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## Who am I?

- Education:
- 1996: PhD in sciences -geodesy, from University Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg
- 1994: Diploma of topographical engineer from INSA Strasbourg
- 1994: Research master (D.E.A) on spatial systems and land settlement, University Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg.
- Professional experience:
- Since 2001: CERN, in charge of projects needing non-traditional alignment solutions. Deputy leader of the SMM group. In charge of survey \& alignment for the CLIC project, LHC low beta quadrupoles and HL-LHC project.
- 1999-2000: project manager at Alcatel Contracting
- 1996-1999: project engineer on alignment systems at Fogale Nanotech


## Introduction

- Lecture based on examples from CERN and other labs
- Slides in white: lecture
- Slides in grey: outline
- Slides in green: short exercise or study case
- References are given in brackets [Jones] and full references can be found at the end of the slides.


## Introduction

out of ALIGNMENT
What does alignment mean?
According to the Oxford dictionary: "an arrangement in which two or more things are positioned in a straight line"
In the context of particle accelerators, the things are: beam instrumentation \& vacuum devices, magnets, RF components, etc.
Why aligning components?
The Earth on which we build accelerators is in constant motion
Accelerators have to be kept aligned within given tolerances to make the beam pass through

## Alignment tolerances [Fisher] [Ruland]

Error of placement which, if exceeded, leads to a machine that is uncorrectable - with an unacceptable loss of luminosity

## Introduction



# Survey, Mechatronics and Measurements 

[^0]
## Survey mandate :

- Geodetic aspects
- Dimensional metrology of accelerator and of detector components
- Positioning and alignment on beam lines
- Quality controls (infrastructure, installations, components)
- The R\&D related to these tasks



## Outline

- Introduction to geodesy
- Steps of alignment

Study cases

- Instrumentation toolkit

Study cases

- Instrumentation toolkit Study cases
- Application to colliders: LHC, HL-LHC, CLIC and FCC
- Alignment R\&D


## Introduction to geodesy

- Definition of datums
- Geoid and deflection of vertical
- Geodetic infrastructure
- Impact


## Geodesy: definition (1)

Geodesy is the science of accurately measuring and understanding three fundamental properties of the Earth: its geometric shape, its orientation in space, and its gravity field- as well as the changes of these properties with time.

Why is it so important to take it into account?

- To align components of a collider, along a plane or a straight line, we need to know the shape of the Earth very accurately
- A large part of instrumentation is set-up to perform measurements w.r.t to gravity
- We need to define the relative position of all area on surface and underground: sites, buildings, tunnels, accelerators, experiments


## Geodesy: definition (2)

A geodetic datum (or geodetic reference datum or geodetic reference system) is a coordinate system and a set of points, used for locating points on the Earth. Datum may be global, meaning that they represent the whole Earth or local (they represent an ellipsoid best fit to only a portion of Earth). There are hundreds of reference datums.

In such a geodetic system, a point is localized by its Cartesian coordinates (X, Y, Z). But such a geodetic system relies on an ellipsoid, where its geodetic coordinates are its latitude, longitude and height.


## Geodesy: definition (3)

Global Geodetic system


GPS uses the World Geodetic System WGS84 to determine the location of a point on the Earth surface

Local Datum in Europe


Positioned for a particular application: continents, countries: ED50, MN95, etc.

Since reference datums can have different radii and different center points, a specific point on the Earth can have substantially different coordinates depending on the datum used to make the measurement: «datum shift», from zero to hundreds of meters.

## Geodesy: definition (4)

CERN Datum


- CERN Geodetic Reference System (CGRF),
- CERN Coordinate System (CCS)
- CGRF is a reference surface depending on the accuracy requested and the size of the project

CGRF datum:
= plane for PS ( $\varnothing=200 \mathrm{~m}$ )
= sphere for SPS ( $\varnothing=2.2 \mathrm{~km}$ )
= ellipsoid for LHC ( $\varnothing=8.6 \mathrm{~km}$ ) (horizontal)
= geoid for LHC (vertical)


3D view of the geoid (radial variations exagerated)

## Geodesy: CERN reference systems

The geoid is a natural surface. The geoid is the gravity equipotential surface representing mean sea level, that is everywhere normal to the gravity vector (plumb line).
The geoid is irregular due to local mass anomalies (mountains, valleys or rock of various density)


## Geodesy: deflection of vertical

The deflection of vertical is the angle of divergence between the gravity vector (normal to the geoid and the normal to the ellipsoid

Maximum deviation of vertical: $15^{\prime \prime}$ relative to the ellipsoid of CERN system

Computation of the equipotential surfaces at any altitude with a $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~km}$ grid, expressed in the local origin of CERN system combined with astro-geodetic measurements using the zenithal camera of ETH Zurich

## [Jones]




Zenithal camera


## Geodesy: deflection of vertical

## Astro-gravimetric Equipotential Determination



Zenithal camera

| SOURCES | ERROR [arcsec] |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | random | systematic | model |
| Astrometry |  |  |  |
| Star Catalog (Tycho 2) | $0.01-0.1$ | $<0.01$ | UCAC3 |
| Timing (GPS + Shutter) | $<0.01$ | - | - |
| Scintillation | $0.1-1.0$ | - | - |
| Anomalous Refraction | - | $0.01-0.3$ | Ray Tracing ? |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tilt |  |  |  |
| Instrumentation Noise | $<0.05$ | - | - |
| Celestial Calibration | - | $<0.03$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ellipsoidal Coordinates |  |  |  |
| Differential GNSS | $\ll 0.01$ | - |  |

Astro-gravimetric equipotential determination: error sources


Determination of the vertical deflection

## Units

Maximum deviation of vertical = 15"

- Express it degrees, radian, gon, cc.


