



# Extraction of GFFs from GPDs

Arkadiusz P. Trawiński  
in collaboration with

C.Lorcé, H.Moutarde, J.Wagner, P.Sznajder

*CEA/Université Paris-Saclay  
and École polytechnique/IP Paris*



**IP PARIS**

*Palaiseau (France), 29-31 Jan 2020*



# Table of Contents

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

The Fourier transform of GFFs

Summary



# Energy momentum tensor

## Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

The Fourier transform of GFFs

Summary



## Classical EMT

In classical continuum mechanics the energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc} T^{00} & T^{01} & T^{02} & T^{03} \\ \hline T^{10} & T^{11} & T^{12} & T^{13} \\ T^{20} & T^{21} & T^{22} & T^{23} \\ T^{30} & T^{31} & T^{32} & T^{33} \end{array} \right),$$

where:

$T^{00}$  - energy density,

$T^{ii}$  - (not summed) represents pressure,

$T^{ij}$  -  $i \neq j$  represent shear stress,

$T^{0i}$  - momentum flux,

$T^{i0}$  - mass (energy) flux.

Classically  $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$ .



## The EMT in QCD

In QCD  $\hat{T}_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu\nu}$  is an operator. However its expectation value on state is interpreted in the same way. Using the Wigner distribution  $\rho$ , one has

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) = \text{Tr}[\hat{T}_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu\nu}(x) \rho(\vec{0}, \vec{P})],$$

where  $\rho$  defines the proton state with average momentum  $\vec{P}$  and position  $\vec{X}$ ,

$$\rho(R, P) = \int \frac{dP^2}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^4\Delta}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi \delta(2P \cdot \Delta) 2\pi \delta(P^2 + \frac{\Delta^2}{4} - M^2) \\ |P - \frac{\Delta}{2}\rangle \langle P + \frac{\Delta}{2}| e^{-i\Delta \cdot R}.$$

---

E. P. Wigner, Phys.Rev.40 (1932) 749



## The EMT for unpolarized proton state

Then the expectation value of the EMT reads

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\Delta \cdot x} \langle\langle T^{\mu\nu}(0) \rangle\rangle,$$

where for unpolarized proton state we get

$$\langle\langle T^{\mu\nu}(0) \rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\uparrow,\downarrow} \frac{\langle p', s | \hat{T}_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu\nu}(0) | p, s \rangle}{\sqrt{2p'_0 2p_0}},$$

where  $\Delta = p' - p$ ,  $P = \frac{1}{2}(p' + p)$  and  $t = \Delta^2$ .

---

M. V. Polyakov, Phys.Lett.B555 (2003) 57

C. Lorcé, L. Mantovani, B. Pasquini, Phys.Lett.B776 (2018) 38



## GFFs - gravitational form factors

Matrix elements of the general local asymmetric energy-momentum tensor for a spin-1/2 target read

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p', s' | \hat{T}_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu\nu}(0) | p, s \rangle = & \\ = \bar{u}(p', s') \left\{ \frac{P^\mu P^\nu}{M} A(t) + \frac{\Delta^\mu \Delta^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{M} C(t) + M \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{C}(t) \right. & \\ & + \frac{P^\mu i \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \Delta_\lambda}{4M} [A(t) + B(t) + D(t)] \\ & \left. + \frac{P^\nu i \sigma^{\mu\lambda} \Delta_\lambda}{4M} [A(t) + B(t) - D(t)] \right\} u(p, s). \end{aligned}$$

---

X.-D. Ji, Phys.Rev.Lett.78 (1997) 610

B.L.G. Bakker, E. Leader, T.L. Trueman, Phys.Rev.D70 (2004) 114001



# The simple GFFs model

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

The Fourier transform of GFFs

Summary





## Multipole model - definition

We adopt a simple multipole Ansatz for all GFFs

$$F^a(t) = \frac{F^a(0)}{(1 - t/\Lambda_{F^a}^2)^{n_F}},$$

which is supported by Goeke, *et.al.* calculations for  $|t| < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ .

