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## 1. Beam-gas interactions

## Cross section

- The cross section $\sigma$, is the probability the beam interacts with the atoms of target
- When a beam of intensity I, cross a target of thickness dx with a density of atoms n , the change in beam current is:

$$
d I=-I \sigma n d x
$$

- The cross section is a constant having the dimension of an area
- The unit is 1 barn $=10^{-28} \mathrm{~m}^{2}=10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$

- The beam moves at a speed v , thus the thickness of target traversed during the time dt equals: vdt
- using the previous equation, this gives:

$$
\frac{d I}{d t}=-\operatorname{In} v \sigma
$$

Beam residual gas interactions, SP Møller, CAS, CERN 99-05
Lifetime, cross-section and activation, P. Grasfström, CAS, CERN 2007-003

## Life time

- The evolution of the beam current is given by the integration of the previous equation:

$$
I=I_{0} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \quad \text { with life time } \quad \tau=\frac{1}{n \sigma v}
$$

- During the interaction process, the beam current decrease exponentially with a time constant inversely proportional to the gas density and the cross section
- In a vacuum system, the beams interacted differently with the different gas species of density $n_{i}$ according to their respective cross sections $\sigma_{i}$ :

$$
\tau_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i} \sigma_{i} v}
$$

- Summing up the interaction process on the different gas species gives:

$$
\sum \frac{d I_{i}}{d t}=-I v \sum n_{i} \sigma_{i}
$$

- Thus:

$$
\frac{1}{\tau}=\sum \frac{1}{\tau_{i}} \quad \text { For a vacuum system: } \quad \frac{1}{\tau}=\frac{1}{\tau_{H 2}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{C H 4}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{H 2 O}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{C O}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{C O 2}}
$$

The vacuum life time must be much larger (i.e. >> 24 h) than other life times e.g. the particle loss due to the collisions etc.

## Beam-gas interactions




Beam residual gas interactions, SP Møller, CAS, CERN 99-05

## Proton storage ring

- At high energy, the proton beam can interact with the nuclei of the atom. The scattered proton change its direction or loses so much energy that it is lost from the beam
- The nuclear scattering cross section increases with beam energy :

7 TeV values

| Gas | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{m b})$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {rel, }, \mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2 | 95 | 1 |
| He | 2 | 126 | 1.33 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 16 | 566 | 5.96 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 18 | 565 | 5.96 |
| CO | 28 | 854 | 8.99 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 14 | 820 | 8.63 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 32 | 924 | 9.73 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 44 | 1317 | 13.86 |
| Kr | 84 | 2177 | 22.92 |
| Xe | 131 | 3231 | 34.01 |



- In a vacuum system, it is convenient to express the gas density in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ equivalent as if there were one specie of gas.

$$
n_{H 2 e q}=\sum n_{i} \sigma_{r e l, i}
$$

## Application: LHC vacuum life time

- In the LHC, the vacuum life time is defined by nuclear scattering set to 100 h :
- The corresponding $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas density is:

$$
n_{H 2}=\frac{1}{\tau \sigma_{H 2} c}=\frac{1}{3.610^{5} \times 9510^{-31} \times 310^{8}}=10^{15} \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

- i.e. $41^{-8} \mathrm{mbar}$
- Assuming the residual gas composition is dominated by CO, this would correspond to:

$$
n_{C O}=\frac{n_{H 2}}{\sigma_{r e l, i}}=\frac{10^{15}}{8.99}=10^{14} \mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{m}^{-3}
$$

- i.e. $410^{-9} \mathrm{mbar}$
- Assume a gas mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and CO , with $210^{14} \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ and $510^{13} \mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ i.e. a total pressure of $10^{-8} \mathrm{mbar}$, what would be the vacuum life time?
- compute the equivalent H 2 gas density:

$$
n_{H 2 e q}=n_{H 2}+n_{C O} \sigma_{r e l, c o}=210^{14}+8.99 \times 510^{13}=6.410^{14}
$$

- compute the vacuum life time:

$$
\tau=\frac{1}{3600} \frac{1}{n_{H 2 e q} \sigma_{H 2} c}=\frac{1}{3600} \frac{1}{6.410^{14} \times 9510^{-31} \times 310^{8}}=150 \mathrm{~h}
$$

