



PennState

Stéphane Coutu Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos The Pennsylvania State University





# Outline

### • UHE Cosmic Rays:

### • Questions:

- What are the messengers?
- What are the sources?
- Acceleration? Maximum energy?
- Highest-energy physics?

### Observables: Composition (Ze, ν, γ) Arrival directions Energy spectrum Air shower properties

### Auger:

- Many new results with >67,000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr exposure; (2017 ICRC, Busan, South Korea)
- First signs of anisotropy;
- Hadronic interactions results and puzzles;
- New era of multimessenger astrophysics.



#### Auger Observatory, Argentina Loma Amarilla

**HEAT FD Telescopes** Infill array (0.75 km spacing) AMIGA µ counters 25 km<sup>2</sup> AERA radio array 17 km<sup>2</sup>

Coihueco

Atmospheric monitors: weather, clouds, thunderstorm activity, lasers, lidars...

A. Aab et al., NIM A

AugerPrime upgrade in progress MALARGÜE scintillators over the WCDs new electronics, extra PMT Los Leones expanded radio array 798, 172 (2015), arXiv:1502.01323

Surface Array (WCDs) 1661 detector stations 1.5 km spacing 3000 km<sup>2</sup>

Los

70

[km]

60

50

40

30

PIERRF

**Fluorescence Detectors** 4 Telescope enclosures 6 Telescopes per enclosure 24 (+3) Telescopes total



~500 collaborators; 16 countries; 86 institutions; > 67,000 - 89,000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr

A multi-component hybrid Observatory; study of UHECRs >10<sup>17</sup> eV.





### **Event reconstruction**





• Ex

S d



### Energy spectrum

Updated, combined Auger spectrum:

203,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 87,000 infill

(>0.3 EeV) + 12,000 hybrid events

F. Fenu et al., Proc. of 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Busan (2017)



(>1 EeV);  
• Exposure = 67,000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr .  
Smooth suppression  
definitely seen (>20
$$\sigma$$
)  
(=  $\sqrt{2}$   $\sqrt{2}$ 

$$J_{\rm unf}(E) = \begin{cases} J_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_{\rm ankle}}\right)^{-\gamma_1} & ;E \le E_{\rm ankle} \\ J_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_{\rm ankle}}\right)^{-\gamma_2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{E_{\rm ankle}}{E_{\rm s}}\right)^{\Delta\gamma}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{E}{E_{\rm s}}\right)^{\Delta\gamma}\right]^{-1} & ;E > E_{\rm ankle} \end{cases}$$

6





### Energy spectrum

F. Fenu et al., Proc. of 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Busan (2017)



C. Jui et al., Proc. of 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC, The Hague (2015) R.U. Abbasi et al., Astropart. Phys. 68, 27 (2015)

- Updated, combined Auger spectrum:
- 203,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 87,000 infill
   (>0.3 EeV) + 12,000 hybrid events
   (>1 EeV);
- Exposure = 67,000 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr .

Smooth suppression definitely seen (>200)





### Energy spectrum



 $^{1}$  yr  $^{-1}$ 10<sup>38</sup>  $E^{3}J(E)/\left(eV^{2} \mathrm{km}^{-2} \mathrm{sr}\right)$ 7% energy shift 1037 TA (ICRC 2015) SD-1500 vertical SD-750 vertical ▲ Hybrid 00 m inclined 1036 18.5 19.0 19.5 20.0 17.518.020.5lg(E/eV)

> C. Jui et al., Proc. of 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC, The Hague (2015) R.U. Abbasi et al., Astropart. Phys. 68, 27 (2015)

- Updated, combined Auger spectrum:
- 203,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 87,000 infill
   (>0.3 EeV) + 12,000 hybrid events
   (>1 EeV);
- Exposure =  $67,000 \text{ km}^2 \text{ sr yr}$  .

### Smooth suppression definitely seen (>200)

Differences between Auger and TA can be (mostly) accommodated within a systematic energy shift...

... but not easily at the highest energies.