We choose a standard dipole Ansatz (i.e.  $n_F = 2$ ) for  $A^a$ ,  $\bar{C}^a$  and  $D^a$ , but for  $B^a$  and  $C^a$  we choose a tripole Ansatz (i.e.  $n_F = 3$ ) in order for the energy and pressure distributions to be realistic.

---

K. Goeke, *et.al.*, Phys.Rev.D75 (2007) 094021

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89



## Multipole model - parameters

Parameters for the multipole model of the GFFs, in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme with renormalization scale  $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ .

$F^a$	$n_F$	$F_q(0)$	$\Lambda_{Fq} [\text{GeV}]$	$F_G(0)$	$\Lambda_{FG} [\text{GeV}]$
$A^a$	2	0.55	0.91	0.45	0.91
$B^a$	3	-0.07	0.8	0.07	0.8
$C^a$	3	-0.32	0.8	-0.56	0.8
$\bar{C}^a$	2	-0.11	0.91	0.11	0.91
$D^a$	2	-0.33	1.74	-	-


---

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89



## Pressures

This simple model allows us to identify an energy density as well as radius and tangential pressures density,



which are related to an isotropic pressure  $p(r)$  and a pressure anisotropy  $s(r)$  by

$$p(r) = \frac{p_r(r) + 2 p_t(r)}{3}, \quad s(r) = p_r(r) - p_t(r).$$



## Definitions

$$\varepsilon_a(r) = M \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} \left\{ A^a(t) + \bar{C}^a(t) + \frac{t}{4M^2} [B^a(t) - 4C^a(t)] \right\}$$

$$p_{r,a}(r) = M \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} \left\{ -\bar{C}^a(t) - \frac{4}{r^2} \frac{t^{-1/2}}{M^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^{3/2} C^a(t) \right) \right\}$$

$$p_{t,a}(r) = M \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} \left\{ -\bar{C}^a(t) + \frac{4}{r^2} \frac{t^{-1/2}}{M^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ t \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^{3/2} C^a(t) \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$p_a(r) = M \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} \left\{ -\bar{C}^a(t) + \frac{2}{3} \frac{t}{M^2} C^a(t) \right\}$$


$$s_a(r) = M \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} \left\{ -\frac{4}{r^2} \frac{t^{-1/2}}{M^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( t^{5/2} C_a(t) \right) \right\}$$

---

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89




# The energy density $\epsilon$






# The isotropic pressure $p$





# The anisotropy pressure $s$





# The globally fitted GPD model

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model


The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

The Fourier transform of GFFs

Summary





X.-D. Ji, Phys.Rev.D55 (1997) 7114



## GPDs - general partons distributions

In the light cone gauge, quark GPDs for a spin-1/2 hadron are defined by the following matrix elements:

$$F^q(x, \xi, t) = \int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \left\langle P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{q} \left( \frac{-z}{2} \right) \gamma^+ q \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \right| P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle \Big|_{\substack{z^+=0 \\ z_\perp=0}}$$
$$\tilde{F}^q(x, \xi, t) = \int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \left\langle P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{q} \left( \frac{-z}{2} \right) \gamma^+ \gamma_5 q \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \right| P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle \Big|_{\substack{z^+=0 \\ z_\perp=0}}$$

which can be written, with the help of the Dirac spinor bilinears, as

$$F^q(x, \xi, t) = \frac{1}{2P^+} [h^+ H^q(x, \xi, t) + e^+ E^q(x, \xi, t)] ,$$
$$\tilde{F}^q(x, \xi, t) = \frac{1}{2P^+} [\tilde{h}^+ \tilde{H}^q(x, \xi, t) + \tilde{e}^+ \tilde{E}^q(x, \xi, t)] ,$$

where we identify two **unpolarized** GPDs  $H^q$  and  $E^q$ ,  
and two **polarized** GPDs  $\tilde{H}^q$  and  $\tilde{E}^q$ .



