



#### SEARCH FOR LONG-LIVED NEUTRAL PARTICLES PRODUCED IN P P COLLISIONS AT $\sqrt{S} = 13$ TEV DECAYING INTO DISPLACED HADRONIC JETS IN THE ATLAS INNER DETECTOR AND MUON SPECTROMETER

Margaret Lutz, Tel Aviv University on behalf of

#### **The ATLAS Collaboration**

LHC LLP Workshop, Ghent 28 November 2019





#### LLPs at ATLAS

- New Results!
  - Coming soon on the arXiv
  - CERN preprint: CERN-EP-2019-240
  - Figures and tables: <a href="https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/EXOT-2018-61">https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/EXOT-2018-61</a>

• 
$$H/\Phi \rightarrow s \ s \rightarrow f\overline{f}f\overline{f}$$

- Search for pairs of displaced hadronic jets
- One decay in the ATLAS inner detector (ID)
- One decay in the ATLAS muon spectrometer (MS)
- Uses specialized trigger and specialized reconstruction algorithms for the displaced tracks, vertices in the ID and MS
- Complementary to searches for LLPs in the MS only (MS analysis) and the hadronic calorimeter (HCal) (CR analysis)







## Analysis flow

- Search uses special subset of data which undergoes displaced reconstruction
- Events are collected by Muon Rol Cluster trigger
- Events are required to include an MS vertex (MSVx) which is matched to the trigger cluster
- Events are required to include an ID vertex (IDVx) which is isolated from the MSVx
- Data driven background estimation
- Results 🙂





## Muon Rol Cluster trigger (also see John's talk)

- LLPs decaying at or after the end of the HCal leave clusters of hits in the MS around LLP path
- L1 trigger searches for 2 muon Rols with p<sub>T</sub> ≥ 10 GeV
- At HLT, the trigger requires clusters of 3 (4) muon Rols in  $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \varphi)^2} = 0.4$ cone in MS barrel (endcaps)
- High dependence on LLP decay position



- Data/MC scale factors
  - Data/MC 1.13 ± 0.01 in the barrel and 1.04 ± 0.02 in the endcaps

\*MS analysis: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1811.07370.pdf</u> LLP triggers: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.2284.pdf</u>



4



#### MSVx reconstruction and selection

- Specialized MSVx reconstruction
  - Dense environment with lower  $p_T$  particles
  - Uses MDT chamber structure to form tracklets
  - Tracklets used to reconstruct vertices
  - Slightly different algorithms in barrel, endcaps



Selection	Barrel	Endcaps	
MSVx  η	< 0.7	> 1.3	
Matching to trigger cluster	ΔR < 0.4	ΔR < 0.4	
Precision chamber hits	300 < n <sub>MDT</sub> hits < 3000		
Trigger chamber hits	n <sub>RPC</sub> hits > 250	n <sub>TGC</sub> hits > 250	
Isolation from > 5 GeV tracks	ΔR > 0.3	ΔR > 0.6	
Max $\Sigma p_T$ in $\Delta R = 0.2$ cone	< 10 GeV	< 10 GeV	
Isolation from $p_T > 30$ GeV jets	ΔR > 0.3	ΔR > 0.6	

MS analysis: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1811.07370.pdf</u> \*MSVx Reco: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.7070.pdf</u>





#### IDVx reconstruction

- Many tracks from displaced decays in the ID aren't reconstructed with standard tracking (ST)
- Large-radius tracking (LRT)
  - Silicon-seeded tracking
  - Relaxed requirements on track parameters to increase efficiency
  - Drastically improves sensitivity to decays at R > 100 mm
- Secondary vertex reconstruction
  - Uses both ST and LRT with d<sub>0</sub> > 2 mm and p<sub>T</sub> > 1 GeV
  - Seed vertices formed pairs of tracks
  - Seed vertices merged
    - Poorly fitting tracks dropped

#### LRT Reco: <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2275635</u>

	ST	LRT
d <sub>0</sub>   [mm]	≤ 10	≤ 300
z <sub>0</sub>   [mm]	≤ 250	≤ 1500
Si hits	≥7	≥7
Unshared Si hits	≥6	≥ 5
Track p <sub>T</sub> [MeV]	> 400	> 500