## 2. Pressure profiles

## Gas flow in an elemental chamber

- We assume an elemental vacuum chamber pipe of length $d x$, with specific conductance $c$, into which gas desorbs at a rate a.
- The gas flow, $Q$, through the elemental chamber is:

VACUUM CHAMBER


- The change of gas density in the volume element $V d x$ between $x$ and $x+d x$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V d x \frac{d P}{d t}=+a d x+c\left[\frac{d P}{d x}\right]_{x+d x}-c\left[\frac{d P}{d x}\right]_{x} \\
& V \frac{d P}{d t}=a+c\left[\frac{d^{2} P}{d x^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- In steady state condition ( $\mathrm{dP} / \mathrm{dt}=0$ ), we have:

$$
\frac{d^{2} P}{d x^{2}}=-\frac{a}{c}
$$

- Which solution is a parabolic function.

[^0]
## Simple machine

- We assume a simple machine with pumps of speed 2 , regularly spaced by a distance 2 L .

- boundary conditions:

$$
\left[\frac{d P}{d x}\right]_{x=L}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad P(0)=P(L)=\frac{a L}{S}
$$

- So:

$$
P(x)=\frac{a L}{S}+\frac{a L}{c} x-\frac{a}{2 c} x^{2}
$$

- The maximum pressure is given by:

$$
P_{\max }=\frac{a L}{S}+\frac{a L^{2}}{2 c}
$$

- The average pressure is given by:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{2 L} P(x) d x=a L\left[\frac{1}{S}+\frac{L}{3 c}\right]
$$

Vacuum system design, A.G. Mathewson, CAS, CERN 94-041

## Simple machine: application

- P is the gas pressure (mbar)
- V is the volume per unit of length $\left(1 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$
- C is the specific conductance of the tube $\left(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}\right)$
- $a$ is the gas desorption per unit length of tube (mbar I s ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ )
- 2 L is the distance between the pumps (m)
- 2 S is the pumping speed $\left(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$

|  | P1(x) | P2(x) | $P(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D (cm) | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ | 121 | 121 | 15.1 |
| $2 \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 30 | 300 | 300 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {max }}$ (mbar) | $610^{-9}$ | $310^{-9}$ | $110^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pav}_{\mathrm{av}}$ (mbar) | $310^{-9}$ | $210^{-9}$ | $710^{-9}$ |


——p1(x)
_-p2(x)
_ p3 3 ( $x$ )

## The average pressure is dominated by the conductance



## Simple machine with distributed pumping, s

$$
V \frac{d P}{d t}=a+(b-s) P+C \frac{d^{2} P}{d x^{2}}
$$

- Where:
a is the linear outgassing rate (includes thermal
VACUUM CHAMBER
desorption and photon stimulated desorption) in Torr. $1 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$
$s$ is the linear pumping speed in $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$

$b$ is the ion induced desorption; $b=10^{3} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{\sigma l} / \mathrm{e}$ in $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$
I is the proton beam current in A
C is the specific conductance of the vacuum chamber in I.m/s V is the volume per unit of length in $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{m}$
- In quasi static conditions:

$$
\frac{d^{2} P}{d x^{2}}=\left(\frac{s-b}{C}\right) P-\frac{a}{C}
$$

- For long tubes $\mathrm{Cd}^{2} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{dx}^{2}=0$ :

$$
P_{\text {inf }}=\frac{a}{s-b}
$$

- So the vacuum system is stable if $s-b>0$ i.e. $s>b$


## Simple machine with distributed pumping, s

- For short tubes $\mathrm{Cd}^{2} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{dx}^{2} \neq 0$ :

$$
P(x)=A e^{-\lambda x}+B e^{\lambda x}+\frac{a}{\lambda^{2} C} \quad \text { with } \quad \lambda^{2}=\frac{s-b}{C}
$$

- With the following boundary conditions:

- It gives:

$$
P(x)=P_{\text {inf }}\left(1-\frac{\cosh (\lambda x)}{\cosh (\lambda L)\left(1+\frac{C}{2 S} \lambda \tanh (\lambda L)\right)}\right)
$$

