**Laura Cadonati, Georgia Tech LIGO Scientific Collaboration** 

> **"Colliding Neutron Stars" NSF/LIGO/Sonoma State University/A. Simonnet**

### *Gravitational Waves: status and prospects*





# $g_{\mu\nu}=\eta_{\mu\nu}$





*Credits: R. Hurt - Caltech / JPL*

 $I =$  source mass quadrupole moment R = source distance







## Gravitational Waves: Einstein's Messengers

Perturbations of the space-time metric produced by rapid changes in shape and orientation of massive objects.

Dimensionless strain:

$$
h(t) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{2G}{c^4} \ddot{I}(t)
$$

Gravitational waves carry information from the coherent, relativistic motion of large masses

speed of light 2 polarizations (plus, cross)





### How to Detect Gravitational Waves

*Deformation of a ring of free-falling particles due to the + and x polarization*



### Physically, gravitational waves are strains

La production de la communicación de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción

### How to Detect Gravitational Waves **FIOTY LO DELECT OF AVILATIONIAL**

### Physically, gravitational waves are strains

LIGO-G1801289 EDSU-2018, Guadeloupe - June 25, 2018 3



*Deformation of a ring of free-falling particles due to the + and x polarization Deformation of a ring of free-failing particles* experience the colloce of the University of Duke University, 1





• Strength depends on direction relative to the source

### How to Detect Gravitational Waves **FIOTY LO DELECT OF AVILATIONIAL**

### Physically, gravitational waves are strains

 $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  , it is dependent on direction relative to the source to the sour

LIGO-G1801289 EDSU-2018, Guadeloupe - June 25, 2018 3



*Deformation of a ring of free-falling particles due to the + and x polarization* Deformation of a ring of free-failing particles experiences





Goal: measure difference in length to one part in 1022, or 10-19 meters

### Suspended Mirrors as Test Masses





### LIGO: Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory







#### **Hanford, WA**

- LIGO Observatories construction: 1994-2000
- Initial LIGO operation: 2002-2010
- Advanced LIGO:
	- Ol: Sept 12, 2015 Jan 12, 2016
	- O2: Dec 1, 2016 Aug 25, 2017

*The LIGO Laboratory is jointly operated by Caltech and MIT through a Cooperative Agreement between Caltech and the National Science Foundation* 



Output photodetector: Interferometer noise + gravitational wave signal







#### More than 300 control loops needed to keep the interferometer optimally running

#### 40 kg high quality fused silica mirrors, isolated from the ground

150W laser, 1064nm 150W laser, 1064nm<br>(20-25W during 01) Advanced



Fabry-Perot cavities in the Michelson arms ~100kW laser power in O1 (750 kW at full power)

# LIGO

## A Global Quest

**GEO600** 

VIRGO

#### **LIGO Hanford**

**LIGO Livingston** 

**Planned** 

### **Gravitational Wave Observatories**



LIGO India



### *LIGO-India*

*KAGRA Japan*











1.3 Billion Years Ago….

September 14, 2015

LIVINGSTON, LOUISIANA

135 Million Years Ago….

August 17, 2017

#### **Binary Black Hole Coalescence Binary Neutron Star Coalescence**

### GW150914 and GW170817: Two ground-breaking discoveries that opened a new era in Gravitational Wave Astronomy

# First Discovery: GW150914



FIG. 1. The gravitational-wave event GW150914 observed by the LIGO Hanford (H1, left column panels) and Livingston (L1, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger - PRL 116:061102, 2016

are filtered with a 35–350 Hz band-pass filter to suppress large fluctuations of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ 

LIGO-G1801289 **Participal Species FDSU-2018, Guadeloupe - June 25, 2018** 9 L1 strain. Gwn209<br>1.9000. Gwn200<br>1.9+0000. EU data arrived first at H1 data are also shown, shown, shown, shifted in the H1 data are also shown,





*Binary Black Hole Mergers in the first Advanced LIGO Observing Run*  Phys. Rev. X, 6: 041015, 2016

## Binary Black Hole Mergers in LIGO's First Science Run

 $\sim$  250,000 templates

16 million time lags

False Alarm Rate < 1 in 203,000 yr





Most robust evidence for existence of 'heavy' stellar mass BHs  $(> 20 M<sub>o</sub>)$ 

## Black Hole Masses

BBH most likely formed in a low-metallicity environment:  $<$  1/2  $Z_{\odot}$ 

Merger rate of stellar mass BBHs:

12 — 213/Gpc3/yr

#### LIGO/VIRGO



*Credits: LIGO/Caltech/Sonoma State (Simonnet)*



**Black Hole Spins** 



### GW170104: evidence for spin-orbit misalignment

### Beginning to inform formation models: isolated binary evolution vs dynamical formation in dense clusters

PRL 118, 221101 (2016)





Credit: A. Babul/H. Pfeifer/CITA/SXS

## Spin, Orientation and Polarization



LIGO alone can only measure one of the polarizations and therefore obtains only limited information about the orientation of the binary. More than 2 locations are needed to disentangle polarization.





