Recent Phenomenological Progress in G2HDM

TC Yuan (阮自强)
(IoP, Academia Sinica, Taiwan)

1st AEI Workshop for BSM and
9th KIAS Workshop on Particle Physics and Cosmology
4-8 November 2019 Shella Stay Jeju South Korea

4-8 November 2019 Shella Stay Jeju South Korea

Based on works

1. Chuan-Ren Chen, Yu-Xiang Lin, Chrisna Setyo Nugroho, Raymundo Ramos, Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1910.13138
2. Wei-Chih Huang, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1512.00229, JHEP04(2016)019
3. Wei-Chih Huang, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1512.07268, NPB909 (2016) 122-134
4. Wei-Chih Huang, Hiroyuki Ishida, Chih-Ting Lu, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1708.02355, EPJC78(2018) no.8, 613
5. Adelssalem Arhrib, Wei-Chih Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1806.05632, PRD98(2018) no.9, 095006
6. Chuan-Ren Chen, Yu-Xiang Lin, Van Que Tran, TCY, arXiv:1810.04837, PRD99(2019) no.7, 075027
7. Cheng-Tse Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Van Que Tran, Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1905.02396, JHEP 1909 (2019) 048

Introduction

- Dark matter (DM) & neutrino oscillations imply BSM
- 2 Higgs doublet model (2HDM) are very popular to address new physics. For example,
  - In MSSM, 2 Higgs doublets are needed due to holomorphic nature of the superpotential.
  - With its additional CP phases, general 2HDM is a prototype model to discuss matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe.
- Inert Higgs Doublet Model (IHDM) (Deshpande and Ma, '78) can provide dark matter candidate, with a discrete Z_2 symmetry imposed. No FCNC at tree level too!
- Scalar singlet as DM: Silveria & Zee ('85), McDonald ('94), Burgess *et al* ('01), He *et al* ('09). Also based on Z_2.
- With various kinds of scalar triplets included, one can address neutrino mass: Scotogenic triplet (Ma), GM triplet (Arhrib *et al*, Chiang *et al*, Hung *et al*, Kanemura *et al*, …), …

Some Highlights of G2HDM

- Gauge group $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes SU(2)_H \otimes U(1)_X$
- We embed the two Higgs doublets into a fundamental representation of a new gauge group $SU(2)_H$. Motivated by $U(1)_H$ (Ko, Omura and Yu, 2014).
- Accidental Z_2 symmetry emerges in which all SM particles are even.
- Free of perturbative gauge and gravitational anomalies and non-perturbative global SU(2) anomaly. Renormalizable.
- Symmetry breaking for SM is triggered or induced by $SU(2)_H$ breaking from a triplet VEV.
- One of the Higgs doublet (H_2), being Z_2 odd, can be inert and may play some role of dark matter, whose stability is protected by the accidental Z_2 symmetry.
- Unlike Left-Right symmetric models, the complex vector fields $W'^{(p,m)}$ are electrically neutral and Z_2 odd. Another DM candidate!
- No tree level FCNC in the Yukawa couplings (for SM sector).
- *etc*

Outline

- Motivation
- G2HDM
  - Particle Content
  - Higgs Potential & Symmetry Breaking
  - Yukawa Couplings
  - Mass Spectra
- Scalar and Gauge Sector Constraints (SGSC)
  - Perturbative Unitarity
  - Vacuum Stability
  - Higgs Phenomenology (LHC)
  - EWPT (LEP I + II), LHC Z' Drell-Yan, …
- Double Higgs Production at the LHC
- Dark Matter in G2HDM
  - Complex Scalar DM
- Summary

Particle Content

	Fields	Spin	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(2)_H$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
Scalars	$H = (H_1 \;\; H_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$	0	1	2	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
	$\Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_3/2 & \Delta_p/\sqrt{2} \\ \Delta_m/\sqrt{2} & -\Delta_3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	0	1	1	3	0	0
	$\Phi_H = (\Phi_1 \;\; \Phi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$	0	1	1	2	0	1
Fermions	$Q_L = (u_L \;\; d_L)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	2	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	0
	$U_R = (u_R \;\; u_R^H)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	1	2	$\frac{2}{3}$	1
	$D_R = (d_R^H \;\; d_R)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	1	2	$-\frac{1}{3}$	-1
	u_L^H	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	1	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	0
	d_L^H	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	1	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0
	$L_L = (\nu_L \;\; e_L)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	2	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
	$N_R = (\nu_R \;\; \nu_R^H)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	2	0	1
	$E_R = (e_R^H \;\; e_R)^{\mathrm{T}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	2	-1	-1
	ν_L^H	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	0	0
	e_L^H	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	-1	0
Vectors	$g_\mu^a (a = 1, \cdots, 8)$	1	8	1	1	0	0
	$W_\mu^i (i = 1, 2, 3)$	1	1	3	1	0	0
	$W_\mu'^i (i = 1, 2, 3)$	1	1	1	3	0	0
	B_μ	1	1	1	1	0	0
	X_μ	1	1	1	1	0	0

TABLE I. Particle content and their quantum number assignments in G2HDM.