## Simple machine with distributed pumping: application

- P is the gas pressure (mbar)
- V is the volume per unit of length $\left(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$
- c is the specific conductance of the tube $\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}\right)$
- $b$ is set to zero

|  | P1(x) | P2(x) | P(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D (cm) | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ | 121 | 121 | 15.1 |
| $2 \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 30 | 300 | 300 |
| a (mbar I/s / m ) | $310^{-9}$ | $310^{-9}$ | $1.510^{-9}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$ | 1834 | 1834 | 917 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{inf}}(\mathrm{mbar})$ | $210^{-12}$ | $210^{-12}$ | $20^{-12}$ |

- $a$ is the gas desorption per unit length of tube (mbar I s ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ )
- 2 L is the distance between the pumps (m)
- 2 S is the pumping speed $\left(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$

- 50 cm away from the pump, the pressure is independent of its pumping speed


## The average pressure is dominated by the long tube pressure $\mathrm{P}_{\text {inf }}$



## VASCO : a code to study vacuum stability



The changing rate of the number of molecules per unit volume:

- Molecular diffusion
- Beam induced dynamic effects: ion, electron and photon induced molecular desorption.
- Gas pumping distributed along the beam pipe: NEG and Cryo
- Gas lumped pumping: Sputtered ion pumps


## Gas Balance Equation

VASCO: Multi-gas code to calculate gas density profile in uhv system, A. Rossi. CERN LHC Project Note 341, 2004


## MULTI GAS MODEL

* Dominant gas species present in a vacuum system: $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
* The "multi gas" model takes into account that each of the gas species, once ionized, can desorbs any species both from the wall beam pipes or the condensed gas layer in a cryogenic system
* The equation of each species depends on the gas densities of other species, and all the equations results inter-dependent


## Gas density profile around ATLAS



## Gas density profile simulation: Molflow+

A Test-Particle Monte-Carlo Simulator for Ultra-High Vacuum systems


Simulation done with a flow of $1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{mbar}{ }^{*} / \mathrm{s}$ coming from the VAX insert

|  | Pressure in <br> the beam <br> line [mbar] | Pressure <br> in the <br> SVT <br> gauge <br> [mbar] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ion Pump | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Ion Pump + <br> D400 NEG <br> cartridge | $6 \times 10^{-9}$ | $6 \times 10^{-9}$ |

Data from G.Bregliozzi - TE-VSC

For info: http://test-molflow.web.cern.ch/content/about-molflow

## 3. The LHC case

### 3.1 Design

## Design value : a challenge with circulating beams

- Life time limit due to nuclear scattering ~ 100 h
- $\mathrm{n} \sim 10^{15} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{m} 3$
- $<\mathrm{P}_{\text {arc }}><10^{-8}$ mbar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ equivalent
- $\sim 80 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{m}$ heat load in the cold mass due to proton scattering

$$
\tau=\frac{1}{\sigma \mathrm{c} n} \quad P_{\text {cold mass }}=\frac{\mathrm{IE}}{\mathrm{c} \tau}
$$

- Minimise background to the LHC experiments

A. Rossi, CERN LHC PR 783, 2004.


## New System: LHC Beam Screens Functionalities

- An innovative and complex system, produced at several 10 km scale !
- Intercept the heat load induced by the circulating beam
- Operate between 5 and 20 K
- Pumping holes to control the gas density


## Functional design map of beam screen



Courtesy N. Kos CERN TE/VSC


## Why Perforated Beam Screen?

No perforations


Fig. 1. Room-temperature RGA $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure measured at the center of the 4.2-K beam tube vs integrated photon flux with photons on and photons off. The raw pressure difference "on" minus "off" has been normalized to $1 \times 10^{16}$ photons $/ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$. The vertical dashed lines correspond to features discussed in the text.

With perforations


Fig. 2. Room-temperature RGA $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and CO dynamic pressures measured at the center of the liner configuration. Dynamic pressure is normalized to $1 \times 10^{16}$ photons $/ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$.

