MUONIC FORCE BEHIND FLAVOR ANOMALIES

JURE ZUPAN U. OF CINCINNATI

1

based on, Greljo, Soreq, Stangl, Thomsen, JZ, 2107.07518

TAU2021, Bloomington, IN (virtual), Sept 28 2021

EXPERIMENTAL ANOMALIES IN **PROCESSES WITH MUONS&TAUS**



IF NEW PHYSICS...

- $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ quark level transitions shows $\gtrsim 4\sigma$ deviations from the SM
 - explanable with NP in V A quark currents

• relatively high effective NP scale

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{Q_{ij}L_{kl}}^{2}} \left(\overline{Q}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{A} Q_{j} \right) \left(\overline{L}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \sigma^{A} L_{l} \right)$$

$$b \rightarrow s \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$$

$$\Lambda_{\text{NP}} \sim 40 \,\text{TeV}$$

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies

IF NEW PHYSICS...

• $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ showing 4.2σ deviation from the SM

• in SMEFT from dim6 operator

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\sqrt{2}e\,v}{(4\pi\Lambda_{ij})^2}\,\bar{\ell}_{\mathrm{L}}^i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\ell_{\mathrm{R}}^jF_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{h.c.} \;,$$

 $(g-2)_{\mu} \Rightarrow \Lambda_{22} \sim 15 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

Greljo, Stangl, Thomsen, 2103.13991

- note: any flavor violation needs to be highly suppressed $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma \Rightarrow \Lambda_{21} \gtrsim 3500 \text{ TeV}$
- a possible (natural) solution a symmetry

OUTLINE

• gauged $U(1)_X$ and $(g - 2)_{\mu} + R_{K^{(*)}}$

• a single vector mediator? \Rightarrow no

- heavy (~TeV) leptoquarks for $R_{K^{(*)}}$, light vectors (~100MeV) for $(g 2)_{\mu}$
 - systematics of anomaly free $U(1)_X$
 - some benchmark models

Greljo, Soreq, Stangl, Thomsen, JZ, 2107.07518

- can a single mediator explain both $(g 2)_{\mu}$ and $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ anomalies?
 - each separately possible with neutral spin-1 boson X_{μ}
 - for $(g 2)_{\mu}$ required to be light, $m_X \leq \mathcal{O}(\text{few GeV})$
 - for b → sµµ can be light ~GeV or very heavy ~10s
 TeV
- however, not possible to explain both at the same time
 - ⇒ combined explanation requires at least two new states

- can a single mediator explain both $(g 2)_{\mu}$ and $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ anomalies?
- the relevant effective interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset + g_X \left(q_V + q_A \right) \overline{\nu_{\mu L}} \not X \nu_{\mu L} + g_X \overline{\mu} \not X \left(q_V - q_A \gamma_5 \right) \mu \\ + \left[\overline{b} \not X \left(g_L^{bs} P_L + g_R^{bs} P_R \right) s + \text{H.c.} \right] ,$$

• for
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
 need $g_V \gg g_A$

$$g_X = \left(\frac{\Delta a_{\mu}}{251 \times 10^{-11}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{cases} 4.5 \times 10^{-4} \left[q_V^2 - 2\,q_A^2\,r_{\mu}^2\right]^{-1/2}, & m_X \ll m_{\mu}, \\ 5.5 \times 10^{-4} r_{\mu}^{-1/2} \left[q_V^2 - 5\,q_A^2\right]^{-1/2}, & m_X \gg m_{\mu}. \end{cases}$$

• $\Rightarrow X_{\mu}$ necessarily couples to neutrinos*

* as long as EFT applies, i.e. dim 6 ops not cancelled by dim 8, see e.g., Darme et al, 2106.12582

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies

7

Greljo, Soreq, Stangl, Thomsen, JZ, 2107.07518

- because of X_μ couplings to neutrinos competing requirements
 - $B \to K \nu \nu$ bound implies small g_L^{bs}
 - neutrino trident bound implies small $g_X(q_V + q_A)$
 - $B \rightarrow K \mu \mu$ requires large enough $g_L^{bs} g_X q_{V,A}$
 - $(g 2)_{\mu}$ requires large enough $g_X q_V$













