Classification of dark pion multiplets as dark matter candidates and displaced decays

Hugues Beauchesne and Giovanni Grilli di Cortona

Speaker: Hugues Beauchesne

beauches@post.bgu.ac.il 1910.10724

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel

> Ghent November 27th, 2019

Context I

- We know there is dark matter and the WIMP paradigm is under tension (direct detection, indirect detection...).
 - Looking for new ideas
- One interesting possibility is that dark matter consists of the pseudo-Goldstone mesons of a new confining sector.
 - Dark pions

Context II

Why should we study dark pions?

- They are theoretically well motivated.
 - Neutral naturalness (Twin Higgs) (hep-ph/0607160)
 - Explanation of certain excesses (1601.07556)
 - Exoteric (hep-ph/0607160)
- They naturally accommodate more exotic and less constrained dark matter production mechanisms.
 - SIMP (1402.5143)
 - ELDER DM (1512.04545)
 - Codecaying DM (1607.03110)
- They lead to dark jets.
 - Theoretical work (1502.05409, 1503.00009, 1612.00850)
 - Experimental work (1810.10069)

Context III

- An interesting possibility is that some of the dark pions are stable and some are unstable.
- Then, the stable dark pions can act as dark matter and their interactions with the unstable ones control the dark matter abundance.
- Interactions with the SM are not required to be very strong.



Goals

- Classify multiplets of dark pions in terms of their symmetry breaking structure
- Analyze their resulting viability as dark matter candidates
- Map this to the corresponding collider phenomenology

Definition of the problem I

- Assume a new confining group \mathcal{G} .
- Assume a set of Dirac dark quarks q_i of mass m_i charged under G but neutral under the SM gauge groups.
- Assume an approximate flavor symmetry between the q_i characterized by the group G.

Definition of the problem II

Assuming the dark quarks are not too heavy, G will be broken spontaneously by the condensate to the subgroup H:

$$G \rightarrow H$$
.

► This results in a set of pseudo-Goldstone mesons, the dark pions, which form a representation of *H*.

Definition of the problem III

Assume that explicit symmetry breaking breaks H to h:

$H \rightarrow h$.

- This decomposes the pions, which formed a single representation of *H*, into several representations of *h*.
- The group h will be in general sufficient to maintain some pions stable, but not all of them.

Definition of the problem IV

$$G \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CSB}} H \xrightarrow{\mathsf{EB}} h.$$

► The Standard Model as an example:

$$SU(3)_L imes SU(3)_R o SU(3)_V o U(1)_{EM}$$

Category I: Example I

Consider the following example:

- Three dark quarks charged under a complex representation of the confining group G.
- ► The two first dark quarks, *q*₁ and *q*₂, have an *SU*(2) symmetry between them.
- ► The third quark q₃ has either a different mass or interactions than q₁ and q₂.
- Assume $m_1 > m_3$.

Then, the pattern of symmetry breaking is:

 $SU(3) \times SU(3) \rightarrow SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1).$

Category I: Example II su (3)+su (3)+su (3)+su (2)+su (2)+su (2)

 $(3.0) \qquad \alpha \sqrt{2 m_1}$ $(2,\pm 1) \qquad \alpha \sqrt{m_1 + m_3}$ $(1.0) \qquad \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2 m_1 + 4 m_3}{3}}$ $m_1 = m_2 > m_3$

► (**3**, 0)

- Stable
- Annihilation to unstable pions kinematically allowed: $(\mathbf{3}, 0)(\mathbf{3}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{1}, 0)(\mathbf{1}, 0)$

► (**2**,±1)

- Stable
- Annihilation to unstable pions kinematically allowed: $(2 + 1)(2 - 1) \rightarrow (1 - 0)(1 - 0)$

$$(\mathbf{2},+1)(\mathbf{2},-1)
ightarrow (\mathbf{1},0)(\mathbf{1},0)$$

- ► (**1**, 0)
 - Unstable

Category I: Cosmological constraints

Constraints:

- Indirect detection
 - If stable pions can annihilate to unstable ones via a kinematically allowed process, they will produce an indirect detection signal.
 - Plank, Fermi-LAT, AMS-02.
- Unitarity
- Require to reproduce the correct dark matter abundance (used to set the pion decay constant)

Applies to all categories

Category I: Cosmological evolution I



Coupling independent regime

"Large" decay width

Category I: Cosmological evolution II

Х

Codecaying regime

"Small" decay width

Category I: Constraints I

Category I: Summary

A structure of pions is said to belong to category I if, for each stable pion, there exist another stable pion with which it can annihilate to produce at least one unstable pion via a kinematically allowed $2 \rightarrow 2$ pion scattering process.

