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Fixed Target (FT) Experiments in the MultiTeV energy range have been dreamt of since a long time.

+ The expertise gained by the UA9 collaboration and the LHC collimation team in the last few years in the manipulation of beams by means of bent crystals
+ The successful experimentation with crystals in LHC
might make that dream easier to realize.
At LHC energy in-beam FT seems now a more viable option than FT from extraction lines.

FT experiments were one of the interesting topics of Physics Beyond Colliders.
Some colleagues from the ALICE collaboration asked our help to investigate an inbeam FT scenario from a crystal-split beam before the Alice detector.
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| Si Case | Energy $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\theta_{c}[\mu \mathrm{rad}]$ | $\lambda[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | $R_{c}[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPS coast | 120 | 18.3 | 33.0 | 0.3 |
| SPS coast | 270 | 12.2 | 49.6 | 0.6 |
| H8 | 400 | 10 | 60.3 | 1.0 |
| LHC inj. | 450 | 9.4 | 64.0 | 1.1 |
| LHC top | 6500 | 2.5 | 243.2 | 15.6 |
| LHC top | 7000 | 2.4 | 252.3 | 16.8 |

Standard: The halo particles are removed by a cascade of


## targets:

1. Primary and secondary collimators intercept the diffusive primary halo.
2. Particles are repeatedly deflected by Multiple Coulomb Scattering also producing hadronic showers that is the secondary halo.
3. Particles are finally stopped in the absorber.
4. Masks protect the sensitive devices from tertiary halo.
$\square$ Crystal-assisted:

in the particle-crystal interaction imparts large deflection to the primary halo:
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1. The escaping particle rate is minimized.
2. The collimation efficiency is improved.

First and main objective:
Demonstration of the feasibility of crystal-aided beam halo collimation in high energy particle colliders such as the LHC.

2009-2012

- Collimation demonstration in SPS Long Straight Section 5 (LLS5)


W. Scandale et al., Phys. Lett. B 692 (2010) 78-82

Approval for installation of crystals in LHC

2015 on

- Investigation of beam interaction with crystals in circular machines
- Understanding crystal collimation features from LHC runs
- Other crystal-assisted beam manipulations: extraction, etc...



## LS1 2013-2014

Installation of 2 goniometers (horizontal and vertical) in LHC-Beam1 IR7

2015
Successful test of halo collimation in LHC at 450 GeV and 6.5 TeV


Fig. 2. (Color online.) The dependence of the beam losses observed with the BLM $_{1}$ downstream of the crystal (curve 1) for the injection case with $450 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ protons. Curve 2 shows the dependence of the number of inelastic nuclear interactions of protons in the crystal on its orientation angle obtained by simulation.

In 4 year Machine Developement channeling and cleaning performance assessment for

- protons, Pb lons and Xe lons,
- at injection and at collision energies,
- during energy ramp and beam squeeze,
- and in collision.

First successful operational use during TOTEM and ALPHA physics run in 2018



## ALICE detector (Run 3)


(1) ACORDE | ALICE Cosmic Rays Detector
(2) $A D \mid A L C E D i f f r a c t i v e ~ D e t e c t o ~-~$
(3) DCaI $\mid$ Di-jet Calorimeter
4. EMCaI| Electromagnetic Calorimeter
(5) HMPID \| High Momentum Particle
(6) ITS-IB | Inner Tracking System - Inner Barrel
(7) ITS-OB \| Inner Tracking System - Outer Barrel
(8) MCH \| Muon Tracking Chambers
(9) MFT | Muon Forward Tracker
(10) MID / Muon Identifier
(11) PHOS / CPV / Photon Spectrometer
(12) TOF \| Time Of flight
(13) $\mathrm{T} 0+\mathrm{A} \mid$ Tzero +A
(14) $\mathrm{TO}+\mathrm{C} \mid$ Tzero +C
(15) TPC / Time Projection Chamber
(16)TRD \| Transition Radiation Detector
(17) $\mathrm{V} 0+\mid$ vzero + Detector
(18) ZDC | Zero Degree Calorimeter

View from inside LHC

Conceptual scenario developed in the framework of PBC-FT working group.


Beam 1

Target positions according space availability in ALICE detector @ ~5 m before IP2

Several positions for a crystal analyzed
Crystal @ 72 m before IP2

Absorber @ ~120 m after IP2

A dent cristal deflects the beam halo onto an internal solid target located near IP2.
An absorber downstream captures the non-interacting particles.

## Constraints:



Bending angle $=250 \mu \mathrm{rad}$

Target @ 8 mm max from pipe center
Phase advance cry/target $=70 \div 90$ deg

Particle trajectories for an internal fixed-target experiment:
A bent crystal splits and deflects the halo from the , and sends it on an internal target placed in front of the ALICE detectors; the non-interacting channeled particles are caught by an absorber downstream; a safe distance is maintained between the channeled beam and the machine aperture.

$\theta=250 \mu \mathrm{rad}-$ Target not reached

$\theta=-250 \mu \mathrm{rad}-$ Target not reached

$\theta=350 \mu \mathrm{rad}-$ Target not reached
Target @ 8 mm

$\theta=-350 \mu \mathrm{rad}-$ Aperture too small

Layout optimization

- Acceptable distance of target from circulating beam (4 mm in IR3 studies)
- Acceptable crystal angle
$\rightarrow$ Vertical plane might become accessible to avoid asynchronous dump risk
$\rightarrow$ Full local collimation system might be needed
- Availability of beam line slots to be checked: preliminary integration study

Simulation studies
a. Compatibility with machine protection and collimation system
b. Comprehensive simulation of the system with beam loss assessment
c. Compatibility with parasitic run
d. Background control
e. PoT fluxes simulations

The recent experience with LHC collimation teaches us that a solid demonstrator in less constraining conditions is desirable before migrating the concept to LHC.