- H_1 and H_2 are grouped into a $SU(2)_H$ doublet. H_1 is the SM one.
- Three VEVs of H_1, $\mathbf{\Phi}_H$, Δ_H provide symmetry breaking and masses.
- $SU(2)_L$ doublet fermions are singlet under $SU(2)_H$.
- $SU(2)_L$ singlet fermions are grouped with new heavy fermions to form $SU(2)_H$ doublets.
- f_L^H singlets are added for cancellation of perturbative gauge and gravitational anomalies.

Higgs Potential (1/3)

- Scalar potential in general 2HDM

$$V = +\mu_1^2 |H_1|^2 + \mu_2^2 |H_2|^2 + \left(\mu_{12}^2 H_1^\dagger H_2 + \mathrm{h.c.}\right)$$

$$+\lambda_1 |H_1|^4 + \lambda_2 |H_2|^4 + \lambda_3 |H_1|^2 |H_2|^2 + \lambda_4 |H_1^\dagger H_2|^2$$

$$+\frac{\lambda_5}{2}\left[\left(H_1^\dagger H_2\right)^2 + \mathrm{h.c.}\right] + \left[\lambda_6 |H_1|^2 + \lambda_7 |H_2|^2\right]\left(H_1^\dagger H_2 + \mathrm{h.c.}\right)$$

- Many variants. E.g. IHDM.

$$V = \mu_1^2|H_1|^2 + \mu_2^2|H_2|^2 + \lambda_1|H_1|^4 + \lambda_2|H_2|^4 + \lambda_3|H_1|^2|H_2|^2 + \lambda_4|H_1^\dagger H_2|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2}\{(H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + \mathrm{h.c.}\}\,.$$

(IHDM)

Z_2 symmetry : $H_1 \to H_1$, $H_2 \to -H_2$

(Eliminates λ_6, λ_7 terms!)

Higgs Potential (2/3)

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Scalar potential in G2HDM

$$V_T = V(H) + V(\Phi_H) + V(\Delta_H) + V_{\rm mix}(H, \Delta_H, \Phi_H),$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(H) &= \mu_H^2 (H^{\alpha i} H_{\alpha i}) + \lambda_H (H^{\alpha i} H_{\alpha i})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_H \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta}(H^{\alpha i} H_{\gamma i})(H^{\beta j} H_{\delta j}), \\ &= \mu_H^2 (H_1^\dagger H_1 + H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_H (H_1^\dagger H_1 + H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda'_H (-H_1^\dagger H_1 H_2^\dagger H_2 + H_1^\dagger H_2 H_2^\dagger H_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Phi_H) &= \mu_\Phi^2 \Phi_H^\dagger \Phi_H + \lambda_\Phi (\Phi_H^\dagger \Phi_H)^2, \\ &= \mu_\Phi^2 (\Phi_1^* \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^* \Phi_2) + \lambda_\Phi (\Phi_1^* \Phi_1 + \Phi_2^* \Phi_2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Delta_H) &= -\mu_\Delta^2 \mathrm{Tr}(\Delta_H^2) + \lambda_\Delta (\mathrm{Tr}(\Delta_H^2))^2, \\ &= -\mu_\Delta^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_3^2 + \Delta_p \Delta_m\right) + \lambda_\Delta \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_3^2 + \Delta_p \Delta_m\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_3/2 & \Delta_p/\sqrt{2} \\ \Delta_m/\sqrt{2} & -\Delta_3/2 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta_H^\dagger \quad \text{with}$$

$$\Delta_m = (\Delta_p)^* \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta_3)^* = \Delta_3;$$

Higgs Potential (3/3)

$$V_{\rm mix}(H,\Delta_H,\Phi_H)$$
$$= \boxed{+M_{H\Delta}(H^\dagger\Delta_H H) - M_{\Phi\Delta}(\Phi_H^\dagger\Delta_H\Phi_H)}$$
$$\boxed{\begin{aligned}&+\lambda_{H\Phi}(H^\dagger H)(\Phi_H^\dagger\Phi_H)+\lambda'_{H\Phi}(H^\dagger\Phi_H)(\Phi_H^\dagger H)\\&+\lambda_{H\Delta}(H^\dagger H){\rm Tr}(\Delta_H^2)+\lambda_{\Phi\Delta}(\Phi_H^\dagger\Phi_H){\rm Tr}(\Delta_H^2).\end{aligned}}$$

- Six new parameters from $V_{\rm mix}$! $M_{H\Phi}$ and $M_{\Phi\Delta}$ has mass dimension 1.
- All couplings are real, thus no CP violation in the scalar potential.
- Note that terms like

$$(H^\dagger\Phi_H)(\Phi_H^T\epsilon H) \text{ and } \Phi_H^T\epsilon\Delta_H\Phi_H$$

are invariant under $SU(2)_H$ but forbidden by $U(1)_X$!

