Probing Gravity with antimatter

Barbara Latacz

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany RIKEN, Ulmer Fundamental Symmetries Laboratory, Japan

24th of March 2020





Probing Gravity with antimatter

Outline of the presentation









Outline of the presentation



2) Free fall experiments

3 Clock experiments



Gravitational field interaction

- Standard model: matter and antimatter (charge conjugated particles).
- Baryon asymmetry problem Where is antimatter?
- Explanation: the gravitational interaction?



Gravitational field interaction

- Standard model: matter and antimatter (charge conjugated particles).
- Baryon asymmetry problem Where is antimatter?
- Explanation: the gravitational interaction?



Gravitational field interaction

OPT invariant Standard Model.

- The Weak Equivalence Principle the universality at the heart of the General Relativity: Universality of free-fall - all particles (or antiparticles) fall with the same acceleration in a gravitational field (WEPff).
 - Universality of clocks all dynamical systems which can be viewed as clocks (e.g. (anti)atomic transition frequencies. frequency of (anti)particle motion in the Penning trap) measure the same gravitational time dilation independently of their composition (WEPc).
- More theory about the extensions of the Standard Model can be found in for example: "Testing Fundamental Physics in Antihydrogen Experiments" by M. Charlton, S. Eriksson and G.M. Shore, arXiv:2002.09348v1.

How can we test WEP for antimatter?

WEP for antimatter - a bit of history

The first experimental idea anyone can get - let the antimatter fall!

- 1968, William Fairbank free fall of electrons and positrons impossible, due to stray field. M. M. Nieto, T.Goldman, Physics Reports Volume 205, Issue 5, July 1991, Pages 221-281.
- 1990s, PS200 experiment free fall of antiprotons from LEAR. Closed.
- Supernova 1987A time of the detection of ν_e and $\bar{\nu_e}$ from the supernova (about 164,000 light years away) set the limit on WEP to 10^{-6} . Sandip Pakvasa, Walter A. Simmons, and Thomas J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1761.
- 1991, measurement by the TRAP collaboration (G. Gabrielse et al.) interpreted by R. J. Hughes and M. H. Holzscheiter, the first WEPc constrain at the level of 5 × 10⁻⁴ by measuring the cyclotron frequency of an antiproton in the Penning trap.
- 2013, the ALPHA collaboration, rejected ratios of gravitational to inertial mass of antihydrogen > 75.
- 21st century WEP experiments for antimatter:
 - free fall experiments;
 - clock experiments.

Outline of the presentation









The free fall experiments

- The "easiest" is to make a classical free fall experiment.
- Main issue: for the resolution Δg/g the falling particle energy has to be in the mK μK regime (or lower).
- Neutral systems:
 - Ps positronium the bound state of an electron and a positron (para-positronium - 125 ps; ortho-positronium 142 ns lifetime);
 - $\rightarrow\,$ for example D. Cassidy at UCL. R. Ferragut at Politecnico di Milano.
 - Mu muonium the bound state of an antimuon and an electron (2.2 μ s lifetime);
 - \rightarrow A.Soter, MAGE experiment (expected 1%), arXiv:1802.01438.
 - H
 – antihydrogen an atom made from a positron orbiting around a nucleus composed of one antiproton (stable!);
 - \rightarrow AEGIS experiment (expected 1%);
 - \rightarrow ALPHAg experiment (expected 1%);
 - \rightarrow GBAR experiment (expected first stage 1%, later 10⁻⁵).

Comparison of antihydrogen experiments (recap)



Slide credit to C. Malbrunot

Barbara Latacz

Gravitational Behaviour of Antihydrogen at Rest

- Goal: Test the weak equivalence principle for antimatter using antihydrogen atom with at least 1% precision.
- The principle of the GBAR experiment:
 - antihydrogen $\bar{\mathsf{H}} \leftrightarrow \bar{p} + e^+$;
 - antihydrogen ion $\bar{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \bar{p} + e^+ + e^+$.



- 1 event gives 37% uncertainty, and 1500 events 1% ($h = 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow t = 0.14 \text{ s}$, $\Delta t < 150 \mu \text{s}$, $\Delta s < 100 \mu \text{m}$).
- Further goal: 10⁻⁵ precision with spectroscopy of the gravitational quantum levels of the H
 atom (A. Yu. Voronin, P. Froelich, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, Physical Review A 83, 032903 (2011)).