## A perforated beam screen allows to control the gas density

## Gas density \& surface coverage equations

V.V. Anashin et al. J. Vac. Sci.Technol. A. 12(5) , Sep/Oct 194


$$
\mathrm{A} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t}=\sigma S n-\eta^{\prime} \dot{\Gamma}-\frac{A \Theta}{\tau}
$$

- with:
n gas density, s surface coverage, V volume per unit length, A surface per unit length, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{D}$ axial diffusion term of molecules, $\sigma$ sticking probability, $S$ ideal speed per unit length, $C$ beam screen holes pumping speed per unit length, T sojourn time of physisorbed molecule, $\eta$ desorption yield of chemisorbed molecules, $\eta$ ' recycling desorption yield of physisorbed molecules,


## Cryosorbing tube without holes

- Infinitely long tube $\left(A_{c} D=0\right)$, without beam screen $(C=0)$ and quasi static conditions:
$\rightarrow$ Three terms adds: primary, recycling desorption and vapour pressure



## Cryosorbing tube without holes

$$
n=\frac{\eta \dot{\Gamma}}{\sigma S}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}(\Theta) \dot{\Gamma}}{\sigma S}+\frac{1}{\sigma S} \frac{A \Theta}{\tau} \quad \longleftarrow \text { Increase with the surface coverage, } \Theta
$$



## Perforated beam screen

- Infinitely long tube $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{D}=0\right)$, with a beam screen $(\mathrm{C}=0)$ and quasi static conditions:
- The equilibrium pressure $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined by the perforation conductance


A perforated beam screen allows to control the gas density

## Perforated beam screen

- Infinitely long tube $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{D}=0\right)$, with a beam screen $(\mathrm{C}=0)$ and quasi static conditions:
- The equilibrium coverage is a fraction of a monolayer


A perforated beam screen allows to control the surface coverage

### 3.2 Arc Vacuum System

## Cryogenic Beam Vacuum



## First Observation of Synchrotron Radiation: Aug-2010



## Beam conditioning in the LHC arcs

Dynamic pressure reduction during LHC commissioning.

V. Baglin, Vacuum, 2016

### 3.3 RT Vacuum System

## Room Temperature Beam Vacuum



6 km of RT beam vacuum in the long straight sections

## Extensive use of NEG coatings

Pressure $<10^{-11}$ mbar
after vacuum activation

## New System: NEG film coating

- Invention of low activation temperature getter film
=> full pumping across the beam pipe
- Some vacuum chambers were constructed and getter coated ...
- ~ 1200 vacuum chambers produced


Cross section of an LHC warm dipole beam pipe


Courtesy R.Veness and P. Chiggiato
C. Benvenuti et al.

## Room Temperature Vacuum System

-..... and installed inside the LHC tunnel

- to bring the separated beams from the arcs into a single beam pipe for the experiments (held at room temperature !)

"Combined" sector
Both beams circulates in the same beam pipe


## Room Temperature Vacuum Sectors

- 185 vacuum sectors at RT
- From 1 m till 150 m long
- Contains : kickers, septum, collimators, masks, beam instrumentation
- Separation of baked from cryogenic unbaked vacuum sectors



## Room Temperature Vacuum Sectors

## - 185 vacuum sectors at RT

- From 1 m till 150 m long
- Contains : kickers, septum, collimators, masks, beam instrumentation
- Separation of baked from cryogenic unbaked vacuum sectors


Conductance $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ for a 7 meters NEG chamber $\approx 9 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s}$

## Principle of leak detection

- Detection method: He is sprayed around the test piece and a helium leak detector (i.e. a RGA tune to He signal) is connected to the device under test.

Design in LHC:
leak rate $<10^{-9} \mathrm{mbar} . \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s}$ for a vacuum sector so the leak rate per component $<10^{-10} \mathrm{mbar} . \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s}$


Counter flow method


## Commissioning of the NEG coated vacuum system



Courtesy G. Bregliozzi, P. Chiggiato
Heating Time [h]

- Bake out of stainless steel part first
- Followed by NEG activation at $\sim 200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Acceptance criteria rely on :
- Temperature monitoring during activation
- Aperture pumping speed measurement
- Residual gas analysis
- Leak rate below ~ $10^{-9} \mathrm{mbar} . / / \mathrm{s}$


Courtesy G. Bregliozzi, V. Bencini

## Non-Evaporable Getter (NEG)

- Getters are materials capable of chemically adsorbing gas molecules. To do so their surface must be clean. For Non-Evaporable Getters a clean surface is obtained by heating to a temperature high enough to dissolve the native oxide layer into the bulk.