Accidental Discrete Symmetry

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{pmatrix}, \; \Phi_H = \begin{pmatrix} G_H^p \\ \Phi_{H0} \end{pmatrix}, \; \Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_0}{2} & \frac{\Delta_p}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta_m}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\Delta_0}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- The scalar potential contained all possible renormalizable terms has the following accidental Z_2 symmetry, which is not put in by hand.

$$H_1 \to H_1, \Phi_{H,0} \to \Phi_{H,0}, \Delta_0 \to \Delta_0$$

$$H_2 \to -H_2, G_H^{p,m} \to -G_H^{p,m}, \Delta_{p,m} \to -\Delta_{p,m}$$

- Thus we can have either inert Higgs doublet or Goldstone boson or triplet as scalar dark matter candidate in the model!

Yukawa Couplings (1/2)

- We pair the SM $SU(2)_L$ singlet fermions with heavy fermions to form $SU(2)_H$ doublets. SM fermions obtain masses through $\langle H_1 \rangle$

SM

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Yuk} \supset +y_d \bar{Q}_L \left(d_R^H H_2 - d_R H_1 \right) - y_u \bar{Q}_L \left(u_R \tilde{H}_1 + u_R^H \tilde{H}_2 \right) + y_e \bar{L}_L \left(e_R^H H_2 - e_R H_1 \right) - y_\nu \bar{L}_L \left(\nu_R \tilde{H}_1 + \nu_R^H \tilde{H}_2 \right) + \text{H.c.},$$

- Additional terms involve H_2 couples between SM fermions and heavy fermions with the same SM Yukawa couplings!
  Since H_2 has no VEV, this implies absence of FCNC interaction for SM fermions!
  (Natural flavor conservation: Weinberg & Glashow, '77; Paschos, '77
  Minimal flavor violation: G. D'Ambrosio, G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori, A. Strumia '02)

- The second doublet H_2 is inert — it could be DM candidate if it is lighter than all heavy fermions, scalars, and gauge bosons.

- SM neutrinos get Dirac masses.

Yukawa Couplings (2/2)

- To give masses to the new heavy fermions f^H, we add their left-handed partners to couple to a $SU(2)_H$ scalar doublet $\mathbf{\Phi}_H=(\mathbf{\Phi}_1\ \mathbf{\Phi}_2)^T$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Yuk} \supset -y'_d \overline{d_L^H}\left(d_R^H \Phi_2 - d_R \Phi_1\right) - y'_u \overline{u_L^H}\left(u_R \Phi_1^* + u_R^H \Phi_2^*\right) - y'_e \overline{e_L^H}\left(e_R^H \Phi_2 - e_R \Phi_1\right) - y'_\nu \overline{\nu_L^H}\left(\nu_R \Phi_1^* + \nu_R^H \Phi_2^*\right) + \text{H.c.}.$$

- H_1 does not couple to heavy fermions. So the SM Higgs signal strengths are not affected by the new fermions if mixing effects are turned off.

- $U(1)_X$ prevents Yukawa couplings that may give rise to mixings among SM fermions and heavy fermions. For example,

$$\overline{u_L^H} U_R \epsilon \Phi_H \sim \overline{u_L^H}(u_R \Phi_2 - u_R^H \Phi_1), \cdots$$

- Majorana mass term is also possible for the heavy neutrinos.

$$\overline{\nu_L^{Hc}} \nu_L^H$$

Scalar Mass Spectrum (1/4)

- Expand the scalar fields around the vacua

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v+h}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{G^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \; H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \; \Phi_H = \begin{pmatrix} G_H^p \\ \frac{v_\Phi + \phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{G_H^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \; \Delta_H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-v_\Delta + \delta_3}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta_p \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta_m & \frac{v_\Delta - \delta_3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{\text{Goldstone}} \equiv \{G^0, G^\pm, G_H^0, G_H^{p,m}\}$$

$$\Phi_{\text{Physical}} \equiv \{h, H^\pm, H_2^0, H_2^{0*}, \phi_2, \delta_3, \Delta_{p,m}\}$$

- We have 8 generators for the electroweak gauge group but 6 Goldstone bosons. We left with 2 unbroken generators associated with the two massless photon and dark photon. The two unbroken generators are

$$Q = T_L^3 + Y \qquad Q_D = 4\cos^2\theta_W T_L^3 - 4\sin^2\theta_W Y + 2T_H^3 + X$$

- Possible to add two Stueckelberg masses M_Y and M_X (More later!)

