



Derive an expression for the resulting magnetic field when the closed orbit in a normal sextupole is displaced by δx from its center position. What are the resulting field components? Do the same for an octupole. What is the leading order multi-pole field error when displacing a general **2(n+1)-pole** magnet?

- The vertical field of a sextupole is $B_y = B_2 x^2$
- Considering a displacement $x\mapsto x+\delta x$ the field is written as

$$B_y = B_2(x + \delta x)^2 = B_2(x^2 + 2(\delta x)x + (\delta x)^2)$$

sextupole quadrupole dipole

For an octupole

$$B_y = B_3(x + \delta x)^3 = B_3(x^3 + 3(\delta x)x^2 + 3(\delta x)^2x + (\delta x)^3)$$

octupole sextupole quadrupole dipole

The vertical field for a 2(n+1)-pole is $B_y(y=0) = B_n \bar{x}^n$





• By displacing it $x\mapsto x+\delta x$, the vertical field is

 $B_y(y=0) = B_n \bar{x}^n = B_n(x+\delta x)^n = B_n(x^n + n\delta x x^{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\delta x^2 x^{n-2} + \dots + (\delta x)^n)$

So the leading order feed-down is a **2n-pole**





Three correctors are placed at locations with phase advance of $\pi/4$ between them and beta functions of **12**, **2** and **12 m**. How are the corrector kicks related to each other in order to achieve a closed **3-corrector bump** (i.e. what is the relative strength between the three kicks)?

The relations for achieving a 3-bump are



- The phase advances are $\psi_{12}=\psi_{23}=\pi/4$ and $\psi_{13}=\psi_{12}+\psi_{23}=\pi/2$ which gives $\psi_{31}=-\pi/2$

So
$$heta_1= heta_3$$
 and $heta_2=- heta_1\sqrt{12}$





SNS: A proton ring with kinetic energy of 1 GeV and a circumference of 248 m has 18, 1 m-long focusing quads with gradient of 5 T/m. In one of the quads, the horizontal and vertical beta function are 12 m and 2 m respectively. The rms beta function in both planes on the focusing quads is 8 m. With a horizontal tune of 6.23 and a vertical of 6.2, compute the expected horizontal and vertical orbit distortions on a single focusing quad given by horizontal and vertical misalignments of 1 mm in all the quads. What happens to the horizontal and vertical orbit distortions if the horizontal tune drops to 6.1 and 6.01?

The rms orbit distortion is given by

$$u_{\rm rms}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{N\beta(s)\beta_{\rm rms}}}{2\sqrt{2}|\sin(\pi Q)|}\theta_{\rm rms}$$

We need to determine the rms kick angle, which in the case of a quadrupole displacement is given by

$$\theta_{\rm rms} = \frac{Gl}{B\rho} (\delta u)_{\rm rms}$$

The magnetic rigidity is

$$B\rho \ [T m] = \frac{1}{0.2998} \beta_r E \ [GeV]$$





- We need to compute the total energy which is $E = T + E_0 = 1.938 \text{ GeV}$
- Now we need to compute the relativistic beta. First we compute the relativistic gamma
 - $\gamma_r = \frac{E}{E_0} = 2.07$ and the relativistic beta is $\beta_r = \sqrt{1 1/\gamma_r^2} = 0.875$
- The magnetic rigidity is then $B\rho = 5.657~{
 m Tm}$ and the rms angle in both planes is $\theta_{
 m rms} = 8.8 imes 10^{-4}~{
 m rad}$
- Now we can calculate the rms orbit distortion on the single focusing quad $x_{\rm rms}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{N\beta_x(s)\beta_{x\rm rms}}}{2\sqrt{2}|\sin(\pi Q_x)|} \theta_{x\rm rms} = \frac{\sqrt{18 \times 12 \times 8}}{2\sqrt{2}|\sin(6.23\pi)|} 8.8 \times 10^{-4} = 19.6 \text{mm}$
- The vertical is $y_{\rm rms}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{N\beta_y(s)\beta_{y\rm rms}}}{2\sqrt{2}|\sin(\pi Q_y)|} \theta_{y\rm rms} = \frac{\sqrt{18 \times 2 \times 8}}{2\sqrt{2}|\sin(6.20\pi)|} 8.8 \times 10^{-4} = 9 \text{mm}$
- For $Q_x = 6.1$ the horizontal orbit distortion becomes $x_{\rm rms}(s) = 41.9 {\rm mm}$ • For $Q_x = 6.01$ we have $x_{\rm rms}(s) = 0.41 {\rm m}$ • The vertical remains unchanged...