UA9 has an efficiently equipped set-up available in SPS where some useful measurements, like target background estimate, can already be performed in view of an internal FT experiment (see R.Rossi's talk).

UA9 set-up has a suitable target tank downstream crystals for an à-la ALICE scenario, and it is already in the collaboration plans to test it.

The choice of the target (material and size) should be made soon, because the beam line reinstallation is imminent.

Some collaboration with ALICE-FT study group would be mostly welcome.
Possible topics:

1. target choice
2. simulation of interaction of target with particles emerging from the crystal
3. simulation of background
a. test of pneumatic insertion target
b. implementation of extra detectors downstream target

We have just set a starting point for a lot more work to come.
From experience of crystal collimation in LHC:
$\rightarrow$ accurate feasibility tests in an easier environment are preferable if not mandatory.

UA9 has now moved beyond collimation and it is ready to share its expertise for other challenging studies like those aimed to an internal Fix Target Experiment in LHC.

We hope that

- there can be an interest from the ALICE experiment
- adequate resources and support can be found in order to start a fruitful experimental collaboration soon.
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## Achievable luminosities considering ALICE detector rate limitations

| Target |  |  | ALICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | proton beam ( $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=115 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) |  |  |  | Pb beam $\left(\sqrt{s_{N N}}=72 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathcal{L}$ $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$ | $\sigma_{\text {inel }}$ | Inel rate <br> [ kHz ] | $\int \mathcal{L}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathcal{L} \\ {\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\sigma_{\text {inel }}$ | Inel <br> rate <br> [ kHz ] | $\int \mathcal{L}$ |
| Internal gas target | Gas-Jet | $\mathrm{H}^{\uparrow}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{30}$ | 39 mb | 168 | $43 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{26}$ | 1.8 b | 1 | $0.56 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{31}$ | 39 mb | 1000 | $0.26 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{28}$ | 1.8 b | 50 | $28 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{30}$ | 72 mb | 309 | $43 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{26}$ | 2.2 b | 1.2 | $0.56 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $8.5 \times 10^{30}$ | 117 mb | 1000 | $85 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{28}$ | 2.5 b | 50 | $20 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | Xe | $7.7 \times 10^{29}$ | 1.3 b | 1000 | $7.7 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{27}$ | 6.2 b | 50 | $8.1 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
| Beam splitting | Unpol- <br> arised <br> solid <br> target | C ( $658 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | $3.7 \times 10^{30}$ | 271 mb | 1000 | $37 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | C ( 5 mm ) | - | - | - | - | $5.6 \times 10^{27}$ | 3.3 b | 18 | $5.6 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Ti}(515 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $1.4 \times 10^{30}$ | 694 mb | 1000 | $14 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Ti}(5 \mathrm{~mm})$ | - | - | - | - | $2.8 \times 10^{27}$ | 4.7 b | 13 | $2.8 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |
|  |  | W (184 $\mu \mathrm{m})$ | $5.9 \times 10^{29}$ | 1.7b | 1000 | $5.9 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $\mathrm{W}(5 \mathrm{~mm})$ | - | - | - | - | $3.1 \times 10^{27}$ | 6.9 b | 21 | $3.1 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ |

## Assumptions:

|  | proton beam | lead beam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of bunches in the LHC | 2808 | 592 |
| Number of particles per bunch | $1.15 \times 10^{11}$ | $7 \times 10^{7}$ |
| LHC Revolution frequency $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ |  | 11245 |
| Particle flux in the LHC $\left[\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ | $3.63 \times 10^{18}$ | $4.66 \times 10^{14}$ |
| LHC yearly running time $[\mathrm{s}]$ | $10^{7}$ | $10^{6}$ |
| Nominal energy of the beam $[\mathrm{TeV}]$ | 7 | 2.76 |
| Fill duration considered $[\mathrm{h}]$ | 10 | 5 |
| Usable particle flux in the halo (when relevant) $\left[\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right]$ | $5 \times 10^{8}$ | $10^{5}$ |

ALICE runs the full year in fixed target mode
$\square$ Maximum readout rate considered 1 MHz in $\mathrm{Pp} / \mathrm{pA}$ collisions and 50 kHz in PbA
$\rightarrow$ higher rate could be envisoned in fixed-target mode depending on detector occupancy (factor $\sim 2 \mathrm{~Pb} / \mathrm{Xe}$, factor $\sim 10 \mathrm{pH}$ )

Proton flux to be (re)considered from recent studies: $10^{6} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}$ (could potentially be increased to $10^{7} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}$ )
CERN-PBC-REPORT-2019-001
Decrease of the flux can be compensated by increasing the target thickness
eg: $\mathrm{pC}\left(\Phi=10^{6} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s}\right.$, length $\left.=1 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{~L}_{\text {int }}=1.1 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}\right)$
Extraction of Pb beam with bent crystal needs further studies (crystal location (primary/secondary/tertiary halo), composition in terms of species of the channelled ion beam...)

Phys. Lett. B703 (2011) 547-551 (UA9 studies with Pb beam)