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}
$$




Native oxide layer
-> no pumping


Heating in vacuum

Oxide dissolution -> activation

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{RT}
$$



Pumping

- NEGs pump most of the gas except rare gases and methane at room temperature


## TiZrV Vacuum Performances

## Pumping Speed



- Very large pumping speed : ~ $250 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 20000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{m}$ for CO
- Very low outgassing rate
- But : limited capacity and fragile coating sensitive to pollutant (hydrocarbons, Fluor ...)


## And of Course ... Through the LHC Experiments



## Beam Pipe Installation in ATLAS Before Closure



## LHC Experimental Areas

- NEG coated vacuum system
=> Large pumping speeds, low SEY and desorption yields
- $<\mathrm{P}_{\text {LHC Experiments }}>\sim 510^{-10}$ mbar $\quad>$ with 25 ns bunch spacing and 450 mA => No background issues: within specifications
- < $\mathrm{P}_{\text {LHC Experiments }}>$ with 50 ns beams
$\sim 50^{-10}$ mbar in 2011 at 375 mA
~ $31^{-11}$ mbar in 2012 at 400 mA



## First Observation of Electron Cloud : 29-9-2010

- The position at 45 m from the IP is the longest unbaked area (operating at RT) in LHC, so the first candidate to trigger electron cloud ....
- Reduction of 1 order of magnitude when solenoids are ON



Magnetic field of solenoid $\approx 20$ Gauss

G. Bregliozzi et al., IPAC San Sebastian, 2011

## Appropriate mechanical design is vital

- Design extrapolated and not mechanically validated before installation in the ring Pressure spikes located beside inner triplets generated interlocks and background


Observed Pressure spikes during a physics fill

## Vacuum Modules or what can you do with beam heating

- X-rays done in May showed a conform module, in November the module was broken
- The RF bridge was destroyed by the beam!
- 8 out of a total of 20 in LHC were damaged i.e. $40 \%$

Typical default, DCUM 3259.3524 Left side

Side view (xray from corridor to QRL)
b) Metallic noise due to loose spring when hitting vacuum chamber
c) $R F$ fingers falling due to broken spring
d) aperture reduced ?

## Non Conform

Spring was broken between May and November 2011



## Fast Repair: Ne venting

- 5 days intervention
- Ne flow to reduce air back streaming
- This method avoids the NEG saturation (remember Ne is an inert gas).

The new piece/chamber will need conditioning :
$\rightarrow$ temporary local pressure rise when a new piece is installed


Neon trolley

## Ne venting to save the 2012 CMS Run!

- Vacuum system performance recovered even following the dismounting of 2 m long vacuum chambers


October 18th, 2012
3rd Vacuum symposium UK


January 2012

## 4. Some studies related to LHC, HL-LHC, FCC etc. Vacuum systems

## Laboratory

- Studies at cryogenic temperature down to 10 K
- Isotherm, TDS, sticking coefficient, beam induced desorption from electrons, ions etc.


Fluorescent target

## LHC Vacuum pilot sector

- Total and partial pressure, photoelectron current, electron cloud flux pick-ups, calorimetry etc. for electron cloud characterisation
- Liners can be modified: Cu, NEG, a-C coating, Laser Engineered Samples etc.