Scalar Mass Spectrum (2/4)

$$\mathcal{M}_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_H v^2 & \lambda_{H\Phi} v v_\Phi & \frac{v}{2}\left(M_{H\Delta} - 2\lambda_{H\Delta} v_\Delta\right) \\ \lambda_{H\Phi} v v_\Phi & 2\lambda_\Phi v_\Phi^2 & \frac{v_\Phi}{2}\left(M_{\Phi\Delta} - 2\lambda_{\Phi\Delta} v_\Delta\right) \\ \frac{v}{2}\left(M_{H\Delta} - 2\lambda_{H\Delta} v_\Delta\right) & \frac{v_\Phi}{2}\left(M_{\Phi\Delta} - 2\lambda_{\Phi\Delta} v_\Delta\right) & \frac{1}{4v_\Delta}\left(8\lambda_\Delta v_\Delta^3 + M_{H\Delta} v^2 + M_{\Phi\Delta} v_\Phi^2\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

basis $S = \{h, \phi_2, \delta_3\}$ → $h_i = O^H_{1i} h + O^H_{2i} \phi_2 + O^H_{3i} \delta_3$

- The 125 GeV Higgs is now a mixture of $\{h, \phi_2, \delta_3\}$ (CP even)

$$h_1 = O^H_{11} h + O^H_{12} \phi_2 + O^H_{13} \delta_3$$

- However, the Higgs mixing is constrained to be quite small, suppressed by v/v_Φ as $v \sim 246$ GeV and $v_\Phi \geq 20$ TeV due to LEP Z-Z′ mixing constraint and LHC Run II data for high invariant mass dilepton resonance (1708.02355,1905.02396)!

Scalar Mass Spectrum (3/4)

$G = \{G_H^p, H_2^{0*}, \Delta_p\}$ basis

$$\mathcal{M}_0'^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}v^2 & \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}vv_\Phi & -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}vv_\Phi & M_{H\Delta}v_\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}v_\Phi^2 & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v \\ -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v & \frac{1}{4v_\Delta}\left(M_{H\Delta}v^2 + M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi^2\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

- The above mass matrix has zero determinant!
- The zero eigenvalue state is the Goldstone boson absorbed by the longitudinal component of the gauge bosons of SU(2)$_H$ $W_H'^{(p,m)}$, a vector dark matter candidate.
- The other two eigenstates correspond to a dark Higgs $\tilde{\Delta}$ and a scalar dark matter candidate D.

$$\tilde{\Delta} = O_{13}^D G_H^p + O_{23}^D H_2^{0*} + O_{33}^D \Delta_p \text{ (Heavier)}$$

$$D = O_{12}^D G_H^p + O_{22}^D H_2^{0*} + O_{32}^D \Delta_p \text{ (Lighter)}$$

Complex Fields
(No definite CP)

Scalar Mass Spectrum (4/4)

- The rest

Goldstone Bosons: (Longitudinal components of $W^{\pm}$, Z, Z')

$$m^2_{G^\pm} = m^2_{G^0} = m^2_{G^0_H} = 0$$

Physical Charged Higgs:

$$m^2_{H^\pm} = M_{H\Delta} v_\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_H v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi} v^2_\Phi ,$$

Different from IHDM!
All 3 VEVs enter!

Neutral Gauge Bosons Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 (1/2)

In the basis $\{B, W^3, W'^3, X\}$:

$$\mathcal{M}^2_{\text{gauge}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g'^2 v^2}{4} + \cancel{M_Y^2} & -\frac{g' g v^2}{4} & \frac{g' g_H v^2}{4} & \frac{g' g_X v^2}{2} + \cancel{M_X M_Y} \\ -\frac{g' g v^2}{4} & \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} & -\frac{g g_H v^2}{4} & -\frac{g g_X v^2}{2} \\ \frac{g' g_H v^2}{4} & -\frac{g g_H v^2}{4} & \frac{g_H^2 \left(v^2 + v_\Phi^2\right)}{4} & \frac{g_H g_X \left(v^2 - v_\Phi^2\right)}{2} \\ \frac{g' g_X v^2}{2} + \cancel{M_X M_Y} & -\frac{g g_X v^2}{2} & \frac{g_H g_X \left(v^2 - v_\Phi^2\right)}{2} & g_X^2 \left(v^2 + v_\Phi^2\right) + \boxed{M_X^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Two M_X, M_Y are Stueckelberg masses are introduced with one Stueckelberg field.

Ruegg & Ruiz-Altaba, ’04

SM with nonzero M_Y! The theory is well defined!
But …

Feldman, Kors, Liu, Nath, ’05-’07

StSM SM $\times$ $U(1)_X$ with both M_X and M_Y nonzero!

$$|\epsilon| = |M_Y/M_X| \leq 0.061\sqrt{1 - (M_Z/M_X)^2}$$

Neutral Gauge Bosons Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 (2/2)

Set $M_Y = 0 \implies Q = T_{3L} + Y$!