## Units

Maximum deviation of vertical = 15"

- Express it degrees, radian, gon, cc.

| Second of arc <br> $\left({ }^{(\prime)}\right)$ | Minute of arc <br> $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | Degree <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Radian <br> $(\mathrm{rad})$ | Gon <br> $(\mathrm{gr})$ | Centi <br> centigrad (cc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.25 | 0.0042 | 0.000073 | 0.00463 | 46.3 |

Units in survey \& alignment:

- $1^{\prime \prime}$ (second of arc) $=1^{\circ} / 3600$
- $1^{\circ}=\pi / 180 \mathrm{rad}$
- 1 gon $=\pi / 200 \mathrm{rad}=1$ gradian
- Subdivision of gradian : c (centigrad) and cc (centi-centigrad)
- $1 \mathrm{cc}=1$ dmgon $=10^{-4}$ gon


## Study case

What is the impact of curvature of the Earth on:

- A linac of 20 m
- A linac of 100 m
- A synchrotron ( $\varnothing=200 \mathrm{~m}$ )


## Geodesy: impact

- Accelerators built in a tangential plane (slightly tilted to accommodate geological deformations)
- All points around an untilted circular machine lie at the same height.
- Linear machines cut right through the equipotential iso-lines:
- Center of a 30 km linear accelerator is 17 m below the end points

Curvature correction, plane to sphere or spheroid.

| Distance <br> $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Sphere <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}[\mathrm{m}]$ | Spheroid <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}[\mathrm{m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00003 | 0.00003 |
| 50 | 0.00020 | 0.00016 |
| 100 | 0.00078 | 0.00063 |
| 1000 | 0.07846 | 0.06257 |
| 10000 | 7.84620 | 6.25749 |
| 25000 | 49.03878 | 39.10929 |

- One solution to accommodate


Effect of earth curvature on linear and circular accelerators


Three plane lay-out


Curvature correction.
[Ruland2]

## Steps of alignment

## Definition of alignment tolerances

Definition of alignment strategy
Installation and determination of surface geodetic network
Transfer of reference in the tunnel
Installation and determination of an underground geodetic network

Fiducialisation of the components
Definition of their theoretical trajectory


## Definition of alignment tolerances

Alignment error table for the dipoles


## Definition of alignment strategy



## Geodetic surface reference network

- Physical realization of points in an underlying reference system (CGRF/CCS)
- Absolute reference for all subsequent geodetic and survey work
- Civil engineering
- Infrastructure
- Alignment


GNSS station


Geodetic pillar

- Networks with different orders of precision
- Mixture of permanent GNSS stations and geodetic pillars



Distance measurements between geodetic pillars using terrameter
GNSS measurements on a Geodetic pillar

## What was achieved for HL-LHC

- Objectives: provide to Civil Engineering companies datum and associated accurate and precise reference points from the surface reference network.
- 15 pillars selected from the primary network, spread over the whole surface of LHC.
- Points determined from Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) observations, in order to get a precision and accuracy below 2 mm in planimetry and below 5 mm in altimetry. All points measured simultaneously twice, stationed during 48 h each time with individually calibrated geodetic antennas.



## Transfer of geodetic network



## Transfer of geodetic network



Nadir \& zenith plummet


Blades weight in an oil bath


Pit $\emptyset 1,5 \mathrm{~m}$

Nadiral telescope $\square \square$


## Underground geodetic network

The underground networks consist of dense networks of monuments, preferably in the floor or on the walls. Several means are proposed for their determination: total station, direct levelling, gyrotheodolite measurements, in order to reach:

- an absolute accuracy of 3-4 mm along 3 km
- a relative accuracy in planimetry between 3 consecutive monuments of 0.3 mm r.m.s. by adding wire offset measurements and in altitude between 3 consecutive monuments of 0.1 mm .



## Underground geodetic network

Deep levelling references will be distributed in the tunnels. These vertical references in invar will be sealed on stable rocks, with at their extremity a mechanical interface located just below the level of the floor, and totally independent from it. Levelling measurements will be linked to these deep levelling references considered as stable along time.


Deep reference concept


## Underground geodetic network

As tunnel networks are usually long \& narrow, simulations allow to compute and prepare the best configuration



UH TUNNEL SECTION
LOOKING EAST

[Lecoq]

## The objects to align

Each component/object to be aligned is equipped with at least two reference alignment targets and a reference for the control of the roll angle. These reference targets are called fiducials.


R
Coordinate system associated with a component: 2 fiducials +1 roll surface


R
Coordinate system associated with a component: 3 fiducials

They should be located on top of the jacks to ease the adjustment, in order to minimize level arm effects.

## Definition of the theoretical trajectory

To align the objects, we need their theoretical trajectory, defined by physicists, using the MAD-X software [general-purpose tool for charged particle optics design and studies in accelerators and beam lines]:

- First in a horizontal local coordinates system $x, y, z$
- Then in the CCS system


Local coordinate system associated with a component

## Fiducialisation

Fiducialisation is the determination of the reference axis of the component w.r.t. its external alignment targets (fiducials) accessible to survey measurements.

3 types of measurements according to the accuracy needed:

- Mechanical measurements using a gauge (typically for warm magnets)
- Laser tracker measurements when the requirements are of the order of 0.1 mm rms
- CMM measurements, for smaller components and requirements of the order of micrometers.



## Fiducialisation



LHC dipole cross section


Measuring mole


LHC dipole measurements

The geometric axis is defined as the best fit of a series of points located in the center of each cold bore tube (with an auto-centering device going through it) and measured from both extremities

## Alignment tolerances

Beam simulations provide the parameters of components and position tolerances (maximum permissible displacements in the direction of the 3 coordinates and roll) Absolute positioning tolerance: max. shape distortion by specifying how close is a component from its theoretical position
Relative positioning tolerance: alignment quality of adjacent components.

[Schwarz]

## Alignment tolerances

| Accelerator $/$ <br> collider | Epoch | Radius $/$ <br> circumference | Vertical (mm) <br> $@ 10$ | Radial (mm) <br> $@ 10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PS ring | 50 's | $100 \mathrm{~m} / 650 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\pm 0.3$ | $\pm 0.6$ |
| SPS | 70 's | $1 \mathrm{~km} / 6 \mathrm{~km}$ | $\pm 0.2$ |  |
| LEP (e+e-) | 80 's | $5 \mathrm{~km} / 27 \mathrm{~km}$ | $\pm 0.2-0.3$ |  |
| LHC (hh) | 90 's | $5 \mathrm{~km} / 27 \mathrm{~km}$ | $\pm 0.15$ |  |

## Ground motion




Example 1: vertical displacements along the LEP tunnel

- LEP tunnel is not in an horizontal plane: levelling measurements are corrected to be considered as a vertical offset w.r.t. LEP plane
- Some components were realigned from one year to another. What has been taken into account is not only the vertical offset, but also the vertical displacements performed on the jacks.

LEP levelling between 1992 and 1998

## Ground motion

Groud motion around PT5 from 2006


Cumulative distance (m)

Example 2: zoom of the vertical displacement of the tunnel floor around point 1 and point 5 of the LHC (~300m from both sides of IP) between 2006 and 2018


New technical galleries
dug during LS1

## Absolute alignment

Sequence of tasks:

- Marking on the floor: consists of marking the vertical projection of the geometrical mean of the beam line, the position of the elements, the interconnection points and the vertical projection of the head of jacks on the floor. Accuracy $\sim \pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Positioning of the jacks: the stroke of jacks compensates the errors of the floor, the errors in their positioning, cryostat construction errors and ground motion during the life of the accelerator. The jacks are positioned within $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Then,
 the jacks are sealed on the floor and their position is checked again.