## COLDEX: A Bench to Study Electron Cloud

- A system to simulate a LHC type vacuum system: perforated beam screen with cold bore
- BS ~ 5 to $100 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CB}$ ~ 3 to 5 K
- Pressure measurement, gas composition, calorimetric measurement, current measurement



## Lecture 5 summary

- The vacuum lifetime is an accelerator is driven by elastic \& inelastic interactions
- Accelerator vacuum systems can be modelled by simple sets of equations
- Accurate pressure profiles can be computed
- Accelerators operate as designed but there is always room for mistakes!
- Smart solutions must be developed for specific issues
- Laboratory studies are needed to properly design a machine


## WE NEED YOU!

## Some References

- Cern Accelerator School, Vacuum technology, CERN 99-05
- Cern Accelerator School, Vacuum in accelerators, CERN 2007-03
- Vacuum system design, A.G. Mathewson, CERN-94-01
- The physical basis of ultra-high vacuum, P.A. Redhead, J.P. Hobson, E.V. Kornelsen.

AVS.

- Scientific foundations of vacuum technique, S. Dushman, J.M Lafferty. J. Wiley \& sons.

Elsevier Science.

- Vacuum Technology, A. Roth. Elsevier Science
- Handbook of accelerator physics and engineering, World Scientific, 2013
- Accelerators and Colliders, Springer, 2013
- Design and modelling of UHV systems of particle accelerators, Wiley, 2019


## Some Journals Related to Vacuum Technology and Accelerators

- Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology
- Vacuum
- Applied Surface Science
- Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
- Physical Review Accelerators and Beams

Thank you for your attention !!!


## Complementary information

## 1. Beam-gas interactions

## Proton storage ring

- A single Coulomb scattering event is due to the elastic scattering via electromagnetic forces of an incoming particle on a nuclei.
- Multiple Coulomb scattering is due to the successive events of small angle scattering which leads to the gradual blow up of the beam emittance, $\varepsilon$ and thus its dimension transverse $\sigma$.

$$
\sigma=\sigma_{0} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{m}}}
$$

- The multiple scattering characteristic time, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$, is directly proportional to the beam momentum

$$
\tau_{m}[\text { hour }]=1.1310^{22} \frac{\varepsilon}{G\langle\beta\rangle} \frac{p^{2}}{n} \propto \frac{p^{2}}{\gamma} \propto p
$$



Comparison of Coulomb \& nuclear scattering lifetimes
${ }^{1000} \quad$ Assuming $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H} 2}=10^{15} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

| Gas | Mass | Gas Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 21.10 |
| $\mathbf{H e}$ | 4 | 39.45 |
| $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 16 | 370.86 |
| $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ | 18 | 593.10 |
| $\mathbf{C O}$ | 28 | 900.66 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 28 | 884.60 |
| $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 32 | 1144.00 |
| $\mathbf{A r}$ | 40 | 2709.26 |
| $\mathbf{C O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 44 | 1472.66 |

## -With:

G the gas factor, n the gas density (molecules $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) $P$ the particle momentum ( $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) $<\beta>$ the average beta function (m) $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0} / \bigvee$ the beam emittance ( m rad )


- The nuclear cross section dominates above 3 TeV


## Electron storage ring

- The beam life time depends on 4 scattering cross sections
- Nuclear elastic scattering:

$$
\sigma=\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{4}
$$

$$
\tau=\frac{1}{n \sigma c}
$$

$$
\sigma_{1}=1.30510^{-35} \frac{Z^{2}}{E^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\left\langle\beta_{H}\right\rangle}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\left\langle\beta_{V}\right\rangle}{b}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

- Nuclear inelastic scattering:

$$
\sigma_{2}=3.0910^{-31} Z^{2} \ln \left(\frac{183}{Z^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)\left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}_{R F}}\right)-\frac{5}{8}\right]
$$

oi cross section in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
$Z$ atomic number (i.e. 6 for C ) $E$ the beam energy ( GeV ) a semi-horizontal chamber dimension (m) b semi-vertical chamber dimension (m) $<\beta>$ Average beta in H and V plane (m) $\varepsilon_{R F}=X_{R F} E$ is the maximum allowable energy spread in the RF ( $X_{\text {RF }} \ll 1$ )

- Elastic scattering from electrons surrounding the nucleus of the residual gas:
$\sigma_{3}=2.5510^{-32} \frac{Z}{\mathrm{X}_{R F} E}$
- Inelastic scattering from electrons surrounding the nucleus of the residual gas:

$$
\sigma_{4}=3.0910^{-31} Z\left[\ln \left(\frac{4.8910^{3} E}{\mathrm{X}_{R F}}\right)-1.4\right]\left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}_{R F}}\right)-\frac{5}{8}\right]
$$