SM

$$\mathcal{O}^{4\times 4}_{M_Y=0} = \begin{pmatrix} c_W & -s_W & 0 & 0 \\ s_W & c_W & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & \mathcal{O} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$\rightarrow$ SM

$$(\gamma, Z^{\rm SM}, W'^3, X)$$

$$s_W = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{4\times 4}_{\rm SM} \mathcal{M}^2_{\rm gauge} \mathcal{O}^{4\times 4}_{\rm SM} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{v^2\left(g^2+g'^2\right)}{4} & -\frac{g_H v^2 \sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}{4} & -\frac{g_X v^2 \sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{g_H v^2 \sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}{4} & \frac{g_H^2\left(v^2+v_\Phi^2\right)}{4} & \frac{g_X g_H\left(v^2-v_\Phi^2\right)}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{g_X v^2 \sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}{2} & \frac{g_X g_H\left(v^2-v_\Phi^2\right)}{2} & g_X^2(v^2+v_\Phi^2) + M_X^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\mathcal{O}^{4\times 4})^T \mathcal{M}^2_{\rm gauge} \mathcal{O}^{4\times 4} = {\rm diag}(0, M^2_{Z_1}, M^2_{Z_2}, M^2_{Z_3}),$$

$$(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)^T = \mathcal{O}^T \cdot (Z^{SM}, W'^3, X)^T.$$

$$Z_i = \mathcal{O}_{1i} Z^{SM} + \mathcal{O}_{2i} W'^3 + \mathcal{O}_{3i} X$$

Dark $W'^{(p,m)}$

- Unlike Left-Right symmetric model (Senjanovic and Mohapatra, 1980), W′ in G2HDM carries no electric charge and doesn't mix with $SU(2)_L$ W!
- Just like charged Higgs, all three VEVs entered in the W′ mass!

$$m^2_{W'(p,m)} = \frac{1}{4} g_H^2 \left(v^2 + v_\Phi^2 + 4 v_\Delta^2 \right)$$

- Alternative DM candidate in G2HDM (Not explored here!).

Dark Matter in G2HDM

- Accidental Z_2 (Unbroken after SSB by Z_2 even VEVs):

{All SM particles, h_2, h_3, Z', Z'' are even.}

$$\{D, \tilde{\Delta}, H^{\pm}, W'^{(p,m)}, \nu^H, l^H, q^H\} \text{are odd} .$$

- DM Candidates:

$$\{D, \nu^H, W'^{(p,m)}\}$$

Spin 0 1/2 1

Yu-Xiang Lin, Raymundo Ramos, Chrisna Seyto Nugroho, Chuan-Ren Chen, TCY, arXiv:1910.13138.

Theoretical and Phenomenological Constraints (Scalar Sector)

- Vacuum Stability (VS)
  - Scalar potential should be bounded from below
- Perturbative Unitarity (PU)
  - Scattering amplitudes in the scalar sector
- Higgs Physics (HP)
  - Diphoton signal strength of the 128 GeV Higgs

Reference:
Adelssalem Arhrib, Wei-Chih Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1806.05632, PRD98(2018) no.9, 095006

Higgs Phenomenology

- Mixing Effects: $h_1 = O_{11} h + O_{21}\phi_2 + O_{31}\delta_3,$ $m_{h_1} = 125.09 \pm 0.24$ GeV

- Signal Strength: $\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{\rm ggH} = \frac{\Gamma_h^{\rm SM}}{\Gamma_{h_1}} \frac{\Gamma(h_1 \to gg)\Gamma(h_1 \to \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma^{\rm SM}(h \to gg)\Gamma^{\rm SM}(h \to \gamma\gamma)},$ $\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{ggH} = 0.81^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$

$$\Gamma(h_1 \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_{h_1}^3 O_{11}^2}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \Big| A_1(\tau_{W^\pm}) + \sum_f N_C Q_f^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_f)$$

Charged Higgs $$+ \mathcal{C}_h \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_H v^2}{m^2_{H^\pm}} A_0(\tau_{H^\pm})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_h = 1 + \frac{O_{21}}{O_{11}} \frac{(\lambda_{H\Phi} + \lambda'_{H\Phi}) v_\Phi}{2\tilde{\lambda}_H v} - \frac{O_{31}}{O_{11}} \frac{2\lambda_{H\Delta} v_\Delta + M_{H\Delta}}{4\tilde{\lambda}_H v},$$

Heavy Fermions $$+ \frac{O_{21}}{O_{11}} \frac{v}{v_\Phi} \sum_F N_C Q_F^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_F) \Big|^2,$$

$$\Gamma(h_1 \to gg) = \frac{\alpha_s^2 m_{h_1}^3 O_{11}^2}{72 v^2 \pi^3} \Big| \sum_f \frac{3}{4} A_{1/2}(\tau_f)$$

$$+ \frac{O_{21}}{O_{11}} \frac{v}{v_\Phi} \sum_F \frac{3}{4} A_{1/2}(\tau_F) \Big|^2.$$



FIG. 9. A summary of the parameter space allowed by the theoretical and phenomenological constraints. The red regions show the results from the theoretical constraints (VS + PU) of Sec. III. The magenta regions are constrained by Higgs physics as well as the theoretical constraints (HP + VS + PU), as discussed in Sec. IV.