## Absolute alignment

Sequence of tasks:

- First positioning: it takes place once the components are installed on their jacks. Each component is aligned independently with respect to the underground geodetic network. A component is considered aligned once its fiducials have reached their theoretical position.
- At the same time, a small local smoothing from magnet to magnet is carried out to decrease the influence of the small relative errors between the points of the geodetic network.


## Relative alignment

Smoothing: the process can only start once the magnets are connected, under vacuum and are cold down, so that all the mechanical forces are taken into account. The objective is to obtain a relative radial and vertical accuracy of 0.15 mm over a distance of 150 m.
The smoothing initially corrects both residual errors in the first positioning and ground motion.

## Relative alignment: smoothing

LSS2 : vertical smoothing


LSS2 : radial smoothing


Measurements of the Long Straight Section (LSS2) components of the LHC in 2013, 4 years after their final realignment, in vertical and in radial.

The instabilities are located in the area at the junction between the transfer tunnel TI2 and the LHC

## Once we have:

- A coordinate reference system,
- The theoretical alignment position of the fiducials in the system
- Components equipped with the fiducials

We need the instrumentation \& devices to determine the position of components and adjust them in the tunnels...

## Our tool kit

Software \& database


Optical \& digital levels


## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Levels
- Laser tracker
- Total station
- AT40x
- Photogrammetry
- Alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Levels



Height measurements between $B$ and $A$ using levels



Digital level and barcode rod


Leica NA2 \& NA2K levels

- Art. No. Leica NA2 automatic level:

Art. No. Leica NAK2 360 automatic level:
352036
352038
35203 352038
352039

- Art. No. Leica NAK2 400 automatic level:

Technical Data
Technical Data 1 km double-run
Standard deviation for 1 km double-run
levelling, depending on type of staff and on
procedure
With parallel
Telescope

| Telescope |
| :--- |
| Standard eyepiece |

FOK73 eyepiece loptionall
FOK117 (optional)
Clear objective apertu
Field of view at 100 m


## Laser tracker

- Measure 3D coordinates by tracking a laser beam to a retro-reflective target

- Combination of two techniques:
- A distance meter to measure absolute distance (laser interferometer or Absolute Distance Meter)
- Angular encoders to measure the laser tracker's two mechanical axes

```
Accuracy* }\mp@subsup{}{}{*
    All accuracies are specified as maximum permissible errors (MPE) and calculated per
    ASME B89.4.19-2006 & draft ISO10360-10 using precision Leica 1.5" Red Ring Reflectors up to }60\textrm{m}\mathrm{ distance unless
    otherwise noted
    +/-0.5 \mu\textrm{m}/\textrm{m}
    +/-10 \mum
```



Distance accuracy AIFM
Dynamic lock on

```
+/-15 \mu\textrm{m}+6\mu\textrm{m}/\textrm{m}
```

```
+/-15 \mu\textrm{m}+6\mu\textrm{m}/\textrm{m}
```


## Total station



Total station: angle measurements


Total station: point measurement


Different types of total stations


A total station in the LHC
Common Specifications for TDM/TDA5005 and TM5100A
Angular measurement
Standard deviation
per ISO17123-3, $1 \sigma^{\text {1) }}$
Units of measurement
$0.5^{\prime \prime}$ (0.15 mgon)

Display
(smallest selectable unit)

## Specifications TDM/TDA5005

Point accuracy (total RMS $\approx 1 \sigma)^{2}{ }^{2}$
at $20 \mathrm{~m}(65 \mathrm{ft})$ measuring volume
$\leq 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.012^{\prime \prime}\right)$

Distance measurement
Standard deviation (absolute)
per ISO17123-4, $1 \sigma$
at $120 \mathrm{~m}(365 \mathrm{ft})$ measuring volume ${ }^{3}$
$1 \mathrm{~mm}+2 \mathrm{ppm}\left(0.04^{\prime \prime}+2 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ over the entire measurement range
$\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.02^{\prime \prime}\right)$
$\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.008^{\prime \prime}\right)$
$\pm \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{mm}$, feet, inch
0-5 decimal places, dependent on the selected unit

Units of measurement
Display
(smallest selectable unit)


## LEICA AT40x

- Between a total station \& laser tracker - Mekometer distance meter ( 0.02 mm )
- Horizontal \& vertical encoders of TDA5000(1,5 dmgr)
- Measurement up to 160 m


AT40x in the LHC

```
Absolute Distance Performance*
Resolution: 0.1 \mum
Accuracy:+/- 10 \mum (+/- 0.00039")
Repeatability: +/- 5 \mum (+/- 0.0002")
```

```
Absolute Angular Performance*
Resolution: 0.07 arc seconds
Accuracy:+/- 15 \mum + 6 \mum/m
(+/- 0.0006" + 0.000072"/ft)
Repeatability: +/- 7.5 \mum}+3\mu\textrm{m}/\textrm{m
(+/-0.0003" + 0.000036"/ft)
```


## $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xyz}}$ Coordinate Uncertainty*

The measurement uncertainty of a coordinate " $U_{x y z}$ " is defined as the deviation between a measured coordinate and the nominal coordinate of that point. This measurement uncertainty is specified as a function of the distance between the laser tracker and the measured point.

## Reflector:

$+/-15 \mu \mathrm{~m}+6 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}(+/-0.0006$ " +0.000072 "/ft)

[^1]
## A 3D portable CMM



|  | B89.4.22 |  |  | ISO 10360-2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model | Measuring range | Point repeatability | Volumetric accuracy | MPEp | MPEe | Arm weight |
| 7312 | $1.2 \mathrm{~m} / 3.9 \mathrm{ft}$. | $0.014 \mathrm{~mm} / 0.0006 \mathrm{in}$. | $\pm 0.025 \mathrm{~mm} / 0.0010 \mathrm{in}$. | $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $5+\mathrm{L} / 40 \leq 18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $10.2 \mathrm{~kg} / 22.5 \mathrm{lbs}$ |
| 7512 | $1.2 \mathrm{~m} / 3.9 \mathrm{ft}$. | $0.010 \mathrm{~mm} / 0.0004 \mathrm{in}$. | $\pm 0.020 \mathrm{~mm} / 0.0008 \mathrm{in}$. | $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $5+\mathrm{L} / 65 \leq 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $10.8 \mathrm{~kg} / 23.8 \mathrm{lbs}$ |

## Photogrammetry

Photogrammetry $=$ science of making measurements from photographs. Fundamental principle = triangulation. By taking photographs from at least 2 different locations, lines of sight can be developed from each camera to points on the object.

