



R2D2: An R&D program for the

research of $2\beta 0v$ decay with a SPC

P. Lautridou – (SUBATECH-CNRS/IN2P3)

R2D2 collaboration



CENBG, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS/IN2P3, Fr CPPM, Univ. Aix-Marseille, CNRS/IN2P3, Fr IRFU, CEA, Univ. Paris-Saclay, Fr LSM, Univ. Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Fr School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, UK SUBATECH, IMT-Atlantique, Univ. Nantes, CNRS/IN2P3, Fr -- also in NEWS-G

17 déc. 2021 - Université de Paris



Courtesy of the news-G collaboration.

Motivations

NEWS-G showed that the Spherical Proportional Counter (SPC)

IS VERY attractive: (cf. Talk of Konstantinos, "Latest news from the NewsG experiment", this conf.):

- Gain up to 10^4
- Low detection threshold (down to single electron). Good energy resolution (12% @ 2.6 KeV). Discrimination from surface and bulk interactions

Preliminary simulations indicated that an SPC filled with pressurized 136Xe could be competitive for $\beta\beta0\nu$ decay searches under some conditions.



JINST 13 (2018) no.01, P01009

The goal of the R&D project R2D2 (Rare Decays with Radial Detector) is to verify that these experimental constraints can be overcome :

- Energy resolution (requiring 1% FWHM @ Q_{BB} of 2.458 MeV with 136Xe).
- Operation with Xe at high pressure.

and the scalability of such a detector:

Phase 1

Phase 2

- Up to a ton of Xe gas (1 m radius at 40 bars).
- With a extreme reduction of the radioactive background.





Principle of the SPC

Pros

- Simple mechanical structure (thin Cathode => low material budget => low radioactive background).
- Single channel FEE (advanced electronics + waveform signal processing).
- An joker asset due to the gaseous medium => the possibility of recognition and localization of 2-tracks events.

Cons

- Unknown polarization limit of the anode ball relative to the rod (pressurized gas)
- Need of excellent signal / noise

Signal & Observables

To achieve very high precision measurements, use of signal processing becomes essential



=> The maximum of the deconv. signal corresponds to the maximum of the energy deposition. (Raw and deconvolved waveforms have different noise or information contents.) 4













First step:

Optimization of a first small prototype

- In terms of signal/noise and E-resolution
- Working with pressures of 200 to 1100 mb (because the high pressure certification was not obtained).

Detector parameters:

- Detector built in Aluminum (no-radio purity need at this stage).
- Stringent requirements on sealing (avoid external contamination).
- Operation @ moderate gain ~ 50.

Gas: Argon P2 (98% Ar, 2%CH4) (easiest using)

Source: 210Po source (α of 5.3 MeV)

=> Maximum track lengths of 3 to 17 cm depending of the gas pressure (from 1 bar to 0.2 bar).



Second step: Add of a light detector

- To study the possibility of trigger using primary photons emitted by pure noble gas.
- To look for correlations between the detected photons and the SPC observables.

One SiPM was added to detect the scintillation light:

(Hamamatsu: 6x6 mm², 14% QE at 128 nm)

• Addition of a metal grid to protect against the leakage electric field of the SiPM.

Gas: Use of pure Ar (purity 99.9999%) @ 1.1 bar (2200 V)

- but we weren't able to control the purity after filling.
- Suitable operation conditions were only achieved at 1 bar (primary ionization detected near the SiPM).
- FEE of the SiPM induced noisier conditions for the SPC.





Noise improvement

- Use of a custom charge pre-amplifier OWEN (limited bandwidth, $t_{\rm r}$ ~100 ns).
- \bullet Use of very low noise power supplies(~mV_{\mbox{\tiny pp}}\, of ripple for HV).
- Optimization of the sensor geometry
- Optimization of connections & groundings.
- Reduction of the acoustic vibrations.
- Survey of the gain stability vs room temperature



=> Drastic reduction of the noise figure. (A background task in continuous improvement...)

Results with ArP2 @ 0.2 – 1.1 bar E-Resolution - Track length effects

The first stage of R&D focused on the attainable energy resolution.

