

#### **1. MOTIVATION** TASK: CHOOSE ANY MOTION OF A BALL FOR FOLLOWING ANALYSIS.



The game provides many examples that can bring physics to life in the classroom. Especially the kinematic and dynamic characteristics of motions are worth a physics classroom discussion [1]:

- $\succ$  What is the velocity of the ball?
- > What is the rate of change of the velocity?
- > Who of the students has given the ball the maximum velocity?

# **2. ANALYSIS USING TRACKER**



**TASK: DETECT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PHYSICAL QUANTITIES AND DESCRIBE A MOTION USING TIME DEPENDENCIES.** 

### What do we need?

- $\succ$  a video in the format avi, mov, mpg, (and other formats)
- > to know the number of frames per second (fps) of the video (=>  $\Delta t$ )

> What was the force with which they hit the ball? The answers to these questions can provide an analysis of videos by means of the program Tracker [2] (Open Source Physics (OSP)).

For preparing the video files we used a camera Casio Exilim Ex-FH25 which allows to record videos with 30, 120, 240, 420 and 1000 frames per second (fps).

> a real displacement, for example 1 meter

What does the program offer?

- time dependencies of 22 physical quantities (+ we can define)
- data processing by means of graphs and tables

From the number of frames per second (30 fps in our case) the time is deduced ( $\Delta t = 0.033 \text{ s}$ ), while the position can be measured in two dimensions (x, y) using a video image after calibration. The function autotracking in this program allows for accurate tracking without mouse. The motion can be divided into two parts: the horizontal component and the vertical component. These two components can be calculated independently of each other and afterwards the results can be combined to describe the total motion  $(x(t), y(t), v_x(t), v_y(t), a_x(t), a_y(t))$ .

# **3. MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION**

**TASK: INVESTIGATE THE MATHEMATICAL PHENOMENON OF** INTEGRATION AND DERIVATION.

The velocity of a volleyball ball (squares) before hitting it in the course throughout the motion (first part of acceleration in the vertical direction over any time interval equals the instantaneous acceleration at any instant.



**5. DESCRIPTION OF MOTIONS** and (2) motion in the horizontal direction provides a data analysis including automatic or manual curve fitting of all or any selected subset of data. The at a constant velocity. vertical position (circles) and the velocity (squares) are plotted and fitted to see the correlation between the **TASK: COMPARE MATHEMATICAL AND** (1) real data and the kinematic equations. Students have found that the trajectory of the ball (circles) is always a PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF MOTION AND parabola.  $a_v(t) = -g$ **DETERMINE INTERESTING PHYSICAL**  $v_y(t) = -9.96t + 3.03$  $y(t) = -\frac{1}{2}9.92t^2 + 3.01t + 1.02$  $v_y(t) = \int a_y(t) dt = -gt + v_y(0)$   $y(t) = \int v_y(t) dt = -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 + v_y(0)t + y(0)$ **CONSTANTS AND PARAMETERS.** before hitting (analyze):  $g = 9.96 (9.92) \text{ m/s}^2$  $|\vec{F}| = |\vec{dp}|/dt = m |\vec{dv}|/dt = 60.51 \text{ N}$ at the moment of serve (t = 0.63 s): (2)  $v_v(0) = 3.03 (3.01) \text{ m/s}$  $a_x(t) = 0$ y(0) = 1.02 m $v_x(t) = \int a_x(t) dt = v_x(0)$  $x(t) = \int v_x(t) dt = v_x(0)t + x(0)$ Successfulness of all enrolled freshmen of FEE after first year of study 2016/17 **6. THE RESULTS** Pre-test-Introduction: N = 100; M = 30.43; SD = 13.04; D = 0.1520; p < 0.05 ntroduction: N = 100: M = 44.20: SD = 16.04; D = 0.0720; p < n.s. N = 185; M = 82.60; SD = 26.50; Max = 100; Min = 0; Post-test-Physics: N = 100; M = 47.33; SD = 19.23; D = 0.0985; p < n.s.; Boxplot gain Percentage of 2015/16 2013/14 2014/15 2016/17 Successfulness students 100 110/235 = 134/412 = 134/310 = 56/233 = Faculty of Electrical 100% - 70% 79% 43 % 47 % 24% 33 % 80 Engineering 50% - 69% 7% ତ 25 116/246 = 62/126 = 59/109 = 141/196 = Faculty of Civil 60 20 30% - 49% 47 % 46 % 54% 72% Engineering 1% - 29% 5% 167/340 = 118/256 = 70/180 = 68/235 = Faculty of 0% 4% Median 25%-75% Mechanical 49 % 46 % 39 % 28% 10% 10% Extent of nonoutlying 💥 Pre-test-Intro Outly ing Engineering Post-test-Phy sics Pre-test-Intro # Extremes Nost-test-Intro 2% 50 Post-test-Intro Post-test-Physics The drop-out rate in University of Žilina. The first number in table successfulness [%]