<b>IDVx selection requirements</b>					
IDVx R,  z  [mm]	< 300				
IDVx χ²/n <sub>DoF</sub>	< 5				
Radial distance from PV [mm]	> 4				
Pass material veto and disabled module veto					
IDVx n <sub>trk</sub>	≥ 4				
m <sub>IDVx</sub> [GeV]	> 3				
IDVx be isolated $\Delta R > 0.4$ from good MSVx					

- Fiducial volume and vertex quality requirements
- Remove vertices from material interactions
- Isolation from MDVx to reduce chance of one high energy jet causing both an IDVx and an MSVx





## IDVx selection – $n_{trk}$ , $m_{IDVx}$

- Comparison of IDVx distributions in signal MC samples and background data samples
  - Signal MC IDVx required to be matched to generated LLP decays
- IDVx n<sub>trk</sub> distribution in data dominated by n<sub>trk</sub> = 2
  - Signal MC distribution much broader
- Selection: IDVx  $n_{trk} \ge 4$
- Selection m<sub>IDVx</sub> > 3 GeV
  - Removes ~4% ~50% of signal MC vertices passing other selections
  - Removes ~70% of data background vertices passing other selections



![](_page_7_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

- IDVx selection efficiency depends strongly on decay position
- Selection efficiency also impacted by the mass of the LLP and the relative masses of the LLP and the Φ
  - Particle momenta impacts vertex opening angle
- Unique structure of the efficiency vs LLP decay R due to material veto

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

9

TFL AVIV UNIVERSITY

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Impact on selection efficiency of each selection requirement
- Structure vs R most impacted by material veto
- Relative impact of n<sub>trk</sub> and m<sub>IDVx</sub> requirements has strong dependence on LLP

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

TEL AVIV UNIVERSIT

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Data driven background estimation

	Background events	Muon event	Rol cluster trigger s with good MSVx
Has IDVx passing full selection	Bkg+IDVx ÷		Sig
Agnostic to IDVx	Bkg	×	Sig – IDVx

- Background events selected to minimize signal contamination
- Use single muon trigger plus isolated muon requirements based on  $Z \to \mu \mu$  event selection
- Very little overlap with signal MC samples
- Develop factor  $F = \frac{N_{Bkg+IDVx}}{N_{Bkg}}$
- Estimate  $N_{Sig}^{pred.} = N_{Sig-IDVx} \times F = N_{Sig-IDVx} \times \frac{N_{Bkg+IDVx}}{N_{Bkg}}$
- Estimate 1.16 ± 0.18 (stat.)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Data driven background validation

	Background events	Muon Rol cluster agnostic to MSVx	Muon Rol cluster with good MSVx
Has IDVx, <i>n</i> trk ≥ 4, <i>m</i> IDVx > 3 GeV	Bkg+IDVx		Sig
Has IDVx, $n_{trk}$ = 3, 1 < $m_{IDVx}$ < 3 GeV	Bkg, 3-trk	Trig, 3-trk	
Has IDVx, <i>n</i> <sub>trk</sub> = 2, <i>m</i> <sub>IDVx</sub> > 3 GeV	Bkg, 2-trk		Val, 2-trk
Agnostic to IDVx	Bkg	Trig	Sig – IDVx

- Use 2-track and 3-track vertices for the validation
- Reduce signal contamination for 3-track region, remove MSVx requirement
- Develop same factors to estimate number of events in Sig-like regions
- Good agreement found in predicted vs observed numbers
- 25% uncertainty applied to background estimate
- Background estimate:  $1.16 \pm 0.18$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.29$  (syst.)

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

- One observed event – no excess above background  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$ 

 $\rightarrow ss$  [pb]

 $B_{\Phi}$ 

×

CL Upper Limit on  $\sigma$ 

95%

10-

10⊨

- Set limits using  $CL_S$
- · Limits from this analysis only

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Limits combined with CR- and MS-analysis limits
- Limits on branching ratio for a SM Higgs  $\rightarrow$  HS
- Extension of limits at low ст

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Limits combined with CR- and MS-analysis limits
- Limits on production cross section of  $\Phi$  x branching ratio to ss
- Extension of limits at low ст
- Limits for higher mass Φ do not surpass those set by the CR+MS analyses

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Addition of IDVx to displaced dijet searches allows for higher sensitivity at low cτ, particularly for Higgs or lower mass Φ as a mediator
- Extension to lower ct would benefit from specialized LLP trigger in the ID which would remove need for decay in the MS (or HCal) without relying on associated production
- LLP search program at ATLAS continues to be exciting
  - Looking forward to full Run 2 results and for potential gains in Run 3