## Advantages of photogrammetry

- Image acquisition needs no stable station
- Flexible use following object size
- Components < 1 m (1 sigma < $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
- Components up to $15-25 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 1 sigma $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- Mobile System
- Off-site interventions in factories
- Various assembly halls and experimental caverns


Concept of photogrammetry

- Limited measurement time for large amount of points
- Short interruption for installation, production process


## Photogrammetry

Digital photogrammetry since 1997 at CERN

- Fully automated processing
- Underexposed, convergent images
- High redundancy, reliability
- Blunder detection at measurement and adjustment level

Reference points signalised by targets (increased precision)

- CERN Reference Hole 8 mm H7


Used in combination with other systems

- scale, link to accelerator geometry

Used in all LHC experiments and others


Reference point

## Targets



## Photogrammetry: applications



CMS Tracker Barrel


Photogrammetric measurements on the CMS tracker barrel

Max. difference to best-fit cylinder

- +1.49 mm
- -0.95 mm
- Deformation max. 0.38 mm
- Comparison on identical points


## Photogrammetry: applications

## Atlas Tile Barrel




Deformations to theoretical data on the ATLAS tile barrel

- Control of assembly of 64 modules, 9 m diameter (~ 1800 tons)
- Differences/deformations to theoretical data (+-8 mm)
- Image acquisition from scaffolding (distance < 2.0 m )
- 350 photos each side, precision 0.2 mm (1 sigma)


## Software and Database Applications

## Data processing\& analysis



- Local 3-D adjustment on the ellipsoid GRS80;
- Altitudes are referred to the known local geoid and are converted into ellipsoidal height;
- Generalized least-squares processing of all types of available data
- All angular measurements, observed relative to the local horizon, are re-expressed in the CCS through an appropriate rotation matrix;
- Direct levelling data is processed as vertical distances
- Statistical and variance analysis of the results;
- Generation of random and/or systematic perturbations for simulations;
- Preparation of files for weighted Helmert transforms;


## Survey database

- Principal Client
- Survey Team
- Other Clients
- Operators, Layout, Integration, GIS


## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Specific alignment systems
- Wire offsets
- BCAM
- Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS) \& applications
- Wire Positioning System (WPS) \& applications
- Drawbacks of WPS \& HLS
- Laser based alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Wire offset measurements



Measurement of the shortest distance between a point and line [AB]


Manual device
Accuracy 0.07 mm


Automatic device
Accuracy 0.1 mm

## How to use a stretched wire in a circular collider?

- Wire length: 120 m
- Overlapping area to get redundancy
- Precision independent from the length of the wire
- Wire must be protected from air currents.
- Speed of measurements > $400 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, 80 points / day.


Wire offset measurement


Wire measurements configuration in the LHC


Wire offset measurement

## BCAM : Brandeis Camera Angle Monitor



Based on image acquisition of reflective targets


BCAM
[Gayde]
BCAM:
$\checkmark$ Viewing window $=30 \times 40 \mathrm{mrad}$;
$\checkmark$ Precision $=5 \mu \mathrm{rad}$;
$\checkmark$ Non-magnetic;
$\checkmark$ Accept a total of 400 Gray.

## Monitoring

- To gain time
- Improve accuracy
- No access needed

Requirements:


BCAM implantation in ATLAS detector

- Cover 6 DOF per moving detector
- Cycle < 30 sec.
- Resist to 1 Tesla magnetic field
- Radiation dose of 2 Gy for lifetime


## System is based on:

28 BCAMs on feet/rails system

- 44 passive targets (prisms)


## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Specific alignment systems
- Wire offsets
- BCAM
- Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS) \& applications
- Wire Positioning System (WPS) \& applications
- Drawbacks of WPS \& HLS
- Laser based alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS)



Communicating vessels


Difference of height measurement


Hydrostatic Levelling Sensor (capacitive-based)

Based on communicating vessels
Water network = reference surface
1 sensor is installed on top of each vessel to measure the distance to the water surface contactless

$$
C=\frac{\varepsilon_{o} \varepsilon_{r} S}{d}
$$

Resolution: 0.2 mm
Measurement range: 5 mm
Repeatability: 1 mm
Bandwidth: 10 Hz


Hydrostatic Levelling Sensor (ultra sound)

## HLS applications: ATLAS bedplates



HLS in ATLAS bedplates

«among» ATLAS bedplates ${ }_{65}$

## HLS applications: ATLAS bedplates



## HLS applications: ATLAS bedplates



HLS measurements during the installation of the ATLAS calorimeter from C to M position

## HLS applications: ATLAS bedplates

HLS MEASUREMENT - BARREL TOROID COILS INSTALLATION


Installation of one BT coil ( 24 m long, 100 t )
effect on the Bedplates measured with the HLS

## HLS applications: ATLAS bedplates

BEDPLATES HLS measurements [mean plane] (20.12.2004-01.01.2005)
ATLAS BEDPLATES -HLS measurements (26 Dec. 2004)


## Earthquakes «seen» by HLS sensors at CERN in 2005



## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Specific alignment systems
- Wire offsets
- BCAM
- Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS) \& applications
- Wire Positioning System (WPS) \& applications
- Laser based alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Wire positioning System (WPS)

Prototype (1990)


Version 2 in 2000


Version 1 in 1994


Version 2 CERN


Differential capacitive sensors


A capacitive measurement system converts a change in position, or properties of the dielectric material into an electrical signal (analog or digital).


## WPS: associated wire



Carbon Kevlar


Carbon PEEK/PES


Zoom on carbon Kevlar wire

Carbon peek wire:

- Diameter: 0.4 mm
- Linear mass: $235 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$
- Breaking tension: 230 N
- Conductivity $>0.025 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{\Omega} . \mathrm{mm} 2$

Other types of wires under study:

- Vectran (multifilament yarn spun from Liquid Crystal Polymer)
- Metallization of Vectran by silver plasma coating


## WPS performances



Voltage: 0-10 V
Full Range : +/- 5 mm


WPS kinematic
supporting system


Zoom on «Absolute» calibration bench


## WPS: impact of sag



Catenary of a wire


Determination of the wire sag using a superposition of HLS and WPS sensors

## WPS: two configurations

"Relative" alignment (monitoring)

"Absolute" alignment (pre-alignment)


## WPS \& HLS: alignment of LHC inner triplets



Sensors configuration on inner triplets
$\square$ low beta triplet



## WPS \& HLS: Alignment of LHC inner triplets



- LHC sensors readings under the spot line: used by OP to have a better understanding of the displacements observed on the beam
- Triplet 5R realigned with pilot beam on. First time in the world !!!


