lambda}{\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\left(z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4}-2 \lambda\right)}
$$

Remark that the denominator can have only a single zero $\lambda_{c}>2$, and only for $\lambda>1$, at $\lambda_{c}=\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}$, since then $\left.\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\right|_{z=\lambda_{c}}=\lambda-\frac{1}{\lambda}>0$.
Moreover the residue is $-\left.\frac{2 \lambda}{\sqrt{z^{2}-4}+z}\right|_{z=\lambda_{c}}=-1$, so that the residue of $G=z-\hat{u}$ is +1 . It proves that $\lambda>1$ is the threshold at which a single eigenvalue $\lambda_{c}=\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}$ pops out of Wigner's semi-circle law.

## Data recovery

Data recovery uses the random flow governed by the Hamiltonian or cost-function $f=<v, M v>$.
The corresponding flow $\dot{v}=M v$ is linear with exact solution $v=e^{M t} v_{0}$.
Following the previous analysis, this flow also undergoes a sharp transition between two regimes:

- if $\lambda>1$ iterative methods (raising $M$ to a high power) detects quickly the highest eigenvalue $\lambda_{c}$ and its eigenvector $u_{c}$. The latter has $O(1)$ overlap with the true signal initial $u$, allowing easily its recovery.
- if $\lambda<1$ recovery is essentially impossible in practice. Indeed the random eigenvalues of $H$ essentially translate into uninformative critical points of $f$, and for $N$ large the flow remains for a hopelessly long time trapped around the equator $E_{N}$ of the $S_{N}$ sphere orthogonal to $u$ (unless further constraints on $u$ are known)


## Spiked tensor model

- The spiked tensor model was intensively studied in the recent time by Richard E., Montanari A., Perry A., Wein A., Bandeira A., Lesieur T., Miolane L., Lelarge M., Krzakala F., Zdeborova L, Ben Arous G.,....
- It is build for estimating a rank-one deformation of a symmetric random Gaussian tensor $T=r x^{\otimes p}+J, \sum x_{i}^{2}=N$ with the Hamiltonian (cost function) defined as $H=-\left(T, \phi^{\otimes p}\right), \sum \phi_{i}^{2}=N$.
- The problem can be viewed as finding of the spike on the multidimensional 'spiky' sphere.
- When $N \rightarrow \infty$, infinitely many components of $\phi_{i}$ are orthogonal to the spike $x_{i}$.
- Increasing $p$, we get $\partial H, . ., \partial^{(p-1)} H=0$.


## Spiked Tensor Model

- Two possible goals:
- to detect the existence of the spike;
- to estimate it.
- The current knowledge can be roughly summarized as:
- An ideal estimator achieves strictly positive correlation with the unknown vector starting from a critical signal-to-noise ratio $r_{1}=O(1)$.
- At the same time no polynomial-time algorithm is known providing the unknown vector unless $r_{2} \geq C N^{(p-2) / 4}$.
- The Langevin dynamics and gradient descent belong to the most popular algorithms for recovering unknown vector.
- Here we study the dynamics with random initial conditions.


## Dynamics with random initial conditions

Consider the equation

$$
\partial_{t} \phi_{i}(t)=-\frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_{i}}(t), \quad \phi_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)=\varphi_{i}
$$

The statistics of initial conditions is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{i j}=\left\langle\varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{\varphi}=\delta_{i j} \chi_{N}(j) \\
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{N}(j)=N
\end{array}
$$

from where follows the spherical constraint (in average) for the initial conditions:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\langle\varphi_{j}^{2}\right\rangle=N
$$

We would like to use the standard Martin-Sigga-Rose procedure to study the correlation functions of the process, but there is no explicit dependence on the initial conditions $\varphi_{i t}$

## Random initial conditions

We equivalently rewrite the differential equation in the integral form as

$$
\phi_{i}(t)=\varphi_{i}-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} d \tau \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_{i}}(\tau)
$$