- Strongly constrained
- ▶ Will likely be even more constrained in the near future
- Upper limit on the decay length of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ m

Category II: Example

► **(3**,0)

- Stable
- Annihilation to unstable pions kinematically forbidden. $(\mathbf{3}, 0)X \rightarrow (\mathbf{1}, 0)Y$ forbidden for all X stable and all Y.

▶ (**2**,±1)

- Stable
- Annihilation to unstable pions kinematically allowed:

$$(\mathbf{2},+1)(\mathbf{2},-1)
ightarrow (\mathbf{3},0)(\mathbf{1},0)$$

- ► (**1**, 0)
 - Unstable

Category II: Cosmological evolution I

Coupling independent regime

"Large" decay width

Category II: Cosmological evolution II

Codecaying regime

"Small" decay width

Category II: Constraints I

Category II: Constraints II $m_{\pi_3^s} = 25 \text{ GeV}$

Category II: Summary

A structure of pions is said to belong to category II if, for some but not all of its stable pions, there exist another stable pion with which they can annihilate to produce at least one unstable pion via a kinematically allowed $2 \rightarrow 2$ pion scattering process.

- Suppressed indirect detection constraints
- Upper limit on the decay length of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ m

Category III: Example

Lightest charged state

 $m_1 < m_2$

- Stable
- Annihilation to unstable pions kinematically forbidden.
- All other states
 - Unstable

Category III: Constraints

Category III: Summary

A structure of pions is said to belong to category III if, for every stable pion, there does not exist another stable pion with which it can annihilate to produce at least one unstable pion via a kinematically allowed $2 \rightarrow 2$ pion scattering process.

- Essentially non-existant constraints from indirect detection
- Upper limit on the decay length of $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ m

Conclusion I

Main idea:

- The combination of spontaneous and explicit symmetry breaking determines a structure of dark pions.
- This structure determines which processes are kinematically forbidden or not.
- This determines the cosmology and the constraints.
- This determines what we can see at colliders.

Conclusion II

Three categories of pion structures:

- Category I:
 - All stable pions contribute to indirect detection.
 - Strong constraints
 - ▶ Upper limit on the decay length of the pions of O(1) m
- Category II:
 - Only some of the stable pions contribute to indirect detection.
 - Reduced constraints
 - Upper limit on the decay length of the pions of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ m

Category III:

- No stable pions contribute to indirect detection.
- Much reduced constraints
- Upper limit on the decay length of the pions of $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ m

Backup slides

Category III: Cosmological evolution I

Х

"Large" decay width

Category III: Cosmological evolution II

Х

"Small" decay width

Category I: Two unstable pions

Category II: Two unstable pions

Examples

Cat.	Label	G	\rightarrow	H	\rightarrow	h	Condition(s)
I	CIa CIb CIc	$\begin{array}{c} SU(3)\times SU(3)\\ SU(6)\\ SU(3)\times SU(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array}$	$SU(3) \\ Sp(6) \\ SU(3)$	$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array}$	$SU(2) \times U(1)$ $Sp(4) \times U(1)$ $U(1) \times U(1)$	$m_1 = m_2 > m_3$ $m_1 = m_2 > m_3$ $m_1 > m_2 > m_3$
II	CIIa CIIb CIIc CIId CIIe	$SU(4) \\ SU(3) \times SU(3) \\ SU(6) \\ SU(5) \times SU(5) \\ SU(4) \times SU(4)$	$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}$	$SO(4) \\ SU(3) \\ Sp(6) \\ SU(5) \\ SU(4)$	$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}$	$\begin{array}{c} U(1) \times U(1) \\ SU(2) \times U(1) \\ Sp(4) \times U(1) \\ SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \\ SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1) \end{array}$	$\begin{split} m_1 &< m_2 \\ m_1 = m_2 < m_3 \\ m_1 = m_2 < m_3 \\ m_1 = m_2 < m_3 = m_4 = m_5 \\ m_1 = m_2 < m_3 \leq m_4 \end{split}$
III	CIIIa CIIIb CIIIc	$SU(4) \\ SU(6) \\ SU(4) \times SU(4)$	$\stackrel{ ightarrow}{ ightarrow}$	SO(4) SO(6) SU(4)	$\stackrel{ ightarrow}{ ightarrow}$	U(1) U(1) U(1) SU(2)	$\begin{array}{c} m_1 < m_2 \\ m_1 > m_2 > m_3, \ 2m_2 > m_1 + m_3 \\ m_1 = m_2 > m_3 = m_4 \end{array}$

Table 1: Benchmark scenarios for the two categories of pion structures. The condition represents a relation that is assumed between the dark quark masses. It is sometimes crucial for the structure to be in a given category but not always. See Appendix B for more details.