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## BACKUP

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Displaced jets in the HCal – H/ $\Phi \rightarrow$ s s $\rightarrow f\overline{f}f\overline{f}$

- Custom trigger
  - Relies on calRatio, trackless jet features of displaced jets
  - Two triggers for low and high  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}$  regions
- Multilayer perceptron (MLP)
  - TMVA trained on signal MC samples
  - Used to predict displaced jet decay position
- Per-jet BDT
  - Inputs MLP, track, jet properties
  - Trained on signal MC, multi-jet MC, BIB data
  - Assigns BIB-, multijet-, signal- weights to jets
- Per-event BDTs
  - Inputs per-jet BDT, event level variables
  - Trained on signal MC, BIB data
  - Separates BIB events from signal events
  - Event cleaning including BDT output removes BIB
- Data driven ABCD method
  - Use per-event BDT and  $\sum \Delta R_{min}(jet, tracks)$

![](_page_17_Figure_19.jpeg)

TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ATLAS muon spectrometer

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.7070.pdf

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ATLAS inner detector

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.02826.pdf

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Material in the inner detector

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1710.04901.pdf

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# IDVx reconstruction

Track parameter	Requirement
Track $ d_0 $	$2 \text{ mm} <  d_0  < 300 \text{ mm}$
Track $ z_0 $	< 1500 mm
Track $p_{\rm T}$	> 1 GeV
Number of SCT hits	$\geq 2$
Number of pixel and TRT hits	$n_{\text{pixel}} \ge 2 \text{ or } n_{\text{TRT}} > 0$

No hits on track may be present before the vertex Hits on track must be present in the layer following the vertex

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

- IDVx selection efficiency depends on decay position
- Selection efficiency also impacted by the mass of the LLP and the relative masses of the LLP and the Φ
  - Particle momenta impacts vertex opening angle

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

23

TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

DVx selection efficiency

- Impact on selection efficiency of each selection requirement
- Structure vs R most impacted by material veto
- Relative impact of n<sub>trk</sub> and m<sub>IDVx</sub> requirements has strong dependence on LLP mass

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Impact on selection efficiency of each selection requirement
- Structure vs R most impacted by material veto
- Relative impact of n<sub>trk</sub> and m<sub>IDVx</sub> requirements has strong dependence on LLP mass

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

Long-lived particle decay R [mm]

DVx selection efficiency

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

IDVx selection efficiency

- Impact on selection efficiency of each selection requirement
- Structure vs R most impacted by material veto
- Relative impact of n<sub>trk</sub> and m<sub>IDVx</sub> requirements has strong dependence on LLP mass

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

1.4 ATLAS Simulation No IDVx sel. n<sub>o</sub>, m<sub>c</sub> = [1000,150] GeV Dist from PV > 4 mm $\chi^{2}/n_{DOF} < 5$  Material veto 0.6 0.4 0.2 50 100 150 200 250 Long-lived particle decay R [mm] DVx selection efficiency ATLAS Simulation No IDVx sel. m<sub>o</sub>, m<sub>o</sub> = [1000,150] GeV —— m<sub>IDVx</sub> > 3 GeV 1.2 – m<sub>IDVx</sub> + n<sub>tr</sub> 0.8 0. 0.2 0<sup>L</sup> 50 100 150 200 250 300 Long-lived particle decay R [mm]

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Overall selection efficiency

Selection requirements		Efficiency	Pass	Good	IDVx	<i>n</i> <sub>trk</sub>	<i>m</i> <sub>IDVx</sub>
Mass point [GeV]	<i>cτ</i> [m]	Enclency	trigger	MSVx		≥ 4	> 3 GeV
$m_H, m_s = [125, 8]$ 0.	0.200	Total	2.71%	1.07%	0.13%	0.005%	0.003%
	0.200	Relative	2.71%	39.3%	12.5%	3.61%	63.2%
$m_H, m_s = [125, 25]$ 0	0.760	Total	5.13%	2.23%	0.30%	0.03%	0.02%
	0.700	Relative	5.13%	43.5%	13.3%	9.15%	81.1%
$m_H, m_s = [125, 55]$	1 540	Total	1.98%	0.75%	0.11%	0.01%	0.01%
	1.340	Relative	1.98%	37.9%	14.2%	10.1%	85.4%
$m_{\Phi}, m_s = [200, 50]$ 1.	1 070	Total	7.06%	3.05%	0.47%	0.07%	0.06%
	1.070	Relative	7.06%	43.2%	15.3%	15.0%	83.9%
$m_{\Phi}, m_s = [400, 50]$ 0.	0 700	Total	13.7%	5.02%	0.73%	0.10%	0.09%
	0.700	Relative	13.7%	36.5%	14.5%	14.3%	83.5%
$m_{\Phi}, m_s = [600, 50]$	0.520	Total	16.4%	4.77%	0.69%	0.08%	0.07%
		Relative	16.4%	29.0%	14.5%	12.2%	78.4%