The SPS is a **400 GeV proton synchrotron** with a FODO lattice consisting of **108** focusing and **108** defocusing quadrupoles of length **3.22 m** and a gradient of **15 T/m**, with a **horizontal and vertical beta of 108 m and 30 m** in the focusing quads (**30 m and 108 m** for the defocusing ones). The tunes are $Q_x=20.13$ and $Q_y=20.18$. Due to a mechanical problem, a focusing quadrupole was sinking down in 2016, resulting in an increasing closed orbit distortion compared to a reference taken earlier in the year.

- By how much the quadrupole had shifted down when the maximum vertical closed orbit distortion amplitude in defocusing quadrupoles reached 4 mm?
- Why was there no change of the horizontal orbit measured?
- How big would have been the maximum closed orbit distortion amplitude if it would have been a defocusing quadrupole?
- The magnetic rigidity is $\ B
 ho\ [{
 m T}\ {
 m m}] = {1\over 0.2998} eta_r E\ [{
 m GeV}]$
- For 400GeV, the relativistic beta is almost 1 and then the magnetic rigidity is $B\rho = 1334~{
 m T}~{
 m m}$
- The focusing normalized gradient is $K_F = \frac{G_F}{B\rho} = \frac{15}{1334} = 0.011 \,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$
- The defocusing one is just the same with opposite sign $K_D = -0.011 \, \mathrm{m}^{-2}$



The closed orbit distortion from a single dipole error is given by

$$u(s) = \theta \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)\beta_0}}{2\sin(\pi Q)} \cos(\pi Q - |\psi(s) - \psi_0|)$$

We are interested in the peak orbit distortion

$$\hat{y} = \theta \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\beta}_y \beta_0}}{2\sin\left(\pi Q\right)}$$

From this we can calculate the required kick

$$\theta = \frac{\hat{y}2\sin(\pi Q)}{\sqrt{\hat{\beta}_y\beta_0}} = \frac{0.004 \times 2\sin(\pi 20.18)}{\sqrt{108 \times 30}} = 75 \ \mu \text{rad}$$

And finally the required quadrupole displacement to produce this deflection

$$\theta = \frac{Gl\delta y}{B\rho} = K_F l_F \delta y$$
$$\delta y = \frac{\theta}{K_F l_F} = \frac{75 \times 10^{-6}}{0.011 \times 3.22} \text{m} = 2 \text{ mm}$$







 In fact what was found in the machine was that one of the supports of the quadrupole was broken and on that end it was shifted down by 4 mm, resulting in 2 mm average shift







- No horizontal orbit change was observed, because the quadrupole shifted only in the vertical plane resulting in a pure vertical kick.
- If it would have been a defocusing quadrupole, the kick would have been the same but with opposite sign. However, the impact on the closed orbit would have been bigger since the vertical β-function is bigger in the defocusing quadupole, such that the peak orbit distortion would reach

$$\hat{y} = \theta \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\beta}_y \beta_0}}{2\sin(\pi Q)} = \theta \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\beta}_y \hat{\beta}_y}}{2\sin(\pi Q)} = 75 \times 10^{-6} * \frac{\sqrt{108 \times 108}}{2\sin(\pi 20.18)} \,\mathrm{m} = 7.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$$





10

The SPS is a 400 GeV proton synchrotron with a FODO lattice consisting of 108 focusing and 108 defocusing quadrupoles of length 3.22 m and a gradient of 15 T/m, with a horizontal and vertical beta of 108 m and 30 m in the focusing quads (30 m and 108 m for the defocusing ones). The tunes are $Q_x=20.13$ and $Q_y=20.18$.