# A North/South difference?

Auger spectrum divided into 2 separate declination bands covering >70% of the sky;

Coutu

No evidence for spectral dependence on source location.

F. Fenu et al., Proc. of 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Busan (2017)



What is the nature of the spectral suppression?

- GZK propagation effects (attenuation due to CMB interactions)?
- Intrinsic difficulty of producing 10<sup>20</sup> eV particles in astrophysical sources?

Study mass composition and air shower development (UHE physics);
 look for sources in arrival direction distribution.





### Nature of UHECRs

#### Hybrid measurements are sensitive to mass composition







### Mass composition

#### A. Aab et al., PRD 96, 122003 (2017)



Clean hybrid events (strong anti-bias cuts); detector-independent measurements. Hadronic interaction MCs tuned to 7 TeV LHC data.





M. Unger et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

J.P. Lundquist et al., Proc. of 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC, The Hague (2015)





# Combining X<sub>max</sub> and spectrum

Homogeneous distribution of identical sources of p, He, N and Fe nuclei; 125 data points, 6 fit parameters: injection flux norm. and spec. index  $\gamma$ , cutoff rigidity R<sub>cut</sub>, p/He/N/Fe fractions;

best fit with very hard injection spectra ( $\gamma \le 1$ ).













# Combining X<sub>max</sub> and spectrum

Homogeneous distribution of identical sources of p, He, N and Fe nuclei; 125 data points, 6 fit parameters: injection flux norm. and spec. index  $\gamma$ , cutoff rigidity R<sub>cut</sub>, p/He/N/Fe fractions;

best fit with very hard injection spectra ( $\gamma \leq 1$ ).

Rich phenomenology ! (but needs enhanced composition sensitivity)





v: A. Aab et al., PRD 91, 092008 (2015) γ: A. Aab et al., ApJ 789, 160 (2014) A. Aab et al., ApJL 837, L25 (2017)

#### M. Niechciol et al., Proc. of 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Busan (2017)



### None seen so far.

models

strongly

# **Neutral UHECRs?**

Coutu

Neutrinos? Horizontal showers with EM activity; shape of footprint, SD time structure. Photons? Deep showers with low µ content; shape of LDF, SD time structure.



E. Zas et al., Proc. of 35<sup>th</sup> ICRC, Busan (2017)





# **Other types of UHECRs?**

 Neutrons? ~EeV air showers showing Galactic anisotropies; n decay length ~(9.2E) kpc, about Sun's Galactic radius; no significant excess in blind search or stacked search; n flux limits are below the detected TeV gamma ray fluxes.

 Magnetic monopoles? Ultra-relativistic monopoles (masses 10<sup>11</sup> – 10<sup>20</sup> eV/c<sup>2</sup>) deposit a comparable dE/dx in air to UHECRs (pair production, photonuclear interactions).



No candidate; first limit from EAS experiment; lowest limit for  $\gamma > 10^9$ .

#### n: P. Abreu et al., ApJ 760, 148 (2012) A. Aab et al., ApJ 789, L34 (2014)









# Large scale anisotropy

Largest data set: 76,800 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr since 2004; 85% sky coverage;

Auger Rayleigh analyses:

- 80,000 events at 4 8 EeV;
- distribution compatible with isotropy;
- 30,000 events > 8 EeV;
- dipole of amplitude (6.5+1.3-0.9)% (5.2σ), pointing to (a,d) = (100°±10°, -24°+12°-13°).

Challenges expectation of isotropy at these "low" energies. Magnetic deflections important.









# Intermediate scale anisotropy

Anisotropy tests with astrophysical structures: 2FHL catalog (hard Fermi-LAT sources) and starburst galaxies within 250 Mpc;

Auger: 89,720 km<sup>2</sup> sr yr since 2004; 5514 events above 20 EeV; optimize threshold energy and scan over search radius and anisotropic fraction.

Best significance: 4.0σ for E>39 EeV 10% SBG + isotropic background; 13° search window.