*A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence*  Phys. Rev. Lett., 119:141101, 2017

The LIGO-Virgo Network: GW170814







## Sky Localization

### The inclusion of Virgo improves the sky localization from 1160 deg<sup>2</sup> to 60 deg<sup>2</sup> Plausible volume (==> number of possible host galaxies) decreases from 71 to 2.1 ×10<sup>6</sup> Mpc<sup>3</sup>

*A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence*  Phys. Rev. Lett., 119:141101, 2017





## Sky Localization

### The inclusion of Virgo improves the sky localization from 1160 deg<sup>2</sup> to 60 deg<sup>2</sup> Plausible volume ( $==$ > number of possible host galaxies) decreases from 71 to 2.1  $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup> Mpc<sup>3</sup>

*A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence*  Phys. Rev. Lett., 119:141101, 2017

• ~200 EM instruments - satellites and ground based telescopes covering the full spectrum from radio to very high-energy gamma-rays

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

*Gravitational Waves*

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

*Radio Waves*

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

*Visible/Infrared Light*

Leading to O1, LIGO and Virgo signed agreements with 95 groups for EM/neutrino followup of GW events

- 
- Worldwide astronomical institutions, agencies and large/small teams of astronomers

### Multi-messenger Astronomy with Gravitational Waves

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

GW150914

 $LVT151012$  ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

GW151226 mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm

GW170104 MMWWWW

GW170817

![](_page_19_Picture_86.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_18.jpeg)

#### **August 17, 2017 - 12:41:04.4 UTC**

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

*GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral Phys. Rev. Lett., 119:161101, 2017*

GW170817 swept the detectors' sensitive band in  $\sim$ 100s ( $f_{\text{start}} = 24$ Hz) Most significant (network SNR of 32.4), closest and best localized signal signal ever observed by LIGO/Virgo

## Discovery of a Binary Neutron Star Merger

![](_page_20_Picture_20.jpeg)

#### **August 17, 2017 - 12:41:04.4 UTC**

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

### *Phys. Rev. Lett., 119:161101, 2017*

GW170817 swept the detectors' sensitive band in  $\sim$ 100s ( $f_{\text{start}} = 24$ Hz) Most significant (network SNR of 32.4), closest and best localized signal signal ever observed by LIGO/Virgo

![](_page_20_Figure_12.jpeg)

*GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral* 

Glitch in L1 1.1 seconds before the coalescence

Similar noise transients are registered roughly once every few hours in each of the LIGO detectors - no temporal correlation between the LIGO sites

glitch cleaning

## Discovery of a Binary Neutron Star Merger

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

LVT151012 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

GW170104 MMWWWWM

#### GW170817

![](_page_20_Picture_171.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

### A Coincident Gamma Ray Burst: GRB-170817A

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

GRB 170817A occurs  $(1.74 \pm 0.05)$  seconds after GW170817

It was autonomously detected in-orbit by Fermi-GBM (GCN was issued 14s after GRB) and in the routine untargeted search for short transients by INTEGRAL SPI-ACS

GRB 170817A is 3 times more likely to be a short GRB than a long GRB

Probability that GW170817 and GRB 170817A occurred this close in time and with location agreement by chance is 5.0x10-8 (Gaussian equivalent significance of 5.3σ)

> BNS mergers are progenitors of (at least some) SGRBs, and GWs travel at speed of light

*Gravitational Waves and Gamma Rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A*  The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L13, 2017

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger* - The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L12, 2017

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

LIGO-G1801289 EDSU-2018, Guadeloupe - June 25, 2018 20 *Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger*  The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L12, 2017

### EM SSS17a Followup Campaign and discovery of a

**August 17, 2017** 

**August 21, 2017 Swope & Magellan Telescopes** 

![](_page_23_Picture_129.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_130.jpeg)