$(g-2)_{\mu}, b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ FROM $U(1)_X$ AND LQ

Greljo, Soreq, Stangl, Thomsen, JZ, 2107.07518

- $R_{K^{(*)}}$ from tree-level LQ exchange
 - for instance from $S_3 = (\bar{3}, 3, 1/3)_{8/3}$
- $(g 2)_{\mu}$ from $U(1)_X$ gauge boson
- the U(1)_X solves the problem of potentially too large FCNCs
 - gauge charges such that S₃ only couples to muons, not
 τ, e ⇒ LQ is a "muoquark"
 - universal charges for quarks
 - gauge charges such that forbid (too fast) proton decay
 no dim5 ops. mediating proton decay

$(g-2)_{\mu}, b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ FROM $U(1)_X$ AND L

- $R_{K^{(*)}}$ from tree-level LQ exchange
 - for instance from $S_3 = (\bar{3}, 3, 1/3)_{8/3}$
- $(g-2)_{\mu}$ from $U(1)_X$ gauge boson



- the U(1)_X solves the problem of potentially too large FCNCs
 - gauge charges such that S_3 only couples to muons, not $\tau, e \Rightarrow LQ$ is a "*muoquark*"
 - universal charges for quarks
 - gauge charges such that forbid (too fast) proton decay
 no dim5 ops. mediating proton decay



- the U(1)_X solves the problem of potentially too large FCNCs
 - gauge charges such that S₃ only couples to muons, not
 τ, e ⇒ LQ is a "muoquark"
 - universal charges for quarks
 - gauge charges such that forbid (too fast) proton decay
 no dim5 ops. mediating proton decay

$(g-2)_{\mu}, b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ FROM $U(1)_X$ AND LQ

• exploration of viable charge assignments for SM+ $3\nu_R$ field content

- require anomaly free charge assignments
 - keeping max charge ratios ≤ 10 (integer charges) $\Rightarrow 273$ models (out of ~ $2 \cdot 10^7$) Allanach, Davighi, Melville, 1812.04602
 - two categories of charge assignments (up to flavor permutations)

vector category: $X_{L_i} = X_{E_i}$ for all i = 1, 2, 3, 252 solutions chiral category: the rest. 21 solutions

• in vector category 3 parameter families of solutions, with the lepton charges given by (up to flavor permutations)

Class 1: $X_e = X_{N_1}, \quad X_\mu = X_{N_2}, \quad X_\tau = X_{N_3},$ Class 2: $X_e = X_{N_1}, \quad X_\mu = -X_\tau, \quad X_{N_2} = -X_{N_3},$ Class 3: the rest.

• note: the classes may overlap, e.g., $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ is both Class 1 and 2

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies 11

NON-UNIVERSAL $U(1)_X$ CHARGES IN QUARK SECTOR

- one can relax that the quark Yukawas are allowed: e.g., only 3rd generation Yukawas allowed
 - "2+1" charge assignments

 $X_{Q_i} = X_{U_j} = X_{D_k} \equiv X_{q_{12}}$ for all i, j, k = 1, 2, and $X_{Q_3} = X_{U_3} = X_{D_3} \equiv X_{q_3}$. $(X_H = 0)$

• the CKM elements (V_{td}, V_{ts}) at dim 5

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{x_i^u}{\Lambda} \overline{Q}_i \tilde{H} \phi U_3 + \frac{x_i^d}{\Lambda} \overline{Q}_i H \phi D_3 + \text{H.c.}$$

• anomalies satisfied if $2X_{q_{12}} + X_{q_3} = 3X_q$

- straightforward extension of quark universal charge assignments
 - slight changes in muoquark requirements \Rightarrow 171 inequivalent sols. (for $-10 \le X_{F_i} \le 10$)