Detector response was explored at 0.2 bar (720V) and 1.1 bar (2000V) and with a same gain level.

=> Main difference: The α track length (17 cm vs 3 cm respectively).



Source positioning not perfect => non-homogeneity of E field near the source => Degraded performances @ 1.1 bar with track length of 3 cm.

=> Track length and pressure do not (strongly) affect the energy resolution.

(Contribution of the source and the electronic was estimated to account for 0.6%).

Signal simulation

Cross-check data/simulation

Choice of the observables makes it possible to highlight the interaction topology.

=>3 regions in the following plots:

(1) Tracks toward the anode.

(2) Tracks at larger angles but contained in gas.

(3) Tracks hitting the cathode (loosing energy in it).

- Finite Elements Software: Ansys or Gmsh+Elmer.
- Geant44: simulation of primary interaction.
- Garfield++: simulation of gas, electrons transport and charge collection.
- Signal treatment.







=> Good understanding of the topology of the events.

E-Resolution – Direction effects

Ar-P2 - 200 mb – 720 V data

Angle (direction) selections defined from simulation.



=> Track direction doesn't (strongly) affect energy resolution.

(ditto for volume effects - favorable effect of attachment near anode).



Results with pure Ar @ 1.1 bar Exploitation of the SiPM signals

Scintillation light was first used to estimate the drift-time in the detector



=> Good agreement between data and simulation

(Geometric acceptance of our light detector limited the study to the tracks directed towards the anode).

12

Associated SPC signals

=> The deconvolution method has allowed to access to fine details.



SPC drift time and diffusion time

Using only the SPC signal.



=> Maximum drift time deduced from SPC signal in good agreement with SiPM results.

 $(\sigma_{diff} (and Q_{ph}) depends on the extraction method (the tail) within a factor 2 because the exponential and Gaussian functionals were probably not optimal).$

E-Resolution – photons contribution

Energy resolution was studied with and without subtracting the photons contribution.



=> Contribution of photons does not seem to spoil energy resolution.

(but the noise induced by the SiPM slightly degraded the resolution)



Correlations between light & SPC observables



Pure Ar @ 1.1 bar – 210 Po source



=> Correlation drift time (SiPM) - peak time (SPC) observed. (It requires further studies but it is already encouraging given that the tracks are short: 3cm @ 1.1 bar).

A way to bypass the use of the SiPM...

Position reconstruction

(of the maximum of the deposited energy)



Empirical dependence: $Pt = Pt_{max} * (R/R_{max})^{\alpha}$

With R the distance to the anode

- Pt_{max} = maximum peak time (experimental value)
- R_{max} = maximum radius (det. Parameter)
- $\alpha = (\alpha \sim 1.8)$ fixed by the experimental value $Pt_{min} = 2.5$ μ s) and simulation value $R_{min} = 3$ cm,

=> Work in progress

=> We go back to ArP2 @ 0.2 bar to benefit of longer tracks (17 cm)



2-tracks recognition

Current scenario for 2β0v search (gas detector)

With NEWS-G:

For dark matter search: detection + decomposition signals into single electrons routinely obtained (time separation > 3*sampling period.)

Event with multiple clusters of electrons - Run rg20b004



=> A preliminary basis to this possibility with R2D2:

2-tracks identification already obtained for 2alphas events.





Conclusion

Very promising results were obtained with a single channel SPC at moderate pressure. Its operation in stand-alone mode seems possible.

Many studies remain to be done...

• Prototype-2 has been commissioned + finally certified for pressure of 40 bars !

(at least 10 bars are necessary to contain fully inside the volume β tracks of 2 MeV)

• We will start very soon the studies with pure Ar and pure Xe at high pressure

=> but for Xe: recuperation + gas filtering + re-circulating systems are needed (under construction).

If this step is successful, the roadmap could be :

- An almost "zero-background" demonstrator (50kg Xe Radio-pure detector allowing measurements with limits $m_{\beta\beta}$ <160-330meV).
- A full scale experiment (1 ton Xenon, 1 m radius) covering the Inverse Hierarchy and reaching $m_{\beta\beta}$ <m< 10 meV.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