## Alignment systems and gravity

Metrology networks must provide a straight alignment of accelerators linacs. Reference frames (wire and water surface) are influenced by gravity:
$\checkmark$ Earth curvature, height, latitude
$\checkmark$ Distribution of masses in the neighborhood


Maxi. deviation of the vertical: $15^{\prime \prime}$ at CERN
$\checkmark$ Moon and sun attraction
Moon and sun act as disturbing masses, modifying the gravitational field Their impact on a given point vary according their position w.r.t the point.


## Alignment systems and gravity

Impact on WPS system:
The non uniformity of gravitational field due to combined effects of latitude, height and deflection of vertical can deform the wire significantly (up to $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) but can be correctec (theoretical result that needs to be cross-checked experimentally).

## Impact of HLS system:

HLS is affected by ocean and Earth tides but corrections can be applied [Boerez]
Effect of neighborhood masses must be taken into account


Geoid profile of 40 km

The uncertainty of the geoid determination must be strictly added to the uncertainty of vertical alignment. See [Guillaume].

## Study case

What would you suggest as an alignment strategy for :

- Case 1:
- A linac of 10 m ,
- Six 1 m long RF cavities,
- Tolerance of alignment (1 $\sigma$ ) of their mechanical axis: 0.2 mm
- Case 2:
- A linac of 100 m ,
- 80 different components (quadrupoles, sextupoles, RF cavities),
- Tolerance of alignment (1б) of their reference axis: 0.2 mm


## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Specific alignment systems
- Wire offsets
- Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS) \& applications
- Wire Positioning System (WPS) \& applications
- Drawbacks of WPS \& HLS
- Laser based alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Observing diffraction pattern of Fresnel zones plates (SLAC)



Fresnel Zone plates configuration (SLAC)
[Herrmannsfeldt]


Fresnel Zone plate (SLAC)

## Observing diffraction pattern of an iris (Spring 8)



Iris diffraction pattern based alignment

| Advantages | Drawbacks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Static targets | Measurement uncertainty depends on <br> longitudinal position |

## Observing diffraction pattern of spheres (DESY)


«poisson» measurement concept (DESY)


Diffraction pattern of spheres (DESY)

| Advantages | Drawbacks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Static targets | Limited number of targets $(\sim 16)$ |
|  | Measurement uncertainty depends on <br> longitudinal position |

## [Prenting]

## Observing diffraction pattern of a plate (NIKHEF)



## [Van der Graaf]

Optical Alignment System from NIKHEF concept

| Advantages | Drawbacks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Static plate | Only 1 target |

## Observing laser spot with open / close QPD's (KEK)



QPD: quadrant photo-detectors

## [Suwada]

 QPD picture (KEK)| Advantages | Drawbacks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Large number of photo-detectors | Uncertainty due to open/close photo- <br> detectors |

## Laser based system

## LAMBDA project: principle



- Compact \& compatible with its environment
- Measurement repeatability $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, accuracy $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

[Stern]


## Comparison of several laser based alignment systems

|  |  | Requested accuracy | Already achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observing diffraction pattern | ...of Fresnel zone plates (SLAC) | $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}(1 \sigma)$ over 3000 m | Estimated accuracy: $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1б) over 3000 m |
|  | ...of an iris (SPRING 8) | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(2 \sigma)$ over 10 m | Pointing stability: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (2б) over 10 m |
|  | ...of spheres (DESY) | $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}(1 \sigma)$ over 150 m | Estimated achievable accuracy: 100/200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (1б) over 150 m |
|  | ... of diffraction plate (NIKHEF) | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1б) over 200 m | Estimated achievable accuracy: $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1б) over 140 m |
| Observing laser spot | ...with open/close quadrant photo-detectors (KEK) | $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}(1 \sigma)$ over 500 m | Pointing stability: $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Estimated accuracy: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1б) over 500 m |
|  | ... with open/close shutters (CERN) | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(1 \sigma)$ over 200 m | Pointing stability: <br> $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1б) over 35 m |

## Instrumentation toolkit

- Determination of the position
- Standard instruments
- Specific alignment systems
- Wire offsets
- Hydrostatic Levelling System (HLS) \& applications
- Wire Positioning System (WPS) \& applications
- Drawbacks of WPS \& HLS
- Laser based alignment systems
- Adjustment


## Standard means of adjustment



Wedge jack adjuster as used in APS.
The upper wedge is pushed up or down by displacing horizontally the lower wedge.
[Ruland2]


- Horizontal plane adjusted by the height of 3 vertical rods
- One or two sliding plates to adjust the horizontal
- Adjustment: pull/push the top plate sliding on

Push-push screw arrangement. the plate below.

## Standard means of adjustment



Magnet positioning mount with roller cams.

Struts

 1 (Z) Lateral Struts


Kinematic suspension

ALS 20-ton machine screw jack strut.
ALS 5-ton machine screw jack strut.
Struts are length-adjustable rigid members with spherical joints at each end.
[Ruland2]

## Standard means of adjustment

Polyurethane jack

«Indian» LHC jack


## Motorized jacks

## Different cases:

- Remote alignment in severe environment
- Active pre-alignment



## LHC motorized jacks

"Short" magnets: Q1. Q3
"Long" magnets : Q2


Jack configuration in the LHC


Polurethane pastille


LHC motorized jack

Motorization concept of the LHC jack


## Cam movers



Cam movers concept

(Base View)


2 m long girder for the qualification of cam movers at CERN


Cam configuration for 5 DOF displacements [Kemppinen]

## Case of the LHC



Tunnel empty


## Determination of underground geodetic network



Marking on the floor


## Positioning of jacks



## Initial vertical alignment



## Initial longitudinal alignment



## Initial longitudinal alignment



## Vertical smoothing



## Radial smoothing




## Current challenges on HL-LHC

- Internal monitoring of cold masses
- Full Remote Alignment


## HL-LHC: introduction



## HL-LHC: introduction


$\checkmark$ New IR-quads Nb3Sn (inner triplets)
$\checkmark$ New 11 T Nb3Sn (short) dipoles
$\checkmark$ Collimation upgrade
$\checkmark$ Cryogenics upgrade
$\checkmark$ Crab Cavities
$\checkmark$ Cold powering
$\checkmark$ Machine protection
$\checkmark$...