Antihydrogen atom and ion production

• The first large part of the experiment is to prepare the antihydrogen ion beam (P.Pérez and A. Rosowsky, NIM A 532 (2004) 523):

$$\bar{p} + Ps(n_p, l_p, m_p) \rightarrow \bar{H}(n_h, l_h, m_h) + e^-$$

$$ar{\mathsf{H}}(n_h, \mathit{l}_h, m_h) + \mathsf{Ps}(n_p, \mathit{l}_p, m_p)
ightarrow ar{\mathsf{H}}^+ + e^-.$$



The scheme of the GBAR experiment



24th of March 2020 12 / 26

The GBAR zone

• summer 2018 - the first GBAR antiproton beam time



\bar{H}^+ cooling

- 1st step:
 - a mm scale RF linear trap;
 - capture and sympathetic Doppler cooling of a H⁺ ion in a big Be⁺ crystal;
 - few mK.
- 2nd step:
 - miniaturized trap called precision trap;
 - ground state Raman side band sympathetic cooling of a Be⁺/H⁺ ion pair in the precision trap;
 - 10 μK.

Hilico et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.
 30, 1460269 (2014).
 N. Silitoe et al., JPS Conf. Proc. 18, 011014

(2017).





Precision trap.

Free fall chamber and annihilation detectors

- Antihydrogen annihilation detectors:
 - tracker detectors MicroMegas detectors 50 cm x 50 cm; the efficiency better than 96% per plane for charged pion;
 - Time of Flight Detectors build from bars made of scintillator 170 cm x 10cm x 5cm, time resolution 80 ps.
- Magnetic field shield ($|\bigtriangledown B| \ll 2 \times 10^{-6} \mbox{ T/m}$) under investigation.



Gravitational Behaviour of Antihydrogen at Rest

- All these "small" elements described above have to work at the same time!:)
- 1 event gives 37% uncertainty, and 1500 events 1% ($h = 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow t = 0.14 \text{ s}$, $\Delta t < 150 \mu \text{s}$, $\Delta h < 100 \mu \text{m}$).
- Precision: the main uncertainty on g comes from the energy and the energy dispersion of the initial beam (in this case ΔE = 1 μeV).

$$\frac{\Delta g}{g} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta h}{h}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2\Delta t}{t}\right)^2}$$
$$\Delta h = \sqrt{(\Delta h)^2 + (\Delta h_0)^2 + (\Delta v_{h0} t)^2} \rightarrow \Delta v_{z0} = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m_H} + \frac{2m_e \Delta E}{5m_H^2}}$$



Gravitational quantum states (GQS)

- Valery V. Nesvizhevsky et.al., Nature volume 415, pages297–299(2002). GRANIT collaboration.
- Neutrons are trapped by Casimir forces from below and gravitational forces from above. In this potential well they have quantized energy levels.
- Casimir-Polder force a manifestation of the electromagnetic quantum fluctuations which are coupled to the atomic dipole.
- Ultracold neutrons total velocity 10 m/s (neV); vertical velocity - 1.7 cm/s (peV).
- Lowest quantum state $\approx 15 \ \mu m$.



Spectroscopy of the gravitational quantum levels of the \bar{H}

- Proposal for antihydrogen:
 A. Yu. Voronin, P. Froelich,
 V. V. Nesvizhevsky, Physical Review A 83, 032903 (2011)).
- Recent estimations for the quantum interference technique - P.-P. Crépin et al., Phys. Rev. A 99, 042119 (2019).
- Down to 10⁻⁵ precision with 800 events, 10 μm first quantum gravitational level.





• In the meantime - GRASIAN collaboration for hydrogen bouncing.