If $\phi^{0}(t)$ is a solution, we can formally write the average of some operator $O\left[\phi^{0}\right]$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle O\left(\phi^{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\varphi}=\left\langle\int D \phi O[\phi] \delta\left(\phi-\phi^{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\varphi} \\
& =\left\langle\int D \phi \int D \hat{\phi} \int D \bar{\xi} \int D \xi O[\phi] e^{-S_{1}}\right\rangle_{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & :=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t\left(i \hat{\phi}_{i}(t)\left[\phi_{i}(t)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} d \tau \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_{i}}(\tau)-\varphi_{i}\right]\right) \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d t^{\prime} \bar{\xi}_{i}(t)\left[\frac{\delta^{2} H}{\delta \phi_{i} \delta \phi_{j}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] \xi_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Random initial conditions

Averaging over initial conditions gives

$$
\langle O\rangle_{\varphi}=\int D \phi \int D \hat{\phi} \int D \bar{\xi} \int D \xi O e^{-s_{2}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & :=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\chi_{N}(i)}{2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t \hat{\phi}_{i}(t)\right)^{2}-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t \hat{\phi}_{i}(t) \phi_{i}(t) \\
& -i \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d t^{\prime} \hat{\phi}_{i} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_{i}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d t^{\prime} \bar{\xi}_{i}(t)\left[\frac{\delta^{2} H}{\delta \phi_{i} \delta \phi_{j}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] \xi_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Super-field notations

Let us define a superfield, bi-local in time

$$
\Phi_{i}\left(\bar{\theta}, \theta, t, t^{\prime}\right):=\phi_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\bar{\theta} \xi_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\bar{\xi}_{i}(t) \theta+i \hat{\phi}_{i}(t) \bar{\theta} \theta
$$

and the integration in the superspace as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d 1:=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{e}} d t_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} d t_{1}^{\prime} \int d \theta_{1} \int d \bar{\theta}_{1} \\
\bar{\theta} \theta=-\theta \bar{\theta}, \quad \int d \theta=\int d \bar{\theta}=0, \quad \int d \theta \theta=\int d \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Super-field notations

Then, everything what is not a part of the gradient term, can be schematically grouped into the term

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int d 1 \int d 2 \Phi_{i}(1) K_{i j}(1,2) \Phi_{j}(2)
$$

The sum of other terms in the action $S_{2}$ is equal to

$$
\int d 1 H(\Phi(1))
$$

The translation in the variable $\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \bar{\theta}+\epsilon$ generates a BRST-type of SUSY.

## Spiked tensor model

The spiked tensor model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & :=-\sum_{i_{1}<. .<i_{p}} J_{i_{1} . . i_{p}} \cdot \phi_{i_{1}} \phi_{i_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi_{i_{p}} \\
& -\frac{r}{2 N^{p-1}} \sum_{i_{1}, . ., i_{p}} x_{i_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{i_{p}} \cdot \phi_{i_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi_{i_{p}}+\frac{\mu}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{i}^{2}-N\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is a signal and $\frac{r}{2 N^{p-1}}$ is its strength, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}=N, \mu$ is a Lagrange multiplier implementing the spherical constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{i}^{2}=N, J_{i_{1} . . i_{p}}$ is a symmetric tensor noise with Gaussian statistics

$$
\overline{J_{i_{1}, i_{p}}^{2}}=\frac{J^{2} p!}{2 N^{p-1}} .
$$

## Spiked tensor model: super-field notations

The average of an operator $O$ in superspace notations reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle O\rangle & =\int D \Phi O \exp \left[-\int d 1 \int d 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{i}(1) G_{0}^{-1}(1,2) \Phi_{i}(2)\right. \\
& \left.-H_{J}[\Phi]-H_{x}[\Phi]\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{0}^{-1}(1,2) & :=\left[\frac{1}{\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)\left(t_{2}-t_{0}\right)} \frac{\chi_{N}(i)}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta(1-2) \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{1}^{\prime}\right) \theta_{1} \partial_{\theta_{2}}+\delta(1-2) \frac{\mu\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spiked tensor model: super-field notations