## PDFs and EFFs

The relations with one-dimensional PDFs and EFFs are essential for the phenomenology of GPDs. In the forward limit when  $\xi = t = 0$ , *i.e.* both the hadron and the active quark are untouched, the unpolarized and polarized PDFs ( $q$  and  $\Delta q$ ) read

$$H^q(x, 0, 0) = q(x),$$
$$\tilde{H}^q(x, 0, 0) = \Delta q(x).$$

No similar relations exist for the GPDs  $E^q$  and  $\tilde{E}^q$ .

The Dirac, Pauli, axial and pseudoscalar EFFs ( $F_1^q$ ,  $F_2^q$ ,  $g_A^q$  and  $g_P^q$ ) can be obtained by integrating GPDs over the variable  $x$ .



## The GPD model

In the Moutarde-Sznajder-Wagner model, the Ansatz for the GPDs  $H^q$  and  $\tilde{H}^q$  at  $\xi = 0$  is used, namely,

$$G^q(x, 0, t) = G^q(x) \exp[f_G^q(x)t].$$

Here,  $G^q(x)$  is either a parameterization of the unpolarized PDF for the GPD  $H^q$  or a parameterization of the polarized PDF for the GPD  $\tilde{H}^q$ . The profile function,  $f_G^q(x)$ , fixes the interplay between the  $x$  and  $t$  variables.

Similar Ansatz is for the GPDs  $E^q$  and  $\tilde{E}^q$ .

---

H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890



## Parametrization of PDFs

The parameterization of unpolarized and polarized PDFs read

$$G(x; Q^2) = x^{-g(\delta_p, \delta_q, Q^2)} (1-x)^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^4 g(p_i, q_i, Q^2) x^i,$$

where  $g(p, q, Q^2) = p + q \log \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}$ , describes the evolution in the renormalization scale and

$$\delta_p, \delta_q, \alpha, p_i, q_i, \quad \text{where } i = 0, 1, \dots, 4,$$

are found in a fit to NNPDF.

The profile function is given by:

$$f(x) = A \log(1/x) + B(1-x)^2 + C(1-x)x,$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are found in a fit to experimental data.

---

H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890



## Parametrization of $E(x)$

In the case of GPD  $E$ ,  $G^q(x)$  is modeled as

$$G^q(x) = \kappa \mathcal{N} x^{-\alpha} (1-x)^\beta (1 + \gamma \sqrt{x}),$$

and parameters  $\mathcal{N}, \kappa, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  again depend on the quark flavour and are found from experimental data.

	$\mathcal{N}$	$\kappa$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$
$u$	0.35	1.67	0.69	4.65	4
$d$	0.60	-2.03	0.69	5.25	0

The profile function is modeled the same like in the case of GPDs  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$ .

---

M.Diehl, P.Kroll, Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013) 2397



## Main Page

### What is PARTONS?

PARTONS is a C++ software framework dedicated to the phenomenology of Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs). GPDs provide a comprehensive description of the partonic structure of the nucleon and contain a wealth of new information. In particular, GPDs provide a description of the nucleon as an extended object, referred to as 3-dimensional nucleon tomography, and give an access to the orbital angular momentum of quarks.

PARTONS provides a necessary bridge between models of GPDs and experimental data measured in various exclusive channels, like Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) and Hard Exclusive Meson Production (HEMP). The experimental programme devoted to study GPDs has been carrying out by several experiments, like HERMES at DESY (closed), COMPASS at CERN, Hall-A and CLAS at JLab. GPD subject will be also a key component of the physics case for the expected Electron Ion Collider (EIC).

PARTONS is useful to theorists to develop new models, phenomenologists to interpret existing measurements and to experimentalists to design new experiments.

### Get PARTONS

Here you can learn how to get your own version of PARTONS. We offer two ways:

- You can use our provided virtual machine with an out-of-the-box PARTONS runtime and development environment. This is the easiest way to start your experience with PARTONS.
- You can also build PARTONS by your own on GNU/Linux. This is useful if you want to have PARTONS on your computer without using the virtualization technology or if you want to use PARTONS on computing farms.