Constraints on the Gauge Sector

1. LEP Z-pole observables
2. LEP-II constraints on contact interactions
3. Constraints from Drell-Yan data from Z at LHC
4. Constraints from high-mass resonance from Z' LHC Run II data

Cheng-Tse Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Van Que Tran, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY,
JHEP 1909 (2019) 048, arXiv:1905.02396

Heavy M_X

$$Z_i = \mathcal{O}_{1i} Z^{SM} + \mathcal{O}_{2i} W'^3 + \mathcal{O}_{3i} X$$

$Z_1 \sim 91.1876$ GeV

$Z_2 \sim Z'$, $Z_3 \sim Z''$



$g_H > 10^{-3}$ depends on composition and mass of Z_2

(a)

Excluded by ATLAS Z' data

(b)

Lower limit for $v_\Phi > 20$ TeV

FIG. 3. Scatter plots in (a) (M_{Z_2}, g_H) plane and (b) (M_{Z_2}, v_Φ) plane for the heavy M_X scenario. The color code is the same as Fig. 2. The 1σ and 2σ contours of the profile likelihood are also shown.

Huang et al, 1905.02396 JHEP 1909 (2019) 048

Summary of Constraints on the Gauge Sector

- Consistency check on the gauge sector has been studied using EWPT data from LEP and LHC Run 1 and Run 2 data
- Constraints are deduced and projected sensitivities for CEPC are obtained

Cheng-Tse Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Van Que Tran, Y. L. Sming Tsai, TCY, JHEP 1909 (2019) 048, arXiv:1905.02396

Collider Phenomenology

- Double Higgs Production

Ref: Chuan-Ren Chen, Sean Yu-Xiang Lin, Van Que Tran, TCY,
arXiv:1810.04837, PRD99 (2019) no.7, 075027

Double Higgs Production in G2HDM



(a) (b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{qqh_i} &= O^H_{1i}\,\frac{m_q}{v}\,, \\
g_{q^H q^H h_i} &= O^H_{2i}\,\frac{m_{q^H}}{v_\Phi}\,, \\
g_{h_1h_1h_1} &= 6\Big(\lambda_H\, v\,(O^H_{11})^3 + \lambda_\Phi\, v_\Phi\,(O^H_{21})^3 - \lambda_\Delta\, v_\Delta\,(O^H_{31})^3\Big) \\
&+ \frac{3}{2}\Big(\,(M_{H\Delta} - 2\lambda_{H\Delta}\, v_\Delta)\,(O^H_{11})^2\, O^H_{31} + (M_{\Phi\Delta} - 2\lambda_{\Phi\Delta}\, v_\Delta)\,(O^H_{21})^2\, O^H_{31}\Big) \\
&+ 3(\lambda_{H\Phi})\,\left(v\, O^H_{11}\,(O^H_{21})^2 + v_\Phi (O^H_{11})^2\, O^H_{21}\right) \\
&+ 3\Big(\lambda_{H\Delta}\, v\, O^H_{11}\,(O^H_{31})^2 + \lambda_{\Phi\Delta}\, v_\Phi\, O^H_{21}\,(O^H_{31})^2\Big)\,, \\
g_{h_2h_1h_1} &= 6\Big(\lambda_H\, v\,(O^H_{11})^2 O^H_{12} + \lambda_\Phi\, v_\Phi\,(O^H_{21})^2 O^H_{22} - \lambda_\Delta\, v_\Delta\,(O^H_{31})^2 O^H_{32}\Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} M_{H\Delta} O^H_{11}\,(O^H_{11} O^H_{32} + 2 O^H_{12} O^H_{31}) + \frac{1}{2} M_{\Phi\Delta} O^H_{21}\,(O^H_{21} O^H_{32} + 2 O^H_{22} O^H_{31}) \\
&+ \lambda_{H\Delta}\Big[v\Big((O^H_{31})^2 O^H_{12} + 2 O^H_{11} O^H_{31} O^H_{32}\Big) - v_\Delta\Big((O^H_{11})^2 O^H_{32} + 2 O^H_{11} O^H_{12} O^H_{31}\Big)\Big] \\
&+ \lambda_{\Phi\Delta}\Big[v_\Phi\Big((O^H_{31})^2 O^H_{22} + 2 O^H_{21} O^H_{31} O^H_{32}\Big) - v_\Delta\Big((O^H_{21})^2 O^H_{32} + 2 O^H_{21} O^H_{22} O^H_{31}\Big)\Big] \\
&+ (\lambda_{H\Phi})\Big[v\Big((O^H_{21})^2 O^H_{12} + 2 O^H_{11} O^H_{21} O^H_{22}\Big) + v_\Phi\Big(O^H_{11}(O^H_{11} O^H_{22} + 2 O^H_{12} O^H_{21})\Big)\Big]\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