## 3. The LHC case

### 3.1 Design

|  | _HC Current Parameters |  |  |  |  |  | Complementary information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design |  | Commissioning information |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nominal | Ultimate | 2010 | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { (Fill 2256) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { (Fill 3250) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { (Fill 4569) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { (Fill 5045) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Energy [TeV] | 7 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Luminosity [ $\times 10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ] | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.02 | 0.36 | 0.75 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Int. Luminosity [fb-1/year] | 80 | 120 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 23.3 | 4.2 | 16 |
| Current [mA] | 584 | 860 | 80 | 362 | 420 | 468 | 447 |
| Proton per bunch [ $\times 10^{11}$ ] | 1.15 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.45 | 1.6 | 1.15 | 1.19 |
| Number of bunches | 2808 |  | 368 | 1380 | 1378 | 2244 | 2076 |
| Bunch spacing [ns] | 25 |  | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (75-50)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (25)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (25)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 25 | 25 |
| Normalised emittance [ $\mu \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{rad}$ ] | 3.75 |  | $\sim 3$ | $\sim 2.3$ | ~ 2.2 | $\sim 3$ | $\sim 3$ |
| $\beta$ * [m] | 0.55 |  | 3.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Total crossing angle [ $\mu \mathrm{rad}$ ] | 285 |  | 240 | 240 | 290 | 290 | 185 |
| Critical energy [eV] | 44.1 |  | 5.5 |  | 8.2 | 35.3 | 35.3 |
| Photon flux [ph/m/s] | $110^{17}$ | $1.510^{17}$ | $0.0610^{17}$ | $0.310^{17}$ | $0.410^{17}$ | $0.810^{17}$ | $0.810^{17}$ |
| SR power [W/m] | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Photon dose [ph/m/year] | $110^{24}$ | $1.510^{24}$ | $110^{21}$ | $0.110^{24}$ | $0.310^{24}$ | $0.110^{24}$ | $0.210^{24}$ |
| Beam dose per year [A.h] | 2800 | 分00 | 3 | 314 | 569 | 126 | 255 |

## Fit to measured data at 10 K with 194 eV crit. energy

Complementary


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=2.610^{-4} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{ph} \\
& \eta^{\prime}=0.08 \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{ph} / \text { monolayer } \\
& \sigma=0.08
\end{aligned}
$$

COLDEX \#10 and \#11 16-18/11/98, 194 eV , 209.5 mA , $\mathrm{CU} \mathrm{BS} . \mathrm{BS}=18.6 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CB}=3.9 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{BS}=11.4 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CB}=3.6 \mathrm{~K}$ for $1 / 3$ of LHC nominal photon flux


### 3.2 Arc Vacuum System

## Arc: Some Numbers

Item ..... Total
Vacuum sectors (cryogenic) ..... 16
Vacuum sector valves ..... 32
Roughing valves (arc) ..... 844
Ion pumps ..... 0
Bayard Alpert gauges ..... 0
Penning gauges (arc) ..... 108
Pirani gauges ..... 108

| Item | Length (m) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unbaked Arc @ cryo T | $\sim 45000$ |

## Beam Conditioning under SR

- Arc extremity's vacuum gauges : unbaked Cu and cryogenic beam screen
- Reduction by 2 orders of magnitude since October 2010

- The photodesorption yield at cryogenic temperature is estimated to be $<10^{-4}$ molecules/photon


### 3.3 RT Vacuum System

## LSS: Some Numbers

| Component |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacuum sectors (cryogenic / RT) |  | 84 / 185 |
| Vacuum sector valves (all LHC) |  | 295 |
| Roughing valves (LSS) |  | 309 |
| Ion pumps (special /30 / 60/400 l/s) $12 / 5$ |  | 550/168 / 49 |
| Bayard Alpert gauges (LSS) |  | 178 |
| Penning gauges (LSS) |  | 502 |
| Pirani gauges (LSS) |  | 289 |
| Item in LSS | Length (m) | \% wrt to total |
| SAM @ cryo T | ~ 1365 | 19 |
| LSS @ RT baked | ~ 1000 | 14 |
| LSS @ RT with baked NEG | ~ 4800 | 67 |
| Total length under vacuum | 7227 | 100 |