#### Table of Contents

- ↓ [What is PARTONS?](#)
- ↓ [Get PARTONS](#)
- ↓ [Configure PARTONS](#)
- ↓ [How to use PARTONS](#)
- ↓ [Publications and talks](#)
- ↓ [License](#)
- ↓ [Contact and newsletter](#)



## Numerical values

### GPD $H$

	$u$	$d$	$sea$
$A$	$0.99 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$2.56 \pm 0.23$
$B$	$-0.50 \pm 0.02$	$0.47 \pm 0.07$	$-5.00$
$C$	$-A$	$-A$	$34 \pm 27$

### GPD $\tilde{H}$

### GPD $E$

	$u$	$d$	$u$	$d$
$A$	$0.77 \pm 0.12$	$0.64 \pm 0.24$	$0.99 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
$B$	$-0.02 \pm 0.26$	$-1.19 \pm 0.45$	$-0.69 \pm 0.04$	$-0.54 \pm 0.06$
$C$	$-0.92 \pm 0.07$	$-0.55 \pm 0.24$	$-0.92 \pm 0.04$	$-0.73 \pm 0.64$

H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890





# GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

**GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model**

The Fourier transform of GFFs

Summary



## Relation of GFFs with GPDs

The study of the EMT became especially important after obtaining by Ji a relation between the EMT and GPDs

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx x H(x, \xi, t) = A(t) + 4\xi^2 C(t),$$
$$\int_{-1}^1 dx x E(x, \xi, t) = B(t) - 4\xi^2 C(t),$$
$$\int_{-1}^1 dx \tilde{H}(x, 0, t) = -D(t).$$


Beside this,  $\bar{C}(t)$  can be related to the scalar form factor.

---

X.-D. Ji, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*78 (1997) 610




# GFF $A^q(t)$





# GFF $A^Q(t)$




C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński (in preparation)

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89




# GFF $B^q(t)$





# GFF $B^Q(t)$




C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński (in preparation)

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89



## GFF $D^Q(t)$ – axial form factor



C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński (in preparation)

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89

HERMES Collaboration, Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 012007



# The Fourier transform of GFFs

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

**The Fourier transform of GFFs**

Summary





## Extrapolation and fitting

We can assume multiple analytic form in order to catch behaviour at the whole range of  $\vec{\Delta}$  (where  $t = -\Delta^2$ ),

$$F(t) = \frac{F_0}{\left(1 - \frac{t}{\Lambda_F^2}\right)^{\alpha_F}}.$$


This is done separately for mean value, lower and upper bounds. Power  $\alpha_F > 1$ ,  $F_0 = F(0)$  and  $\Lambda_F$  acts like mass parameter. Then the Fourier transform reads

$$\mathcal{FT}[F](b) = \frac{\Lambda_F F_0}{b \pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda_F b}{2}\right)^{\alpha_F} \frac{K_{\alpha_F-1}(\Lambda_F b)}{\Gamma(\alpha_F)},$$

where functions  $K_n(z)$  and  $\Gamma(z)$  are modified Bessel function of second kind and gamma function, respectively.




# Fit to GFF $A^Q(t)$






# FT of GFF $A^Q(t)$






# 3D FT of GFF $A^Q(t)$






# Fit to GFF $B^Q(t)$






# FT of GFF $B^Q(t)$





# 3D FT of GFF $B^Q(t)$





# Summary

Energy momentum tensor

The simple GFFs model

The globally fitted GPD model

GFFs from the globally fitted GPD model

The Fourier transform of GFFs

**Summary**





## Summary

The study of the EMT is important because:

- ▶  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is a fundamental quantity, which allows to access for example pressures and a spin decomposition.
- ▶ DVCS gives a way to experimentally measure  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , e.g. JLab, Brookhaven.
- ▶ Its form factors have a clear interpretation as spatial densities ( $\vec{\Delta}$  is related to  $\vec{r}$ ).
- ▶ GFFs and GPDs constrain each other.

