NuFact 2021 Feedback

We would love to hear your thoughts and feedback on NuFact 2021

Organisation

Were the info provided before and during the workshop helpful for a fruitful attendance?  
78 responses

Did you find that the agenda is  
78 responses
For those attending in presence: Rate the THotel facilities
25 responses

For those attending in presence: Rate the secretariat service
28 responses
Plenaries

Rank overall the clarity of the speakers
78 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you find that the amount of talks proposed were
78 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you find that there were repetitions in the talks?
78 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is there any topic you wanted to see that we did not cover?
14 responses

- hadroproduction
- Hadronic production uncertainties
- hadron production experiments/theory
- I participated in the outreach session so the topic was covered completely
- Cosmological Constraints of Neutrino Parameters
- No, I attended only the part for muon colliders (when I could)
- Possibly, more BSM sessions
- Hadroproduction experiments!
- much more personal ideas or originals
- No
- No, Already enough!
- no
- Maybe more on data acquisition and analysis
**Poster session**

If you participated: was the format of the online poster session enough simple and effective to get in touch with the other people?

58 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you did not participate, explain why:

16 responses

- Sorry, I was busy with work (I was participating to the workshop via remote connection)
- I found it very difficult to participate remotely.
- Some presenters had difficulty sharing their poster in the format and in each working group it ended up with large discussion.
- Clash with other meetings
- No, I attended only the part for muon colliders (when I could)
- I was teaching
- It was at 3AM
- The posters were online too late, the poster session time slot was awful for international remote participant. I think in an online setting the poster session could repeated in different slots
- I could not be there that day
- I was not well.
- Participated remotely and had another commitment at the time, unfortunately
- Time zone problem
- due to the COVID-19
- Busy teaching, a problem when attending remotely
- Too early for me (west coast US)
- I think posters are more work than they are useful
**Special session on Diversity, Outreach & Education**

If you participated: was the format of the session interesting?

- 0 responses: 0 (0%)
- 1 response: 0 (0%)
- 2 responses: 6 (18.8%)
- 3 responses: 12 (37.5%)
- 4 responses: 14 (43.8%)

Would you like to see a similar session in the future editions of NuFact?

- Yes: 73.5%
- No: 20.8%
- Maybe: 6.7%
If you participated: rate the usefulness of the Round Table
36 responses

If you did not participate, explain why:
7 responses

- Other commitments
- Not time due to classes
- Clash with other obligations
- Lack of convenient flights on Sunday -> needed to travel back home on Saturday
- I have something else at that time.
- I was unaware of roundtable
- Conflict
Suggestions for improvement:

- Not real suggestions. It was not easy to organize it partially in presence and remotely, thus I believe near the best was achieved.
- None at this point
- More beers
- It was good. My suggestion would be to keep future meetings also in blended mode.
- Where can I find the recordings of the sessions? I am specially interested in the panel round table!
- I enjoyed the WG1 and WG5 talks very much.
- Besides the short breaks everything was excellent
- Very nicely organized, no suggestions
- No suggestion
- I think the conference went really well given all of the constraints that were placed on the organizing team with COVID-19. However, I think communication from the organizing committee could have been a bit better both before and during the conference. Also, I think the website could have been a bit more descriptive for individuals traveling from outside of the EU. I think the slack was a great idea (especially since there were strict time constraints), but it seems like no one really used it. Maybe there is a better way to catalogue questions for speakers when time runs out, such as a google doc or google form? I was highly frustrated that not all of the in-person attendees were able to give their talks in person. It was also frustrating that anyone not in WG1 had to find places around the hotel to listen in to the zoom. I know this was a special circumstance, but hopefully this will be taken into consideration for the next NuFact. Were the roundtable prompts posted beforehand for all attendees to look at? How was the theme decided? I felt like the roundtable didn't involve anyone but a select few individuals, and consisted of said individuals answering questions that had already been answered throughout the conference. I think conference participants should have been able to ask questions regarding a certain theme, and then have the panelists answer to encourage more community involvement.
- Online experience is just not what's needed for an event of this length. Looking forward to participation on site.
- I liked the workshop as it was better times for breaks
- End somewhat sooner as allow a proper lunch break. I was irritable and hungry by the end of all the sessions. The lunch break was never long enough to get proper lunch and people were rarely going together.
- difficult to say
- Na
- I was a very busy schedule and in online mode it is not easy to sit whole day with earphone.
- Add morning coffee breaks during workshops :)
- do closer the meeting
- I would prefer the workshop to be in person, not on-line.
- Make the workshop every second year when there is no Neutrino conference. Every year is too much these days.
- Adequate time for coffee breaks in agenda. The provided time was fine for zoom, but clearly not enough for in person as we were waiting on zoom for the auditorium return every time.
Slack could be a bit more active. Overall it was a good conference. Though there were repetitions in talks those are helpful for someone not familiar with those topics.

Hybrid online is appreciated

Hopefully next year will be in person

The agenda was built for an online conference, not an on site one -> too many parallel sessions and not enough plenary to foster discussions among participants on site. I am afraid that there's no optimum for hybrid events

It's a great conference!

Access to the posters should be organised better and integrated in the platform used for the communication. It would be better to have an individual space for each poster (Zoom room or smth else) where people can join and ask presenter specific questions. Interactive maps and talks are not really useful for the remote poster sessions.

The conference was very well organised. I guess the main difficulty was to run it in the hybrid mode, hence the schedule and breaks were not always optimised for in-person attendance. But in my opinion it was successfully held.

We should be going to Utah in the winter!

Continue like that!

Venues should be more interactive.

Perfect conference.

I hope the registering fee could be lower.

poster section in a way that you have a room or several with all the posters in exposition. In the current way, only one could share the screen at a time.

No suggestions

Keep timing and upload the recording timely

As one of the conveners, the intro talk as they were too scattered, I suggest to set the outline for all these talk, so there'll be more continuity. Maybe also make them shorter.

No

I think the format could be adjusted a little to make remote contributions easier. I hope next time will not be remote.

I am happy with the conference.

no such suggestions

Thank you for organizing the workshop under such a difficult situation.

I think having a special session for ENUBETAND NUATORM was great. The session in ESSnuSB was good but is it relevant?

No clear improvement proposal.

For the poster session, it is better to display poster with better resolution. It was bit difficult to read.

It was very well organised under difficult circumstances. Pity we could no go in person.

Fewer participants with then more in-depth responses

It has been too big and should be more specialized

NA

wifi had bad coverage, not stable and relatively slow; not enough power plugs; there was no need for high volume (sound) from speakers, sometimes it was really painful on ears

Hybrid format may be considered for future editions allowing more participants to attend.
• Everything is very well organized. I would also like to attend in the future.
• Time zone setting should be re-considered.
• It was really nice and useful
• No special suggestions.
• Overall a well-organized conference.
• Everything was alright. Thanks.
• Nice work. I would suggest to give more frequent breaks for plenary talks
• Virtual poster session slightly unstable because it's strongly related to the network condition. But due to this, I won't be able to discuss a lot regarding my presentation. But I'm understand this is virtual.
• I think it's already nice
• Considering the difficult situation overall the organisation was pretty good
• I have none.
• I think you did very well, especially considering the circumstances (mixed conference). Thank you!
• Always have the possibility of participate online and free even after the covid19 issue. Here in Brasil we are facing considerable difficulties to get money for science, specially for travel and fee payments. Unfortunately this is the situation for many of us. Thank you!
• To further involve students, semi-force the poster session on the attendants. Either a very good poster session, or no at all. There was little room for informal discussion, mainly due to the the Covid-19 situation.