MODELS

- several relevant constraints
 - neutrino trident, light resonance searches, neutrino electron scattering (Borexino), nonstandard neutrino interactions
- consider models of the following form
 - $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ through S_3 exchange
 - $(g 2)_{\mu}$ through $U(1)_X$ gauge boson
- the well studied solution for $(g 2)_{\mu}: L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$
 - viable region $m_X \sim 10 200 \text{MeV}$
 - dimuon resonance searches $m_X \lesssim 0.21 \,\text{GeV}$
 - BBN (cosmology): $m_X \gtrsim$ several MeV
 - electron bounds (NA64, Borexino): depend on assumed kinetic mixing

hep-ph/0104141,

hep-ph/0110146,

1311.0870,

1403.1269,

1406.2332,





J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies

BEYOND $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$

- most of the other possible U(1)_X are experimentally excluded
 - viable $U(1)_X$ mostly of the form $c_1(L_\mu - L_\tau) + c_2 U(1)'_X$ with $c_1 \gg c_2$

• there are exceptions, e.g., chiral $\tilde{L}_{\mu-\tau}$

 $(X_{L_1}, X_{L_2}, X_{L_3}) = (-1, 7, -6),$ $(X_{E_1}, X_{E_2}, X_{E_3}) = (1, 6, -7),$

• axial couplings to electrons avoid bounds on NSI from ν osc.

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies 14

GAUGED $B - 3L_{\mu}$

- NSI ν couplings to u, d, e tightly constrained by
 - ν oscillation fits
 - CE*v*Ns (COHERENT,...)
 - ν_{solar} e scattering
 (Borexino, Xenon1T,...)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NSI} = -\frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f,\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^f_{\alpha\beta} (\overline{f}\gamma_\mu f) (\overline{\nu}_\alpha P_{\rm L}\nu_\beta)$$

$$f = \{e, p, n\}$$









- X_{μ} coupling to *e* bounded by NA64, Borexino, NSI
- by choosing kinetic mixing can tune away the first two, but not the NSI

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies 16

GAUGED $9B_3 - 8L_\mu - L_\tau$



• only 3rd generation of quarks charged under $U(1)_X$

• even down alignment not enough to avoid FCNC constraints

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies

CONCLUSIONS

- simultaneously explaining $(g 2)_{\mu}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$ requires more than one mediator
- gauged $U(1)_X$ leading to a muoquark explains the absence of FCNC signals
 - phenomenologically viable models are perturbations around $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$

BACKUP SLIDES

NEWS FROM EARLIER THIS YEAR

- theoretically "clean" observables
 - R_K went from 2.5σ to 3.1σ LHCb 1903.09252, 2103.11769
 - the first single measurement in *B* anomalies to cross the "evidence" threshold
 - $\leq 2\sigma$ tension in $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

LHCb 2108.09284, 2108.09283

- theoretically "dirty" observables
 - $(g-2)_{\mu}$ went from 3.7 σ to 4.2 σ The Muon g-2 Collaboration, 2104.03281
 - $Br(B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu \mu) 3.6\sigma$ below the nominal SM LHCb 2105.14007 prediction

J. Zupan Muonic Force Behind Flavor Anomalies 20

COMBINED NP EXPLANATIONS

- all anomalies or a subset?
- $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $R_{D^{(*)}}$
 - vector leptoquark $U_1 \sim (3,1,2/3)$

Cornella et al., 2103.16558 + many refs.

- UV realization: 4321 model?
- 2 scalar leptoquarks $S_3 \sim (\bar{3}, 3, 1/3), S_1 \sim (\bar{3}, 1, 1/3)$

• UV realization: composite Higgs? Crivellin, Muller, Ota, 1703.09226 +many refs.

- $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $(g-2)_{\mu}$
 - 2 scalar leptoquarks $S_3 \sim (\bar{3}, 3, 1/3), S_1 \sim (\bar{3}, 1, 1/3)$ Greljo et al, 2103.13991
 - from simplified DM models in the loop Arcadi, Calibbi, Fedele, Mescia, 2104.03228
- $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $(g 2)_{\mu}$