**Dying Low Mass Stars** 

**Merging Neutron Stars Exploding Massive Stars Exploding White Dwarfs Cosmic Ray Fission** 

**Big Bang** 

Kilonova

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

 $v_H$  - local "Hubble flow" velocity of the source - Use optical identification of the host galaxy NGC 4993

d - distance to the source - Use the GW distance estimate

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# BNS as Standard Sirens

Gravitational wave cosmology: BNS as standard sirens to measure the rate of expansion of the Universe

*A gravitational-wave standard siren measurement of the Hubble constant*  Nature, 551:85, 2017

 $p(H_0 | GW170817)$  $SHoES<sup>18</sup>$  (8% larger) type Ia supernovae 130 140

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

PRL 119, 161101, 2017

The properties of gravitational-wave sources are inferred by matching the data with predicted waveforms

Component masses are affected by the degeneracy between mass ratio and the aligned spin components  $x_{1z}$  and  $x_{2z}$ 

For low orbital and gravitational-wave frequencies the evolution of the frequency is dominated by chirp mass

As orbit shrinks the gravitational-wave phase is increasing influenced by relativistic effects related to the mass ratio

Early estimates now improved using known source location, improved waveform modeling, and re-calibrated Virgo data. *Properties of the binary neutron star merger GW170817 - arXiv:1805.11579*

$$
\mathcal{M}=\frac{(m_1m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1+m_2)^{1/5}}
$$

# BNS properties

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Properties of the binary neutron star merger GW170817 - arXiv:1805.11579*

*GW170817: Measurements of neutron star radii and equation of state arXiv:1805.11581*

Constraining properties of nuclear matter via neutron star equation of state and tidal disruption, which is encoded in the BNS gravitational waveform

tidal deformability parameter  $\Lambda \sim k_2$  (R/m)<sup>5</sup> k2 - second Love number  $R, m =$  radius, mass of the neutron star

![](_page_26_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Neutron Star Structure

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_19.jpeg)

**Coalescing** *Binary Systems*

Neutron Stars, Black Holes

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

Credit: Chandra X-ray Observatory

#### *'Bursts'*

Credit: AEI, CCT, LSU

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

Casey Reed, Penn State

asymmetric core collapse supernovae cosmic strings Postmerger ???

![](_page_27_Figure_15.jpeg)

NASA/WMAP Science Team

*Cosmic GW background*  stochastic, incoherent background

#### *Continuous Sources*

Spinning neutron stars crustal deformations, accretion

## Gravitational Wave Astrophysics

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Observing Scenarios

*Prospects for Observing and Localizing Gravitational-Wave Transients with Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo and KAGRA —* https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1200087/public

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Now Early 2020s Late 2020s Mid 2030s

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

# LIGO Concept Roadmap

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### QNM SNR ~20 for an event like GW150914

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_14.jpeg)

after additional commissioning Reach: ~ 2x O2 ~100 BBH/year ~1-2 NS-BH/year ~20-30 BNS/year

## Near-term Future: aLIGO target *~10^2 binary coalescences per year (2020)* **O2 aLIGO**

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Medium-term Future: A+ **Properties A + 2000s**<br>
210^3 binary coalescences per year (early 2020s)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

### QNM SNR ~35 for an event like GW150914

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

aLIGO with frequency-dependent squeezing and lower optical coating thermal noise Reach: ~ 3x O2 ~500-1000 BBH/year ~10 NS-BH/year ~200-300 BNS/year

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

BNS reach: ~10x O2 BBH reach: z~5

QNM SNR ~80 (for an event like GW150914)

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

**ZUCKER** 

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

aLIGO with: Si optics, > 100 kg; Si or AlGaAs coatings; 'mildly' Cryogenic; λ~2 µm, 300 W

### Long-term Future for current facilities: Voyager *~10^4 binary coalescences per year (late 2020s)*

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

### The 3rd Generation *~10^5 binary coalescences per year (2030s)* Einstein Telescope

- European conceptual design study
- Multiple instruments in xylophone configuration
- underground to reduce newtonian background
- 10 km arm length, in triangle.
- Assumes 10-15 year technology development.

- 40km surface Observatory baseline
- Signal grows with length not most noise sources
- Thermal noise, radiation pressure, seismic, Newtonian unchanged; coating thermal noise improves faster than linearly with length

### Cosmic Explorer

![](_page_33_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thank you

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)