24th of March 2020 18 / 26

Outline of the presentation



2) Free fall experiments





Clock experiments

- Universality of clocks all dynamical systems which can be viewed as clocks (e.g. (anti)atomic transition frequencies. frequency of (anti)particle motion in the Penning trap) measure the same gravitational time dilation independently of their composition (WEPc).
- Principle: any oscillator in a gravitational potential U experience a frequency red-shift.
- Trap clocks:
 - \bar{p} (BASE); e^+ ; \bar{H}^+ (GBAR).
- Spectral frequencies clocks:

BASE experiment

• CPT is invariant, gravitational potential $U = 0 \Rightarrow$



- Gravitational potential for protons U, for antiprotons $\alpha_g U$, where $\alpha_g \neq 1 \Rightarrow$ different gravitational red-shift for p and \bar{p} . \Rightarrow Different cyclotron frequencies.
- Detection through proton/antiproton cyclotron frequency measurements:

$$\frac{\nu_{c,\bar{p}}}{\nu_{c,p}} = \frac{m_p}{m_{\bar{p}}} \left(1 + \frac{3(\alpha_g - 1)}{c^2}U\right)$$

R. J. Hughes, and M. H Holzscheiter, Phys. Rev. Lett.66, 854 (1991).

BASE experiment - results

- S. Ulmer et al., Nature 524 (2015) 196.
- Result of 6500 proton/antiproton q/m comparisons:

$$rac{(q/m)_{ar{p}}}{(q/m)_p} - 1 = 1(69) imes 10^{-12}.$$

• Assuming CPT invariance, for the local galaxy supercluster gravitational potential $U = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ this sets a limit for

$$\alpha_{g} < 8.7 \times 10^{-7}.$$

• However (!), are we sure about that value of the gravitational potential?

BASE - differential experiment

- Differential measurement sensitive to potential changes ΔU .
- ΔU on the surface of Earth due to its elliptic trajectory around the sun.
- One year long, high sampling rate experiment:

$$\frac{\nu_{c,\bar{p}}(t)}{\nu_{c,p}(t)} = \frac{m_p}{m_{\bar{p}}} \left(1 + \frac{3(\alpha_g - 1)}{c^2} \Delta U(t)\right).$$

where $\Delta U = 10^{-9} (\alpha_g - 1) cos(2\pi t/365.25)$.

• Possible fractional resolution of $\Delta g/g = 6$ %.



Atomic spectral frequencies - ALPHA experiment

- The ALPHA experiment is able to measure the atomic spectral frequencies at the level of 2 ppt (1S-2S), Nature, 557, 71, (2018).
- The atomis transition is measured with a laser, which is using a co-located Cs atomic clock there will be no effect, if we measure just one atom in the same position.
- Solution ALPHAg with an upper and lower trap. The antihydrogen 1S-2S transitions should be measured simultaneously with the same reference clock.
- Ratio of frequencies:

$$rac{\Delta
u}{
u} = -rac{GM_E h}{R_E^2} = -1.1 imes 10^{-16} rac{h}{1m}.$$

- Current hydrogen experiments are at the level of 10⁻¹⁵. They would require 10 m distance between hydrogen atoms.
- There is a bit to do...



Outline of the presentation



2) Free fall experiments

3 Clock experiments



Summary

- The antimatter physics developed very strongly within the last 30 years.
- There are two basic approaches to test the Weak Equivalence Principle for antimatter:
 - free-fall experiments antihydrogen, positronium muonium;
 - clock experiments.
- Current expected precision limit achievable within 2 years for free fall experiments is at the level of 1%. In the future down to 10^{-5} .
- Clock estimations of WEP performed by BASE give a good argument, that there will be no WEP violation above 10⁻⁶. A possible differential measurement has the potential to reach about 6% accuracy.
- Viable theoretical models predict any WEP violation below 10⁻⁷, so there is still a lot to do!

Thank you for your attention!

Available antimatter particles/systems

- Single particles:
 - e⁺;
 - p.
- Neutral systems:
 - Ps positronium the bound state of an electron and a positron (para-positronium - 125 ps; ortho-positronium 142 ns lifetime);
 - Mu muonium the bound state of an antimuon and an electron (2.2 µs lifetime);

 - \bar{p}^4 He⁺ antiprotonic helium an atom made from one electron, one antiproton and a helium nucleus (3 μ s lifetime).
- Charged systems (hopefully soon):
 - H
 ⁺ antihydrogen anion an ion made from two positrons orbiting around a nucleus composed of one antiproton (stable!);
 - \overline{H}_2^- antihydrogen molecule.