Before imposing DM constrains



Negative values provide constructive interferences!

$$-29 \leq \lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1} \equiv \frac{g_{h_1 h_1 h_1}}{\lambda_{\rm SM}} \leq 32$$

Correlations with Dark Matter Physics

- Relic Density

$$DD^* \to h_i \to h_1 h_1$$

- Direct Detection

$$gg \to \text{top loop} \to h_1$$



(a)



(b)

$\mathrm{BR}(h_2 \to h_1 h_1) \leq 70\,\%$

$-1 \leq \lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1} \equiv \dfrac{g_{h_1 h_1 h_1}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{SM}}} \leq 1.3$

Range of $\lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1}$ has shrunk!



Summary: Compared with previous results without DM constraints, only 2% data remains after relic density (within 3 sigma PLANCKS) and direct detection constraints (below upper limits of PandaX-II and XENON1T) are imposed!

Phenomenology

Complex Scalar Dark Matter

Hidden Parity (h-parity)

Fields	h-parity
$h,\ G^{\pm,0},\ \phi_2,\ G_H^0,\ \delta_3,\ f,\ W_{1,2,3}^{\mu},\ B_\mu,\ X^\mu,\ W_3^{\mu\prime},\ G^{\mu a}$	1
$G_H^{p,m},\ H_2^0,\ H_2^{0*},\ H^\pm,\ \Delta_{p,m},\ f^H,\ W_{1,2}^{\mu\prime}$	-1

TABLE II. Classification of all the fields in G2HDM under h-parity.

- DM Candidates:

$$\{D, \nu^H, W'^{(p,m)}\}$$

- Triplet Δ_H can give rise to dark 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole as DM, Baek, Ko and Park, JCAP 1410 (2014) 067

Recall : Dark Scalar Mass Matrix

$G = \{G_H^p, H_2^{0*}, \Delta_p\}$ basis

$$\mathcal{M}_0'^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}v^2 & \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}vv_\Phi & -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}vv_\Phi & M_{H\Delta}v_\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'_{H\Phi}v_\Phi^2 & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v \\ -\frac{1}{2}M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi & \frac{1}{2}M_{H\Delta}v & \frac{1}{4v_\Delta}\left(M_{H\Delta}v^2 + M_{\Phi\Delta}v_\Phi^2\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

- The above mass matrix has zero determinant!
- The zero eigenvalue state is the Goldstone boson absorbed by the longitudinal component of the gauge bosons of SU(2)$_H$ $W_H'^{(p,m)}$, a vector dark matter candidate.
- The other two eigenstates correspond to a dark Higgs $\tilde{\Delta}$ and a scalar dark matter candidate D.

$$\tilde{\Delta} = O_{13}^D G_H^p + O_{23}^D H_2^{0*} + O_{33}^D \Delta_p \text{ (Heavier)}$$

$$D = O_{12}^D G_H^p + O_{22}^D H_2^{0*} + O_{32}^D \Delta_p \text{ (Lighter)}$$

Complex Fields
(No definite CP)

Complex Scalar DM Composition

$$D = O^D_{12} G^p_H + O^D_{22} H^{0*}_2 + O^D_{32} \Delta_p$$

- Inert doublet-like $\quad f_{H_2^*} = (O^D_{22})^2 > 2/3$
- SU(2)$_H$ triplet-like $\quad f_{\Delta_p} = (O^D_{32})^2 > 2/3$
- Goldstone boson like $\quad f_{G^p} = (O^D_{12})^2 > 2/3$

$$f_{G^p} + f_{H_2} + f_{\Delta_p} = 1$$

Chuan-Ren Chen, Yu-Xiang Lin, Chrisna Setyo Nugroho, Raymundo Ramos, Sming Tsai, TCY, arXiv:1910.13138



Tales of 2 Portals



FIG. 2. The dominant Feynman diagrams with the $\mathcal{Z}_2$-even Higgs bosons (left) and neutral gauge bosons (right) exchange for direct detection of DM.

- Higgs Portal + Vector Portal

Inert Doublet-like DM in G2HDM



Use micrOMEGAs

FIG. 3. Doublet-like **SGSC** allowed regions projected on (m_D, $\Omega_D h^2$) (left) and (m_D, σ_n^{SI}) (right) planes. The gray area in the left has no coannihilation or resonance. The gray area in the right is excluded by PLANCK data at 2σ.