- Find the tune change for systematic gradient errors of 1% in the focusing and 0.5% in the defocusing quads.
- What is the natural chromaticity of the machine (without gradient errors)?
- The magnetic rigidity is $B\rho$ [T m] = $\frac{1}{0.2998}\beta_r E$ [GeV]
 - For 400GeV, the relativistic beta is almost 1 and then the magnetic rigidity is

$$B\rho = 1334$$
 T m
The focusing normalized gradient is $K_F = \frac{G_F}{B\rho} = \frac{15}{1334} = 0.011 \text{ m}^{-2}$

- The defocusing one is just the same with opposite sign $~K_D=-0.011\,{
 m m}^{-2}$
- Now, the tune change is given by

$$\delta Q_u = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_i \beta_u K_i \left(\frac{\delta K}{K}\right)_i l_i$$





By splitting the focusing and defocusing quads, we have

$$\delta Q_u = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(N_F \beta_u^F K_F \left(\frac{\delta K}{K} \right)_F l_F + N_D \beta_u^D K_D \left(\frac{\delta K}{K} \right)_D l_D \right)$$

As $N_F = N_D = N$, $l_F = l_D = l$ and $K_F = -K_D = K$ the tune shift can be rewritten as

$$\delta Q_{x,y} = \frac{1}{4\pi} N l K \left(\pm \beta_{x,y}^F \left(\frac{\delta K}{K} \right)_F \mp \beta_{x,y}^D \left(\frac{\delta K}{K} \right)_D \right)$$

This gives a horizontal and vertical tune shift of $108 \times 2.22 \times 0.011$

$$\delta Q_x = \frac{108 \times 3.22 \times 0.011}{4\pi} (+108 \times 0.01 - 30 \times 0.005) = 0.3$$
$$\delta Q_y = \frac{108 \times 3.22 \times 0.011}{4\pi} (-30 \times 0.01 + 108 \times 0.005) = 0.07$$

The chromaticity of the machine is

$$\xi_{x,y} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i} \beta_{x,y}^{i} K_{x,y}^{i} l^{i}$$



By splitting again the focusing and defocusing quads' contribution, we have

$$\xi_{x,y} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} NlK(\pm\beta_{x,y}^F \mp \beta_{x,y}^D)$$

This gives in both planes

$$\xi_{x,y} = -\frac{108 \times 3.22 \times 0.011}{4\pi} (108 - 30) = -24$$





Problem 6



LEIR: Consider a heavy-ion **synchrotron** with **5 families of quadrupoles** (no FODO structure) and the optical functions from the plot and table below.

- What is the natural chromaticity of the machine? (quad length l_q=0.5m for all families, dipole length l_d=6.44m)
- What is the optimum placement for the sextupole magnets to correct the chromaticity? Give their estimated k_2 value to get $\xi_{x,y}^{tot} = 0$? (assume $l_s = l_q$)



 D_x





What is the natural chromaticity of the machine?

The natural chromaticity created by the quadrupoles is:

$$\xi_{x,y}=\mprac{1}{4\pi}\sum_i k_1^i l_q^ieta_{x,y}^i$$

■ There are *N*=4 quads per family, with *l* =0.5 m:

$$\xi_x = -\frac{1}{4\pi} N l_q (k_1^{QF1} \beta_x^{QF1} + k_1^{QD1} \beta_x^{QD1} + k_1^{QF2} \beta_x^{QF2} + k_1^{QD2} \beta_x^{QD2} + k_1^{QF3} \beta_x^{QF3}) = -0.25$$

$$\xi_y = +\frac{1}{4\pi} N l_q (k_1^{QF1} \beta_y^{QF1} + k_1^{QD1} \beta_y^{QD1} + k_1^{QF2} \beta_y^{QF2} + k_1^{QD2} \beta_y^{QD2} + k_1^{QF3} \beta_y^{QF3}) = -3.74$$