#### A. Aab et al., ApJL 853, L29 (2018)



Model Excess Map - E > 39 EeV







### Auger in multimessenger era

Auger search for UHE (>100 PeV) neutrinos associated with GW150914 or GW151226; none seenwithin  $\pm 500s$  of the events or within 1 day afterwards.A. Aab et al., PRD94, 122007 (2016)

August 2017: LIGO/Virgo GW170817 + GRB seen by Fermi and INTEGRAL + EM follow up → NGC 4993

No neutrino candidates from IceCube, ANTARES or Auger within  $\pm 500s$  of the event or within 14 days afterwards.

A. Albert et al., ApJL 850, L35 (2017) ANTARES+Auger+IceCube

Typical short GRB viewed off axis, absence of neutrinos not unexpected.





Astrophysical Multimessenger Observatory Network

http://amon.gravity.psu.edu

- Triggering observatories [Swift, Fermi, LIGO, IceCube, Auger, HAWC, ANTARES];
- Follow up observatories [FACT (Canary), LMT (Mexico), LCOGT (8 telescopes), MASTER (9 telescopes), PTF (CA), VERITAS];
- data sharing begun:
  - archival searches;
  - real-time alerts for EM follow up.

- Combine subthreshold signals from multiple participating observatories;
- similar to previous efforts:
  - neutrino (SNEWS),
  - gamma-ray bursts (GCN),
  - GW observatories,
- but now with all messengers.







### **AMON** searches

SEARCH FOR BLAZAR FLUX-CORRELATED TEV NEUTRINOS IN ICECUBE 40-STRING DATA

C. F. TURLEY<sup>1,3,5</sup>, D. B. FOX<sup>2,3,4</sup>, K. MURASE<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, A. FALCONE<sup>2,3</sup>, M. BARNABA<sup>2</sup>, S. COUTU<sup>1,3</sup>, D. F. COWEN<sup>1,2,3</sup>, G. FILIPPATOS<sup>1,3</sup>, C. HANNA<sup>1,2,3</sup>, A. KEIVANI<sup>1,3</sup>, C. MESSICK<sup>1,3</sup>, P. MÉSZÁROS<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, M. MOSTAFÁ<sup>1,2,3</sup>, F. OIKONOMOU<sup>1,3</sup>, I. SHOEMAKER<sup>1,3</sup>, M. TOOMEY<sup>1,3</sup>, G. TEŠIĆ<sup>1,3</sup> (THE AMON CORE TEAM)

#### ApJ 833, 117 (2016) VERITAS+IceCube

#### ApJL 832, L1 (2016) Swift-BAT+Parkes

DISCOVERY OF A TRANSIENT GAMMA-RAY COUNTERPART TO FRB 131104

J. J. DELAUNAY<sup>1,3</sup>, D. B. FOX<sup>2,3,4</sup>, K. MURASE<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, P. Mészáros<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, A. KEIVANI<sup>1,3</sup>, C. MESSICK<sup>1,3</sup>, M. A. MOSTAFÁ<sup>1,3</sup>, F. OIKONOMOU<sup>1,3</sup>, G. TEŠIĆ<sup>1,3</sup>, AND C. F. TURLEY<sup>1,3</sup>

#### Multiwavelength follow-up of a rare IceCube neutrino multiplet

Ice Cube: M. G. Aartsen<sup>2</sup>, M. Ackermann<sup>116</sup>, J. Adams<sup>28</sup>, J. A. Aguilar<sup>16</sup>, M. Ahlers<sup>67</sup>, M. Ahrens<sup>101</sup>, I. Al Samarai<sup>43</sup>, D. Altmann<sup>40</sup>, K. Andeen<sup>69</sup>,

The Astrophysical Multimessenger Observatory Network: D. B. Fox<sup>109,111,112</sup>, J. J. DeLaunay<sup>110,111</sup>, C. F. Turley<sup>110,111</sup>, S. D. Barthelmy<sup>47</sup>, A. Y. Lien<sup>47</sup>, P. Mészáros<sup>110,109,111,112</sup>, K. Murase<sup>110,109,111,112</sup>

A&A 607, A115 (2017) IceCube + ASAS-SN, AMON, Fermi, HAWC, LCO, MASTER, Swift, VERITAS

