# Dimuon production from y+y scattering in UPC with the ATLAS detector

Peter Steinberg, BNL / Initial Stages 2021 / 10-15 January 2021





Run: 287038 Event: 71765109 2015-11-30 23:20:10 CEST

Dimuons UPC Pb+Pb 5.02 TeV



### Introduction





exclusive µµ production is a pure QED process, one photon from each nucleus

### **Exclusive processes & dissociation**



 $PbPb(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-(Pb^{(\star)}Pb^{(\star)})$  is the primary signal Breit-Wheeler process cross section implemented in STARlight, SuperChic, etc.

 $PbPb(\gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma(Pb^{(\star)}Pb^{(\star)})$  is a higher order final state, also signal. Not in any existing MC, but now being addressed in calculations, and can be added to final states (e.g. from STARlight) using Pythia8

Pb + p/Pb( $\gamma\gamma$ )  $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X(Pb^*Pb^{(*)})$  is dissociative background (non-EPA) process, including nuclear breakup as well, modeled using LPair.

### Theory background



$$\frac{d^2 N}{dk_1 dk_2} = \int_{b_1 > R_1} d^2 b_1 \int_{b_2 > R_2} d^2 b_2 \ n(k_1, b_1) n(k_2, b_2) \ P_{\text{fn}}(b) \ (1 - P_{\text{H}}(b))$$

Radial cutoff to nuclear distributions (or use measured form factors) forward neutron topology (from photonuclear processes)

(no) hadronic interaction: Glauber calculation

Two photon flux convolves two separate nuclear photon densities, the probability of a hadronic process (violating exclusivity), and the probability (if required) of a specific forward neutron topology

### **ZDC** selections

ZDCs can distinguish 0n from 1n, 2n...



### and thus classify events according to 0n0n, Xn0n/0nXn, or XnXn



Selection of a specific ZDC topology is also filtering on a range of impact parameters (0-15 fm, 15-40fm, 40+ fm), and so modifies expected incoming photon spectrum

### **Exclusive dimuon dataset & analysis**

• 2015 Pb+Pb dataset, *L*<sub>int</sub> = 0.48 nb<sup>-1</sup>

#### Event trigger

- Muon reconstructed at L1 with no p<sub>T</sub> selection
- Maximum total limited to  $E_T < 50$  GeV to suppress hadronic events
- At least one track reconstructed with  $p_T>200$  MeV to suppress empty events
- No ZDC selection in primary trigger

#### Event & fiducial selection

- Two good ("tight") muons and no additional tracks
- Fiducial selection:

 $\circ~p_{\mathrm{T}\mu}\!\!>\!\!4~\mathrm{GeV}\!,~|\eta_{\mu}|\!<\!\!2.4,~m_{\mu\mu}\!>10~\mathrm{GeV}\!,~p_{\mathrm{T}\mu\mu}\!<\!2~\mathrm{GeV}$ 

• (optional) ZDC topology measured using calibrated energies

#### Corrections

- Trigger efficiency (measured)
- Reconstruction efficiencies (MC+data corrections)
- Dissociative background
- Bin migration (mainly at edges of  $p_{T\mu1}$ ,  $p_{T\mu2} = 4$  GeV) 1-3% effect

# **Dissociative contributions**

- Dimuon acoplanarity distributions in coarse m<sub>µµ</sub> and y<sub>µµ</sub> bins fit with two templates
  - STARlight+Pythia8 to include FSR - full description of 0n0n
  - LPair to model dissociative contributions - needed for Xn0n and XnXn
- Averaged over ZDC topologies, only 3% correction for lower *m*<sub>μμ</sub>, *y*<sub>μμ</sub>



### **Dissociative fraction in Xn0n**



If sign of  $y_{\mu\mu}$  is defined to be positive in the direction of the forward neutron, strongly-increased dissociation observed as the pair rapidity increases

## Data compared to STARlight: $y_{\mu\mu}$



Good agreement with STARlight 2.0, but systematic increase observed at higher y<sub>µµ</sub>

### Data compared to STARlight: *m*<sub>µµ</sub>



Overall increase in mass distribution just reflects increase vs.  $y_{\mu\mu}$ 

### Data compared to STARlight: $|cos(\theta^*)|$



After restricting comparisons to  $|y_{\mu\mu}| < 0.8$ , to avoid differences at larger  $|y_{\mu\mu}|$ , good agreement in scattering angle (Breit-Wheeler)

# Photon energy distributions

- Incoming photon energies estimated assuming p<sub>T</sub>~0
  - $k_{1,2} = (m_{\mu\mu}/2) \exp(\pm y_{\mu\mu})$
- Distributions of maximum and minimum k agree well with STARlight
  - Differences seen at low and high k
- One obvious issue is requirement of b>R<sub>1,2</sub> in 2photon flux
  - Several authors already questioned this, but need to address systematically
  - Ignoring it completely gives 20% increase in predicted cross section



### **ZDC fraction vs.** $m_{\mu\mu}$ and $y_{\mu\mu}$

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fractions of events with Xn0n, and XnXn corrected for electromagnetic pileup, assuming Xn cross sections from ALICE, extrapolated to 5.02 TeV

Reasonable agreement with STARlight, but MC slightly overestimates nuclear dissociation.

### **Corrected acoplanarity distributions**

Dissociative contributions removed using 0n0n selection

Bin-by-bin corrections performed using fast simulation, verified by full GEANT4 simulations

STARLIGHT & STARlight+Pythia8 scaled down according to measured 0n0n fraction (72%)

STARlight is clearly missing long tail, but reasonable agreement near  $\alpha \sim 0$ 

STARlight+Pythia8 also shows similar agreement near  $\alpha$ ~0, and gets shape of high  $\alpha$  tails correct

Clearly need better description of initial photon  $p_T$  distributions

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)