- ~ $85 \%$ of the baked vacuum system is NEG coated


## Flanges and Gaskets

- For primary vacuum, elastomer seals and clamp flanges are used
- KF type components:

Many fittings (elbows, bellows, T, cross, flanges with short pipe, reductions, blank flanges ...) ISO diameters


- For ultra high vacuum, metalic gaskets and bolds flanges are used
- Conflat® Type components :

Copper gaskets, blank flanges, rotable flanges, welding flanges, elbows, T, crosses, adaptators, zero length double side flanges, windows ... ISO diameters


## Tubes, Bellows, Valves

- Metallic tubes are preferred (low outgassing rate)
- Stainless steel is appreciated for mechanical reason (machining, welding)
- Bellows are equipped with RF fingers (impedance)

- Valves are used for roughing and sectorisation

Copper tubes


## Leak Detection

-The vacuum system of an accelerator must be leak tight!

- All vacuum components must follow acceptance tests (leak detection, bake out, residual gas composition and outgassing rate) before installation in the tunnel
- Virtual leaks, due to a closed volume, must be eliminated during the design phase. Diagnostic can be made with a RGA by measuring the gas composition before and after venting with argon. - As a result of virtual leaks, the leak detection sensitivity limit in the concerned vacuum sector is altered
- Leaks could appear :
during components constructions at welds (cracks or porosity) due to porosity of the material
during the assembly and the bake-out of the vacuum system (gaskets) during beam operation due to thermal heating or corrosion


## LSS Coating System

- Ti-Zr-V is coated by magnetron sputtering with Kr gas
- ~ $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick
- All room temperature vacuum chamber including the experimental beam pipe are coated with Ti-Zr-V
- Performances are valided by XPS on witness sample

P. Costa Pinto, P. Chiggiato / Thin Solid Films 515 (2006) 382-388


## TiZrV Vacuum Performances

- Very low stimulated desorption yield
- SEY ~ 1.1 => very low multipacting
- But : limited capacity and fragile coating sensitive to pollutant (hydrocarbons, Fluor ...)


Figure 2: Pressure rise measured in the centre of the TiZrV coated test chamber before activation $\left(<1 \cdot 10^{20}\right.$ photons $/ \mathrm{m}$ ) and after activation ( $>1 \cdot 10^{20}$ photons $/ \mathrm{m}$ ).

## PSD Yields

Table 2: Summary of results from the activated test

| chamber |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas | Sticking <br> probability | Photodesorption yield <br> (molecules/photon) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\sim 0.007$ | $\sim 1.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 0 | $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}(28)$ | 0.5 | $<1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{y}}(28)$ | 0 | $<3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0.5 | $<2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

V. Anashin et al. EPAC 2002


Heating Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ]
C. Benvenuti et al. J.Vac.Sci.Technol A 16(1) 1998

Secondary Electron Yield


## 4. Some studies related to LHC, HL-LHC, FCC etc. Vacuum systems

## NEG Pilot Sectors : LSS2 , 7 and 8

-Three NEG pilot sectors with 2 modules each :

- A6L8.R\&B located in a full NEG coated sector
- A5L2.R\&B located beside a collimator
- IP7.R\&B located beside a sector valve


NEG cartridge: can be used both as a pump or for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ injections (thermal outgassing).


Figure 1: Pilot sector schematic

Monitor and qualify the ageing of NEG coatings due to the circulating beam

## NEG Pilot Sectors : Typical Observat



- $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ injections are performed remotely
- The amount of injected gas is proportional to the current flowing in the NEG cartridge
- Injections are performed during LHC Technical Stop
- Pressure ratio i.e. transmission give the sticking factor
- Transmission are computed by monte carlo


$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{H} 2}=0.02
$$


[^0]:    Vacuum system design, A.G. Mathewson, CAS, CERN 94-041