$H_{J}$ is the disordered Hamiltonian

$$
H_{J}:=\int d 1 \sum_{i_{1}<\ldots<i_{p}} J_{i_{1} . . i_{p}} \Phi_{i_{1}}(1) \Phi_{i_{2}}(1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \Phi_{i_{p}}(1),
$$

the term $H_{x}$ contains the signal part

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{x} & :=\frac{r}{2 N^{p-1}} \int d 1 \sum_{i_{1}, ., i_{p}} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{i_{p}} \Phi_{i_{1}}(1) \Phi_{i_{2}}(1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \Phi_{i_{p}}(1) \\
& =\frac{r}{2} N \int d 1\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} x_{i} \Phi_{i}(1)\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating out the disorder leads to the substitution of the term $H_{J}$ by
$H_{\int J}:=\frac{J^{2} p!}{2 N^{p-1}} \int d 1 \int d 2 \sum_{i_{1}<. .<i_{p}} \Phi_{i_{1}}(1) \Phi_{i_{1}}(2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \Phi_{i_{p}}(1) \Phi_{i_{p}}(2)$.

## Large N limit

Now we introduce two collective fields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q(1,2)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \Phi_{i}(1) \Phi_{i}(2) \\
R(1)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} x_{i} \Phi_{i}(1)
\end{array}
$$

by making use of

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\int D Q \int D \hat{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int d 1 \int d 2(N Q(1,2) \hat{Q}(1,2)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\hat{Q}(1,2) \sum_{i} \Phi_{i}(1) \Phi_{i}(2)\right)\right] \\
1 & =\int D R \int D \hat{R} \exp \left[\int d 1\left(N R(1) \hat{R}(1)-\hat{R}(1) \sum_{i} x_{i} \Phi_{i}(1)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Large N limit

The expectation value an operator $O$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle O\rangle= & \int D \Phi D Q D \hat{Q} D R D \hat{R} O \exp \left[-\int d 1 \int d 2\right. \\
& \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{i}(1)\left[G_{0}^{-1}(1,2)+\frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}(1,2)\right] \Phi_{i}(2)\right. \\
- & \left.\frac{N}{2}\left[J^{2} Q^{\bullet p}(1,2)+\hat{Q}(1,2) Q(1,2)\right]\right\} \\
- & \left.N \int d 1\left[\frac{r}{2} R^{p}(1)+\hat{R}(1) R(1)\right]+\int d 1 \hat{R}(1) \sum_{i} x_{i} \Phi_{i}(1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sign - indicates ordinary multiplication (not a convolution).

## Large $N$ limit

Integrating out initial degrees of freedom $\Phi_{i}$, making a shift

$$
\bar{Q}(1,2) / 2:=\left[G_{0}^{-1}(1,2)+\frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}(1,2)\right],
$$

and integrating over $\hat{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle O\rangle \sim & \int D Q D \bar{Q} D R \widetilde{O} \exp \left[-\frac{N}{2} \int d 1 \int d 2\right. \\
& {\left[\left(G_{0}^{-1}(1,2)-\bar{Q}(1,2)\right) Q(1,2)-J^{2} Q^{\bullet p}(1,2)\right] } \\
- & \frac{N}{2} r \int d 1 R^{p}(1)-\frac{N}{2} \int d 1 d 2 R(1) \bar{Q}(1,2) R(2) \\
- & \left.\left(\frac{N}{2}-1\right) \operatorname{Tr} \log \bar{Q}(1,2)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Saddle-point equations

Then, the saddle-point equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Q}(1,2)=(Q(1,2)-R(1) R(2))^{-1} \\
& p Q(1,2)=K^{-1}(1,2)+R(1) R(2) \\
& +\int d 3 d 4 K^{-1}(1,4)(Q(3,4)-R(3) R(4))^{-1} Q^{\bullet(p-1)}(3,2), \\
& r p R^{p-1}(1)+\int d 2(Q(1,2)-R(1) R(2))^{-1} R(2)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Saddle-point equations

Then, the saddle-point equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\underline{G}+\square+\Sigma=R J^{2} Q^{\bullet(p-1)} \\
& \Sigma=R
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\underline{R}=\operatorname{pr~R}^{p-1} \quad=R
$$

## Saddle-point equations

For all $r$, there exist a solution of Saddle-point equations such that $R=0$ and $Q$ obeys melonic equation of the $p$-spin model.


When $\|R(1) R(2)\| \gg Q(1,2) \|$, the initial approximation for the $R$ function might be found from

$$
\int d 1 R^{p}(1)=\frac{1}{r p}
$$
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