In progress:

- IceCube+Fermi-LAT
- Fermi-LAT+ANTARES

Four *Swift* searches for transient sources of high-energy neutrinos

A. Keivani et al., PoS(ICRC2017)1015 IceCube+Swift-BAT

#### Of course:

#### Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger\*

LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, Fermi GBM, INTEGRAL, IceCube Collaboration, AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team, IPN Collaboration, The Insight-HXMT Collaboration, ANTARES Collaboration, The Swift Collaboration,

ApJL 848, L12 (2017) LIGO + everybody



# Example: Auger + IceCube

#### IceCube streams:

S. Coutu

- HESE (high-energy starting events) public / private;
- EHE (extremely high-energy) public / private;
- Singlets (low-energy) private;
- Sent in real time (~40 s).

#### Auger streams:

- Single event stream private;
  - E > 3 EeV;
  - quality cuts (e.g.,  $\theta < 60^{\circ}$ );
- Archival data from 2004;
- Sent in real time (~10 min).

Here use public IC59 data (May 2009 – May 2010); George Fillipatos (PSU Schreyer Scholar).





### Published Auger/TA/IceCube analysis

- High-energy E<sub>CR</sub>>57 EeV;
- Spatial correlations only;
- Plot shows:
  - Auger (pink);
  - TA (orange);
  - IceCube (blue/black);

• No indications of sources at discovery level.

JCAP 01, 037 (2016) IceCube+Auger+TA







### Subthreshold data: May '09 – May '10

Auger;

 AMON events;
 E>3 EeV;
 θ<60°;</li>
 ~11,000 events.

- IceCube;
  IC59 public events;
  ~100,000 events;
  - ~1 year of data.





### **Correlation analysis**

- Look for neutrinos within 5° and 1000s of a cosmic-ray event;
- Allow for multiple neutrinos;
- Calculate test statistic λ:

5. Coutu

- based on temporal and spatial correlation;
- take into account declination-specific background;
- Null hypothesis: scramble arrival directions and randomize times;
- Compare simulated (scrambled) to actual  $\lambda$  distributions.

$$\lambda = \ln(N! \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{P_{t_i} P_{S_i}}{B_i(\delta)})$$

- *N* = number of particles in the multiplet
- $P_S$  = spatial probability of particle *i* 
  - calculated based on best fit position
- *P<sub>t</sub>* = Temporal probability of particle *i* falling exponential in time
- $B(\delta)$  = background at declination  $\delta$ calculated from exposure of each detector
- optimization is performed





### Results

One event above the 1/year threshold;
expected once per 9 years;
~12% chance probability;
Real distribution compatible with the scrambled data.



25





### Highest λ event

Auger/IceCube events separated by 27s and 1°;

- Outside the Galactic plane;
- Near AGN NGC 7743.







### Potential for real-time analysis

- Both detectors sending data to AMON;
- Ranked alerts could be sent to follow up observatories;
  - Standard AMON analyses distribute events that exceed 1/month false alarm rate
- Possible streams:
  - Auger/HESE;
  - Auger/IceCube singlets.
- Ongoing work:
  - Expand to IceCube data from 2010 to present;
  - Develop a real-time alert stream for EM follow up observations.



In USA, thanks to





# Conclusions

Flux suppression above ~40 EeV; GZK effect? source exhaustion?

UHE sources do appear to be extragalactic;

Large-scale dipole in arrival distribution above 8 EeV;

Intriguing correlations above 39 EeV with starburst galaxies, particle astronomy is hard !

Magnetic fields (Galactic, extragalactic) play a huge role;

X<sub>max</sub> (and its RMS) evolution with energy suggest mass becomes heavier at the highest energies;

Important limits to fluxes of neutrinos, photons, neutrons, magnetic monopoles;

Highest-energy physics: reasonable  $\sigma_{p-air}$  cross-section, but inconsistency in muon data; Hadronic interaction issues?

Improved knowledge of mass composition is needed (AugerPrime, radio technique);

#### New era of multimessenger astrophysics!



# Merci I