However, when we calculate the natural chromaticity from the LEIR lattice using MADX we get instead:

$$\xi_x = -2.19$$

$$\xi_y = -3.74$$

What could we be missing in the horizontal case?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x'' + K_x(s) \ x &=& 0\\ y'' + K_y(s) \ y &=& 0 \end{array} \quad \text{with} \quad K_x(s) = \left(k(s) + \frac{1}{\rho(s)^2}\right) \quad K_y(s) = -k(s) \end{array}$$

In a small ring like LEIR the contribution from the dipoles to the focusing (weak focusing) cannot be neglected, i.e. the **natural chromaticity is generated by** dipoles and quadrupoles

General expression of natural chromaticity:

Thus, for the horizontal plane:

$$\xi_x = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_i (k_1^i l_q^i + \frac{l_d^i}{\rho_i^2}) \beta_x^i$$

 $\xi_{x,y} = \mp \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i} K^{i}_{x,y} l^{i} \beta^{i}_{x,y}$

From the plot: we have 4 dipoles, with $\rho = 4.1$ m, $l_d \sim 6.44$ m, and $<\beta_x > \sim 10$ m along the dipoles

$$\xi_x = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Big[N_q l_q (k_1^{QF1} \beta_x^{QF1} + k_1^{QD1} \beta_x^{QD1} + k_1^{QF2} \beta_x^{QF2} + k_1^{QD2} \beta_x^{QD2} + k_1^{QF3} \beta_x^{QF3}) + N_d \frac{l_d}{\rho^2} \beta_x \Big] = -1.47$$

Result closer to the one calculated using MADX but still smaller. The dipoles in the machine and also in the lattice model are not simply a one-piece dipole, but consist of many pieces with different angles and also edge focusing on certain pieces...

Linear imperfections and correction, JUAS, January 2020





What is the optimum placement for the sextupole magnets to correct the chromaticity?

- Optimum placement for the sextupole magnets at positions with large D_x (however center of straight sections typically occupied by other systems, e.g. injection/extraction septa, RF cavities, etc)
- We put 2 families, to correct horizontal and vertical chromaticities







- Give their estimated k₂ value to get $\xi_{x,y}^{tot} = 0$ (assume $l_s = l_a$)
- To cancel the total chromaticity the sexupole-induced chromaticity has to be equal to the natural chromaticity but with opposite sign

$$\xi_{x,y}^{S} = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i} k_{2}^{i} l_{s}^{i} D_{x}^{i} \beta_{x,y}^{i} = -\xi_{x,y}^{nat}$$

Extract k_2^{F} and k_2^{D} from the equation system:

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 0.5 (k_2^F D_x^F \beta_x^F + k_2^D D_x^D \beta_x^D) = 1.47$$
$$- \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 0.5 (k_2^F D_x^F \beta_y^F + k_2^D D_x^D \beta_y^D) = 3.74$$

• Extract
$$k_2^F$$
 and k_2^D from the equation system:

$$+\frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 0.5(k_2^F D_x^F \beta_x^F + k_2^D D_x^D \beta_x^D) = 1.47$$

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 0.5(k_2^F D_x^F \beta_y^F + k_2^D D_x^D \beta_y^D) = 3.74$$
• To simplify let's assume the same beta and dispersion values for the sextupoles as for the quadrupoles

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} 4 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (k_2^F \cdot (-10.9) \cdot 7.9 + k_2^D \cdot (-7.3) \cdot 5.3) = 1.47$$

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi} 4 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (k_2^F \cdot (-10.9) \cdot 7.9 + k_2^D \cdot (-7.3) \cdot 18) = 3.74$$
Usually this kind of problems is solved numerically in an optimization we call "matching,"