2 new challenges on survey \& alignment:
$\checkmark$ Internal monitoring
$\checkmark$ Full Remote Alignment System

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system

- From the LHC experience: we know at the micron level the position of the cryostat, but not what happens inside $\rightarrow$ difficult to correlate with beam.
- Displacements up to $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(3 \sigma)$ seen on the LHC dipoles after transport
- Strong interest from BE/ABP to know more accurately than in the LHC the longitudinal position of the cold mass

HL-LHC quadrupole cross-section

- Decision to include in the baseline the internal monitoring of the inner triplet cold masses using laser interferometer (less «invasive» solution)
- Validation of the commercial solution based on Frequency Scanning Interferometry (FSI), providing absolute distance measurements


FSI measurement concept

- $\Delta$ Phase (meas.) $=\frac{2 \pi}{c} * L_{M} * \Delta v$
- $\Delta$ Phase (ref.) $=\frac{2 \pi}{c} * L_{R} * \Delta v$

$$
\frac{\Delta \text { Phase (meas.) }}{\Delta \text { Phase ( ref.) }}=\frac{L_{M}}{L_{R}}
$$

The distance measurement is deduced from the ratio between the phase change induced in an interferometer reference and an interferometer measurement by frequency scanning


FSI measurement

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system

Validation on independent benches
Performance of one line FSI \& study of an alternative

- Irradiation tests
- Thermal tests
- Precision, accuracy,...
$\left.\rightarrow \begin{array}{l}\text { Validation on Crab } \\ \text { cavities in SM18 \& SPS } \\ \text { Performance target at } \\ \text { warm, vacuum, cold, } \\ \text { and cross-comparison } \\ \text { with other systems }\end{array}\right]$

> Validation on a test magnet (Dipole)
> Validation of performance
> - Accuracy and precision
> - Long term stability
> - Cryo-condensation issues


HL-LHC inner triplet

[Mainaud Durand2]

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system



FSI measurement configuration in crab cavity cryostat


Feedthrough
CCR

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system



Crab cavity prototype installed in SPS


FSI measurements in the SPS prototype

- Successful cross-comparison with other systems at warm, at cold, under vacuum
- Accuracy of the absolute position of crab cavities using FSI : $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Relative position: a few micrometers


## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system



Installation steps of the FSI target
[Mainaud Durand3]


Hollow prism


Ball Retro Reflector

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system



## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system


$\alpha$ - is a sweep rate of the laser ( $\alpha=\frac{d v}{d t}$ - laser frequency change in time );
c - speed of light; n - refractive index of light transmission medium;
$\tau$ - time of flight of laser to the target

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system

- Very robust measurement method - almost insensitive to the light intensity (high and very small power reflections visible over the noise background
- Possible to use low cost glass balls as a reflectors
- Possible to measure multiple targets within single laser scan
- Beam delivery optics can be very simple
- Possible to use with the collimated and divergent beams
- Simple and scalable Optics



New reflector in its isolated support

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system



Coordinates determination using FSI distance
measurements

## HL-LHC: internal monitoring system

in section

FSI measurement concept



Coordinates after 3 thermal cycles
Accuracy of section determination

| Direction | Accuracy (mm) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}:$ Radial [mm] | 0.060 |
| Y : Longitudinal [mm] | 0.085 |
| Z : Vertical [mm] | 0.030 |

## HL-LHC: Full Remote Alignment System



The Full Remote Alignment System (FRAS) will allow aligning rigidly (as a block, simultaneously) and remotely from the CERN Control Centre, all the components from Q1 to Q5 on both sides of the IP within $\pm$ 2.5 mm .

It will allow:

- An important reduction of the dose taken by surveyors
- A reduction in the mechanical misalignment, allowing to decrease the required correctors strength
- A gain in aperture for several components through the reduction of tolerances.

- The initial alignment of the new components in the tunnel w.r.t. the underground geodetic network.
- The smoothing of the new components along an "ideal" line from Q7 Left - Inner tracker detector Q7 Right to make the first pilot beam pass through.
- After a few weeks of operation, as soon as enough luminosity will have been accumulated to check the real position of the IP, a rigid remote re-alignment of all components from Q5 Left to Q5 Right will be carried out according to the offsets seen in the inner tracker.
- During the first YETS of Run 4, all the motors will be recentered to benefit from the maximum stroke (if needed after the first months of operation), while the level of radiations is still low.
- The compensation of ground motion all along the following years, when needed, will be performed preferably during TS, as a machine requalification is required after each movement. Small machine movements (within a few tenths of a millimetre) could be allowed without requalification during the operation of a pilot beam.


## HL-LHC: Full Remote Alignment System



Components in red and in green, compatible with a remote alignment (enough aperture and flexibility of bellows)

## HL-LHC: Full Remote Alignment System

Solution proposed for the position determination

1. Measure the position of components using Laser tracker and permanent targets


Glass sphere

$\checkmark$ Only at the end of YETS and LS $\checkmark$ In the tunnel
2. Measure the position using permanent sensors installed on the cryostat

$\checkmark$ Continuous and remote measurements
$\checkmark$ From the CCC

## HL-LHC: Full Remote Alignment System

## Solution proposed for the adjustment solution

1. For components with a weight above 2 t : jacks, with motorization when needed

2. For components with a weight below 2 t : platforms, with motorization when needed


Adjustment possibilities using a platform

## Full Remote Alignment System

## «Standardized» adjustment platform



CLIC adjustment: space constrain


CLEAR components

Why a 5 DOF adjustment platform?

- More than 40000 DB quadrupoles to be aligned 2 per 2 on a common support within a budget of error $<20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- First tests used shims for the adjustment: the alignment took more than 1 day per quadrupole!
- Decision to develop a specific platform, with all adjustment knobs on the same side, in a limited volume.


## Requirements:

- Stroke: $\pm 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in X and Y , rotations adjustment within $\pm 4 \mathrm{mrad}$
- Micrometric adjustment for $X$ and $Y$ translations, $20 \mu$ rad for angular adj.


## Full Remote Alignment System

«Standardized» adjustment platform


## Full Remote Alignment System

«Standardized» adjustment platform with plug-in motors


## Full Remote Alignment System

«Standardized» adjustment platform


Universal adjustment solution - concept of use plug-in motors: a) Platform measurement from distance using a laser tracker;
b) Installation of plug-in motors in less than one minute;
c) Remote adjustment from distance.