SGSC=Scalar + Gauge Sectors Constraints

Triplet-like DM in G2HDM 1/2



No Z resonance!   Use micrOMEGAs

FIG. 4. Triplet-like **SGSC** allowed regions projected on (m_D, $\Omega_D h^2$) (left) and (m_D, σ_n^{SI}) (right) planes. The gray area in the left has no coannihilation or resonance. The gray area on the right is excluded by PLANCK data at 2σ. Some orange squares are above the XENON1T limit due to ISV cancellation at nucleus level.

Triplet-like DM in G2HDM 2/2

ID can further constrain the parameter space!



Fermi Pass 8 data: 15 dSphs. Use micrOMEGAs, PPPC4, LikeDM.

FIG. 6. The present time total annihilation cross section according to dominant annihilation channel (left) and DM-neutron elastic scattering cross-section (right) for $f_{\Delta_p} > 2/3$ in the triplet-like DM case versus the DM mass m_D. Two-dimensional 2σ criteria of the ID constraints is $\Delta\chi^2 = 5.99$ based on Fermi dSphs gamma-ray flux data. Future CTA

Constraining G2HDM Scalar Sector Parameter Space



λ_H and λ'_H not constrained by RD+DD.

Fig. 6.11 A summary plot for the scalar parameter space allowed by the **SGSC** constraints (green region) and **SGSC+RD+DD** constraints (red scatter points). The numbers written in the first block of each column are the 1D allowed range of the parameter denoted in x-axis after **SGSC+RD+DD** cut.

Constraining G2HDM Remaining Parameter Space



Fig. 6.12 A summary plot table of the parameter space of two VEVs, M_{ij} term, and new gauge couplings. The color scheme is same as Fig. 6.11.

Summary of RR+DD Constraints for Triplet-like DM on top of SGSC

- λ_H and λ'_H not constrained by RD+DD.
- $\lambda_\Phi, \lambda_{H\Delta}, \lambda_{\Phi\Delta}$ are mostly constrained by RD+DD as they entered the DD^*h_i couplings .
- λ_Δ and v_Δ are not constrained at all since effects from the heavy h_3 are suppressed!
- $\lambda_{H\Phi}$ and $\lambda'_{H\Phi}$ are constrained mildly.
- Among $v_\Phi, v_\Delta, M_{H\Delta}, M_{\Phi\Delta}, g_H$ and g_X, only g_H and v_Φ can be constrained by RR+DD.
- For the DM mass in the electroweak scale, $g_H > 2 \times 10^{-2}$ and $v_\Phi > 30\,\text{TeV}$.

$SU(2)_H$ *Goldstone boson-like DM*



FIG. 1. Correlation between the ratio v_Δ/v_Φ and the composition mixing parameter f_{G^p} for all the DM types after applying constraints from the scalar and gauge sectors.

Goldstone boson like DM in G2HDM 1/2



FIG. 7. Goldstone-like DM **SGSC** allowed regions projected on (m_D, $\Omega_D h^2$) (left) and (m_D, σ_n^{SI}) (right) planes. The gray area in the left has no coannihilation or resonance. The gray area on the right is excluded by PLANCK data at 2σ. The orange squares above the XENON1T limit present ISV cancellation at nucleus level. The lower red solid line is XENON1T limit considering isospin conservation, while the upper green solid line is the same limit but for ISV with $f_n/f_p = -0.5$.

Goldstone boson like DM in G2HDM 2/2



Zoomed in mass region!

Requires ISV cancellation with special value of $f_n/f_p = -1.86$ to pass XENON1T constraint!

FIG. 8. The present time total annihilation cross section by dominant annihilation channels (left) and DM-neutron elastic scattering cross-section (right) for $f_{G^p} > 2/3$ in the Goldstone-like DM case versus the DM mass m_D. Two-dimensional 2σ criteria of the ID constraints is $\Delta\chi^2 = 5.99$ based on Fermi dSphs gamma-ray flux data. Future CTA measurements may help constrain regions with DM masses above $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ GeV as shown in the left panel. In the right panel, the lower red solid line is the published XENON1T

Summary on complex scalar DM in G2HDM

- An inert doublet in G2HDM can be emerged as DM candidate due to local gauge invariance rather than the ad hoc Z_2 discrete symmetry, which is more satisfying! Z_2 discrete symmetry emerges accidentally!
- In general, DM in G2HDM has 3 composition: Inert doublet like, triplet-like and Goldstone boson like
- Features from both Higgs-portal and vector-portal DM models are in action!
- Inert doublet like DM is excluded by current data.
- Triplet-like DM is most favorable, survived the challenges by experimental data from SGSC, DD, RD and ID. Future CTA experiment can further constrain the model parameter space.
- Goldstone boson like DM is not entirely excluded, but its parameter span must be very fine-tuned to ISV with particular value of $f_p/f_n = -1.86$.!

Thank you
for your attention!