70

80

90

100 110



## **4. PHYSICAL THEORY**

**TASK: STUDY NEW TERMS FROM E-BOOKS OR TEXTBOOKS.** 

"Projectile motion" is a type of a twoof serving changes in the vertical 0.231 1.454 0.541 dimensional motion [3] in the xy plane direction nearly at the same rate with constant acceleration whose 11 0.363 1.436 -0.54 components are  $a_v = -g$  and  $a_x = 0$ . It is motion, t = 0 - 0.63 s) => the average  $\vec{v} = \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt}$   $\vec{a} = \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt}$ useful to think of this motion as a 13 0.429 1.401 -1.082 superposition of two one-dimensional 16 0.528 1.222 -2.184 motions: (1) free-fall motion in the 17 0,561 1,149 -2,543 vertical direction subject to a constant 19 0.627 0.95 -3.318 downward acceleration of magnitude g Students can fit time dependencies of position (velocity, acceleration and other)/using a data tool which

Successfulness [%]

-10

stands for the freshmen who drop out during their 1st year, the

## **CONCLUSION**

Using the Summer Course of Physics, the Interactive Physics lectures using the video analysis and simulations (VAS) method of problem tasks and their integration into STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) study programme and the implementation of intervention tools into our educational system (tutor, big brother/sister) the drop-out rate was reduced to 24% at FEE after three years from starting implementing the readySTEMgo project.

In future, we plan to continue organising the summer course of mathematics and physics, using interactive lectures and focusing on not only the cognitive skills but also the noncognitive skills of our students.

20th Conference of Czech and Slovak Physicists 7-10 September 2020, Praha

The evaluation confirmed the statistically significant difference between mean at the beginning and the end of the 1st semester (P < 0.001, tstat = 9.68 > tcritical = 1.98) and between mean at the beginning and the end of the 2nd semester  $(P < 0.01, t_{stat} = 2.78 > t_{critical} = 1.98).$ 

### References:

[1] A. HACHNÉ, 2008. A Cool Sport Full of Physics, In: The Physics Teacher, Volume 46, Issue 7, 2008, 398 - 402.

[2] program Tracker: http://www.cabrillo.edu/~dbrown/tracker

#### [3] <u>http://phet.colorado.edu/en/simulation/projectile-motion</u>

[4] P. HOCKICKO, 2010. Nontraditional Approach to Studying Science and Technology, In: Communications, Volume 12, No. 3, 2010, 66-71. [5] P. HOCKICKO, L. KRIŠŤÁK, L., NĚMEC, "Development of students' conceptual thinking by means of video analysis and interactive simulations at technical universities". European Journal of Engineering Education vol. 40, iss 2, pp. 145 – 166, 2014, ISSN 0304-3797. [6] G. LANGIE, M. PINXTEN, P. HOCKICKO, P. PACHER, "Key skills of incoming stem-students", Proceedings of the 9th International Conference PTEE 2017 Physics Teaching in Engineering Education "Challenges and Solutions for Effective Teaching", University of Žilina, Slovakia, pp. 14 – 22, May 18 -19, 2017.

[7] P. HOCKICKO, G. TARJÁNYIOVÁ, D. SRŠNÍKOVÁ, "The Influence of Interactive Lectures on Students' Conceptual Understanding In STEM Education", Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies EDULEARN17, 3rd-5th July 2017, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 1866 – 1873. ISBN: 978-84-697-3777-4

### Acknowledgement:

This work was supported by the Slovak Grant Agency KEGA through the projects No. 029ŽU-4/2018.