Universal adjustment platform

- manual operation concept


Universal adjustment solution - permanent motors version concept

## Full Remote Alignment System

## «Standardized» adjustment platform


(1) Spherical joints
(2) Flexural joints: Nitinol joints and flexible shaft

(1) (2)


## R\&D in survey \& alignment:

Case of CLIC project
Case of FCC project Other developments

## CLIC: introduction

## - CLIC= Compact LInear Collider

- Project Implementation Plan under preparation for consideration by the European Strategy Update Process in 2020.



Footprint of the CLIC

## CLIC: introduction

## Beam off

Mechanical pre-alignment $\sim 0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ over 200 m

Active pre-alignment $14-17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ over 200 m
Beam on

Beam based Alignment \& Beam based feedbacks


## CLIC: introduction

- Considering the number of components to be aligned, ground motion, such tight tolerances can not be obtained by a static on-time alignment system.
- Active pre-alignment: we associate movers and sensors to the components to maintain them in place.

Total budget error allocated to the associate positioning of the reference axes of the major accelerator components can be represented by points inside a cylinder over a sliding window of 200m.

## Along BDS:

Radius equals to $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ over sliding windows of 500 m

Along Main Linac: over sliding windows of 200 m


| Component type | AS | BPM | MB Quad | DB quad |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Radius $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 14 | 14 | 17 | 20 |

## CLIC: introduction

Components to be aligned:


## Strategy:



2 steps:

- Fiducialisation \& initial alignment of the components and their support
- Transfer in tunnel and alignment in tunnel


## Fiducialisation:

## CLIC: alignment strategy



Initial alignment:


[Mainaud Durand5]

## PACMAN project

PACMAN NETWORK
CERN, CH
Cranfield University, UK
Delft University of Technology, NL
ETH Zürich, CH
IFIC,ES
LAPP, FR
University of Sannio, IT
SYMME, FR
University of Pisa, IT
DMP, ES
ELTOS, IT
ETALON, DE
Hexagon Metrology, DE
METROLAB, CH
National Instruments, HU
SIGMAPHI, FR
TNO, NL
PACMAN = a study on Particle Accelerator Components' Metrology and Alignment to the Nanometre scale
It is an Innovative Doctoral Program, hosted by CERN, providing training to 10 Early Stage Researchers.



## PACMAN: a few interesting results

Even if your BPM and quadrupole quadrants were manufactured at a micrometric accuracy, the electric / magnetic axes are not so close from the mechanical axes.

TABLE V. Mechanical, magnetic, and electric axes center offset.

|  | X <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | Y <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | Uncertainty <br> $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBQ (magnetic vs mechanical) | -21.6 | 40.9 | $\pm 10$ |
| BPM (electric vs mechanical) | 17.3 | 40.6 | $\pm 4$ |
| BPM/MBQ (electric <br> vs magnetic) | -2.3 | -7.5 | $\pm 1.2$ |

TABLE III. Offset between the mechanical axis and the magnetic axis at 126 A .

| Horiz. center | Vert. center | Yaw | Pitch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $32.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $20.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $-75.9 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ | $-57.4 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |

TABLE II. Offset between the magnetic axis at 4 and 126 A .

| Horiz. center | Vert. center | Yaw | Pitch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $3.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $-2.3 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ | $-5.1 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |



## PACMAN: a few interesting results

Determination of the position of the stretched wire, w.r.t. external targets: 3 methods:

- Coordinate Measuring Machine measurements (+wire measured using confocal sensor plugged on the CMM head): uncertainty $\sim 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Frequency Scanning Interferometry (absolute distance measurements)
- Micro-triangulation (angle measurements)

FSI demonstrated a very high accuracy: difference between FSI \& CMM measurement on coordinates $<2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Portable \& self calibrating


Micro-triangulation: after comparison with CMM measurements, $85 \%$ of the measured coordinates $<15 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 75 \%<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 42 \%<5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in a not optimal configuration.

- All components individually fiducialised (PACMAN process using stretched wire)
- Alignment on a common support using plug-in system, knowing the position of the targets.


## PACMAN: scenario 2

- All components installed roughly on a common support
- Installation of a stretched wire to align all the components reference axes at a theoretical position on the common support (PACMAN process +5 DOF adjustment system)
- Determination of the position of the alignment targets once all the components are at the theoretical position




## PACMAN \& summary



## CLIC: alignment strategy

Summary of the results achieved

| Components type | AS, BPM (Mm) |  | MB quad (um) |  | DB quad (um) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 2012 | 2018 | 2012 | 2018 | 2012 | 2018 |
| Fiducialisation | 5 (TBC) |  | 10 (TBC) |  | 10 (TBC) |  |
| Fiducials to pre-alignment sensor interface | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Pre-alignment sensor accuracy | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Sensor linearity | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Straight reference | 10 (TBC) | 7 (in radial, TBC in vert.) | 10 (TBC) | 7 (in radial, TBC in vert.) | 10 (TBC) | 7 (in radial, TBC in vert.) |
| Total error budget | 14 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 11 |

BUT... Active pre-alignment strategy validated only at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, not at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ !

# CLIC: alignment strategy 

| Geodesy | Study of MRN | Study of SPN | Fiducialisation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Relative determination <br> of vertical deflection | Modelisation of a wire <br> using Eigenfrequencies | Study of low cost <br> sensors and <br> industrialization | PACMAN studies on AS <br> structures |
| New methods for <br> vertical deflection <br> measurements in pits | Development of <br> corresponding least <br> squares algorithms | Development of low <br> cost linear actuators <br> and industrialization | Development of a FSI <br> bench for in-situ <br> fiducialisation |
| Impact of gravitational <br> fields on wires | Sensors configuration <br> optimization, | Impact of an operation <br> at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on alignment <br> simulations over long <br> systems | Development of low <br> cost adjustment <br> platforms and <br> industrialization |
|  | Development of a new <br> wire | FSI R\&D on sensors | Improve adjustment <br> solution for the BPM |
| on the quadrupole |  |  |  |$|$

## FCC alignment

Future Circular Collider (FCC)