---

E. Leader, C. Lorcé, Phys.Rept.541 (2014) 163



# Extraction of GFFs from GPDs

Arkadiusz P. Trawiński  
in collaboration with

C.Lorcé, H.Moutarde, J.Wagner, P.Sznajder



**IP PARIS**

*CEA/Université Paris-Saclay  
and École polytechnique/IP Paris*

*Palaiseau (France), 29-31 Jan 2020*



## Skewness and border functions

For GPDs at  $\xi \neq 0$  it is used the concept of skewness function:

$$G^q(x, \xi, t) = G^q(x, 0, t) g_G^q(x, \xi, t),$$

which it is assumed to take following form for  $\xi = x$ :

$$g(x, x, t) = \frac{a}{(1-x^2)^2} [1 + t(1-x)(b + c \log(1+x))]$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are "free parameters" to be constrained by experimental data, but ...

---

H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890



## Subtraction constant (part 1)

The form factor  $C(t)$  can be related to the so-called *subtraction constant* calculated from the GPDs:

$$C_H(t) = 2 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{D(z, t)}{1-z} = 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_{2i+1}(t),$$

where  $D(z, t)$  is  $D$ -term, and  $d_i$  are its coefficients in the expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials. Especially interesting is  $d_1(t)$ , which is directly related to the GFF  $C(t)$ ,

$$5 C(t) = d_1(t) \approx \frac{1}{4} C_H(t),$$

when one neglects higher orders.



## Subtraction constant (part 2)

At the leading order, the real part of  $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{e_q^2}{x - \xi + i\epsilon} G^q(x, \xi, t)$  (called Compton form factor) can be evaluated in two different ways, using the **standard** and the **fixed- $t$  dispersion relation**. The second one introduces the subtraction constant, which by comparison with first one can be evaluated and reads:

$$C_G^q(t) = \int_0^1 \left( G^{q(+)}(x, \xi, t) - G^{q(+)}(x, x, t) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\xi - x} - \frac{1}{\xi + x} \right) dx ,$$

where  $G^{q(+)}(x, \xi, t) = G^q(x, \xi, t) \mp G^q(-x, \xi, t)$ .

Unfortunately, naively setting  $\xi = 0$  in the above formula results in a divergent integral.

---

O.V. Teryaev, Contribution to 11th EDS05 Conference

I.V. Anikin, O.V. Teryaev, Phys.Rev. D76 (2007) 056007



## The analytic regularization technique

The following moments:

$$C_{G,j}^q(t) = 2 \int_0^1 \left( G^{q(+)}(x, x, t) - G^{q(+)}(x, 0, t) \right) x^j dx ,$$

are well defined for odd positive  $j$  and can be analytically continued to  $j = -1$  using *the analytic regularization technique*, given by the following prescription:

$$\int_{(0)}^1 \frac{f(x)}{x^{a+1}} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{f(x) - f(0) - xf'(0) - \dots}{x^{a+1}} dx - \frac{f(0)}{a} - \frac{f'(0)}{a-1} + \dots$$

---

K. Kumericki, D. Mueller, K. Passek-Kumericki, Nucl.Phys. B794 (2008) 244


K. Kumericki, D. Mueller, K. Passek-Kumericki, Eur.Phys.J. C58 (2008) 193

M.V. Polyakov, K.M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Eur.Phys.J. A40 (2009) 181

A.V. Radyushkin, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 076006



# GFF $C^Q(t)$




C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński (in preparation)

C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89



# $C_H(t)$ vs. $C^q(t)$ GFF

$C_H(t)$  vs.  $20 C^q(t)$




C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, A.P. Trawiński, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) 89  
H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890






# $C_H(t)$ – subtraction constant



H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890



# $C_H(t)$ – subtraction constant



H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, J. Wagner, Eur.Phys.J.C78 (2018) 890