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Data driven background, validation

	n <sub>obs</sub>	
Region Bkg	6,099,660	
Region <i>Bkg+IDVx</i>	45	
Region Sig–IDVx	156,805	
	n <sub>pred</sub>	<i>n</i> <sub>obs</sub>
Region Val, 2-trk	$11,269 \pm 46$ (stat.)	11,470
Region Trig, 3-trk	$1750 \pm 64$ (stat.)	2132
Region Sig	$1.16 \pm 0.18$ (stat.) $\pm 0.29$ (syst.)	1

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Data driven background, validation

The factors used in the background estimation and the validation regions.

 $F_{2^{-trk}}$ , and  $F_{3^{-trk}}$  factors are the *F* factors used to predict the number of events in the 2and 3- track validation regions.

The  $F_*$  factors are compared using the background events, as in  $F_{Bkg.} = N_{Bkg.2-trk}/N_{Bkg}$ , or signal-like events, as in  $F_{Sig.} = N_{Val,2-trk}/N_{Sig-IDVx}$ .

F\*, ±25% shown in solid blue lines
All variations, not considering statistical uncertainties, fall within 25%.

(Error bars are the stat only)

![](_page_28_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Background vs signal

Two dimensional distributions of  $m_{\rm IDVx}$  vs IDVx  $n_{\rm trk}$ 

m<sub>IDVx</sub> [GeV]

n<sub>trk</sub>

-all signal selection criteria other than the requirements on the IDVx *n*trk and *m*IDVx. -vertices in data are displayed as numbers -vertices in signal MC as 2D distribution -final signal region denoted red lines.

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

1 event in data (also 1 IDVx in data) consistent with

Estimated yield in the signal region for MC samples assuming ggF production of the Higgs boson and a 10% BR for the Higgs boson decay to the hidden sector.

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Systematic Uncertainties – displaced track/vertex in ID

#### Data/MC systematic uncertainty

- Study performed using K<sub>S</sub><sup>0</sup> vertices reconstructed using ST and LRT in multijet MC and in data
- Good agreement found in kinematic distributions
- Distributions of data and MC LRT-only vertices binned in R
- Distributions normalized by ST-only K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub> vertices
- Largest difference in radial bins taken as uncertainty
- Dominant syst uncertainty in this analysis

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Other systematic Uncertainties

- Data/MC scale factor impact on trigger efficiency uncertainty
  - Scale factors varied up and down by uncertainty on their fit, resulting trigger eff evaluated
  - · Flat vs decay position. Uncertainties developed for barrel, endcap, per mass sample
- Pileup uncertainty
  - Impact trigger eff and MSVx reco eff
  - Pileup reweighting varied up and down by uncertainty, resulting effs compared to nominal
  - Flat vs decay position, uncertainties developed for barrel, endcap, per mass point
- PDF uncertainty
  - PDF value comes from 100 fits
  - Trigger, MSVx reco eff compared for each PDF fit vs central value
  - Flat vs decay position, uncertainties developed for barrel, endcap, per mass point
- Combination of all these, at most ~5.5% per mass sample in barrel or endcap on MSVx reco eff, and ~4.8 on trigger eff

![](_page_31_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

More limits

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

ATLAS

m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV, m<sub>e</sub> = 25 GeV

Expected ± 1 σ

Expected  $\pm 2 \sigma$ 

— Observed - - - Expected

ൃ 10'

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

10

10-

10<sup>-3</sup>

 $10^{-1}$ 

95% CL Upper Limit on  $B_{
m H^-}$ 

#### Brazil plots for each mass sample

![](_page_32_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

s proper lifetime (cτ) [m]

10

√s = 13 TeV

1

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### More limits

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Brazil plots for each mass sample

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

More limits

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Brazil plots for each mass sample

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Event in data

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Event in data

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)