## FCC alignment

- Absolute tolerance
- As no real values obtained, we are going to do the best we can (few mm)
- Relative tolerance
* All errors included

| Accelerator <br> collider | Radius/ <br> Circumference | Vertical (mm) <br> @1. | Transversal <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ @1. | Roll <br> angle <br> $(\mathrm{mrad})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LEP(e+e-) | $5 \mathrm{~km} / 27 \mathrm{~km}$ | $0.2-0.3$ | $0.2-0.3$ | 0.1 |
| LHC (hh) | $5 \mathrm{~km} / 27 \mathrm{~km}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.1 |
| CLIC (e+e-) | $2 * 25 \mathrm{~km}$ | 17 microns radially* |  |  |
| FCC-hh | $16 \mathrm{~km} / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ | $0.2\left(0.5^{*}\right)$ | $0.2\left(0.5^{*}\right)$ | 1.0 |
| FCC-ee | $16 \mathrm{~km} / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ | $0.1^{*}$ | $0.1^{*}$ | 0.1 |
| HE-LHC | $5 \mathrm{~km} / 27 \mathrm{~km}$ | $0.2\left(0.5^{*}\right)$ | $0.2\left(0.5^{*}\right)$ | $0.1 ?$ |

## FCC alignment

## Geodetic Infrastructure \& Activities



## FCC alignment



## Other Constraints

- Significant tunnel / ground motion possible (>1 mm / year in LHC)
- Maintenance Access
- Beamline elements
- Position Monitoring and Alignment System



## FCC alignment

## Provisional Survey Working Parameters

( $E$ Er Interpretations \& Assumptions! To Confirm!!

- Tunnel Alignment Precision Requirement
- Main Ring: ~30 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ @ 1б
- Booster Ring: ~50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ @ 1 $\sigma$
- Quadrupoles and Sextupoles
- Assembled on a Single Girder
- Frequent position monitoring required
- Re-alignment/Smoothing at least 1 / year
- Main Beam arcs => ~12000 beamline modules
- Booster arcs => ~10000 beamline modules

Provisional Survey Working Parameters Interpretations \& Assumptions! To Confirm!!

- Limited time for Survey tunnel activities
- During both installation and operation
- Maintenance Access
- Cannot disturb any Survey

Tunnel Reference Infrastructure

- CDR Position Monitoring and Alignment Solution

- Based on design for CLIC
- Consequences for Accelerator Installation
- Consequences for Geodesy

> We have to develop a new generation of alignment sensors and actuators making the remote alignment of accelerators affordable.

## Other R\&D



FSI-based HLS


Capacitive based WPS


## Study case: ESRF

What is the alignment strategy for :

- A synchrotron ( $\varnothing=270 \mathrm{~m}$ ), including:
- 129 girders
- 1000 magnets


All info from this (very interesting) presentation:

Alignment of the ESRF Extremely Brilliant Source (EBS) IWAA2018 Fermilab David Martin ESRF

## Study case: ESRF



## Study case: ESRF

```
FIDUCIALISATION UNCERTAINTY
```

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laser Tracker |  |  |  |
| Wre position | 13 | 17 | 22 |
| Measurement | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Repeatability | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| Magnet measurements |  | 7 | 7 |
| Magnetic Fiducialisation | 13 | 22 | 27 |
| Magnet Shim Determination |  |  | 29 |
| Total | 13 | 22 | 40 |



This is just one of many contributions to the overall alignment uncertainty

## Study case: ESRF



## Study case: ESRF

MAGNETS ARE INSTALLED ON THE GIRDER AND ALIGNED


The magnets are installed on the girders and aligned to their nominal positions


THE MAGNETS ARE OPENED AND THE VACUUM STRING IS INSTALLED.


## Study case: ESRF



## Study case: ESRF



## Study case: ESRF


estimated installed uncertainties

Final magnet alignment uncertainties
for the EBS machine are currently
estimated to be:


Recall required tolerances:

|  | $\mathbf{U x}$ <br> $[\mathrm{um}]$ | $\mathbf{U y}$ <br> $[\mathrm{um}]$ | $\mathbf{U z}$ <br> $[\mathrm{um}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiducialisation | 13 | 22 | 40 |
| Girder Rectitude | 38 | 8 | 8 |
| Magnet Opening/Closing | 8 | 5 | 7 |
| Alignment on girder | 126 | 29 | 31 |
| Transport | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Alignment in tunnel* | 25 | 15 | 15 |
| Measurement in tunnel** | 26 | 55 | 30 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| * Estimated from existing networks <br> ** |  |  |  |

** These values will certainly evolve downward

| Machine | $\mathbf{\Delta y}$ <br> $[\mathbf{u m l}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta z}$ <br> $\lceil\mathbf{u m l}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta x}$ <br> $[\mathbf{u m l}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long. Varying field dipoles | $>100$ | $>100$ | 1000 |
| High gradient quadrupoles, <br> Combined function dipoles | 60 | 60 | 500 |
| Medium gradient quads | 100 | 85 | 500 |
| Sextupoles | 70 | 50 | 500 |
| Octupoles | 100 | 100 | 500 |

## Conclusion

Do not forget Survey \& alignment in your project, you will gain:

- Time
- Accuracy
- Efficiency

Lines of sight in tunnel, geodetic networks on surface, pits, coordinate systems and geodetic reference frames, must be defined asap, even before the official green light of the project.

Tolerances of alignment of all the components have to be defined asap to establish a clear strategy of alignment and chose the most appropriate solutions and instrumentation.

## Conclusion

For the next generation of colliders, we need to develop robust, performant and low cost alignment sensors, optimizing also associated cables.

We will need to automatize standard operation, due to the limited access in the tunnel, important number of components to be marked, pre-aligned, etc.

The Micron World, in which steel acts like butter and in which temperature excursions are like Gulliver's Travels, has been tamed and industrialized on the laboratory scale. I do not believe the problems that we are going to encounter in the design of future linear colliders on a kilometer scale will turn out to be fundamental. Rather, the challenge will be to be innovative enough to find sound engineering solutions that we can afford. Further, we should involve the alignment community in all aspects of the design decision making process at the earliest moment.

ALIGNMENT AND VIBRATION ISSUES
IN TeV LINEAR COLLIDER DESIGN
G. E. Fischer

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, CA
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[^0]:    Survey, Mechatronics and Measurements (SMM) group
    The SMM Group develops and maintains a centralized competence in Survey, Mechatronic systems, tests and Measurement. The group is in charge of maintaining a competence in the development of radiation tolerant electronics, and provides support CERN wide for radiation tests and radiation monitoring for evaluating the dose to electronics installed in radiation areas. The group develops robotic platforms adapted to interventions in the accelerator environment, and deploys those solutions in collaboration with all groups in the Accelerator and Technology sector. SMM is able to provide computing support for data acquisition, data processing and data analysis, as well as for data storage related to all these activities.

[^1]:    *Maximum Permissible Error (MPE)

